



Extension Plan

Minnesota Electric and Natural Gas Conservation Improvement Program Docket No. E,G002/CIP-16-115



July 1, 2019

—Via Electronic Filing—

Mr. Joseph Sullivan
Deputy Commissioner
Minnesota Department of Commerce
Division of Energy Resources
85 7th Place East, Suite 500
Saint Paul, MN 55101-2198

RE: 2020 EXTENSION TO 2017-2019 MINNESOTA ELECTRIC AND NATURAL GAS

CONSERVATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM

DOCKET NO. E,G002/CIP-16-115

Dear Deputy Commissioner Sullivan:

Northern States Power Company, doing business as Xcel Energy, respectfully submits to the Minnesota Department of Commerce, Division of Energy Resources this 2020 Extension to the Company's 2017-2019 Triennial Plan for its Minnesota Electric and Natural Gas Conservation Improvement Program. This Plan is filed pursuant to Minn. Stat. § 216B.2401, 216B.241 and 216B.2411 as well as Minn. R.7690.0500.

We have electronically filed this document through the eDockets system maintained by the Minnesota Department of Commerce and the Minnesota Public Utilities Commission. By copy of this transmittal letter, Xcel Energy is notifying persons on the attached service list of this filing.

Parties wishing to access our 2020 CIP Extension Plan can retrieve the document by going to the eDockets homepage and searching for Docket No. E,G002/CIP-16-115. We provide a direct link to the eDockets website: https://www.edockets.state.mn.us/EFiling/home.jsp.

If you have any questions regarding this filing, please contact Aaron Tinjum at <u>aaron.j.tinjum@xcelenergy.com</u> or (612) 342-8967.

Sincerely,

/s/

Shawn White Manager DSM Regulatory Strategy & Planning

Enclosures c: Service Lists

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Jim Erickson, hereby certify that I have this day served copies of the foregoing document on the attached list of persons.

- <u>xx</u> by depositing a true and correct copy thereof, properly enveloped with postage paid in the United States mail at Minneapolis,
 Minnesota; or
- <u>xx</u> by electronic filing.

Docket No.: E,G002/CIP-16-115 & CIP Special Service List

Dated this 1st day of July 2019.

Jim Erickson
Regulatory Administrator

First Name	Last Name	Email	Company Name	Address	Delivery Method	View Trade Secret	Service List Name
Tom	Balster	tombalster@alliantenergy.c om	Interstate Power & Light Company	PO Box 351 200 1st St SE Cedar Rapids, IA 524060351	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_16- 115_G002,E002.CIP-16- 115
Lisa	Beckner	lbeckner@mnpower.com	Minnesota Power	30 W Superior St Duluth, MN 55802	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_16- 115_G002,E002.CIP-16- 115
William	Black	bblack@mmua.org	MMUA	Suite 400 3025 Harbor Lane No Plymouth, MN 554475142	Electronic Service tth	No	OFF_SL_16- 115_G002,E002.CIP-16- 115
Christina	Brusven	cbrusven@fredlaw.com	Fredrikson Byron	200 S 6th St Ste 4000 Minneapolis, MN 554021425	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_16- 115_G002,E002.CIP-16- 115
Ray	Choquette	rchoquette@agp.com	Ag Processing Inc.	12700 West Dodge Road PO Box 2047 Omaha, NE 68103-2047	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_16- 115_G002,E002.CIP-16- 115
Generic Notice	Commerce Attorneys	commerce.attorneys@ag.st ate.mn.us	Office of the Attorney General-DOC	445 Minnesota Street Suite 1800 St. Paul, MN 55101	Electronic Service	Yes	OFF_SL_16- 115_G002,E002.CIP-16- 115
George	Crocker	gwillc@nawo.org	North American Water Office	PO Box 174 Lake Elmo, MN 55042	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_16- 115_G002,E002.CIP-16- 115
lan	Dobson	residential.utilities@ag.stat e.mn.us	Office of the Attorney General-RUD	1400 BRM Tower 445 Minnesota St St. Paul, MN 551012131	Electronic Service	Yes	OFF_SL_16- 115_G002,E002.CIP-16- 115
Steve	Downer	sdowner@mmua.org	MMUA	3025 Harbor Ln N Ste 400 Plymouth, MN 554475142	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_16- 115_G002,E002.CIP-16- 115
Charles	Drayton	charles.drayton@enbridge.	Enbridge Energy Company, Inc.	7701 France Ave S Ste 600 Edina, MN 55435	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_16- 115_G002,E002.CIP-16- 115

First Name	Last Name	Email	Company Name	Address	Delivery Method	View Trade Secret	Service List Name
Jim	Erchul	jerchul@dbnhs.org	Daytons Bluff Neighborhood Housing Sv.	823 E 7th St St. Paul, MN 55106	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_16- 115_G002,E002.CIP-16- 115
Greg	Ernst	gaernst@q.com	G. A. Ernst & Associates, Inc.	2377 Union Lake Trl Northfield, MN 55057	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_16- 115_G002,E002.CIP-16- 115
Melissa S	Feine	melissa.feine@semcac.org	SEMCAC	PO Box 549 204 S Elm St Rushford, MN 55971	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_16- 115_G002,E002.CIP-16- 115
Sharon	Ferguson	sharon.ferguson@state.mn .us	Department of Commerce	85 7th Place E Ste 280 Saint Paul, MN 551012198	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_16- 115_G002,E002.CIP-16- 115
Karolanne	Foley	Karolanne.foley@dairyland power.com	Dairyland Power Cooperative	PO Box 817 La Crosse, WI 54602-0817	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_16- 115_G002,E002.CIP-16- 115
Angela E.	Gordon	angela.e.gordon@Imco.co m	Lockheed Martin	1000 Clark Ave. St. Louis, MO 63102	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_16- 115_G002,E002.CIP-16- 115
Pat	Green	N/A	N Energy Dev	City Hall 401 E 21st St Hibbing, MN 55746	Paper Service	No	OFF_SL_16- 115_G002,E002.CIP-16- 115
Jason	Grenier	jgrenier@otpco.com	Otter Tail Power Company	215 South Cascade Street Fergus Falls, MN 56537	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_16- 115_G002,E002.CIP-16- 115
Tony	Hainault	anthony.hainault@co.henn epin.mn.us	Hennepin County DES	701 4th Ave S Ste 700 Minneapolis, MN 55415-1842	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_16- 115_G002,E002.CIP-16- 115
Tyler	Hamman	tylerh@bepc.com	Basin Electric Power Cooperative	1717 E Interstate Ave Bismarck, ND 58501	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_16- 115_G002,E002.CIP-16- 115

First Name	Last Name	Email	Company Name	Address	Delivery Method	View Trade Secret	Service List Name
Tom	Balster	tombalster@alliantenergy.c om	Interstate Power & Light Company	PO Box 351 200 1st St SE Cedar Rapids, IA 524060351	Electronic Service	No	SPL_SLCIP SPECIAL SERVICE LIST
Lisa	Beckner	lbeckner@mnpower.com	Minnesota Power	30 W Superior St Duluth, MN 55802	Electronic Service	No	SPL_SLCIP SPECIAL SERVICE LIST
William	Black	bblack@mmua.org	MMUA	Suite 400 3025 Harbor Lane No Plymouth, MN 554475142	Electronic Service tth	No	SPL_SLCIP SPECIAL SERVICE LIST
Christina	Brusven	cbrusven@fredlaw.com	Fredrikson Byron	200 S 6th St Ste 4000 Minneapolis, MN 554021425	Electronic Service	No	SPL_SLCIP SPECIAL SERVICE LIST
Charlie	Buck	charlie.buck@oracle.com	Oracle	760 Market St FL 4 San Francisco, CA 94102	Electronic Service	No	SPL_SLCIP SPECIAL SERVICE LIST
Ray	Choquette	rchoquette@agp.com	Ag Processing Inc.	12700 West Dodge Road PO Box 2047 Omaha, NE 68103-2047	Electronic Service	No	SPL_SLCIP SPECIAL SERVICE LIST
Generic Notice	Commerce Attorneys	commerce.attorneys@ag.st ate.mn.us	Office of the Attorney General-DOC	445 Minnesota Street Suite 1800 St. Paul, MN 55101	Electronic Service	No	SPL_SLCIP SPECIAL SERVICE LIST
George	Crocker	gwillc@nawo.org	North American Water Office	PO Box 174 Lake Elmo, MN 55042	Electronic Service	No	SPL_SLCIP SPECIAL SERVICE LIST
lan	Dobson	residential.utilities@ag.stat e.mn.us	Office of the Attorney General-RUD	1400 BRM Tower 445 Minnesota St St. Paul, MN 551012131	Electronic Service	No	SPL_SLCIP SPECIAL SERVICE LIST
Steve	Downer	sdowner@mmua.org	MMUA	3025 Harbor Ln N Ste 400 Plymouth, MN 554475142	Electronic Service	No	SPL_SLCIP SPECIAL SERVICE LIST

First Name	Last Name	Email	Company Name	Address	Delivery Method	View Trade Secret	Service List Name
Charles	Drayton	charles.drayton@enbridge.com	Enbridge Energy Company, Inc.	7701 France Ave S Ste 600 Edina, MN 55435	Electronic Service	No	SPL_SL_CIP SPECIAL SERVICE LIST
Jim	Erchul	jerchul@dbnhs.org	Daytons Bluff Neighborhood Housing Sv.	823 E 7th St St. Paul, MN 55106	Electronic Service	No	SPL_SL_CIP SPECIAL SERVICE LIST
Greg	Ernst	gaernst@q.com	G. A. Ernst & Associates, Inc.	2377 Union Lake Trl Northfield, MN 55057	Electronic Service	No	SPL_SLCIP SPECIAL SERVICE LIST
Melissa S	Feine	melissa.feine@semcac.org	SEMCAC	PO Box 549 204 S Elm St Rushford, MN 55971	Electronic Service	No	SPL_SL_CIP SPECIAL SERVICE LIST
Sharon	Ferguson	sharon.ferguson@state.mn .us	Department of Commerce	85 7th Place E Ste 280 Saint Paul, MN 551012198	Electronic Service	No	SPL_SL_CIP SPECIAL SERVICE LIST
Karolanne	Foley	Karolanne.foley@dairyland power.com	Dairyland Power Cooperative	PO Box 817 La Crosse, WI 54602-0817	Electronic Service	No	SPL_SL_CIP SPECIAL SERVICE LIST
Rob	Friend	rfriend@mnchamber.com	Minnesota Chamber of Commerce - MN Waste Wise Foundation	400 Robert St N Ste 1500 Saint Paul, MN 55101	Electronic Service	No	SPL_SL_CIP SPECIAL SERVICE LIST
Angela E.	Gordon	angela.e.gordon@lmco.co m	Lockheed Martin	1000 Clark Ave. St. Louis, MO 63102	Electronic Service	No	SPL_SL_CIP SPECIAL SERVICE LIST
Pat	Green	N/A	N Energy Dev	City Hall 401 E 21st St Hibbing, MN 55746	Paper Service	No	SPL_SLCIP SPECIAL SERVICE LIST
Jason	Grenier	jgrenier@otpco.com	Otter Tail Power Company	215 South Cascade Street Fergus Falls, MN 56537	Electronic Service	No	SPL_SL_CIP SPECIAL SERVICE LIST

First Name	Last Name	Email	Company Name	Address	Delivery Method	View Trade Secret	Service List Name
Jeffrey	Haase	jhaase@grenergy.com	Great River Energy	12300 Elm Creek Blvd Maple Grove, MN 55369	Electronic Service	No	SPL_SLCIP SPECIAL SERVICE LIST
Tony	Hainault	anthony.hainault@co.henn epin.mn.us	Hennepin County DES	701 4th Ave S Ste 700 Minneapolis, MN 55415-1842	Electronic Service	No	SPL_SLCIP SPECIAL SERVICE LIST
Tyler	Hamman	tylerh@bepc.com	Basin Electric Power Cooperative	1717 E Interstate Ave Bismarck, ND 58501	Electronic Service	No	SPL_SLCIP SPECIAL SERVICE LIST
Patty	Hanson	phanson@rpu.org	Rochester Public Utilities	4000 E River Rd NE Rochester, MN 55906	Electronic Service	No	SPL_SLCIP SPECIAL SERVICE LIST
Norm	Harold	N/A	NKS Consulting	5591 E 180th St Prior Lake, MN 55372	Paper Service	No	SPL_SLCIP SPECIAL SERVICE LIST
Scott	Hautala	scotth@hpuc.com	Hibbing Public Utilities	1902 E 6th Ave Hibbing, MN 55746	Electronic Service	No	SPL_SLCIP SPECIAL SERVICE LIST
Kimberly	Hellwig	kimberly.hellwig@stoel.co m	Stoel Rives LLP	33 South Sixth Street Suite 4200 Minneapolis, MN 55402	Electronic Service	No	SPL_SL_CIP SPECIAL SERVICE LIST
Jared	Hendricks	hendricksj@owatonnautiliti es.com	Owatonna Public Utilities	PO Box 800 208 S Walnut Ave Owatonna, MN 55060-2940	Electronic Service	No	SPL_SL_CIP SPECIAL SERVICE LIST
Dave	Johnson	dave.johnson@aeoa.org	Arrowhead Economic Opportunity Agency	702 3rd Ave S Virginia, MN 55792	Electronic Service	No	SPL_SLCIP SPECIAL SERVICE LIST
Deborah	Knoll	dknoll@mnpower.com	Minnesota Power	30 W Superior St Duluth, MN 55802	Electronic Service	No	SPL_SLCIP SPECIAL SERVICE LIST

First Name	Last Name	Email	Company Name	Address	Delivery Method	View Trade Secret	Service List Name
Tina	Koecher	tkoecher@mnpower.com	Minnesota Power	30 W Superior St Duluth, MN 558022093	Electronic Service	No	SPL_SL_CIP SPECIAL SERVICE LIST
Kelly	Lady	kellyl@austinutilities.com	Austin Utilities	400 4th St NE Austin, MN 55912	Electronic Service	No	SPL_SL_CIP SPECIAL SERVICE LIST
Erica	Larson	erica.larson@centerpointen ergy.com	CenterPoint Energy	505 Nicollet Avenue P.O. Box 59038 Minneapolis, Minnesota 55459-0038	Electronic Service	No	SPL_SL_CIP SPECIAL SERVICE LIST
Martin	Lepak	Martin.Lepak@aeoa.org	Arrowhead Economic Opportunity	702 S 3rd Ave Virginia, MN 55792	Electronic Service	No	SPL_SL_CIP SPECIAL SERVICE LIST
Nick	Mark	nick.mark@centerpointener gy.com	CenterPoint Energy	505 Nicollet Mall Minneapolis, MN 55402	Electronic Service	No	SPL_SL_CIP SPECIAL SERVICE LIST
Pam	Marshall	pam@energycents.org	Energy CENTS Coalition	823 7th St E St. Paul, MN 55106	Electronic Service	No	SPL_SL_CIP SPECIAL SERVICE LIST
Scot	McClure	scotmcclure@alliantenergy.com	Interstate Power And Light Company	4902 N Biltmore Ln PO Box 77007 Madison, WI 537071007	Electronic Service	No	SPL_SL_CIP SPECIAL SERVICE LIST
John	McWilliams	jmm@dairynet.com	Dairyland Power Cooperative	3200 East Ave SPO Box 817 La Crosse, WI 54601-7227	Electronic Service	No	SPL_SLCIP SPECIAL SERVICE LIST
Brian	Meloy	brian.meloy@stinson.com	STINSON LLP	50 S 6th St Ste 2600 Minneapolis, MN 55402	Electronic Service	No	SPL_SLCIP SPECIAL SERVICE LIST
David	Moeller	dmoeller@allete.com	Minnesota Power	30 W Superior St Duluth, MN 558022093	Electronic Service	No	SPL_SL_CIP SPECIAL SERVICE LIST

First Name	Last Name	Email	Company Name	Address	Delivery Method	View Trade Secret	Service List Name
Andrew	Moratzka	andrew.moratzka@stoel.co m	Stoel Rives LLP	33 South Sixth St Ste 4200 Minneapolis, MN 55402	Electronic Service	No	SPL_SL_CIP SPECIAL SERVICE LIST
Carl	Nelson	cnelson@mncee.org	Center for Energy and Environment	212 3rd Ave N Ste 560 Minneapolis, MN 55401	Electronic Service	No	SPL_SL_CIP SPECIAL SERVICE LIST
Samantha	Norris	samanthanorris@alliantene rgy.com	Interstate Power and Light Company	200 1st Street SE PO Box 351 Cedar Rapids, IA 524060351	Electronic Service	No	SPL_SLCIP SPECIAL SERVICE LIST
Audrey	Partridge	apartridge@mncee.org	Center for Energy and Environment	212 3rd Ave. N. Suite 560 Minneapolis, Minnesota 55401	Electronic Service	No	SPL_SL_CIP SPECIAL SERVICE LIST
Joyce	Peppin	joyce@mrea.org	Minnesota Rural Electric Association	11640 73rd Ave N Maple Grove, MN 55369	Electronic Service	No	SPL_SLCIP SPECIAL SERVICE LIST
Lisa	Pickard	Iseverson@minnkota.com	Minnkota Power Cooperative	5301 32nd Ave S Grand Forks, ND 58201	Electronic Service	No	SPL_SL_CIP SPECIAL SERVICE LIST
Bill	Poppert	info@technologycos.com	Technology North	2433 Highwood Ave St. Paul, MN 55119	Electronic Service	No	SPL_SLCIP SPECIAL SERVICE LIST
Kathleen A	Prestidge	Kathy.Prestidge@stoel.co m	Stoel Rives LLP	33 S 6th St Ste 4200 Minneapolis, MN 55402	Electronic Service	No	SPL_SLCIP SPECIAL SERVICE LIST
Dave	Reinke	dreinke@dakotaelectric.co m	Dakota Electric Association	4300 220th St W Farmington, MN 55024-9583	Electronic Service	No	SPL_SLCIP SPECIAL SERVICE LIST
Chris	Rustad	crustad@mnchamber.com	Minnesota Chamber of Commerce	400 Robert St N Ste 1500 Saint Paul, MN 55101	Electronic Service	No	SPL_SL_CIP SPECIAL SERVICE LIST

First Name	Last Name	Email	Company Name	Address	Delivery Method	View Trade Secret	Service List Name
Christopher	Schoenherr	cp.schoenherr@smmpa.or g	SMMPA	500 First Ave SW Rochester, MN 55902-3303	Electronic Service	No	SPL_SL_CIP SPECIAL SERVICE LIST
Lauryn	Schothorst	Ischothorst@mnchamber.c om		400 Robert St N Ste 1500 Saint Paul, MN 55101	Electronic Service	No	SPL_SLCIP SPECIAL SERVICE LIST
Ken	Smith	ken.smith@districtenergy.c om	District Energy St. Paul Inc.	76 W Kellogg Blvd St. Paul, MN 55102	Electronic Service	No	SPL_SLCIP SPECIAL SERVICE LIST
Anna	Sommer	anna@sommerenergy.com	Sommer Energy LLC	PO Box 766 Grand Canyon, AZ 86023	Electronic Service	No	SPL_SLCIP SPECIAL SERVICE LIST
Russ	Stark	Russ.Stark@ci.stpaul.mn.u s	City of St. Paul	390 City Hall 15 West Kellogg Boul Saint Paul, MN 55102	Electronic Service evard	No	SPL_SLCIP SPECIAL SERVICE LIST
Lynnette	Sweet	Regulatory.records@xcele nergy.com	Xcel Energy	414 Nicollet Mall FL 7 Minneapolis, MN 554011993	Electronic Service	No	SPL_SLCIP SPECIAL SERVICE LIST
Kodi	Verhalen	kverhalen@briggs.com	Briggs & Morgan	2200 IDS Center 80 South Eighth Stree Minneapolis, Minnesota 55402	Electronic Service t	No	SPL_SL_CIP SPECIAL SERVICE LIST
Michael	Volker	mvolker@eastriver.coop	East River Electric Power Coop	211 S. Harth Ave Madison, SD 57042	Electronic Service	No	SPL_SL_CIP SPECIAL SERVICE LIST
Sharon N.	Walsh	swalsh@shakopeeutilities.c om	Shakopee Public Utilties	255 Sarazin St Shakopee, MN 55379	Electronic Service	No	SPL_SLCIP SPECIAL SERVICE LIST
Ethan	Warner	ethan.warner@centerpoint energy.com	CenterPoint Energy	505 Nicollet Mall Minneapolis, Minnesota 55402	Electronic Service	No	SPL_SL_CIP SPECIAL SERVICE LIST

First Name	Last Name	Email	Company Name	Address	Delivery Method	View Trade Secret	Service List Name
Robyn	Woeste	robynwoeste@alliantenerg y.com	Interstate Power and Light Company	200 First St SE Cedar Rapids, IA 52401	Electronic Service		SPL_SL_CIP SPECIAL SERVICE LIST
Daniel P	Wolf	dan.wolf@state.mn.us	Public Utilities Commission	121 7th Place East Suite 350 St. Paul, MN 551012147	Electronic Service		SPL_SL_CIP SPECIAL SERVICE LIST

First Name	Last Name	Email	Company Name	Address	Delivery Method	View Trade Secret	Service List Name
Patty	Hanson	phanson@rpu.org	Rochester Public Utilities	4000 E River Rd NE Rochester, MN 55906	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_16- 115_G002,E002.CIP-16- 115
Norm	Harold	N/A	NKS Consulting	5591 E 180th St Prior Lake, MN 55372	Paper Service	No	OFF_SL_16- 115_G002,E002.CIP-16- 115
Scott	Hautala	scotth@hpuc.com	Hibbing Public Utilities	1902 E 6th Ave Hibbing, MN 55746	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_16- 115_G002,E002.CIP-16- 115
Kimberly	Hellwig	kimberly.hellwig@stoel.co m	Stoel Rives LLP	33 South Sixth Street Suite 4200 Minneapolis, MN 55402	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_16- 115_G002,E002.CIP-16- 115
Jared	Hendricks	hendricksj@owatonnautiliti es.com	Owatonna Public Utilities	PO Box 800 208 S Walnut Ave Owatonna, MN 55060-2940	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_16- 115_G002,E002.CIP-16- 115
Holly	Hinman	holly.r.hinman@xcelenergy .com	Xcel Energy	414 Nicollet Mall, 7th Floor Minneapolis, MN 55401	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_16- 115_G002,E002.CIP-16- 115
Dave	Johnson	dave.johnson@aeoa.org	Arrowhead Economic Opportunity Agency	702 3rd Ave S Virginia, MN 55792	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_16- 115_G002,E002.CIP-16- 115
Deborah	Knoll	dknoll@mnpower.com	Minnesota Power	30 W Superior St Duluth, MN 55802	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_16- 115_G002,E002.CIP-16- 115
Tina	Koecher	tkoecher@mnpower.com	Minnesota Power	30 W Superior St Duluth, MN 558022093	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_16- 115_G002,E002.CIP-16- 115
Kelly	Lady	kellyl@austinutilities.com	Austin Utilities	400 4th St NE Austin, MN 55912	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_16- 115_G002,E002.CIP-16- 115

First Name	Last Name	Email	Company Name	Address	Delivery Method	View Trade Secret	Service List Name
Martin	Lepak	Martin.Lepak@aeoa.org	Arrowhead Economic Opportunity	702 S 3rd Ave Virginia, MN 55792	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_16- 115_G002,E002.CIP-16- 115
Nick	Mark	nick.mark@centerpointener gy.com	CenterPoint Energy	505 Nicollet Mall Minneapolis, MN 55402	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_16- 115_G002,E002.CIP-16- 115
Pam	Marshall	pam@energycents.org	Energy CENTS Coalition	823 7th St E St. Paul, MN 55106	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_16- 115_G002,E002.CIP-16- 115
Scot	McClure	scotmcclure@alliantenergy.com	Interstate Power And Light Company	4902 N Biltmore Ln PO Box 77007 Madison, WI 537071007	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_16- 115_G002,E002.CIP-16- 115
John	McWilliams	jmm@dairynet.com	Dairyland Power Cooperative	3200 East Ave SPO Box 817 La Crosse, WI 54601-7227	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_16- 115_G002,E002.CIP-16- 115
Brian	Meloy	brian.meloy@stinson.com	STINSON LLP	50 S 6th St Ste 2600 Minneapolis, MN 55402	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_16- 115_G002,E002.CIP-16- 115
David	Moeller	dmoeller@allete.com	Minnesota Power	30 W Superior St Duluth, MN 558022093	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_16- 115_G002,E002.CIP-16- 115
Andrew	Moratzka	andrew.moratzka@stoel.co m	Stoel Rives LLP	33 South Sixth St Ste 4200 Minneapolis, MN 55402	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_16- 115_G002,E002.CIP-16- 115
Carl	Nelson	cnelson@mncee.org	Center for Energy and Environment	212 3rd Ave N Ste 560 Minneapolis, MN 55401	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_16- 115_G002,E002.CIP-16- 115

First Name	Last Name	Email	Company Name	Address	Delivery Method	View Trade Secret	Service List Name
Samantha	Norris	samanthanorris@alliantene rgy.com	Interstate Power and Light Company	200 1st Street SE PO Box 351 Cedar Rapids, IA 524060351	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_16- 115_G002,E002.CIP-16- 115
Audrey	Partridge	apartridge@mncee.org	Center for Energy and Environment	212 3rd Ave. N. Suite 560 Minneapolis, Minnesota 55401	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_16- 115_G002,E002.CIP-16- 115
Joyce	Peppin	joyce@mrea.org	Minnesota Rural Electric Association	11640 73rd Ave N Maple Grove, MN 55369	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_16- 115_G002,E002.CIP-16- 115
Lisa	Pickard	Iseverson@minnkota.com	Minnkota Power Cooperative	5301 32nd Ave S Grand Forks, ND 58201	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_16- 115_G002,E002.CIP-16- 115
Bill	Poppert	info@technologycos.com	Technology North	2433 Highwood Ave St. Paul, MN 55119	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_16- 115_G002,E002.CIP-16- 115
Dave	Reinke	dreinke@dakotaelectric.co m	Dakota Electric Association	4300 220th St W Farmington, MN 55024-9583	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_16- 115_G002,E002.CIP-16- 115
Christopher	Schoenherr	cp.schoenherr@smmpa.or g	SMMPA	500 First Ave SW Rochester, MN 55902-3303	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_16- 115_G002,E002.CIP-16- 115
Ken	Smith	ken.smith@districtenergy.com	District Energy St. Paul Inc.	76 W Kellogg Blvd St. Paul, MN 55102	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_16- 115_G002,E002.CIP-16- 115
Russ	Stark	Russ.Stark@ci.stpaul.mn.u s	City of St. Paul	390 City Hall 15 West Kellogg Bould Saint Paul, MN 55102	Electronic Service evard	No	OFF_SL_16- 115_G002,E002.CIP-16- 115

First Name	Last Name	Email	Company Name	Address	Delivery Method	View Trade Secret	Service List Name
Lynnette	Sweet	Regulatory.records@xcele nergy.com	Xcel Energy	414 Nicollet Mall FL 7 Minneapolis, MN 554011993	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_16- 115_G002,E002.CIP-16- 115
Michael	Volker	mvolker@eastriver.coop	East River Electric Power Coop	211 S. Harth Ave Madison, SD 57042	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_16- 115_G002,E002.CIP-16- 115
Sharon N.	Walsh	swalsh@shakopeeutilities.com	Shakopee Public Utilties	255 Sarazin St Shakopee, MN 55379	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_16- 115_G002,E002.CIP-16- 115
Robyn	Woeste	robynwoeste@alliantenerg y.com	Interstate Power and Light Company	200 First St SE Cedar Rapids, IA 52401	Electronic Service	No	OFF_SL_16- 115_G002,E002.CIP-16- 115
Daniel P	Wolf	dan.wolf@state.mn.us	Public Utilities Commission	121 7th Place East Suite 350 St. Paul, MN 551012147	Electronic Service	Yes	OFF_SL_16- 115_G002,E002.CIP-16- 115

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
Executive Summary	1
Compliance with Rules and Statutes	4
Business Segment	15
Business Energy Efficiency	19
Business New Construction	19
Commercial Efficiency	22
Commercial Refrigeration Efficiency	25
Cooling Efficiency	27
Custom Efficiency	29
Data Center Efficiency	30
Efficiency Controls	32
Fluid Systems Optimization	34
Foodservice Equipment	36
Heating Efficiency	37
Lighting Efficiency	39
Motor and Drive Efficiency	41
Multi Family Building Efficiency	43
Process Efficiency	46
Recommissioning	49
Self-Direct Efficiency	52
Turn Key Services	54
Business Load Management	56
Electric Rate Savings	56
Peak Partner Rewards	57
Saver's Switch® for Business	59
Business Indirect Impact	60
Business Education	60
Small Business Lamp Recycling	62

	Page
Residential Segment.	63
Residential Energy Efficiency	65
Efficient New Home Construction	65
Energy Efficient Showerheads	67
Energy Feedback	69
Home Energy Squad	71
Home Lighting	72
Insulation Rebate	74
Refrigerator Recycling	76
Residential Cooling	78
Residential Heating (Heating System Rebate)	80
School Education Kits	81
Water Heater Rebate	83
Whole Home Efficiency	85
Residential Demand Response	87
Residential Indirect Impact	91
Consumer Education	91
Home Energy Audit	92
Residential Lamp Recycling	94
Low-Income Segment	95
Home Energy Savings	97
Low-Income Home Energy Squad	100
Multi-Family Energy Savings	101
Planning Segment	103
Advertising & Promotion	104
Application Development & Maintenance	106
CIP Training	107
Regulatory Affairs	108
Research, Evaluations and Pilots Segment	109
Energy Information Systems Pilot	111
ENERGY STAR Retail Products Platform Pilot	114
Measurement & Verification	118
Market Research	121
Product Development	123
Assessments Segment	125
Electric Utility Infrastructure	126

	Page
Cost Benefit Analysis	128
Business Analysis	133
Residential Analysis	172
Low-Income Analysis	202
Research, Evaluations and Pilots Analysis	209
Technical Assumptions	217
Deemed Savings	231
Appendix	243
Analysis Key	243
BENCOST Inputs	244
Budget Categories	247

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Northern States Power Company, doing business as Xcel Energy, submits to the Minnesota Department of Commerce, Division of Energy Resources ("Department") the Company's 2020 Extension Plan filing to our 2017-2019 Conservation Improvement Program (CIP) Triennial Plan.

This Extension Plan proposes annual savings goals of 1.5 percent of retail sales for our electric portfolio and 1 percent for our natural gas portfolio, which is a direct extension of the Company's 2019 savings goals. To meet our proposed savings goals in 2020, the Company will continue our practice of offering a wide variety of energy-saving programs to meet the needs of our business, residential and low-income customers.

While this Extension Plan is largely a continuation of our 2019 program year, it also applies approved escalation rates and features several modification requests that have been discussed with Department Staff. Specific program changes are presented in the individual program descriptions throughout the 2020 Extension Plan. The approved escalation rates and proposed modifications are in direct compliance with the Deputy Commissioner's Decision to extend 2017-2019 CIP Triennial Plans to 2020, which was issued on April 11, 2019 (Docket No. E,G002/CIP-16-115). Table 1 below overviews the Company's proposed electric and gas program goals and budgets for 2020.

Table 1: Goals and Budgets as a Percent of Retail Sales

	Electric				Gas			
Year	Budget	Proposed Energy Savings (GWh)	Total Adjusted Sales (GWh)	Savings as % of Retail Sales	Budget	Proposed Energy Savings (Dth)	Total Adjusted Sales (Dth)	Savings as % of Retail Sales
2020	\$102,371,401	454	28,767	1.58%	\$18,730,192	786,334	71,897,513	1.09%

While there has not yet been a final decision on the 2020 DSM shared savings incentive mechanism, the Company has put forth our Extension Plan with the expectation that the DSM incentive will remain unchanged in 2020 and avoided cost assumptions will be implemented as described in the Deputy Commissioner's decision (Docket No. E,G002 CIP-16-115). By maintaining the current incentive framework, the Company will be able to achieve another strong DSM energy savings performance in 2020.

The Company respectfully requests that the Department approve this filing to guide our 2020 electric and natural gas DSM activities in Minnesota. As with the Company's 2017-2019 CIP Triennial Plan, we request that the Department approve the 2020 Extension Plan's proposed goals and budgets by segment. This is consistent with the Department's policy to maintain portfolio cost-effectiveness at the segment level, rather than the program level. This approach will provide the Company with the necessary flexibility to manage specific product performance within each segment as well as the overall cost-effectiveness of our 2020 CIP Extension Plan. We present our segment-level goals for 2020 in Table 2.

Table 2: 2020 Segment-Level Goals

1 4010 2, 2020 365 ment 2010 30413							
		Electri	Gas				
Segment	Participation	Budget	Gen kW	Gen kWh	Participation	Budget	Dth
Business	88,906	\$42,339,176	58,094	254,306,910	22,280	\$5,190,768	456,448
Residential	1,262,520	\$29,703,346	46,161	141,542,491	608,321	\$8,383,050	310,621
Low-Income	5,783	\$2,490,344	374	3,259,191	2,054	\$1,901,318	14,697
Planning	0	\$8,151,775	0	0	0	\$2,228,824	0
Research,	38,201	\$3,751,148	1,577	7,052,207	13	\$596,233	4,568
Evaluations,							
& Pilots							
Assessments	0	\$1,974,981	0	0	0	\$345,600	0
EUI	0	\$0	0	0	0	\$0	0
Alternative	1,671	\$13,960,630	10,500	48,000,000	0	\$84,400	0
Filings							
Total	1,397,081	\$102,371,401	116,706	454,160,800	632,668	\$18,730,192	786,334

Table 3: Executive Summary Table - 2020

2020	Electric Participants	Electric Budget	Customer kW	Generator kW	Generator kWh	Electric Utility	Electric Societal	Gas Participants	Gas Budget	Dth Savings	Gas Societal	Gas Utility
Business Segment Business New Construction	122	\$4,671,924	5,502	4,316	23,001,531	3.77	1.84	25	\$384,505	23,360	2.83	5.44
Commercial Efficiency	182	\$3,709,232	4,417	3,803	28,029,199	4.72		46			3.79	5.76
Commercial Refrigeration Efficiency	343	\$362,735	1,330	237	2,165,547	2.65		51			2.54	2.72
Cooling Efficiency	1,806	\$2,676,399	2,787 984	2,351	6,450,540 4,894,015	2.30		3 21			4.82 2.07	8.87
Custom Efficiency Data Center Efficiency	52 80	\$1,385,389 \$1,357,410	1,139	783 961	9,495,027	3.11	4.11 1.84	0			2.07	6.62
Efficiency Controls	70	\$1,232,065	1,239	280	9,155,555	2.95		17			1.87	6.31
Fluid Systems Optimization	347	\$1,644,768	2,275	1,930	14,117,816	4.66		0				
Foodservice Equipment	73	\$54,753	109	73	501,133	5.63		67			2.10	3.80
Heating Efficiency	1 (22	\$7,830	40	32	156,350	13.35	5.55	576			2.11	3.45
Lighting Efficiency Motor Efficiency	1,623 1,658	\$6,665,907 \$3,643,086	9,986 7,076	7,559 5,856	57,699,400 33,987,221	4.63	1.85 2.73	0				
Multi-Family Building Efficiency	6,860	\$1,476,811	2,746	507	3,624,863	1.18		2,280			1.89	1.28
Process Efficiency	238	\$6,764,286	8,734	5,222	46,147,183	3.90		75			1.60	3.63
Recommissioning	89	\$808,898	1,022	561	6,626,083	2.39	1.85	49		21,058	3.34	3.81
Self-Direct	0	\$28,312	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0			0.00	0.00
Turn Key	306	\$1,680,254	1,571	928	7,990,299	2.52		70	- /		1.36	1.35
Business Segment Energy Efficiency Total	13,913	\$38,170,059	50,958	35,399	254,041,762	3.90		3,280			2.28	3.79
Electric Rate Savings Saver's Switch for Business	45 933	\$559,716 \$2,388,642	9,000 18,071	4,593 3,823	170,174 9,668	4.02 1.95		0				
Peak Partner Rewards	15	\$2,300,042	13,279	14,279	85,307	1.63		0				
Business Segment Load Management Total	993	\$3,858,636	40,350	22,694	265,149	2.17		0				
Business Education	14,000	\$247,498	0	0	0			19,000				
Small Business Lamp Recycling	60,000	\$62,983	0	0	0			0				
Indirect Business Subtotal	74,000	\$310,481	0		0			19,000				
Business Segment with Indirect Participants	88,906	\$42,339,176	91,308	58,094	254,306,910	3.71	2.12	22,280	\$5,190,768	456,448	2.28	3.77
Business Segment Direct Participants Only	14,906	\$42,028,695	91,308	58,094	254,306,910	3.74		3,280			2.28	3.79
Residential Segment	Í		ŕ	ĺ				Ź				
Energy Efficient Showerhead	1,920	\$41,801	114	92	1,092,357	11.94		14,080	\$293,766	31,295	22.86	5.53
Energy Feedback Residential	256,320	\$2,179,675	3,718	3,930	16,722,476	2.13		170,898	\$330,672		1.44	1.34
Efficient New Home Construction	2,226	\$752,352	1,126	981	1,012,391	2.55	1.70	960			1.43	1.74
Residential Heating	10,000	\$1,233,702	1,906	1,380	7,199,127	4.13		12,272			2.04	3.97
Home Energy Squad Home Lighting	5,371 146,067	\$889,545 \$7,471,646	3,975 71,614	526 9,773	4,239,092 93,301,606	1.22 2.40		2,200	\$1,306,189 \$0	20,261	1.48	0.79
Whole Home Efficiency	230	\$127,500	186	140	226,532	1.84		205			1.25	1.99
Insulation Rebate	619	\$252,072	1,210	164	1,743,586	5.80		773		17,985	1.45	4.47
Refrigerator Recycling	7,100	\$972,934	1,299	940	7,496,782	2.79	3.72	0				
Residential Cooling	11,582	\$4,139,360	5,479	5,406	3,930,467	2.19		0				
School Education Kits	29,000	\$982,930	2,466	246	2,803,479	0.69		14,000			7.46	1.81
Water Heater Rebate Thermostat Optimization Program	66	\$85,700	37	40	288,310	1.33	1.13	1,071	\$202,544 \$0		0.73	1.19
Residential Segment Energy Efficiency Total	470,501	\$19,129,217	93,131	23,619	140,056,205	2.38	1.72	216,459			2.22	2.61
Residential Demand Response	29,665	\$8,603,202	51,222	22,542	1,486,287	2.69		6,150	\$108,980		8.11	20.47
Consumer Education	433,854	\$765,640	01,222	0	1,400,207	2.07	2.37	382,912			0.11	20.47
Home Energy Audit	3,500	\$691,758	0	0	0			2,800	\$561,704			
Lamp Recycling - Residential	325,000	\$513,529	0	0	0			0				
Residential Segment with Indirect												
Participants	1,262,520	\$29,703,346	144,353	46,161	141,542,491	1.79		608,321			2.20	2.50
Residential Segment Direct Participants Only	500,166	\$27,732,419	144,353	46,161	141,542,491	1.86	2.47	222,609	\$7,280,539	310,621	2.35	2.88
Low Income Segment	2,117	\$1,349,151	329	115	905,770	0.39	0.66	554	\$1,488,341	4,919	0.38	0.25
Home Energy Savings Program LI Home Energy Squad	1,900	\$1,349,151	1,305	115 152	1,374,942	1.16		1,500	\$1,488,341	9,777	2.54	1.20
Multi-Family Energy Savings Program	1,766	\$813,518	574	107	978,479	0.52		1,500			2.54	1.20
Low Income Segment Total	5,783	\$2,490,344	2,208	374	3,259,191	0.53		2,054			0.85	0.46
Planning Segment	- 7	, ,,.	,		-,, -			,	, , , , , ,	.,		
	0	61 040 742	0	0	0			0	6455.013	0		
Application Development and Maintenance Advertising & Promotion	0	\$1,242,743 \$6,286,899	0	0	0			0				
CIP Training	0	\$148,974	0	0	0			0		0		
Regulatory Affairs	0	\$473,159	0	0	0			0	\$153,533	0		
Planning Segment Total	0	\$8,151,775	0	0	0			0	\$2,228,824	0		
Research, Evaluations & Pilots Segment		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
Market Research	0	\$953,478	0	0	0			0	\$262,471	0		
Product Development	0	\$1,764,124	0	0	0			0				
Energy Star Retail Products	38,156	\$706,966	7,999	1,345	4,113,554	3.66	1.42	0				
Energy Information Systems	45	\$326,580	423	232	2,938,653	2.06	1.87	13	\$117,575	4,568	4.71	1.41
Total	38,201	\$3,751,148	8,422	1,577	7,052,207	0.87	0.80	13	\$596,233	4,568	1.06	0.28
PORTFOLIO SUBTOTAL	1,395,410	\$86,435,790	246,291	106,206	406,160,800	2.66	1.64	632,668	\$18,300,192	786,334	2.06	2.40
Anticipated Alternative Filings			·									
Anticipated Alternative Filings CEE One Stop Efficiency Shop	1,671	\$12,964,780	10,419	10,500	48,000,000			0	\$0	0		
EnerChange	1,0/1	\$12,964,780 \$418,500	10,419	10,500	40,000,000		—	0				
Energy Smart	0	\$402,750	0	0	0			0				
Trillion BTU	0	\$174,600	0	0	0			0				
Energy Intelligence	0	\$0	0	0	0			0				
Anticipated Alternative Filings Total	1,671	\$13,960,630	10,419	10,500	48,000,000		Ĺ	0				
		04.074.004	0	0	0			0	\$345,600	0		
Assessments Segment	0	\$1.974.981				1						
Assessments Segment Made In Minnesota	0	\$1,974,981 \$0	0		0			0	\$0	0		
		\$1,974,981 \$0 \$0		0	0			0				
Made In Minnesota	0	\$0	0	0				0		0		

COMPLIANCE WITH RULES & STATUTES

The 2020 Extension Plan fulfills Xcel Energy's compliance with Minn. Stat. § 216B.241, subd. 2(a), which requires public utilities to file CIP plans by June 1 of the applicable year. Per the Deputy Commissioner's decision to extend 2017-2019 CIP Triennial Plans to 2020(Docket No. E,G002/CIP-16-115), the filing deadline was also extended to July 1, 2019.

Additionally, in 2001, the Company received approval from the Department to file a combined gas and electric CIP Plan; we continue this approach with this Extension Plan filing.

Minn. R. 7690.0500 contains the requirements and procedures for CIP filings. Minn. Stat. § 216B.2401, 216B.241 and 216B.2411 contain provisions that the Company must meet in its CIP Plan. This section provides all of the compliance order points required therein.

Statutory Requirements

Minimum Spending Requirement

Minn. Stat. § 216B.241, requires that 2.0 percent of the Company's electric Gross Operating Revenues ("GOR") be spent on electric CIP and 0.5 percent of gas GOR be spent on gas CIP. The table below shows our spending in relation to our minimum spending requirement for 2020.

Table 4: 2020 Minimum Spending Requirement

	2011 Net Revenues (GOR – Exempt)	% of GOR	Minimum Spending Requirement	2020 Proposed Budget
Electric	\$2,850,359,199	2.0%	\$57,007,184	\$102,371,401
Gas	\$436,197,186	0.5%	\$2,180,986	\$18,730,192

Goals as a Percentage of Sales

Minn. Stat. § 216B.241, subd. 1c requires utilities to file a CIP Plan with no less than 1.0 percent of gross annual retail energy sales from energy conservation improvements while setting an annual statewide energy-savings goal of 1.5 percent. Table 5 shows our proposed natural gas and electric goals annually, as percent of the previous three-year (2013, 2014 & 2015) weather-normalized sales, adjusted for exempt customers as of May 15, 2016. Should additional customers be approved for CIP exemption, we may request to modify the baseline to incorporate the effect of those exemptions.

Table 5: 2020 Goals as a Percent of Sales

		Electric			Gas	
Year	Energy Savings Proposed (MWh)	Total Adjusted Sales (MWh)	Savings (as % of Retail Sales)	Energy Savings Proposed (Dth)	Total Adjusted Sales (Dth)	Savings (as % of Retail Sales)
2020	454,161	28,767,282	1.58%	786,334	71,897,513	1.09%

Low-Income Goals

The 2007 Legislature approved an amendment to Minn. Stat. § 216B.241, subd. 7, which required utilities to spend 0.4 percent of their residential natural gas GOR on low-income gas programs and 0.2 percent of their residential electric GOR on low-income electric programs, unless otherwise approved by the Commissioner. The following table provides our proposed low-income spending in comparison to the spending requirement.

Table 6: 2020 Low-Income Spending Requirement

	Residential GOR	% of GOR	Low-Income Spend Requirement	2020 Proposed Low-Income Budget
Electric	\$1,079,786,206	0.2%	\$2,159,572	\$2,490,344
Gas	\$317,126,068	0.4%	\$1,268,504	\$1,901,318

Research & Development Spending Cap

Minn. Stat. § 216B.241, subd. 2(c), limits spending on Research & Development ("R&D") to 10 percent of the minimum spending requirement. CIP R&D identifies, assesses, and develops new load management and energy efficiency products and services. This work enables the Company to identify and promote promising new energy saving opportunities for its customers. The following table provides our proposed R&D spending over the Extension Plan period in comparison to the spending cap.

Table 7: 2020 Research & Development Spending Cap

	% of Min Spend	Min Spend	R&D Cap	2020 Proposed R&D Budget
Electric	10%	\$57,007,184	\$5,700,718	\$1,764,124
Gas	10%	\$2,180,986	\$218,099	\$216,187

Distributed Energy Resources Spending Cap

Minn. Stat. § 216B.2411, subd. 1(a) allows utilities to spend up to five percent of the utility's minimum spending requirement on distributed generation projects. The Company does not have any distributed energy resources spending in CIP planned for 2020.

Previous program spending included Solar*Rewards Generation 1 and the Made in Minnesota program. The Solar*Rewards Generation 1 program ended in 2014 and is no longer included within CIP (Docket No. E002/M-13-1015, July 23, 2014). The Made in Minnesota program ended in 2017. Minn. Statute §216C.412 Subd. 2, established in 2013, required public utilities to pay a portion of their minimum spend amount towards the Made in Minnesota solar energy production incentive account beginning January 1, 2014, and each January 1 thereafter, through 2023, for a total of ten years. Minn. Stat. §216C.412 was repealed on May 31, 2017 by 2017 Minnesota Law Chapter 94, Article 10, Section 30, thus ending the Company's obligation under the statute on a going forward basis.

<u>Lighting Use and Recycling Programs</u>

Minn. Stat. § 216B.241, subd. 5 requires utilities to invest in projects that encourage the use of energy efficient lighting and reclamation or recycling of spent fluorescent and high intensity

discharge lamps. The Company meets this requirement through its business and residential lighting and lamp recycling programs.

Facilities Energy Efficiency

Minn. Stat. § 216B.241, subd. 1f requires all utilities to include programs in their conservation plans that facilitate professional engineering verification to qualify a building as ENERGY STAR-labeled, Leadership in Energy and Environmental Design ("LEED") certified, or Green Globes-certified. Xcel Energy's Business New Construction and Turn Key Services programs satisfy this requirement.

Other Compliance

Combined Natural Gas and Electric CIP Plan

Minn. R. 7690.0500, subp. 1, governs the submission of investor-owned electric and natural gas utilities' Conservation Improvement Programs. On March 2, 2009 the Company filed a variance request to submit a combined electric and natural gas plan on June 1, 2009 as well as with each subsequent annual status report. On May 13, 2009, the Director approved our request for all future CIP Triennial Plans and annual Status Reports.

Program Modifications

Minn. R. 7690.1400 requires utilities to file formal program modifications when:

- Proposing a new project;
- Discontinuing an existing project;
- Reducing the minimum qualifying efficiency level of a measure or technology;
- Decreasing project budgets, savings and participation goals;
- Increasing the Planning Segment annual budget by more than 25 percent; and
- Increasing the Research, Evaluations, and Pilots Segment by more than 25percent.

In the November 3, 2016 Decision on our 2017-2019 CIP Triennial Plan (Docket No. E,G002/CIP-16-115), the Deputy Commissioner discontinued the use of the informal modification process, for a formal modification process and courtesy notifications. We provide the following list of the approved modification requests and courtesy notifications submitted by the Company over the course of the 2017-2019 CIP Triennial Plan.

Table 8: Approved Program Modification Filings and Courtesy Notifications

Modification Filing Date	Programs Modified	Approval Date	
	Advertising & Promotions		
April Modification Request	Home Lighting	6/26/17	
(4/28/17)	Lighting Efficiency	0/20/1/	
	Saver's Switch		
July Modification Request	Home Energy Savings	0 /4 0 /47	
(7/7/17)	Residential Cooling	8/18/17	
September Modification	Energy Efficient Showerheads, Home	10/24/17	

Request (9/22/17)	Energy Squad & Low-Income Home Energy Squad Lighting Efficiency		
December Modification Request (12/21/17)	Whole Home Efficiency & Multi-Family Building Efficiency	3/29/18	
	Home Energy Savings Program (HESP)		
February Modification	Market Research	4 /20 /40	
Request (2/22/18)	Water Heater Rebate Program	4/28/18	
June Modification Request (6/6/18)	Lighting Efficiency	9/13/18	
June Courtesy Notifications	Lighting Efficiency	/	
(6/6/2018)	Multi-Family Building Efficiency	n/a	
September Modification	Computer Efficiency & Data Center Efficiency		
Request (9/28/18)	Heating Efficiency	1/16/19	
	Refrigerator Recycling	1	
	Whole Home Efficiency Efficiency Controls		
September Courtesy	Efficient New Home Construction	n/a	
Notifications (9/28/18)	Residential Cooling	11/ a	
	Residential Goomig		
	Efficient New Home Construction		
D 1 M 1'C C	Home Energy Savings Program (HESP)	3/12/19	
December Modification Request (12/27/18)	Insulation Rebate		
Request (12/27/10)	Lighting Efficiency		
	Turn Key Services		
December Modification	Thermostat Optimization	4/11/10	
Request (12/27/18)	Residential Demand Response	4/11/19	
December Courtesy Notification (12/27/18)	Residential Programs	n/a	
	_		
	ENERGY STAR® Retail Products		
March Modification	Platform (ESRPP) pilot		
Request (3/22/19)	Home Lighting	5/10/19	
	Motor and Drive Efficiency		

As these changes have been approved by the Department, they are already reflected in the current program descriptions, cost-benefit analyses, and technical assumptions of this Extension Plan. In the Deputy Commissioner's Decision to extend 2017-2019 CIP Triennial Plans to 2020 (Docket No. E,G002/CIP-16-115), utilities were granted the ability to propose new modifications and programs in their Extension Plan filings. Additionally, utilities were instructed to preview any

proposed changes to the Department in pre-filing meetings. The Company previewed proposed changes with the Department on June 3, 2019.

Below is a list of programs by segment that include proposed modifications in the Company's 2020 CIP Extension Plan. Details on the proposed modifications are provided in the "program changes" section of individual program descriptions as well as the cost-benefit analyses and deemed savings technical assumptions.

Business Segment

- Motor and Drive Efficiency;
- Multi-Family Building Efficiency; and,
- Peak Partner Rewards.

Residential Segment

- Residential Demand Response & Smart Thermostat Optimization;
- School Education Kits;
- Water Heater Rebate; and,
- Whole Home Efficiency.

Planning Segment

Advertising and Promotion.

Research, Evaluations, and Pilots Segment

• ENERGY STAR® Retail Products Platform (ESRPP) pilot.

CIP Plan Contents

Minn. R. 7690.0500, subp. 2, governs the contents of each CIP Plan. We address each content component directly in the following sections.

A. A comprehensive description of the proposed program, including a description of each project making up the program;

Please see each program and segment descriptions.

B. For each individual project, a completed project information sheet that will be provided by the department. The project information sheet can be used to provide the information required in items E and F;

We now utilize the Energy Savings Platform (ESP), a cloud-based IT platform to satisfy this requirement. All information required in items E and F have been uploaded to ESP as of July 1, 2019.

C. For each project making up the program, a description of the expected effect of each project on peak demand and energy consumption with supporting assumptions, including a list of each conservation technology or process to be promoted and the

energy – and demand – savings assumptions associated with each identified technology;

Please see enclosed cost benefit analyses, BENCOST modeling, and technical assumptions for each project.

D. For each electric utility that must submit an integrated resource plan to the Public Utilities Commission, an explanation of how its overall conservation improvement program enables the utility to meet the long-term demand-side management goals established in its most recent integrated resource plan;

Please see the Executive Summary. We note that the most recent Resource Plan Order in Docket No. E002/RP-15-21 directs us to strive to achieve the 1.5 percent savings goal over the long-term planning horizon. This Extension Plan establishes a goal to reach the 1.5 percent goal in the short-term, which will position us well to strive to sustain these high levels of savings over the longer-term. Our current Resource Plan is being considered in Docket No. E002/RP-19-368.

E. An estimate of the expected cost-effectiveness of each project to the utility, to the project's participants, to the utility's ratepayers, and to society;

Please see the enclosed cost-benefit analyses and BENCOST modeling.

- F. For each project targeted at residential consumers, an estimate of the anticipated percentage of use of each project among:
 - a. Low-income participants; and
 - b. Renters;

The following tables provide estimates of the anticipated percentage of use of each project among:

- a. Low-Income participants; and,
- b. Renters.

Table 9: Low-Income Participation by Project (Electric), 2020

		2020			
Project	Participation Goal	Low Income Participation	Percent of Participation		
Residential Segment					
Efficient New Home Construction	2,226	27	1.2%		
Energy Efficient Showerhead	1,920	366	19.1%		
Energy Feedback Residential	256,320	10,000	3.9%		
Home Energy Squad	5,371	0	0.0%		
Home Lighting	146,067	869	0.6%		
Insulation Rebate	619	37	5.9%		
Refrigerator Recycling	7,100	145	2.0%		
Residential Cooling	11,582	131	1.1%		
Residential Heating	10,000	183	1.8%		
School Education Kits	29,000	11,107	38.3%		
Whole Home Efficiency	230	0	0.0%		
Water Heater Rebate	66	7	10.8%		
Residential Saver's Switch	29,665	827	2.8%		
Consumer Education	433,854	47,724	11.0%		
Home Energy Audit	3,500	140	4.0%		
Lamp Recycling - Residential	325,000	1,934	0.6%		
Residential Total	1,262,520	69,884	5.5%		
Low-Income					
Home Energy Savings Program	2,117	2,117	100.0%		
LI Home Energy Squad	1,900	1,900	100.0%		
Multi-Family Energy Savings Program	1,766	1,766	100.0%		
Low-Income Total	5,783	5,783	100.0%		

Table 10: Renter Participation by Project (Electric), 2020

		2020		
Project	Participation Goal	Renter Participation	Percent of Participation	
Residential Segment				
Efficient New Home Construction	2,226	0	0.0%	
Energy Efficient Showerhead	1,920	793	41.3%	
Energy Feedback Residential	256,320	117,000	45.6%	
Home Energy Squad	5,371	1,176	21.9%	
Home Lighting	146,067	31,697	21.7%	
Insulation Rebate	619	8	1.2%	
Refrigerator Recycling	7,100	93	1.3%	
Residential Cooling	11,582	180	1.6%	
Residential Heating	10,000	93	0.9%	
School Education Kits	29,000	6,293	21.7%	
Whole Home Efficiency	230	6	2.5%	
Water Heater Rebate	66	3	5.1%	
Residential Saver's Switch	29,665	1,483	5.0%	
Consumer Education	433,854	47,724	11.0%	
Home Energy Audit	3,500	175	5.0%	
Lamp Recycling - Residential	325,000	70,525	21.7%	
Residential Total	1,262,520	278,700	22.1%	
Low-Income				
Home Energy Savings Program	2,117	616	29.1%	
LI Home Energy Squad	1,900	703	37.0%	
Multi-Family Energy Savings Program	1,766	1,766	100.0%	
Low-Income Total	5,783	3,384	58.5%	

Table 11: Low-Income Participation by Project (Natural Gas), 2020

	2020			
Project	Participation Goal	Low Income Participation	Percent of Participation	
Residential Segment				
Efficient New Home Construction	960	35	3.6%	
Energy Efficient Showerhead	14,080	769	5.5%	
Energy Feedback Residential	170,898	7,995	4.7%	
Home Energy Squad	2,200	-	0.0%	
Insulation Rebate	773	76	9.8%	
Residential Heating	12,272	211	1.7%	
School Education Kits	14,000	6,370	45.5%	
Water Heater Rebate	1,071	115	10.8%	
Whole Home Efficiency	205	-	0.0%	
Residential Demand Response	6,150	70	1.1%	
Consumer Education	382,912	42,120	11.0%	
Home Energy Audit	2,800	112	4.0%	
Residential Total	608,321	57,377	9.4%	
Low-Income				
Home Energy Savings Program	554	554	100.0%	
LI Home Energy Squad	1,500	1,500	100.0%	
Low-Income Total	2,054	2,054	100.0%	

Table 12: Renter Participation by Project (Natural Gas), 2020

	2020			
Project	Participation Goal	Renter Participation	Percent of Participation	
Residential Segment				
Efficient New Home Construction	960	0	0.0%	
Energy Efficient Showerhead	14,080	1,303	9.3%	
Energy Feedback Residential	170,898	78,654	46.0%	
Home Energy Squad	2,200	286	13.0%	
Insulation Rebate	773	8	1.1%	
Residential Heating	12,272	106	0.9%	
School Education Kits	14,000	3,038	21.7%	
Water Heater Rebate	1,071	54	5.1%	
Whole Home Efficiency	205	5	2.5%	
Residential Demand Response	6,150	95	1.6%	
Consumer Education	382,912	42,120	11.0%	
Home Energy Audit	2,800	140	5.0%	
Residential Total	608,321	127,091	20.9%	
<u>Low-Income</u>				
Home Energy Savings Program	554	69	12.5%	
LI Home Energy Squad	1,500	555	37.0%	
Low-Income Total	2,054	654	31.8%	

G. A detailed budget for each project for the next three years;

Please see enclosed cost-benefit analyses and BENCOST modeling for 2020 only.

H. A description of the utility's ratemaking treatment and cost-recovery method;

The ratemaking and cost-recovery procedures for this CIP follow those currently approved by the Minnesota Public Utilities Commission.

I. An estimate of participation in each project;

Please see enclosed cost-benefit analyses and BENCOST modeling. This information has also been uploaded to ESP.

J. An explanation of how the proposed projects provide for the involvement of community energy organizations, when appropriate;

Please see enclosed individual program descriptions.

K. An outline of the proposed plan for evaluating the effectiveness of each proposed project;

Please see enclosed program descriptions as well as the Research, Evaluations, and Pilots Segment for a schedule of planned program evaluations. The Measurement and Verification policy is included within the Planning Segment.

L. For each renewable energy project, an estimate of the net energy and capacity to be produced by each project and the projected reliability of the technology that would be used; and

There are no planned or proposed renewable energy projects for the Company's CIP portfolio in 2020.

M. Additional information that the Department determines is necessary as a result of its review or evaluation of previous projects of the particular utility.

In the Company's pre-filing meeting for the 2020 CIP Extension Plan on June 3, 2019, the Department requested that the Company provide information related to the discontinuation of the Energy Intelligence program. The Energy Intelligence program is a third-party alternative filing conducted by the Center for Energy and Environment (CEE). As a matter of practice, the Company does not generally provide information on alternative filings in our CIP Triennial Plans (beyond their proposed goals in our Executive Summary tables) as they are proposed and administered by third parties.

It is the Company's understanding that CEE submitted a letter on April 25, 2019 notifying the Department of their intention to discontinue the Energy Intelligence program at the conclusion of 2019. We are supportive of this decision. The Company is continuously developing and refining our program offerings for this customer segment and will continue to serve them in this Extension Plan and our next 2021-2023 CIP Triennial Plan.

Business Segment

Description

The Business Segment serves all of our customers that are not on a residential rate. This broad and varied Segment has historically contributed a significant portion of the energy savings to the Company's DSM portfolio and is expected to continue to do so in the future.

The Company offers a variety of products including study funding, as well as prescriptive, custom and holistic rebates in order to best serve business customers over a broad range of technologies. Our program portfolio encourages customers to choose high efficiency options ranging from a simple lighting fixture replacement to the inclusion of energy efficiency in the design of an entire new facility. Study programs also offer assistance whether customers need to identify simple energy efficiency opportunities or they are considering a complex manufacturing process change. Holistic programs foster a deeper level of customer commitment to energy efficiency and engage customers in long-term energy planning intended to change the way customers look at energy and conduct their business.

Most of the programs in our business portfolio are available to all of our business customer sectors such as small and large retail, office, hospital, manufacturer, educational and government facilities. A few programs, such as Commercial Efficiency and Process Efficiency, are designed to serve specific market sectors by better targeting efficiency opportunities and customizing delivery options to better engage customers and match their operations and needs.

Programs

This comprehensive program portfolio ensures that the Company has something to offer almost any business customer in almost any energy efficiency situation. Our holistic Process Efficiency and Commercial Efficiency programs continue to contribute significant savings to the portfolio. This individualized approach to identifying customer needs, measuring energy savings and removing implementation barriers is popular with engaged customers and has proven successful in delivering sustainable energy savings.

Overall Goals

The Business Segment is the single largest and most cost-effective segment in this Plan, contributing more than half of the Company's planned electric and gas savings achievements. The table below highlights the segment's participation, budget and savings goals for 2020:

	Electric				Gas		
Segment	Participation	Budget	Gen kW	Gen kWh	Participation	Budget	Dth
Business	88,906	\$42,339,176	58,094	254,306,910	22,280	\$5,190,768	456,448
Total CIP	1,397,081	\$102,371,401	116,706	454,160,800	632,668	\$18,730,192	786,334
Portfolio			-		-		

Marketing/Advertising/Promotion

We rely on trade allies, end-use equipment vendors, account managers, and dedicated energy efficiency sales specialists to drive energy savings and load management achievements in the Business Segment. Although sales to the largest business customers typically require personal interaction, the Company also utilizes a variety of tactics and channels, including: newsletters, customer events, direct mail, email communications, awareness advertising and social media to build awareness and drive program

activity. In this Extension Plan the Company strives to drive deeper energy savings across the portfolio by expanding our messages in the marketplace. To support its marketing efforts, the Company will employ an integrated approach to communications, where the tactics are designed to work in concert with each other to reinforce key messages over time.

We also market our programs as customer solutions to various business segments; focusing on the segments which have significant potential and the segments in which participation is under performing compared to others. Multimedia campaigns are used to provide each segment customized tools and information and to direct them to the most applicable programs in our portfolio.

Overall Policies

The Company has adopted several general policies that are followed in the Business Segment. Individual programs may follow different policies as noted in the program descriptions. The general policies provide overall management direction; however, they may be relaxed for specific time periods when warranted for promotional events or other purposes.

The segment-level policies include:

- Cost-Effectiveness Tests: All customer projects going through the custom analysis process must pass the Societal Tests with an absolute ratio of 1.0 or greater.
- Proof of Installation: All programs require documentation of installation, such as proof of purchase (e.g., invoices) or site verification.
- Installation Date: Rebates are generally provided for equipment installed within 12 months
 of purchase or project completion unless otherwise noted in the individual program
 policies.
- Payback Requirements: Projects must have a payback longer than nine months, and cannot exceed the expected lifetime of the equipment.
- Studies: Study funding is based on potential energy savings and provides up to 75 percent of the study cost (up to \$25,000), unless otherwise noted in the individual program policies.
- Influenced Savings refers to projects for which Xcel Energy played a significant role in the customer's decision to implement an energy efficiency measure, and for which the customer participated in the normal Custom Efficiency project submission process, yet whose cost-effective analysis or payback period failed. For such projects, Xcel Energy denies the customer any rebate for the measure, but claims Influenced Savings in order to appropriately account in the Company's energy and demand savings for the implementation of the higher energy efficiency technology and to recognize the often significant labor investment and/or study costs involved in the project. Influenced savings guidelines are listed below:
 - 1. Project Preapproval Must occur prior to purchase and installation.
 - 2. Cost-Effectiveness Tests Projects must pass the Societal Test.
 - 3. Payback Projects with a payback period of less than nine months may be considered only if they meet all the other Influenced Savings guidelines herein.
 - 4. Large Projects Projects with savings of 2 GWh and greater require separate DER pre-review. All other projects will be reviewed as part of the Status Report.
 - 5. Savings Cap Influenced Savings claims cannot exceed 4% of the Company's annual CIP achievements.

- 6. Documentation Documentation must be provided to show Xcel Energy's involvement was an important factor in implementing the energy saving project.
- Study-Driven Credit: If a customer implements measures identified in an Xcel Energy study or assessment, or identified in a study funded by Xcel Energy, and the measure has a payback period of less than nine months or longer than the expected lifetime of the equipment, the customer will not receive a rebate, but the Company will claim those savings as study-driven credit. We believe that our help identifying and analyzing the energy efficiency measures provides influence on the customer's decision to implement those measures. These savings do not count toward Influenced Savings. All programs that fund studies are eligible to claim study-driven credit.
- Program Incentives: Custom projects limit rebates to 60 percent of the actual project cost. Prescriptive rebate levels are set based on deemed incremental costs and rebates are capped at 60 percent of actual total project cost; this practice helps ensure we do not pay more than 60 percent of the incremental cost for a specific project for which the pricing varies from the deemed cost. Bonuses and special offers may increase the rebate cap as a percent of incremental cost, but we strive to ensure that it never exceeds 100 percent.

Stakeholder Involvement

The Company regularly works with a number of local organizations to refine our existing programs, shape new programs and discuss partnership opportunities. These local organizations include:

- Building Owners and Managers Association;
- Center for Energy and Environment;
- CenterPoint Energy;
- Enterprise Minnesota;
- Franklin Energy;
- Fresh Energy;
- Minnesota Blue Flame Gas Association's Conservation Committee; and,
- Minnesota Technical Assistance Program.

In addition to local contacts, we also regularly work with regional and national organizations, including:

- American Council for an Energy Efficient Economy;
- Cadmus:
- Cascade Energy;
- Chartwell, Inc.;
- CLEAResult Midwest;
- Compressed Air and Gas Institute (CAGI);
- Consortium for Energy Efficiency;
- Department of Energy/ENERGY STAR;
- Design Lights Consortium (DLC);
- EMI
- E Source;
- Electrical Apparatus Service Association;

- Evergreen Economics;
- Hydraulic Institute Pump Systems Matter (PSM);
- Michael's Energy;
- Midwest Energy Efficiency Alliance; and,
- Motors Decisions Matter (MDM).

Business New Construction

Description

The Business New Construction program influences owners, architects, and engineers to include energy efficient systems and equipment in their designs for new construction, additions to existing buildings and/or major renovation projects. The Company provides consulting services and energy modeling as well as electricity and natural gas efficiency implementation rebates. The program is primarily marketed by our sales team and consultants to design teams and customers who want to include energy efficiency in their building designs.

The program's main offerings include the following:

- Prescriptive rebates, including motors, cooling, and heating equipment identified in the Energy Efficient Buildings program component; and,
- Custom rebates for energy efficiency strategies incorporated into the building design through either the Energy Efficient Buildings (EEB) or the Energy Design Assistance (EDA) program component.

The main offerings are described below:

Energy Design Assistance

The EDA offering provides business customers with energy expertise to encourage energy efficient building design and construction practices. EDA offers real-time energy modeling so that a project team can visualize the impacts of efficient choices. The program encourages an integrated approach to the design process by providing free computer energy modeling of the project design, funding to offset the cost of design time associated with the increased energy analysis, financial incentives to improve the cost-effectiveness of a package of energy efficiency measures, and field verification to ensure that the strategies are installed per the design intent.

There are two tracks available for customer involvement: Standard and Enhanced. The Standard track is for customers interested in a collaborative design process to identify energy savings using new technologies. Projects must represent buildings with 20,000 square feet or greater that are in the schematic design or early design development phase. Rebates are based on demand and energy savings (kW, kWh, and Dth). The design team must strive to achieve a minimum of 5 percent demand and energy savings over the baseline. If 5 percent savings are not achieved, the customer is no longer eligible for that component of the rebate.

The Enhanced track is for customers interested in obtaining sustainable building certifications, such as the United States Green Building Council's (USGBC) Leadership in Energy and Environmental Design (LEED). The Enhanced track allows for further analysis in the early stages of design for HVAC, daylighting, and massing analysis. Projects in the Enhanced track must represent buildings with a minimum of 50,000 square feet that are in the pre-design or early schematic phase. Design teams must strive to achieve a minimum of 30 percent demand savings over the baseline. Finally, the project must be registered with the USGBC LEED certification or equivalent certification (i.e. Minnesota B3 or Green Globes).

We administer the Business New Construction program with help from outside energy design consultants who facilitate meetings with the design teams and building owners, and complete energy modeling activities. The current EDA baseline is based on the updated Minnesota State Energy Code referencing the ASHRAE 90.1-2010 Energy Standard.

Energy Efficient Buildings

The EEB offering is intended to provide a simplified approach to optimizing energy efficiency options in new construction, additions, and major renovations. This component addresses the portion of the new construction market not suited for the full-blown energy modeling of the EDA offering. It offers design review, equipment recommendations, and onsite verification.

Focusing on the needs of smaller building owners, the EEB offering provides a comprehensive list of typical energy efficiency measures that can be incorporated into the new building design, as well as the rebate amounts for each measure. Incentives are provided for heating, cooling, lighting, motors, and custom opportunities. We administer the program using both internal and external resources to review the calculations, recommend equipment, and verify installation. EEB is a free service to our business customers. Any size building may participate, but this component is best suited for buildings that are greater than 5,000 square feet. Projects must enter the program prior to completion of construction documents.

Unlike many other programs, the Business New Construction program verifies incremental project costs at a program level rather than project level. Because of the large scale of most projects, the final costs for all energy savings measured within the building are difficult to identify individually. Instead, we use the best estimate of costs from the design team, historical projects, or other documented sources and use it to project the energy savings costs using the DOE2 energy model (for EDA projects). The payback criterion is estimated using the same cost definitions as for incremental cost.

Program Changes

None.

Budget and Goal Considerations

The program's participation, energy savings goals, and budgets were determined by reviewing historical achievement and the state of the construction market industry. In recent years, the construction market has been very active, although we see signs that growth may be tapering off. However, given the time to complete these projects, the buildings currently in development will drive the achievement for this Extension Plan. The main budget drivers include the following:

- Incentives Incentives make up more than half the budget. In addition to customer incentives, the EDA product provides incentives to design teams. Up to \$12,000 per project is available to reimburse customers and design teams for the extra expense associated with participation.
- Customer Service These activities are associated with the cost of developing energy models, identifying energy efficiency opportunities, as well as time spent conducting customer meetings.
- Measurement and Verification All EDA and EEB projects are verified using on-site visits.

Involvement of Community Energy Organizations

The New Construction program engages customers, trade allies, and other stakeholders at the individual project level and supports organizations including the United States Green Building Council (USGBC-MN) and the Center for Sustainable Building Research (CSBR).

Commercial Efficiency

Description

The Commercial Efficiency program is a strategic energy management approach to creating persistent savings and continuous improvement. In addition to capital equipment improvements for energy efficiency and demand response opportunities, the program stresses system-level operational change as well as cultural change from business customer' management and personnel. The program is targeted at large commercial customers that have at least 1 GWh or 4,000 Dth of conservation potential and offers customized resources to develop a holistic, sustainable energy management plan. This program provides funding for studies to identify and scope energy efficiency opportunities. Rebates are available to customers who implement qualifying energy efficiency recommendations. The Commercial Efficiency program was modeled after our successful Process Efficiency program with adjustments to reflect the unique nature of the commercial market. This program is marketed to our large commercial customers through the Company's account managers. The program's offerings are delivered in four phases. Each phase is defined in a Memorandum of Understanding that is customized to reflect the needs of the specific customer.

Phase 1: Identification

Xcel Energy performs a high level analysis to identify opportunities for energy savings in the customer's business practices, facilities, and operations. This is completed at no cost to the customer. Phase 1 is delivered using a third-party provider selected through a Request for Proposal (RFP) process.

Phase 2: Scoping

This phase provides support and resources to further define, measure, and provide recommendations and assistance for energy savings opportunities while working with the customer to optimize the business practices identified in Phase 1. Total funding for Phase 2 is based on estimated savings and a typical customer is asked to contribute up to 25 percent with a maximum amount of \$7,500. The purpose of the customer contribution is to ensure management-level engagement and the customer's commitment to a holistic approach. Phase 2 is delivered using third-party technical experts selected through an RFP process, or through technology-specific experts of the customer's choosing.

Phase 3: Implementation

The Company will work with the customer to put together an energy management plan that includes conservation goals, energy conservation and demand reduction projects. This phase includes a customized rebate and bonus schedule that rewards deep savings and/or a system-wide approach.

Upon project completion, customers receive rebates for improvements that qualify for any of our prescriptive or custom programs. The savings are included in the Commercial Efficiency program achievements, but mirror the rules and rebate levels of our other programs. If the improvements do not qualify for rebates due to program rules, we claim the project savings in a manner consistent with our study-driven credit policy.

Phase 4: Energy Performance Services

Phase 4 is an option for customers who are interested in ongoing commissioning and/or continuous improvement. Specifically, this phase will provide consulting services that support the customer

through the process of installation, integration, and commissioning of energy information systems in an effort to demonstrate repeated and consistent improvements in energy usage. These services are offered to develop a baseline energy model and measurement and verification of energy savings due to behavior change and low-cost/no cost operational improvements. The offering can be done in conjunction with the Phase 2 offering or later in the engagement process.

Policies

Due to the holistic nature of this program, several policies have been previously filed and approved by the Department and continue to remain in effect:

- Bundling -- When customers identify multiple measures for installation, a bundle can be evaluated to see if it qualifies for a rebate versus each individual component. This allows measures with a short payback for a rebate to be leveraged to drive projects with a long payback for the customer to install so that both are implemented.
- Preapproval dates -- Custom-type measures in Commercial Efficiency require a custom analysis, but the actual date the project is submitted and the analysis is completed does not disqualify a project if it was initiated after the customer entered into the program. This is due to the extensive resources used by the program to identify and scope ways to drive energy efficiency into how a customer does business. The goals and awareness created during Phases 1 and 2 can result in projects that drive energy savings in business areas that act without immediately notifying the personnel in contact with the Company.
- Rebate bonuses -- We will use the rebate structure of the other end-use programs and then incorporate additional rebate bonuses for system optimization and/or exceeding annual achievement targets.
- Facility-level metering -- We have worked in advance with the Department to define the methodology of how we propose to take credit under this metering scenario. Facility-level metering provides us the ability to accurately account for all savings generated by implementation of measures and incorporate the savings that may be driven plant-wide that we have been unable to accurately capture historically.
- Behavioral Savings -- We use the Departments's Average Savings Method to count behavioral savings created through single entity-based behavioral change efforts. This also could apply to technical projects that require specific behaviors to maintain persistent energy savings throughout their lifetime.

Program Changes

None.

Budget and Goal Considerations

We determined the program's participation, energy savings goals, and budgets by examining historic participation levels, project and participation cycles, and costs.

The main budget drivers include the following:

- Administration These costs are driven by marketing, sales, engineering, and external labor resources to support the Company's heavy engagement with the customer, as well as cover the costs of those projects requiring metered verification.
- Customer Service The Company utilizes third-party resources to deliver the program's identification and scoping phases.

• Participant Incentives – The program has a robust rebate budget due to the size of projects initiated through the Commercial Efficiency program. In addition to standard rebates, Commercial Efficiency offers lucrative bonus rebates for exceeding energy savings and/or implementing projects on a system-wide approach.

Involvement of Community Energy Organizations

The Commercial Efficiency program works with Community Energy Organizations to promote the program and deliver its offerings. In particular, the Trillion BTU financing delivered by the St. Paul Port Authority and Xcel Energy could collaborate to help customers fund large capital projects when financing is a barrier to implementation. We consider leveraging other resources as they become available through community and other organizations, and consider integrating their offerings into our program and customer's energy management plans.

Commercial Refrigeration Efficiency

Description

The Commercial Refrigeration Efficiency program is designed to achieve energy and demand savings via refrigeration maintenance and upgrades. The program targets small to medium retail commercial customers with significant refrigeration loads, notably grocery, convenience, and liquor stores. Refrigeration systems in these targeted facility types make up more than 50 percent of the facility's energy use. This program targets commercial customers that have a peak demand of 400 kW or less.

The program provides a walk-through energy assessment to identify efficiency improvement opportunities and uses a combination of direct installation, prescriptive, and custom improvement measures to convert those opportunities into real energy savings for the customers. Rebates are offered to lower the incremental capital cost associated with energy improvement opportunities.

This program consists of five components:

- 1. Free on-site energy assessment Customers are offered a free, no-obligation, on-site facility energy assessment and walk-through to identify and explain key energy efficiency opportunities. A copy of the assessment report is provided after the visit, and includes a prioritization of identified opportunities.
- 2. Direct install for immediate savings While on-site for the energy assessment, free direct installations of the following energy savings measures:
 - a. Screw-in LEDs for walk-in coolers/freezers;
 - b. Pre-rinse sprayers for restaurants and commercial kitchens and aerators in restrooms and kitchen sinks; and,
 - c. Coil brush give-away and demonstration tutorial for use on refrigeration coils.
- 3. Coil-cleaning As part of the on-site visit, are free coil-cleaning service, including materials and training for self-contained equipment. Since coil cleaning should be performed annually, we will provide customers with a coil cleaning brush, an instructional "How-To" sheet, and an on-site tutorial, to equip them with the tools and knowledge to complete this task on a regular basis using in-house staff. We will claim energy savings for the efforts from the first cleaning.
- 4. Follow-up refrigeration measures As part of the assessment report, a number of opportunities will be identified for implementation. Several of these measures have been identified by the Company as standard across many customer types and therefore warrant prescriptive rebate treatment. Examples of these measures include:
 - a. Anti-sweat heater controls;
 - b. Close the Case;
 - c. Demand Controlled Ventilation;
 - d. Electronically commutated motors;
 - e. Enclosed Reach-in Cases;
 - f. Evaporator Fan Motor Controller;
 - g. LED Case Lighting;

- h. Night curtains; and,
- i. Zero Energy Loss Doors.

Other refrigeration measures may qualify for custom rebates. Examples include demand defrost controls, floating head pressure control, and Q-sync motors. These measures will be evaluated through the custom process.

5. Turn-Key Services – The customer will be provided with proactive project management to assist with the implementation of prescriptive projects, including coordination between the customer, Company, and the installation contractors/trade allies to complete the improvements and submit rebate applications.

Program Changes

None.

Budget and Goal Considerations

We determined the program's participation, energy savings goals, and budgets by examining other programs' historic participation levels, project and participation cycles, and costs.

The main budget drivers include the following:

- Administration These costs are driven by marketing, sales, engineering, and external labor resources to support the program.
- Customer Service The Company will utilize third-party resources to deliver the program's on-site energy assessments.
- Participant Incentives The program offers prescriptive and custom rebates along with some free direct install measures.

Involvement of Community Energy Organizations

The Commercial Refrigeration Efficiency program works with the City of Minneapolis and other community organizations to try to promote participation in the program.

Cooling Efficiency

Description

The Cooling Efficiency program offers prescriptive and custom rebates to business customers who install efficient cooling systems used for space and process cooling. The program also offers rebates for cooling-focused studies. The Cooling Efficiency program encourages the Company's business customers to choose the most efficient cooling equipment that fits their needs.

The program's main offerings include the following:

- Prescriptive rebates:
 - Cooling equipment that exceeds the minimum efficiency required by energy codes;
 and
 - o VFD retrofits on chillers.
- Custom rebates:
 - o Cooling recovery and other non-prescriptive cooling projects.
- Study funding of up to 75 percent of the study cost, not to exceed \$25,000, to identify and quantify energy saving cooling projects.

The main offerings are described below.

Prescriptive Cooling Efficiency Rebates

The program offers rebates for cooling equipment that exceeds the minimum efficiency of ASHRAE 90.1 -2010 standards. Eligible prescriptive equipment includes packaged terminal air conditioners, rooftop unit economizers, water source heat pumps, direct expansion units, variable frequency drive retrofits on chillers, and new chillers. Refrigeration measures are also included in the Cooling Efficiency program. These measures include zero loss energy doors, electronically commutated motor evaporator fans, close the case doors and anti-sweat heater controls. The prescriptive program does not require preapproval.

Custom Cooling Efficiency Rebates

Custom rebates are available for non-prescriptive energy efficiency cooling equipment. To be eligible for a custom rebate, preapproval is required before moving forward with the project. The energy savings are then analyzed and after completion we issue a rebate.

Cooling Efficiency Study Funding

The program offers funding to identify energy efficiency opportunities. Customers must receive preapproval before moving forward with the study. The projected energy savings are then analyzed and after study completion and review rebates are paid. The study rebates are awarded based on projected savings of up to 75 percent of the study costs with a maximum of \$25,000.

Program Changes

None.

Budget and Goal Considerations

The program's participation, energy savings goals, and budget were determined by looking at the Company's overall electric and gas goals, past program participation levels, and the typical ramp-up period for program changes and new offerings. We reviewed the equipment and project

characteristics of historical projects to develop a projected average savings per participant for various program offerings. Reported energy savings for the program are determined by using project-specific inputs of actual use and efficiency.

The main budget drivers include the following:

- Participant Incentives The budget reflects the rebate levels and projected customer participation in each offering, which was based on past program participation for all programs that offer cooling rebate.
- Trade Incentives The budget includes incentives for the trades. Trade partners can earn 10 percent of the customers rebate up to \$5,000 per project.
- Administration These budgets are based on past program performance with a slight increase built in for expanded program offerings, engineering, promotion, and participation. The Company occasionally utilizes analytical and consulting services for custom Cooling Efficiency projects.
- Advertising and Promotion The promotional budget includes spending for several customer and trade communications per year, which are necessary to drive participation and awareness.

Involvement of Community Energy Organizations

Because cooling systems can be very complex, trade support is crucial to achieving our goals. We actively engage trade partners in program design, project implementation, and program promotion through regular meetings and correspondence with our Channel Development Manager. We also partner with local energy groups such as the Minnesota ASHRAE Chapter and host trade partner training events to further local industries understanding of energy efficiency programs.

Custom Efficiency

Description

The Custom Efficiency program offers rebates to the Company's electric and natural gas business customers that implement energy saving projects not available through our prescriptive programs. The program is marketed to all of our business customers regardless of size using direct contact with customers via our sales representatives, email communications, web page, and trade channels.

Energy-saving, non-prescriptive projects encompass installing new equipment, replacing existing equipment, retrofitting equipment, or improving processes that lower a customer's electric or natural gas use. The project list includes, but is not limited to: boilers, compressed air, cooling, lighting, motors, and other technologies. All projects must pass cost-effectiveness tests on an individual basis.

This program also offers study funding to help customers determine project viability and energy savings potential.

Equipment Rebates

Rebate amounts are defined by an engineering examination of the demand and energy savings attributed to the project. The analysis incorporates standard engineering principles (relative to industry standards) and the interactive energy effects of the equipment and/or system components. Successful applicants receive a rebate if their completed project passes cost-effectiveness testing.

Study Funding

Successful applicants receive partial funding based on an engineering assessment of the estimated demand and energy savings of the project.

Program Changes

None.

Budget and Goal Considerations

The program's participation, energy savings goals, and budgets were determined by looking at the overall electric and gas goals, analyzing historical data, reviewing projects in the pipeline, and evaluating the forecasted economic conditions. We also included other variables such as promotions needed to reach goals, rebate levels, and staffing. Projected customer participation and savings are based on expected average project size and mix of technologies anticipated. The opportunity for program achievement continues to decline as the traditional market base has opted into holistic programs and as technologies migrate from a custom to prescriptive rebate structure.

Involvement of Community Energy Organizations

None.

Data Center Efficiency

Description

The Data Center Efficiency program offers prescriptive and custom rebates to business customers that install energy saving measures in their existing or new data center. The program also offers rebates for data center energy studies. The program is primarily marketed to our enterprise and colocation data center customers through our account managers and Business Solutions Center, but any size data center can participate. We also work closely with our trade partners, specifically engineering firms, technology services firms, mechanical contractors, and manufacturers' representatives to market the program.

The program's main offerings include the following:

- Prescriptive rebates for efficiency improvements falling under any of the end-use
 prescriptive programs we offer, as well as for Electronically Commutated (EC) motor plug
 fans, Waterside Economizers, and Virtual Desktop Infrastructure equipment, including thin
 client and zero client computing.
- Custom rebates are awarded for efficiency measures such as:
 - o Air-flow management;
 - o Server and IT systems;
 - o Cooling systems;
 - o Humidification systems;
 - o Transformers; and,
 - o Uninterruptable Power Supplies (UPS).
- Study funding is available to identify and/or quantify energy savings projects. For customers who are building a new data center, we offer knowledge and resources, free of charge, to help data center owners optimize the efficiency of their facilities during the siting, design, and early operation stages of the new data center. Our consultant guides data center owners and design teams through a series of analyses to identify a set of high energy performance design elements to incorporate into the facility. Custom rebates are available for the efficiency improvements incorporated into the design, similar to the Company's Energy Design Assistance Program.

Program Changes

None.

Budget and Goal Considerations

The program's participation, energy savings goals, and budgets were determined by looking at the Company's overall electric goal, past participation levels, current pipeline, and expected project lead time. We also reviewed the equipment and characteristics of recent project analyses to develop a projected average savings per participant for various program offerings.

The main budget drivers include the following:

- Rebates The rebate budget reflects the current rebate levels and projected customer participation based on historical activities.
- Labor These budgets are based on past program performance.

Consulting – Fees to hire a consultant to provide the services for new construction projects
were based on historical trends within the Energy Design Assistance component of the
Business New Construction program as well as the quantity of projects we are forecasting
for this track.

Involvement of Community Energy Organizations

The Data Center Efficiency program works with an array of community energy organizations, ranging from trade partners and installers to local industry organizations. The Company hosts program and technical training and information sessions for trade partners and sponsors and presents at local industry chapter organization meetings and events.

Efficiency Controls

Description

The Efficiency Controls program offers custom electric and gas rebates to customers that install automated control systems resulting in energy savings. These systems are centralized networks programmed to monitor and control mechanical and sometimes lighting systems within a building, allowing customers to reduce energy costs by adjusting usage of equipment. The program is marketed to all business customers.

The program offers custom rebates for:

- Installation of automated control systems;
- Addition of control points to an existing system; and,
- Microprocessor-based control panels.

To be eligible for a rebate, customers are required to submit their rebate application and project proposal for preapproval prior to purchase or ordering equipment. The Company evaluates each application, estimates energy savings of the proposed system, and notifies the customer of rebate qualification and estimated rebate amount.

Current market information suggests that customers continue to have a strong interest in energy control systems. Looking forward, the Company expects to see more interest with customers utilizing control systems in ways that will help them shape their overall load profile.

We also anticipate reduced customer demand for digital control system rebates due to the following short term factors:

- Reduced customer interest in rebates as more customers have already converted to newer digital control systems;
- Early rebate program participants (c.2005) are just over halfway through equipment life;
- Customers already using a digital control system have an increased interest in other services, such as data-driven, cloud-based energy reporting systems; and,
- New LED fixtures with integrated controls are far more cost-effective with greater overall energy savings than simply retrofitting controls for existing lighting.

Program Changes

None.

Budget and Goal Considerations

The program's participation, energy savings goals, and budget were determined by analyzing the overall electric and gas goals, historical program performance, current technology, and market conditions as described above.

The main budget drivers include the following:

- Labor Internal labor to market and administer program offerings are estimated based on historic spend.
- Consulting Supporting engineering and staff augmentation to ensure accurate consistent analyses and support any M&V efforts as needed.

Involvement of Community Energy Organizations None.

Fluid Systems Optimization

Description

The Fluid Systems Optimization program, historically known as the Compressed Air program, offers study funding to perform system diagnostics, as well as prescriptive and custom rebates for the purchase of energy saving equipment. The major systems supported by the program are compressed air, pumping, fans, blowers, vacuum, and hydraulics. System diagnostic studies based on the laws of fluid system dynamics are funded as a means to identify and correct inefficiencies within customers' air and fluid systems. Studies often identify additional measures to improve system efficiency. The program is primarily marketed to mid- and large-sized industrial customers.

The program's main offerings include the following:

- Prescriptive rebates for:
 - o Variable speed drive compressors;
 - o No loss air drains;
 - o Cycling refrigerated dryers;
 - o Dew point demand controls; and,
 - o Mist eliminators.
- Custom and Recommissioning rebates including, but not limited to:
 - o Calibration/tune-up of system set points;
 - o Adjustment of valves and dampers;
 - o Reducing system demand;
 - o Air to electric conversions;
 - o Capital equipment replacements and upgrades; and,
 - o System redesigns.
- Compressed air supply-side and demand-side studies.
- Additional system studies for:
 - o Pumping;
 - o Fan systems;
 - o Blower systems;
 - o Vacuum systems; and,
 - o Hydraulic systems.

The main offerings are described in further detail below.

Prescriptive Rebates

Prescriptive rebates are available to the Company's business electric and/or gas customers that install qualifying equipment in the Company's Minnesota service territory. Customers must apply for each prescriptive rebate.

Custom Rebates

The program pays custom rebates for qualifying energy saving measures that are not included under the prescriptive rebate category. Such projects are evaluated under the Custom Efficiency analysis and must follow the rules of the Custom Efficiency program. The Company also offers rebates for qualifying system tune-ups, waste reduction efforts, and non-capital equipment changes that are identified in a study but do not fit into the prescriptive rebate category.

Compressed Air Supply-Side Study

A customer's pre-approved Compressed Air Supply-Side Study cost is eligible for reimbursement after 75 percent of the leaks identified have been repaired and the study has been reviewed by one of the Company's engineers or an authorized consultant. The studies are based on the customer's existing system horsepower and identify a customer's supply baseline and system improvements.

System Studies

The Company will pay study funding of up to 75 percent of the study cost (not to exceed \$25,000). A rebate incentive is offered to customers for all implemented measures, regardless of the payback, and the maximum incentive is the customer's out of pocket cost for their study (i.e. study cost minus study rebate).

Program Changes

None.

Budget and Goal Considerations

The program's participation and energy savings goals and budget were determined by looking at the Company's overall electric goal and past participation levels.

The main budget drivers include the following:

- Participant Incentives This budget represents the rebates we will pay for products and studies. This is based on historical participation across the offering and includes predicted growth in the legacy products and new opportunities from the new study offerings.
- Administration These budgets are based on past program performance with an increase to drive further participation and provide technical engineer support.

Involvement of Community Energy Organizations

The Fluid Systems Optimization program partners with the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) to provide training on the Compressed Air Challenge program and Fan Systems. We also work with the Hydraulic Institute promoting pump system optimization and creating pumping standards and best practices. We have partnered with the Consortium for Energy Efficiency to establish best practices for industrial systems including blower systems and pumping.

Foodservice Equipment

Description

The Foodservice Equipment program offers prescriptive gas and electric rebates to business customers who purchase and install qualifying energy efficient foodservice equipment. The objective of the program is to encourage business customers to purchase higher efficiency foodservice equipment.

The program's main offerings include prescriptive rebates for the following:

- Gas Equipment
 - o Broilers (charbroilers, salamander, upright);
 - o Demand controlled ventilation;
 - o Dishwashers (gas water heating);
 - o Fryers;
 - O Ovens (combination, convection, conveyor, rotisserie, rotating rack); and,
 - o Pasta cookers.
- Electric Equipment
 - o Demand-controlled ventilation;
 - o Dishwashers (electric water heating); and,
 - o Hot food holding cabinets.

Program Changes

None.

Budget and Goal Considerations

The saving levels were established using the latest Arkansas Technical Reference Manual (TRM) and ENERGY STAR assumptions. We based program participation estimates on historical participation levels.

The main budget drivers include the following:

- Administration The budgets were based on historical performance.
- Advertising and Promotion This budget provides funds to build customer and trade awareness of the program through events and direct communication.
- Participant Incentives The rebate budget reflects the current rebate levels and projected customer participation in each offering.

Involvement of Community Energy Organizations

We participate in Hospitality Minnesota's annual customer and trade event which is supported by the restaurant, lodging, resort, and campground associations.

Heating Efficiency

Description

The Heating Efficiency program offers prescriptive and custom natural gas rebates to business customers that install energy efficiency boilers, furnaces, water heaters, unit heaters, and other system improvements. The program also offers funding to conduct heating engineering assistance studies. The program is primarily marketed through our account managers for our large customers and energy efficiency specialists for our small business customers. We also work closely with our trade partners, specifically manufacturers' representatives, to market the program.

The program's main offerings include the following:

- Prescriptive rebates for:
 - O New boilers, furnaces, water heaters, and unit heaters that exceed the minimum efficiency required by energy codes;
 - Optional auxiliary boiler equipment that further improves a new or existing boiler's efficiency; and,
 - O Distribution-system improvements, including steam trap repair and replacement and pipe insulation.
- Custom rebates for:
 - O Heat recovery and other energy saving projects that lower a customer's natural gas use and pass the required Societal and Participant Tests on a per project basis; and,
 - O Heating systems with more than 30 percent process load or larger than 10 million British Thermal Units per Hour (BTUH).
- Study funding up to 75 percent of study cost, not to exceed \$25,000, to identify and quantify heating-related energy savings projects.

The main offerings are described below.

Prescriptive Rebates

The program offers prescriptive rebates for boilers, furnaces, water heaters, and unit heaters that substantially exceed the minimum efficiency required by energy codes. Prescriptive rebates are also available for auxiliary boiler equipment to improve a boiler's efficiency or distribution-system improvements to reduce heat loss. New packaged boiler systems do not qualify for auxiliary equipment rebates unless the customer can show that the same boiler is available and qualifies for the base boiler rebate without the auxiliary equipment.

Custom Rebates

The program offers custom rebates for efficiency equipment that is non-prescriptive. These projects require pre-approval and are funded based on anticipated energy savings. The Custom rebate process is more complex than the prescriptive rebate process, as each project is analyzed on an individual basis rather than based on deemed savings.

Study Funding

The program offers two types of study funding for customers interested in identifying and analyzing potential heating-related energy efficiency projects: prescriptive steam trap audits and custom studies. Prescriptive steam trap audits are rebated at \$15 per trap and may receive funding up to 100 percent of the audit cost. These audits do not require preapproval; however, all faulty traps

identified in the study must be replaced. Custom studies receive funding based on anticipated savings up to 75 percent of the study cost, not to exceed \$25,000. These studies require preapproval and each project is analyzed individually.

Program Changes

None.

Budget and Goal Considerations

The program's participation, energy savings goal, and budget were determined by reviewing the Company's overall gas goal, past participation levels, the typical ramp-up period for program changes, and new offerings. We reviewed the equipment chosen and general characteristics of historical projects to develop a projected average savings per participant for various program offerings.

The main budget drivers include the following:

- Rebates The rebate budget reflects the new measure levels and projected customer
 participation in each offering, which was based on past program participation for all
 programs that offer cooling rebate.
- Trade Incentives These budgets are based on a percentage of anticipated customer rebates.
 These incentives are paid to motivate trade partners to participate by helping to educate and install energy efficiency improvements.
- Labor These budgets are based on past program performance with a slight increase build in for expanded program offerings, engineering, and participation.
- Promotion and Advertising Promotions may include direct mail to customers and trade, training events, email marketing, and trade publications

Involvement of Community Energy Organizations

The Commercial Heating program works with multiple community energy organizations from trade vendors and installers, to the Minnesota Blue Flame Association. The Company meets with the trade annually to assess engagement, program strengths and weaknesses, as well as to gain insights on the market. We specifically work with the Minnesota Blue Flame Association to drive awareness of natural gas conservation topics and increase educational resources for energy-saving heating options.

Lighting Efficiency

Description

The Lighting Efficiency program offers prescriptive and custom rebates to Xcel Energy electric business customers who install qualifying energy efficient lighting equipment in existing or new buildings. The program also offers rebates for Lighting Redesign studies to customers needing assistance to optimize the lighting systems within their facilities. The program is primarily marketed through the Company's account managers. We also work closely with our trade partners, manufacturers' representatives, distributors, and contractors to market the program.

The program's main offerings include the following:

- Prescriptive rebates for products from a pre-defined list of energy-saving LED lighting fixtures and lamps. Typical options include LED fixtures that replace inefficient incandescent, high intensity discharge (HID), and fluorescent fixtures;
- Custom rebates for energy-saving lighting projects that do not fall within the requirements of the prescriptive rebates;
- Study funding of up to 75 percent of study costs (not to exceed \$25,000) is available for customers who have facilities that are under or over-lit. Studies identify and quantify lighting solutions that include energy-saving opportunities; and,
- Midstream LED lamp incentives through local distributors that offer instant rebates on qualifying lamp purchases. This program is called the Business LED Instant Rebate.

The main offerings are described below.

Prescriptive Lighting Rebates

The program offers rebates for qualifying lighting equipment that is more efficient than existing equipment in retrofit situations or more efficient than standard equipment in new construction applications. The most applicable lighting measures for a prescriptive rebate format are measures that are commonly installed in the marketplace and have an easily identifiable means to determine energy savings.

Custom Lighting Rebates

Applications for energy-saving lighting projects that do not fit into the prescriptive paths may be reviewed using the Custom Efficiency or Advanced Lighting Control product preapproval application and the accompanying Lighting Evaluation worksheet. Project analysis and preapproval of Custom Efficiency and Advanced Lighting Control lighting projects are required prior to equipment purchase and installation.

Midstream Lighting Rebates

This program offers instant discounts on LED lamp purchases through participating distributors. It is easy for customers to participate because there are no applications or forms to complete. The sales data and the installation address is compiled and submitted to the Company by the participating distributors. The discounted lamp types include LED A-Line, reflector and decorator lamps.

Program Changes

None.

Budget and Goal Considerations

The program's participation, energy savings goals, and budgets were determined by considering the Company's overall electric goal, past participation levels, and trends in the marketplace. We reviewed the equipment energy savings, costs, and project characteristics of historical projects to develop a projected average cost for each measure. Below is a general breakdown of the various budget components:

- Rebates The vast majority of the budget is allocated for rebates. This budget reflects the average rebate levels and projected customer participation in each measure.
- Labor These budgets are based on past program performance with a slight increase built in for expanded program offerings, engineering, and account management involvement. The budget also includes third-party implementer costs for the implementation of Business LED Instant Rebate efforts and technical assistance with complex lighting projects.
- Promotion and Advertising The promotional budget was derived using expected goals and market trends. Promotions are targeted to customers and trade partners and typically focus on activities, such as new or revised product offerings, case studies featuring successful projects, and educational opportunities.
- Consulting This budget is applied to consulting and analytical services for lighting projects that are analyzed through the Custom Efficiency program.

Involvement of Community Energy Organizations None.

Motor and Drive Efficiency

Description

The Motor and Drive Efficiency program offers prescriptive and custom rebates to electric business customers that install National Electrical Manufacturers Association (NEMA) Premium motors, Enhanced NEMA Premium motors, constant speed motor controllers (CSMCs), HVAC and non-HVAC variable frequency drives (VFDs) and water well pump (WWP) VFDs. Rebates for motor and drive system studies are also available. The Motor and Drive Efficiency program offers products to customers that are looking to improve their motor and drive system efficiency and system reliability, while reducing electricity consumption and costs.

The program is primarily marketed through the Company's account managers and Energy Efficiency Specialists to our large and mid-range customers. We also work closely with our trade partners, specifically manufacturers' representatives, to market the program.

The program's main offerings include the following:

- Prescriptive rebates for:
 - o New or replacement equipment that meets or exceeds the NEMA Premium efficiency energy standards for Motors;
 - O New or replacement equipment CSMCs used to control any constant speed motor that is lightly loaded when the speed cannot vary;
 - o HVAC and non-HVAC VFDs used to control the motor speed of fans and pumps;
 - o WWP VFDs used to control motor speed for water well pumping in specific applications; and,
 - o Clean water pumps that meet the U.S. Department of Energy's (DOE) conservation efficiency standard (those with a Pump Energy Index (PEI) of ≤ 1.0 or less).
- Custom rebates for:
 - o Projects and equipment that do not meet the prescriptive criteria.
- Study funding up to 75 percent of the study, not to exceed \$25,000.

The main offerings are described below.

Prescriptive Rebates

The prescriptive rebates are available to electric business customers with projects that meet the prescriptive requirements of the Motor and Drive Efficiency program.

The program offers prescriptive rebates for HVAC and non-HVAC VFDs or drives, CSMCs and NEMA Premium efficiency motors. As well as alternating current permanent magnet (PMAC) motors that fall under the Enhanced Motor portion of the program and WWP VFDs. The PMAC motors and WWP VFD products were added to the prescriptive portion of the program to reduce the barriers that prevent customers from purchasing the more efficient but higher priced innovative technologies.

Custom Rebates

The custom rebates are available to customers with projects that fall outside the prescriptive program criteria and/or for new technologies that have not gone mainstream in the current marketplace.

Study Rebates

The Motor and Drive Efficiency program offers study funding for customers that want a deeper understanding of how their motors and drives work within their facility.

Program Changes

There are no changes in the measures offered from the modification request approved in 2019. The approved 2019 program was only for a portion of the year; the budget has been updated to reflect anticipated participation for a full year.

Budget and Goal Considerations

The program's participation, energy savings goals, and budgets were determined by reviewing the programs historical electric goals and historical participation levels. Our analysis included the review of equipment and characteristics of historical projects to develop a projected average savings per participant for various program offerings.

The main budget drivers include the following:

- Participant Incentives The budget reflects rebates to help offset initial costs associated with the capital investment in energy efficient equipment.
- Administration These budgets are based on past program performance with a slight increase built in for expanded program offerings, engineering and participation.
- Advertising and Promotion A small promotional budget was derived using historical data from past activities. Promotions are targeted to customers and typically focus around activities such as new or revised product offerings, or bonus rebates.
- Customer Service The Company employs consulting and analytical services for motor
 projects that are analyzed through the Custom Efficiency program, as well as for motor
 engineering studies.

Involvement of Community Energy Organizations

The Motor Efficiency program works with multiple community energy organizations including trade vendors, distributors and installers. This is done by hosting training sessions for both customers and trade partners. We also participate regularly with Motors Decisions Matter to ensure practices, product offerings and rebates are relatively consistent. Additionally, we work with trade organizations, such as the Building Owners and Managers Association and Electrical Apparatus Service Association, Inc. as a means to promote energy efficiency to their membership.

Multi-Family Building Efficiency

Description

The Multi-Family Building Efficiency (MFBE) program is a joint offering with CenterPoint Energy that provides a streamlined approach to whole-building energy savings in 5+ unit multifamily properties. Offered through one program implementer, it is designed to engage building owners by helping them understand their energy use, achieve immediate energy savings through low-cost improvements, and move beyond the initial measures for whole-building energy savings. The structure is a combined approach of a whole-building energy audit with direct-install phase to engage the building owners and achieve early savings, and a performance-based component to encourage further improvements in the building, then assistance to begin benchmarking their building.

To better understand program performance, in 2018 we completed a program evaluation which included interviewing participants, non-participants, stakeholders and peer utilities. We also hosted a stakeholder meeting with various organizations which included members of the Minnesota Multifamily Affordable Housing Energy Network, renters, building owners/managers and Department of Commerce Division of Energy Resources staff to gain additional insight into program challenges and opportunities.

As a result of our findings, the program will provide rebates with a bonus incentive when energy savings measures are implemented, providing the customer an incentive that is above the utility's standard rebate offerings. Energy savings opportunities will be unique to the building(s) and can be located in either the common areas or residents' units. The program is marketed to building owners/managers and is available to both market rate and affordable housing properties.

The program's main offerings include the following:

- Whole-building energy audit and direct install of low-cost energy savings measures;
- Project consultation;
- Rebates and bonus incentives for energy saving upgrades; and,
- Assistance to begin benchmarking.

The main offerings are described in further detail below.

Whole-building energy audit and direct install of low-cost energy savings measures

The MFBE program target is 5+ unit building owners/managers, those who can make decisions and take action to implement energy efficient improvements in the building as a whole. Eligible properties must have Xcel Energy as their electric service provider and either CenterPoint Energy or Xcel Energy as their natural gas provider. The 5+ unit multifamily building must also have a common entrance, common space(s) and in-unit kitchens to qualify for participation in the program. The determination of whether a property is eligible to participate is reviewed on a case-by-case basis.

To encourage engagement, the program starts with a free whole-building energy audit and the direct install of energy saving measures, with all services being provided by one third-party program implementer. After completion of the energy audit and direct installations, a written report identifying the building's baseline energy use, the audit findings and recommended energy savings opportunities that could receive a rebate and incentive is provided to the building owner/manager.

Direct install measures include:

- In unit LEDs;
- Common area screw-in LEDs;
- Smart Power Strips;
- Water Heater Set-back;
- Kitchen and bath faucet aerators;
- Energy efficient showerheads; and,
- Exterior door weather stripping.

Project consultation

The building owner/manager works with the program implementer to determine the energy improvements preferred for implementation from the audit report. The program implementer will provide review and oversight of equipment efficiency specifications oversee QA/QC to ensure improvements are performed as specified and assists with the rebate and incentive submission.

Rebates and bonus incentives for energy savings upgrades

Participants moving beyond the assessment and direct-install phase of the program and choosing to undertake energy efficiency upgrades are eligible for rebates with a program bonus incentive equal to 30 percent of rebate value for work completed.

Buildings qualifying as low-income (based on the August 2012 guidance document from the Department of Commerce, Division of Energy Resources) are eligible for doubled bonus incentives (60 percent of the rebate value). Although the MFBE program is not a dedicated low-income program, the use of this program adds additional value for these customers and the associated program costs for low-income buildings (including incentive spending and project delivery expenses) may be used for purposes of demonstrating compliance with the statutory low-income spending requirement.

Program Changes

The following table summarizes changes to the program for the 2020 Extension Plan.

Change	Rationale
Removed LED exit signs and water	No opportunities for installation have been identified
heater blankets from direct install	since the program launch
measures offered	
Adding exterior door weather	Since the program launch, we have found many
stripping, water heater set-back and	opportunities for these low-cost measures
smart power strip measures to the	
direct install measure offering	
Changed the program incentive	Based upon program performance, information received
structure	in the program evaluation and feedback from customers
	and stakeholders on the challenges with the program, we
	have changed the program incentive structure to a bonus
	incentive and prescriptive/custom rebate.
Adding a limited trial for Renter's Kits	We are adding a limited trial of free energy saving kits for

	individual renters who would like to engage in energy
	savings when their building owner/manager is not able or
	willing to participate in MFBE.
Building benchmarking assistance	The program will offer MFBE participants assistance to
	begin benchmarking their building(s) through the Utilities
	benchmarking tools available.

Budget and Goal Considerations

The budgets and goals have been adjusted to reflect the program's changes.

The main budget drivers include the following:

- Administration This budget covers internal labor and expenses for program planning, promotion, implementation and vendor administration.
- Rebates This budget covers the direct install measure costs, rebates and bonus incentives paid when energy efficient upgrades are achieved.

Involvement of Community Energy Organizations

We are participating in the MN Multifamily Affordable Housing Energy Network, which consists of various community stakeholders and initiated by Fresh Energy, Minnesota Housing, National Resource Defense Council and the National Housing Trust. We are also members of a national ACEEE working group focused on energy efficiency in multifamily properties.

Process Efficiency

Description

The Process Efficiency program is a Strategic Energy Management approach to managing energy for persistent savings and continuous improvement. In addition to capital equipment improvements for energy efficiency and demand response opportunities, the program also stresses system level operational change as well as cultural change from customers' senior management, mid-management and other personnel. Targeted at large to mid-sized industrial customers, these customized resources work to develop a holistic, sustainable energy management plan. This program provides funding for studies to identify and scope energy efficiency opportunities. Rebates are available to customers who implement qualifying energy efficiency recommendations. This program is primarily marketed to industrial customers through account managers. The program targets industrial customers that have at least 0.5 GWh or 2,000 Dth of conservation potential.

Offering

The program offerings are delivered in four phases. Each phase is defined in a Memorandum of Understanding that is customized to reflect the needs of the specific customer.

Phase 1: Identification

The Company performs a high-level analysis to identify opportunities for energy savings in the customer's business practices, facilities, and operations. This is completed at no cost to the customer. Phase 1 is delivered using a third-party provider selected through a Request For Proposal (RFP) process.

Phase 2: Scoping

This phase provides support and resources to further define, measure, and provide recommendations and assistance for energy savings opportunities while working with the customer to optimize the business practices identified in Phase 1. Total funding for Phase 2 is based on estimated savings and a typical customer is asked to contribute up to 25 percent with a maximum amount of \$7,500. The purpose of the customer contribution is to ensure management-level engagement and the customer's commitment to a holistic approach. Phase 2 is delivered using third-party technical experts selected through an RFP process, or through technology-specific experts of the customer's choosing.

Phase 3: Implementation

The Company will work with the customer to put together an energy management plan which includes conservation goals, energy conservation and demand reduction projects. This phase includes a customized rebate and bonus schedule that rewards deep savings and/or a system-wide approach.

Upon project completion, customers receive rebates for improvements that qualify for any of our prescriptive or custom programs. The savings are included in the Process Efficiency program achievements, but mirror the rules and rebate levels of our other programs. If the improvements do not qualify for rebates due to program rules, we claim the project savings in a manner consistent with our study driven credit policy.

Phase 4: Energy Performance Services

Phase 4 is an option for customers who are interested ongoing commissioning and/or continuous improvement. Specifically, this phase will provide consulting services that support the customer through the process of installation, integration, and commissioning of energy information systems in an effort to demonstrate repeated and consistent improvements in energy usage. These services are offered to develop a baseline energy model and measurement and verification of energy savings due to behavior change and low-cost/no cost operational improvements. This offering can be done in conjunction with the Phase 2 offering or later in the engagement process.

Policies

Due to the holistic nature of this program, several policies have been previously filed and approved by the Department and continue to remain in effect:

- Bundling: When customers identify multiple measures for installation, a bundle can be evaluated to see if it qualifies for a rebate versus each individual component. This allows measures with too short of a payback for a rebate to be leveraged to drive projects with too long a payback for the customer to install so that both are implemented.
- Preapproval dates: Custom-type measures in Process Efficiency require a custom analysis, but the actual date the project is submitted and the analysis is completed does not disqualify a project if it was initiated after the customer entered into the program. This is due to the extensive resources used by the program to identify and scope ways to drive energy efficiency into how a customer does business. The goals and awareness created during Phases 1 and 2 can result in projects that drive energy savings in business areas that act without immediately notifying the personnel in contact with Xcel Energy.
- Rebate bonuses: We will use the rebate structure of the other end-use programs and then incorporate additional rebate bonuses for system optimization and/or exceeding annual achievement targets.
- Facility-level metering: We have worked in advance with the Department to define the methodology of how we to take credit under this metering scenario. Facility-level metering provides us the ability to accurately account for all savings generated by installation of a measure and incorporate the savings that may be driven plant-wide that we have been unable to accurately capture historically.
- Behavioral savings: We use the Department's Average Savings Method to count behavioral savings created through single entity-based behavioral change efforts. This also could apply to technical projects that require specific behaviors to maintain persistent energy savings throughout their lifetime.

Program Changes

None.

Budget and Goal Considerations

We determined the program's participation, energy savings goals, and budgets by examining historic participation levels, project and participation cycles, and costs.

The main budget drivers include the following:

 Administration – These costs are driven by marketing, sales, engineering, and external labor resources to support the Company's heavy engagement with the customer, as well as cover the costs of those projects requiring metered verification.

- Customer Service The Company utilizes third-party resources to deliver the program's identification and scoping phases.
- Participant Incentives The program has a robust rebate budget due to the size of projects likely to be initiated through the Process Efficiency program. In addition to standard rebates, Process Efficiency offers lucrative bonus rebates for exceeding energy savings and/or implementing projects on a system-wide approach.

Involvement of Community Energy Organizations

The Process Efficiency program works with Community Energy Organizations to promote the program and deliver its offerings. In particular, the Trillion BTU financing delivered by the St. Paul Port Authority and the Company collaborate to help customers fund large capital projects when financing is a barrier to implementation. We consider leveraging other resources as they become available through community and other organizations, and consider integrating their offerings into our program and customer's energy management plans.

Recommissioning

Description

The Recommissioning program offers study funding and electric and natural gas implementation rebates to commercial customers who optimize their existing equipment to make it more energy efficient. The program is primarily marketed through the Company's account managers, Business Solutions Center, and recommissioning study providers.

The program's main offerings include the following:

- Study funding of up to 75 percent of study cost (not to exceed \$25,000) to identify and quantify recommissioning-related energy saving measures;
- Rebates for implementing recommissioning or building system tune up measures identified through a study;
- Building benchmarking tools to provide customers with a streamlined, consistent process for obtaining whole building energy usage data and measure the energy efficiency of buildings; and,
- Rebates to off-set the cost of Building Operator Certification training.

The main offerings are described in further detail below.

Recommissioning Study Path

Customers may receive rebates for both the study and implementation of their recommissioning measures. Our study funding helps customers pay a study provider to identify the recommissioning opportunities that exist within their building. Typical measures that are identified include, but are not limited to:

- Adjustment of outside air and return air dampers;
- Calibration/tune-up of Energy Management System points;
- Eliminating simultaneous heating and cooling;
- Optimum start/stop of air handlers and makeup air units (early shutdown in the
- evening, late start in the morning);
- Resetting of a chiller's condenser water temperature; and,
- Resetting the chilled water and hot water supply temperatures.

Fast Track Implementation Path

This path is for customers who have either performed a study outside of our program or have identified recommissioning measures within their building without an Xcel Energy-funded study. To qualify, we review their recommissioning savings opportunities to determine implementation rebates. We perform the same detailed review as we do for studies that we fund. Because our review helps customers make decisions, we claim Study-Driven credit for the resulting savings, no matter what the payback is, when customers implement the recommendations.

Refrigeration Recommissioning Path

This path is focused on analyzing grocery and convenience store refrigeration systems to determine how their refrigeration systems (i.e. compressors, condensers, display cases, suction and discharge temperatures) can be adjusted and optimized to save energy. Due to the nature of the recommended measures, implementation of the energy savings recommendations occur as the provider is conducting the analysis.

Building Benchmarking Offering

This offering is a streamlined and consistent approach for building owners to access whole-building usage data and measure the energy efficiency of their building(s). The service relies upon ENERGY STAR Portfolio Manager to assist the Company's customers in benchmarking their buildings. Key features of the offering include:

- Building owner authorization;
- Tenant identification;
- Data privacy rule implementation;
- Consumption data aggregation and normalization; and,
- And automated data transfer to the ENERGY STAR Portfolio Manager.

Building Operator Certification Offering

Rebates offered to encourage the training and certification of building operators in the Company's Minnesota electric and/or natural gas service territory through the Building Operator Certification (BOC) program. Rebates are paid to participants who complete Level I or Level II of the BOC training. Energy savings are captured on a per-participant basis and are derived through the training's influence on building operators to identify energy efficient opportunities and make energy conscious decisions.

The Department has previously approved the following policies, which are specific to Recommissioning:

- Documentation of implementation: If it is too burdensome for the customer to provide invoices for a project, we will accept their signature as documentation of implementation as long as the customer is willing to forego any potential rebate.
- Study-driven credit: If a customer implements measures that have less than a nine month payback or greater than a 15 year payback, the customer will not receive a standard implementation rebate (customer may be eligible for a bonus rebate if measure is implemented within 9 months) but we will claim those study-driven savings. We believe that our help identifying and analyzing energy efficiency measures provides sufficient influence on the customer's decision to implement those measures.
- Nine month bonus incentive: Because most recommissioning measures have a less than nine month payback, the Recommissioning program offers a bonus incentive of \$0.03/kWh and \$3/Dth for customers who implement recommended recommissioning measures within nine months of the study approval date (date on the customer's study approval letter).
- Secondary credit: We will offer rebates and take credit for measures that have secondary benefits in addition to on-site energy benefits. These secondary benefits could include purchase chilled water, city water, etc. The electricity savings embedded in these secondary benefits will be added to the on-site reduction.

Program Changes

None.

Budget and Goal Considerations

The program's participation and energy savings goals and budget were determined by reviewing past participation levels for the program.

The main budget drivers include the following:

- Rebates The budget includes costs for study rebates, implementation rebates, and the nine month implementation bonus. Historically, we have paid out more in study rebates than implementation rebates.
- Labor These budgets are based on historical actuals for the program.
- Promotion and Advertising This budget is for customer mailings, literature and trainings.
- Consulting We offer a vendor incentive to encourage study providers to aid customers in implementing their recommended recommissioning measures.

Involvement of Community Energy Organizations

We value feedback from customers and study providers and make an effort to gather their input to ensure the program is effective. As ideas are generated, the team reviews and implements if feasible. The program team and trade relations manager meet formally and informally with active trade allies to discuss the program and obtain feedback as necessary.

Self-Direct Efficiency

Description

The Self-Direct Efficiency program provides our larger electricity and natural gas business customers with the opportunity to self-manage their energy saving projects. For this responsibility and their efforts, we offer higher rebates whose dollar value is based on the amount of energy savings achieved.

Participants must have the ability to perform the project design, conduct engineering review, analyze their measurement and verification (M&V) results, commission their work, and validate and report the associated energy savings for any projects included in this program.

This program is targeted toward self-sufficient customers with an interest and capability to oversee their own energy efficiency improvement projects, as opposed to those who desire full-service via holistic products like Process Efficiency. The program gives self-sufficient retailers and public service providers, larger property management companies, manufacturers, and multi-national corporations the opportunity to plan efficiency around their business model.

Customer eligibility requirements include a minimum aggregated monthly peak load of at least 2 MW and/or an aggregated annual energy usage of at least 10 GWh and/or 100,000 Dth. Customers may bundle multiple projects in their energy management plan to meet the eligibility requirements. Qualified customers will identify their energy savings initiatives and submit an application, proposed energy saving plan, monitoring plan, and pre-project energy usage data to Xcel Energy for review and preapproval. We will issue a preapproval receipt with their rebate offer.

After the fully installed and operational project is completed, customers submit their project completion documents (application, final report. and monitoring results) for review and final approval by the Company, whereupon a rebate check based on the achieved savings, is issued. The Company reserves the right to request additional information and make amendments regarding the project scope and expectations, as warranted, with the objective of understanding and coming to mutual agreement.

Qualified customers will be allowed to participate in other CIP programs offered by the Company, but will not be eligible for a rebate for the same efficiency measure through another program.

Program Changes

None.

Budget and Goal Considerations

The budgets were developed based on anticipated expenditures to review prospective customer projects. The anticipated sales cycle from project initiation to completion is about 18 to 24 months, and it represents a risk of stranded investments to Xcel Energy if a customer withdraws before completing their project. We will mitigate this risk by monitoring the customer's commitment throughout the process.

The main budget drivers include the following:

- Administration This budget includes the costs of internal labor which were estimated using historical spending.
- Participant Incentives The rebate budget is a variable but not posted for this program because of historical intermittent participation.

Involvement of Community Energy Organizations

We anticipate that some customers will hire local consulting engineering companies to help design and manage their projects, and we also anticipate customers in area energy initiatives will be involved as well.

Turn Key Services

Description

The Turn Key Services program is designed to remove hurdles for our business customers of all sizes when identifying and implementing energy efficiency projects. The program is primarily promoted through our energy efficiency specialists and account managers. We work closely with our third-party consultants to fulfill the program.

The program's main offerings include the following:

- Prescriptive rebates for the end-uses rebated in our other prescriptive programs;
- Custom rebates for any measure eligible for rebates under our other custom programs; and,
- A subsidized audit that identifies energy saving opportunities. Customers pay a portion of the audit cost based on their size.

The main offerings are described in further detail below.

<u>Identification of Measures</u>

Customers signing up for an assessment will receive an ASHRAE Level I audit. This assessment is a walkthrough of the entire facility and involves an analysis of the customer's utility bills and includes graphs that show how much energy is being used by each end use. The audit will identify simple low/no cost opportunities in addition to higher cost conservation opportunities. An ENERGY STAR Benchmarking score will be calculated for all applicable building segments. All opportunities will also include energy savings estimates, cost savings and applicable rebates.

<u>Implementation Services</u>

The program will offer a variety of services that customers may choose from depending on their specific needs to help them implement their projects. Services include, but are not limited to:

- Attending internal stakeholder customer meetings to obtain approval;
- Assistance with prioritizing projects;
- Financial analysis of implementing measures;
- Bidding process review;
- Coordination of implementation and pre-metering, if necessary;
- Verification of installation and post-metering, if necessary; and,
- Paperwork compilation and rebate submission.

Funding

Participants will be eligible for prescriptive and custom rebates for installed and implemented energy efficiency measures. In addition, we will subsidize audits and implementation services to encourage customers to move beyond the barriers to participation.

Consistent with other custom type projects, we anticipate there will be projects identified through this program that are custom in nature and payback to the customer is less than nine months. The Company will claim study-driven credit for these projects.

Program Changes

None.

Budget and Goal Considerations

The program's participation, energy savings goals, and budgets were determined by reviewing historical program performance and study participation.

The main budget drivers include the following:

- Administration This budget includes labor estimates which are based on historical spending.
- Third Party Customer Services This cost includes the assessments, scoping, and project management services provided directly to the customer.
- Promotion This cost includes promotional outreach tactics to increase awareness and encourage participation. It is always important to build a pipeline of audits for Turn Key to help with future goals.
- Participant Incentives The rebate budget reflects the assumed participation across multiple end-use programs based on projects implemented in 2015.

Involvement of Community Energy Organizations

The Turn Key Services program employs the services of a third-party company to deliver the assessments and the project scoping and implementation assistance for the program.

Electric Rate Savings

Description

The Electric Rate Savings program is offered to business customers that can reduce their electric loads during control periods by at least 50 kW. In return for reducing their loads, they receive a monthly discount on their controllable demand charges through a tariffed rate from January through December.

Tariffed rate discounts are tiered level program enrollments based on the total number of hours per year that customers agree to be interrupted. Tiered level groups contain sub-level groups A, B and C each with an exclusive discounted controllable demand charge rate. All new customers enrolling in the Electric Rate Savings program begin in Tiered level groups and sub-groups are reviewed annually for every program participant to determine appropriate sub-level group discount. Participants save as much as 50 percent on demand charges over the year. Currently, the Electric Rate Savings program is promoted directly through the Company's account management and Business Solutions Center team.

Program Changes

None.

Budget and Goal Considerations

The program's participation, energy savings goals, and budgets were estimated using historical program performance and emerging market influences expected in the near future. The budget for this program includes labor costs for associated services, with the remaining costs attributed to customer communications.

Every year a program information packet is sent to each participating customer, explaining any program changes, reminders of their responsibility as an interruptible customer on a control day, and historical information. Due to the possibility of year-round controls within Midcontinent Independent System Operator's (MISO) territory and a required annual real power test, program growth is not expected. Additionally, environmental rules for the operation of back-up generators are likely to have an adverse effect on participation. As a result, the budget for the program will remain steady in an effort to maintain current participation levels for 2020.

The main components of the program budget include:

- Administration This category includes labor costs for internal sales, sales support and fulfillment, marketing administration and planning, equipment installation and maintenance, project planning and implementation.
- Advertising and Promotion We have budgeted to conduct an annual customer mailing, test event mailings, customer town meetings, and program collateral materials.

Involvement of Community Energy Organizations

None.

Peak Partner Rewards

Description

Peak Partner Rewards (PPR) is a new program that offers bill credits and access to electric load profile data to business customers that agree to reduce their electrical loads when the electric grid experiences peak demand periods. The program differs from the Company's other demand response programs for business customers through its incentive structure, which emphasizes actual performance during control periods and through the increased level of data the customer can access about their load profile and incentive.

Participating customers sign a contract agreeing to reduce load at their facility during peak demand periods. This load is determined by the customer based on their ability to manage operations within their facility. The kW commitment can vary each month, however during the summer months of June through September the participants commitment cannot be less than 25 kW. The initial contract term is 12 months followed by an annual term that is automatically renewed each year. A sixty day written notice is required from the customer in order to cancel their participation.

The PPR offering includes the following incentives:

- Reservation Incentive A monthly kW bill credit (\$/kW) calculated by multiplying a capacity payment by the participant's contractual monthly load reduction obligation.
- Performance Incentive –An incentive calculated by multiplying an energy payment (\$/kWh) by the participant's total energy reduction during the event period(s).
- Load Profile Data Access Customers who participate in the program receive an additional benefit of having access to their electric load profile data in near real time.

Peak demand periods (events) are triggered as a result of capacity, contingency and/or economic constraints upon the electrical system. Peak periods are typically defined as June through September between the hours of 2:00 p.m. and 6:00 p.m. However, events may occur in any month throughout the year during any hour. In addition to events called for a specific need, each customer may be subject to up to two test events each calendar year. The purpose of test events is to insure participants are able to deliver the load reductions committed and verify energy savings for the program.

A key asset enabling this program is the Company's Demand Response Management System (DRMS). This system is the platform from which all of the Company's demand response programs are managed. In addition to managing events and providing customer notification, the system provides program participants with the additional benefit of near real time access to their load profile data through a customer portal. Having this data allows participants to manage their energy use during events to insure they comply with their contractual requirements as well as maximize their potential incentive. All load reduction calculations are automated through the DRMS.

To enable this process, monitoring equipment will be installed on the customer's meter. Though each participant's configuration may vary depending on their unique circumstance, this equipment generally consists of a "pulse" device to transmit data from the customer's revenue meter back to the Company's DRMS. Installation of monitoring equipment takes approximately 60 days.

Program Changes

This is a new program offering beginning in 2020.

Budget and Goal Considerations

The program's participation, energy savings goals, and budget were developed based on the Company's ongoing experience with a PPR program in its Colorado territories.

The main budget drivers include the following:

- Rebates This category includes the Reservation and Performance Incentives paid to participating customers.
- Administration This category covers costs associated with day-to day operations of the program as well as consulting from Company staff to assist customers in identifying controllable loads and an appropriate load reduction value.
- Equipment & Installation This category reflects the cost to purchase and install monitoring
 equipment at each participant's facility. Expenditure is expected to be greatest in the early
 years of the program as the participant base is built. Future expenditures will reflect costs of
 growing the program incrementally and any ongoing equipment maintenance for current
 participants.
- Promotion and Advertising Marketing and communication materials are created to
 communicate the features and benefits of the program. These marketing materials include a
 program guide summarizing key features and benefits and a PPR website accessible on the
 Company's website to provide more extensive program information. Additionally, the
 Company will utilize its program management, account management, and Business Solutions
 Center teams to recruit customers. However, budget has been included for a 3rd party
 recruitment vendor to assist with these efforts if needed.

Involvement with Community Energy Organizations

PPR was one of several programs discussed during demand response stakeholder engagement meetings as part of Docket No. RP-15-21. The following are design criteria discussed in those meetings and how this program meets those criteria:

- 1. "Compensate demand response appropriately given the specific benefits it provides." Incentive levels for PPR are established based on the Company's average avoided generation (\$/kW-year) and energy (\$/MWh) costs during peak demand periods. Specific periods modeled included typical peak periods (2-6 PM summer weekdays) as well as actual control periods from 2014-January 2019.
- 2. "Ensure pricing and expectations are clear, concise, and transparent for customers." The Company is developing a program operations plan which outlines pricing, expectations, and procedures related to program implementation. The operations plan will include a customer contract that will clearly outline expectations. Information regarding the program will also be available in marketing materials and a program website, as discussed above.
- 3. "Provide flexibility and options for customers." PPR provides the customer flexibility in the following ways: it does not specify how the customer must meet load reduction obligations, allows them to designate load reduction obligations by month, and provides them with real-time data through a customer portal to make informed decisions.

Saver's Switch® for Business

Description

Saver's Switch for Business is a load management program available to business electric customers with central air conditioning. Participating customers receive a monthly discount on their June through September bills. In exchange for the discounts, participants allow the Company to cycle their air conditioner on and off during control events, which typically occur on hot, humid summer days. Air conditioners are controlled via a radio operated switch installed by a licensed electrician on or near the customer's air conditioner. The switches utilize an adaptive algorithm designed to ensure a 50 percent reduction in air conditioner load during a control event. In the past decade, the company has issued relatively few control events. The program allows for up to 300 control hours each year.

With the potential for deploying a new advanced meter reading system in Minnesota, the Company aims to test new 2-way communicating Saver's Switches in the state. The goals of the new switches are improving signal reception rate over the current paging base technology and improving visibility to failing switches in the field.

Program Changes

None.

Budget and Goal Considerations

The program budget and savings were developed based on equipment and installation costs for the number of switches to be installed or replaced. For the foreseeable future, in addition to recruiting new participants, we intend to replace switches older than 15 years. We also conduct inspections of additional older switches to verify functionality and, if needed, replace with new hardware. The overall participant target is met with a combination of new installations and maintenance replacements.

The main budget drivers included the following:

- Administration This budget category covers the costs of internal labor for program
 planning and implementation, as well as the costs of external contract labor and software
 maintenance.
- Customer Service The program uses a third-party to install the switches.
- Advertising and Promotion to generate awareness of Saver's Switch.
- Measurement and Verification The program hires a third-party to conduct data collection for measurement and verification to determine the savings per switch.

Involvement of Community Energy Organizations None.

Business Education

Description

The Business Education program focuses on creating awareness of energy efficiency and providing business customers with information on how they can reduce energy use in their buildings. The program encourages customers to make Xcel Energy their first contact when considering equipment or process upgrades, and engages customers to make changes that lower their energy use. It focuses on removing the barriers to adoption of energy efficiency measures by educating customers and their employees on the impacts of their energy use and offering information on how to take action to achieve long-term energy savings.

The program is primarily marketed to small- and mid-sized business customers through sponsorships and customer outreach, direct mail and email newsletters, and the Company's Energy Efficiency Specialists in our Business Solutions Center.

The program's main offerings include the following:

- Sponsorship and customer outreach; and,
- Digital communications.

Sponsorships and Customer Outreach

A variety of grassroots community events, sponsorships, and workshops are utilized by the program to promote energy efficiency rebates and strategies to a wide range of customers. This in-person, one-on-one customer outreach is critical to driving onsite customer leads and program signups.

Digital Communications

The program takes part in targeted digital communications to reach a variety of small business customers, taking into account the wide range of industries and customer segments. Examples of digital communications include:

- Targeted email campaigns;
- Energy efficiency newsletters; and,
- Social media.

Program Changes

None.

Budget and Goal Considerations

The program's participation goals and budgets were determined by estimating direct mail and email campaign read and open rates, educational material requests, as well as community outreach activation and sponsorship.

The main budget drivers include the following:

- Administration This budget provides funds for internal staff and external fulfillment.
- Promotion This budget includes funds for direct mail promotion of no cost/low cost energy-saving tips, energy efficiency events, sponsorships, and activation

Involvement of Community Energy Organizations
The Business Education program participates in a variety of community-hosted customer outreach events. The program provides displays, staffing, and materials to promote energy efficiency to attendees.

Small Business Lamp Recycling

Description

The Small Business Lamp Recycling program encourages electric customers in Minnesota to recycle their spent fluorescent bulbs instead of discarding them, to ensure that mercury does not get into the environment.

The program's main offerings include the following:

- Free compact fluorescent light bulb recycling at participating local hardware stores and partnering county hazardous waste facilities; and,
- Coupons for 50¢ off the recycling fee for each fluorescent tube and HID bulb at participating hardware stores. The coupons are available at participating hardware stores and on the xcelenergy.com website.

The Small Business Lamp Recycling Program is marketed primarily through the Company's Home Lighting program website, promotions, and participating hardware stores. A search feature allows customers to search by zip code to find the nearest recycling locations.

The Company follows the requirements of Minn. Stat. 216B.241, subd 5, which necessitates public utilities to notify customers that fluorescent recycling is the law. Every Small Business Lamp Recycling and Home Lighting promotional piece includes a disclaimer regarding the statute, such as, "Fluorescent lamps contain small amounts of mercury that are harmful to the environment. In Minnesota, it is illegal to dispose of spent mercury bulbs in household trash receptacles."

Program Changes

None.

Budget and Goal Considerations

The budget was developed based on historical spending and the expected number of bulbs to be recycled in the coming years. The main budget drivers include the following:

- Administration This provides funds for internal labor for planning and program implementation.
- Promotion and Advertising We market this program with the Home Lighting promotions.

Involvement of Community Energy Organizations

None.

Residential Segment

Description

The Residential Segment reflects a diverse population across electric and natural gas customers. In this Extension Plan, the Residential portfolio will offer a comprehensive set of programs including prescriptive rebates for equipment, whole home solutions for new and existing homes and educational offerings.

Programs

The Residential Segment proposes numerous program offerings for this Extension Plan, including direct impact programs and indirect programs in which customers can choose to participate. We will continue to offer all programs from the 2019 program year while offering new opportunities for participating in energy efficiency.

Overall Goals

The Residential Segment is the Company's second largest segment in the Plan, consisting of more than 30 percent of both planned electric and gas savings achievements:

		Electri	Gas				
Segment	Participation	Budget	Gen kW	Gen kWh	Participation	Budget	Dth
Residential	1,262,520	\$29,703,346	46,161	141,542,491	608,321	\$8,383,050	310,621
Total CIP	1,397,081	\$102,371,401	116,706	454,160,800	632,668	\$18,730,192	786,334
Portfolio							

The segment portfolio is designed to provide all residential customers with an opportunity to participate. The Company anticipates the majority of energy and demand savings within the Residential Segment will come from several key programs in 2020, including: Home Lighting, Energy Feedback, Residential Cooling, Refrigerator Recycling and Residential Heating.

Market Analysis

A strong economy was taken into account when determining program savings plans and consumers' ability and willingness to invest in higher efficiency equipment and invest in whole-home solutions.

Marketing/Advertising/Promotion

The Company relies heavily on trade allies, end-use equipment vendors, and our call center representatives to drive energy efficiency and load management participation in the Residential Segment. To support our marketing efforts we will employ an integrated approach to communications with tactics reinforcing the key messages over time. Those key messages include our clean energy commitment, reduced energy consumption, lower utility bills and environmental impact. A strategic plan for mass market advertising and promotions including event planning will help us to reach our customers and to encourage program participation.

Overall Policies

The Residential Segment does not have any unique, segment-based policies. Each program will enforce its participation and equipment eligibility rules and requirements.

Stakeholder Involvement

The Company continues to regularly meet with many organizations to refine our existing programs, shape new programs, and discuss partnership opportunities. These organizations include other utilities and industry experts such as:

- CenterPoint Energy;
- Great River Energy;
- Center for Energy and Environment;
- Minnesota Energy Resources Corporation;
- American Council for an Energy Efficient Economy;
- U.S. Department of Energy and ENERGYSTAR;
- Consortium for Energy Efficiency;
- Slipstream (formerly WECC); and,
- Air Conditioning Contractors Association.

Efficient New Home Construction

Description

The Efficient New Home Construction program encourages home builders to construct energy efficient residential homes by providing incentives for achieving total energy savings of at least 10 percent better than code.

This program applies to builders of residential single-family, duplex, triplex, fourplex, town homes, and condominium units that have individual heating systems and residential meters for the Company's gas and/or electric service. We use a third-party implementer to recruit raters and to provide product training for raters and builders. The third-party implementer is responsible for collecting and reviewing building information from the raters and providing information to the Company for use in determining savings. The implementer also maintains all of the collected data in its own database. Builders hire their own RESNET-certified house raters who coordinate with their own RESNET providers.

The program's main offerings include the following:

- Builder rebates for the Company's heating homes achieving a total energy savings level of at least 10 percent above the level established by code;
- Builder rebates for electric-only homes achieving a total energy savings level of at least 10 percent above the level established by code;
- Appliance rebates for qualifying homes with Xcel Energy electric service; and,
- Rater incentives.

The main offerings are described in further detail below:

Xcel Energy Heating Customers

Homes must test out at a minimum of 10 percent total energy savings above code and must have positive therm savings. Homes not reaching that minimum threshold are not eligible to participate and no incentives or payments will be issued to the builder or the rater. Rebates for this program are paid to the builder.

Electric-only Homes

For homes built in the Company's electric-only service territory that are not Xcel Energy heating customers, the builder receives a rebate when the home achieves the minimum 10 percent total energy savings above code and has positive kWh savings.

Appliance Rebates

The following appliances are eligible for rebates in homes that successfully participate in either of the offers above and where Xcel Energy is the electric provider:

- ENERGY STAR®-rated clothes washer;
- ENERGY STAR-rated radon mitigation fans; and,
- ENERGY STAR-rated refrigerators.

Rater Incentive

The program pays an incentive to raters for each home they submit to the program. This incentive recognizes the additional work required for data collection and entry.

Program Changes

None.

Budget and Goal Considerations

The program's budgets and electric and gas energy savings goals were determined by cost estimates based on historical program expenses and forecasted participation rates.

The main budget drivers include the following:

- Administration This category funds project planning and implementation along with program management. This includes the payment for the data aggregator serving the program.
- Advertising and Promotion The program's direct promotion through mass market promotion, energy efficient building practice training, and sales support materials are supported with these funds.
- Participant Incentives These funds cover builder rebates.

Involvement of Community Energy Organizations

None.

Energy Efficient Showerheads

Description

The Energy Efficient Showerheads program provides free high-efficiency showerheads and bathroom and kitchen faucet aerators to help reduce energy costs and water use for the Company's residential customers with gas or combination service. The program is primarily marketed to residential customers and single-family homes through email and direct mail. Eligible customers are contacted and offered a free kit, valued at approximately \$10-\$14 depending on the specific combination of measures. The kit is shipped to customers who respond to the offer within the promotional period. Kit contents include a combination of showerheads, kitchen and bath aerators, Teflon tape, and illustrated installation instructions. Eligible customers may also order free basic showerheads and aerators, or purchase showerheads with additional features at a discounted rate from the Company's online store.

The Company contracts with third-parties to manage all customer responses and distribute the energy efficient showerheads and aerators. The third-parties are recognized distributors of energy efficiency-related products in the United States. Customer responses are tracked by the providers, given to us following the distribution, and kept in a tracking system to calculate savings.

The program's main offerings include the following:

- 1.5 GPM high efficiency showerhead;
- 1.5 GPM kitchen aerator; and,
- 1.0 GPM bathroom aerator.

The main offerings are described below.

- Customers who have two bathrooms and have not yet participated in the program or participated more than six years ago are eligible to receive a kit containing:
 - o Two 1.5 GPM high efficiency showerheads;
 - o One 1.5 GPM kitchen aerator; and,
 - o Two 1.0 GPM bathroom aerators.
- Customers who have one bathroom and have not yet participated in the program or participated more than six years ago are eligible to receive a kit containing:
 - o One 1.5 GPM high efficiency showerhead;
 - o One 1.5 GPM kitchen aerator; and,
 - o One 1.0 GPM bathroom aerator.
- Customers who have not yet participated in the program or participated more than six years ago may also individually purchase some or all of the items included in the two bathroom kit from the Xcel Energy store.

Customers responding to the promotional offer must indicate if they have one or two bathrooms in their home and what fuel serves their water heater (gas, electric or unknown). Other kit combinations may be developed based on customer demand and eligibility determined by past participation. Each new participant is allowed one kit and customers may participate in the program once every 10 years. However, previous measure life was deemed at 6 years and therefore past participants are eligible for the free measures after 6 years.

Program Changes

None.

Budget and Goal Considerations

The product budget was developed based upon the expected participation level. Using the past program performance as a guide, the cost of the measures, fulfillment, postage, and all necessary marketing efforts were included to develop the budgets.

The main budget drivers include the following:

- Administration This covers the costs of external fulfillment, web development, rebate costs, project planning, and implementation.
- Advertising and Promotion The program uses direct mail and email to attract customers.
- Measurement and Verification This category provides funds to survey participating customers.

Involvement of Community Energy Organizations

None.

Energy Feedback

Description

The Energy Feedback program is a behavioral conservation program. The program provides a targeted direct mailing ("Report") to a designated group of residential customers, giving them specific information and recommendations on ways to reduce their energy consumption. Customers receive new information with each Report. Savings are quantified by comparing the energy consumption of the recipient group to that of a non-participating control group. The program also offers an online web portal that features even more ways for customers to learn about energy use in their homes and possibilities for reduction. The web portal is available to all customers, with the only qualification being enrollment in My Account.

The program's main offerings include the following:

Personalized Energy Usage Reports

These individualized reports are mailed and/or emailed to customers on a cadence prescribed by their tenure in the program. Reports provide:

- Customer's energy use compared to other, nearby customers who had similar usage profiles
 and home characteristics (occupancy, heating fuel, square footage, etc.) prior to program
 enrollment;
- Targeted efficiency recommendations based on home profile data available; and,
- Other information such as consumption graphs or year to year bill comparisons.

Recipients are selected from among the Company's residential customers and may "opt out" of the program at any time upon request.

Online Portal

This feature is available to all residential customers. It provides the same information as energy usage reports on demand, along with more detail and other options. When going to the web portal, customers can:

- See their neighbor comparison;
- See graphs showing energy consumption by fuel type by bill period or day;
- Perform a self-guided audit which provides insight into how energy is used in the home;
- Receive personalized energy tips and advice on energy efficiency improvements to make;
 and,
- Aggregate points based on activities and actions customers undertake. These points are redeemable for gift cards to retailers, including energy efficiency retailers.

Customers are encouraged to visit log in to My Account and access their energy usage portal through the use of emails, targeted messaging, and social channels.

Program Changes

The Company has chosen a new vendor for its energy usage reports. This change will be complete on January 1, 2020. This should lead to better customer engagement, experience, significantly reduced cost, and no reduction in energy savings. Despite the projected reduction in cost, the 2020

program budget will remain the same. The magnitude of this reduction, coupled with internal costs for program transition, are both variable. This uncertainty underpins keeping the budget identical.

Budget and Goal Considerations

The goals were developed based on prior years' savings, attrition, and refill data. Costs were supplied by the new vendor for delivery of the reports and for hosting the portal.

The main budget driver for the program is:

 Administration – This budget provides for program management and implementation along with data management and program development. Labor is expected to be five percent of the budget.

Involvement of Community Energy Organizations None.

Home Energy Squad

Description

The Home Energy Squad program offers custom installation services to electric and gas customers seeking to improve their homes' energy efficiency and comfort, and to lower their utility bills. The program directly installs a number of moderate-impact, low-cost measures for gas and electric customers. The program is designed to assist customers' efforts to overcome barriers related to making energy improvements, including confusion about product choices, varying costs, and finding qualified installers. The program charges a flat fee and offers customers the choice among measures within a suite of energy-saving items.

The program's main offerings include the following:

- Electric measures, including:
 - o LEDs; and,
 - o Programmable thermostat installation (see next bullet).
- Heating and cooling measures, including:
 - o Weather-stripping of external doors; and,
 - o Programmable thermostat installation and programming, and setback of pre-existing programmable thermostats.
- Hot water measures including:
 - o High efficiency showerheads and faucet aerators; and,
 - o Temperature assessment and setback of water heater; and,
 - o Water heater blankets.
- Optional measures for customer purchase including:
 - o Dehumidifiers, installation and recycling;
 - o Smart thermostat;
 - o Additional, installed thermostats; and,
 - o Electronics timer.

The main offerings are described below:

Program Changes

None.

Budget and Goal Considerations

The program's participation and energy savings goals and budget were determined by cost estimates based potential number of participants and historical program expenses. The main budget drivers include the following:

- Administration This category funds program administration costs through third-party vendors, as well as third-party labor for the installation of energy efficient measures in customers' homes.
- Promotion and Advertising This category covers print, broadcast and interactive advertising, and event promotion.

Involvement with Community Energy Organizations

Xcel Energy partners with CenterPoint Energy to serve their common customers.

Home Lighting

Description

The Home Lighting program provides resources for customers to purchase energy-efficient LED light bulbs. Energy-efficient bulbs are an easy and inexpensive way for customers to save electricity. The Company provides an avenue for customers to purchase discounted energy-efficient bulbs through local retailers.

The Company motivates customers to purchase LEDs by offering in-store retail discounts. The discounts are provided through collaboration with bulb manufacturers and retailers. The three entities combine resources to offer instant rebates enabling customers to purchase a variety of energy-efficient bulb models, such as A-lines, reflectors, globes, and LED fixtures, at a discounted price. The discount varies depending on the type of bulb and the manufacturer/retailer partner. The customer receives the discounted price at the cash register, which means there is no mail-in rebate form, making it especially easy to participate. Incentives are paid upstream and the discounts are passed on directly to the customer.

In this Extension Plan, the Company will continue to focus marketing dollars toward increasing awareness of LED bulbs by helping educate customers about the product benefits, what to look for when purchasing an LED, and the changing marketplace. By informing customers about the numerous benefits of LED bulbs, we expect to further drive sales of LED bulbs. The Company will continue to use various media channels to reach customers such as: radio, TV, in-store signage, publications, bill onserts, social media, internet, and sponsorship of community events. The peak sales period for energy efficient bulbs is in the fall and winter, when lighting is used more, as such, most of the promotions are scheduled during these peak buying periods.

The Company currently partners with a number of retailers, including: Home Depot, Walmart, Costco, Ace Hardware, and Menards. We use an RFP process each year to select participating retailers and enable partnerships with a variety of retailers (including big box, mass merchandiser, hardware stores and grocery outlets), which helps ensure optimal pricing and reduces free-ridership. The Company also uses a third-party to implement the RFP and to help manage the program. The implementer is primarily responsible for tracking the product sales details, including the location, types and quantities of bulbs sold each year and calculating the energy savings.

Program Changes

None.

Budget and Goal Considerations

The energy savings and budget target for the product was derived by analyzing the market potential and historical sales data, while considering new technologies, available retail channels, and participating customer segments. Below is a general breakdown of the various budget components:

- Rebates The vast majority of the budget is allocated for rebates. This budget reflects the average rebate levels and projected customer participation.
- Labor These budgets are based on past program performance.
- Promotion and Advertising Home Lighting is promoted widely to our customers via our advertising and promotional campaigns, including TV, radio, social media, and community

events. Education on the benefits of LEDs and how to get a discount is our primary focus of advertising and promotion. Costs are based on the necessary tactics to achieve these goals.

• Admin - This budget includes third-party implementer costs.

Involvement of Community Energy Organizations None.

Insulation Rebate

Description

The Insulation Rebate program offers prescriptive electric and natural gas rebates to customers who upgrade the insulation and air-sealing in their homes. The program captures natural gas and electric savings on existing single-family and multi-unit homes, up to four units, that professionally install insulation with a Building Performance Institute (BPI)-certified installer or other certified insulation installer.

The Insulation Rebate program is marketed primarily through a mix of social media, bill onserts, the Company's website, and cross-marketing opportunities with other Xcel Energy programs.

The program's main offerings include the following prescriptive rebates:

- Attic insulation;
 - o Must have a pre R-value of 20 or less; and,
 - o Must have a post R-value of 44 or greater.
 - Homes with existing insulation of R-21 or greater must add at least R-25 of additional insulation.
- Wall insulation;
 - o Must have empty wall cavity; and,
 - o Must have a post R-value of 12 or more.
- Air-sealing, which must be done in conjunction with either attic or wall insulation; and,
- A blower door test must precede and exit the project.

Offering

Participating customers must contract for insulation services with a BPI-certified insulation contractor and insulation certification that follow the BPI certification practices and criteria in order to qualify for a rebate. Additionally, air sealing is no longer a stand-alone measure and must be done in conjunction with either attic or wall insulation. We rely upon a dealer network to aid in the success of the program. The Trade Relations Manager offers program-specific trainings and information sessions to the insulation trade.

An online registry of BPI or certified insulation contractors is available for customers to choose a certified insulation contractor on the Company's web page for this program. In order to qualify for rebates, customers must choose an insulation contractor from this online registry.

Program Changes

None.

Budget and Goal Considerations

The program's budgets and electric and gas energy savings goals were determined based on historical program performance. Marketing dollars focus on cross-marketing opportunities with the Company's other programs and social media, both of which are proven cost-effective strategies for this program.

The main budget drivers include the following:

- Administration This category funds program planning and implementation, channel management, and rebate processing.
- Advertising and Promotion The program utilizes social media, contractor training, and crossutility marketing to promote the program, and uses direct and indirect promotions such as community outreach events in partnership with other electric and natural gas rebate programs.
- Participant Incentives These funds cover the costs of customer rebates.
- Measurement and Verification The program uses these funds to perform verification of submitted paperwork.

Involvement of Community Energy Organizations

The Xcel Energy residential trade relations manager is involved with the Minnesota Building Performance Association and the Xcel Energy trade partners network to help advance the program.

Refrigerator Recycling

Description

The Refrigerator Recycling program offers residential electric customers prescriptive rebates and pick-up services to dispose of their operable, inefficient refrigerator, freezer, room air conditioner, and dehumidifier units in an environmentally safe and compliant manner. The program is designed to educate customers about these inefficient appliances and the potential long-term cost and energy savings from removing them.

The main offerings are described below.

The program offers free pickup, recycling, and a prescriptive rebate for the following:

- Any functional refrigerator; or,
- A freezer operating as a standalone unit.

The program offers free pickup and recycling, in conjunction with a refrigerator or freezer, for the following:

- Any functional residential room air conditioner; and,
- Any functional residential dehumidifier.

The program is limited to two refrigerators and/or freezers removed per household per year. The program takes energy credit for each freezer or refrigerator based on its age and unit type. The Company utilizes the services of a qualified third-party vendor to perform the following services:

- Unit collection, recycling, transportation and storage;
- Qualification of unit at the time of scheduled pick up;
- Appliance processing and materials recycling;
- Issuance of incentive payments;
- Implementation of all customer service related to above activities;
- Product tracking and reporting; and,
- Supporting Measurement and Verification requirements.

The vendor is required to comply with all local, state and federal requirements. This includes maintaining all permits and licenses required for any facilities, equipment and personnel used for this product. The vendor is bound by contract to de-manufacture and recycle all units received; none may be re-sold or placed back in service. The adherence to this process ensures that recycled units will not re-enter the market.

The Company and the third-party vendor both market the program. The target market consists of customers who are disposing of their functioning refrigerator and/or freezer. Generally these customers have a single-family home with two or more individuals in the household. The marketing strategy utilizes seasonal campaigns to promote the product. Product demand often peaks in the summer months, which is associated with customer home improvement and cleaning projects. Deployment of promotional tactics coincides with these seasonal time periods, with contingency plans if goals are not made by third quarter of each year. Additionally, the third-party vendor will survey participants annually to determine customer satisfaction and verify energy savings.

Program Changes

None.

Budget and Goal Considerations

The program's participation and energy savings goals and budget were determined from historical program results and costs per participant. The main budget drivers include the following:

- Administration The program uses a third-party vendor to implement the program, including: marketing planning, online scheduling, call center operations, appliance collection, transportation and storage, qualification of appliances, appliance processing, materials recycling, issuing of customer incentive payments, all customer service aspects related to recycling, product tracking, all reporting to Xcel Energy and environmental and compliance entities, supporting measurement and verification, and compliance with all local, state and federal requirements.
- Participant Incentives The program pays customer rebates with these funds.
- Labor This budget category is used for internal marketing and rebate operations labor.
- Promotion and Advertising This effort includes: bill inserts, direct mail, print, outdoor, broadcast and online advertisements, community outreach, and social media.

Involvement of Community Energy Organizations None.

Residential Cooling

Description

The Residential Cooling program encourages customers to purchase new energy efficient cooling equipment, installed using Quality Installation (QI) standards regarding proper sizing, airflow, duct sealing, and refrigeration charge. The program provides an incentive to the Company's electric customers to purchase qualifying central air conditioning (AC) or air source heat pump (ASHP) equipment and have it installed by QI standards. Ground source heat pumps (GSHP) are eligible for rebates when customers purchase and install qualifying equipment; however, these are not subject to QI requirements. Additionally, ductless mini-split heat pumps may receive a rebate based on certain criteria.

The program's main offerings include prescriptive rebates for the following:

- Central Air Conditioners & Air Source Heat Pumps with Quality Installation;
- Ground Source Heat Pumps; and,
- Ductless Mini-Split Heat Pumps.

The main offerings are described below.

Equipment	Criteria
Central AC Only	13 – 14.9 SEER with QI
ASHP Only	14 – 14.9 SEER with QI
Central AC & ASHP	15+ SEER/min 12.5 EER with QI
Central AC & ASHP	16+ SEER/min 13 EER with QI
GSHP	14.1 EER Closed Loop
Ductless Mini-Split Heat Pump	15.0 – 26.0 SEER, 9 – 12 HSPF

To be eligible for the AC and ASHP program incentives, customers must use a participating contractor for the installation. Participating installation companies have at least one installer who has taken and passed an online QI assessment. The Company also accepts, but does not require, North American Technician's Excellence (NATE) certification to become a participating contractor. A list of participating contractors is available to customers from the Company. GSHP and Ductless Mini-Split Heat Pump incentives are eligible to customers using any contractor.

Program Changes

None.

Budget and Goal Considerations

The budget for the Residential Cooling program was developed based on historical costs per participant and estimated according to expected participation. Taking into consideration the economic state of the market, the program goals reflect steady participation.

The main budget drivers include the following:

• Administration – This category funds administration labor, materials, postage and rebate processing labor, and measure and verification.

- Promotion The program utilizes low-cost promotions including bill onserts, email marketing, direct mail marketing, social media, blogs, and Trade Partner outreach.
- Participant Incentives These category funds customer rebates for qualifying products.

Involvement of Community Energy Organizations

The Residential Cooling program works closely with the Minnesota Heating and Cooling Association and the Minnesota Building Performance Organization to align best practices and to help communicate and educate the trade about our programs. Additionally, Xcel Energy is a member of ACCA and the Heating, Air-conditioning and Refrigeration Distributors International (HARDI), both national organizations.

Residential Heating (Heating System Rebate)

Description

The Residential Heating program offers prescriptive electric and natural gas rebates to the Company's natural gas customers that install new high-efficiency furnaces and hot water boilers. The program is designed to encourage customers to choose high-efficiency heating equipment through a tiered rebate schedule.

The program is marketed primarily to homeowners via various forms of mass media messaging and an extensive trade ally network that serves as in-home spokespeople for the program while selling new equipment. This program is also cross-marketed with the Insulation Rebate and Water Heating Rebate programs.

The program's offerings include prescriptive rebates for the following:

- Natural gas forced-air furnaces; and,
 - Add-on replacement and new construction.
- Natural gas hot-water boilers
 - Add-on replacement and new construction.

The Company's residential natural gas customers that install natural gas forced-air furnaces or hot water boilers are eligible to participate in this offering.

Program Changes

None.

Budget and Goal Considerations

The program's participation and energy savings goals and budgets were determined by analyzing historical program trends as well as industry market forecasts.

The main budget drivers include the following:

- Participant Incentives Rebates represent the largest portion of the budget. The rebate schedule was developed to encourage participation and differentiate between efficiency levels. There is a marked increase in budget from previous years due to the tiered rebate schedule that should increase participation at the higher efficiency levels, which results in greater savings per unit.
- Administration Labor charges are predominantly represented by product management and rebate processing.
- Advertising and Promotion Advertising is generally covered via cross promotion among other programs. Promotional spending includes: event promotion, community outreach, and HVAC dealer trade shows as well as other ad-hoc opportunities.

Involvement of Community Energy Organizations

We collaborate with the Minnesota Heating & Cooling Association and HVAC distributors to help advance the program.

School Education Kits

Description

The School Education Kits program offers a multi-component kit that combines classroom activities and in-home projects to fifth or sixth grade students and their parents to teach them about energy and water conservation. The program targets schools within the Company's Minnesota service territory that receive both electric and natural gas service and to those teachers and students who enroll in the program through third-party implementers.

The program's main offering is the Take Action Kit, which includes the following components:

- Natural Resources Fact Chart;
- Digital water/air thermometer;
- FilterTone alarm;
- Energy efficiency showerhead (1.5 GPM);
- Kitchen aerator (1.5 GPM);
- Bathroom aerator (1.0 GPM);
- Teflon tape;
- Two 9-Watt LED light bulb;
- Two 11-Watt LED light;
- Flow rate test bag;
- LED night light;
- Parent comment card; and,
- Think, Talk, Take Action! Wristband.

This prescriptive program provides direct impact savings, helps to build awareness of energy conservation at a young age, and provides energy and water savings to customers of various income levels. Traditional marketing tactics are not needed since schools are selected to ensure maximum outreach. Once schools are selected and enrolled, a third-party implementer recruits and trains the teachers, provides all materials, distributes the kits, and continues ongoing support if the teachers have questions while implementing the program. Classroom support is available via fax, phone, email and a toll-free 800 number.

Teachers can enroll through a variety of channels. If teacher response is insufficient, the third-party provider implements contingencies for additional outreach. Upon enrollment, teachers dictate to the third-party when in the school year they would like to use the program materials and provide accurate enrollment numbers. The third-party staff remains in contact with teachers throughout the school year to assist teachers as needed, as well as to ensure return of the surveys that provide Measurement and Verification results. It can take up to three months to receive the results from each elementary school depending on when the teachers begin the activity.

Program Changes

In 2020, the Company will partner with CenterPoint Energy to offer kits to customers in our shared service territories for each utilities respective fuel type. This change will expand the number of electric measures congruent with the number of kits provided. The 2020 electric savings, participation, and budget goals for School Education Kits have all been updated to reflect this

change. It is important to note that the measures being added to reflect the partnership with CenterPoint Energy are measures already offered by the Company. As such, while updated forecasts have been provided for these measures, there are no new updates to the 2019 approved energy and demand savings calculation methodologies indicated in the Deemed Savings Technical Assumptions for this program.

Budget and Goal Considerations

The program's participation, electric and natural gas energy savings goals, and budgets were estimated using historical program results and proposed third-party costs. The main budget drivers include the following:

- Administration This funds the program's internal labor and external fulfillment by our third-party implementer, which includes: project planning, turn-key coordination, implementation, marketing, tracking of installations/surveys, call center and online help centers, measurement and verification of the program, and enrollment/reporting.
- Participant Incentives This category covers the costs of the kit contents.

Involvement of Community Energy Organizations

The program team works with the Company's Community Affairs department, Account Management group, and local community non-profits to identify participating schools. We also look for additional opportunities when available for cross promotion, outreach, or cost sharing.

Water Heater Rebate

Description

The Water Heater Rebate program offers prescriptive rebates to customers that purchase and install qualifying high efficiency electric or natural gas water heating equipment for residential use. Customers may choose to self-install units rather than working with a plumber.

We intend to market the program using a variety of communication tools, including: HVAC trade partner communication, email newsletters, tradeshows, and point-of-purchase materials at retailers. The program is cross-promoted with the Company's other residential heating-related programs.

The program's main offerings include the following:

Equipment	Criteria	
Natural Gas Storage Tank Water Heater	0.69+ UEF, High Draw	
Natural Gas Storage Tank Water Heater	.64+ UEF, Medium Draw	
Natural Gas Tankless Water Heater	.87+ UEF, High or Medium Draw	
Electric Heat Pump Water Heater	N/A	
Electric Heat Pump Water Heater (Grid-Enabled)	CTA 2045 compatible	

Customers must receive natural gas service from the Company to receive a rebate for a natural gas water heater and must receive electric service from the Company to receive a rebate for an electric heat pump water heater. The program is applicable only for the purchase of qualifying, new natural gas tank water heaters, tankless natural gas water heaters, or electric heat pump water heaters installed in new or replacement applications. Grid-enabled heat pump water heaters — those manufactured with a CTA 2045 port on the tank (or which are compatible with an adapter with a CTA 2045 port) receive an additional rebate. Units with tanks larger than 55 gallons are not eligible. While most standard tank water heaters do not pass the cost-benefit tests, they are included as a part of the Water Heater Rebate program to spur customer demand for high efficiency equipment in the marketplace and to satisfy customer choice. For customers using electric water heating, there had not recently been a cost-effective technology for customers to improve their water heater efficiency beyond that of a baseline efficiency level (electric resistance water heating). Heat pump water heaters have emerged as a cost-effective and energy efficient electric technology for this program.

Program Changes

In 2020, the program will add heat pump water heaters as a cost-effective energy savings measure option for customers using electric water heating.

Budget and Goal Considerations

The program's participation and savings goals were developed based on historical program performance. The budget was determined based on the costs needed to rebate the expected number of eligible units and the appropriate rebate amounts per efficiency level.

The main budget drivers include the following:

• Administration – This category represents internal labor for project planning and implementation, as well as external contract labor, materials, and postage.

- Advertising and Promotion This program is promoted via trade partner communication, email newsletters, tradeshows, and point-of-purchase materials.
- Participant Incentives The majority of the budget goes to pay customer rebates.

Involvement of Community Energy Organizations

Xcel Energy is an active member in the Consortium for Energy Efficiency's Coalition of ENERGY STAR Water Heaters. The Company is committed to assisting this group's mission of inspiring changes in the market through the promotion of energy efficient technologies.

Whole Home Efficiency

Description

The Whole Home Efficiency program offers prescriptive electric and gas rebates to residential customers who take a whole-house approach to improving the energy efficiency of their existing, single-family homes. The program offers customers personal assistance from beginning to end of their projects, direct contractor resources, rebates to reduce the project cost, direct install options, and independent verification of the improvements after completion.

Customers must be both electric and natural gas customers of Xcel Energy to participate. An energy audit through Xcel Energy or by a company-approved contractor must precede the project and must include a blower door test. The program is marketed primarily through the Company's Home Energy Audit program and secondarily through the trades, with the objective of helping customers find and prioritize energy efficiency improvements in their homes.

The program's main offerings include prescriptive rebates for:

- Air leakage reduction;
- Attic and wall insulation;
- Boilers and furnaces;
- Central air conditioners and ductless mini-split heat pumps;
- Clothes washers;
- Programmable thermostats;
- Refrigerators; and,
- Water heaters.

The program also offers direct install for:

- LEDs;
- Energy efficient showerheads;
- Faucet aerators; and,
- Water heater blankets.

To receive rebates, customers are required to install either attic insulation or comprehensive wall insulation, defined as at least 75 percent of the exterior walls of the home. Customers then need to select two other improvements, not including the direct install options. The customer can receive rebates for a whole-house project within one year of signing up for the program. All improvements are verified by the auditor doing the final inspection. Customers must use company-approved contractors, and those contractors receive training about the program and its required processes. Customers also have the opportunity to have some measures directly installed as part of the final project inspection. We see this as a way to add value for the customer and take advantage of the inspector's presence in the home. Integrating these measures that also exist in other programs provides a more comprehensive whole-house approach, and also decreases customer confusion and frustration with program overlap and eligibility.

Program Changes

Change	Rationale
Add heat pump electric water heater	To match the water heater technologies
incentives	offered prescriptive Water Heater Rebate
	program

Budget and Goal Considerations

The program's budgets and electric and gas energy savings goals were determined using cost and savings estimates based on discussions with vendor and by historical program performance. Changes to the budget reflect anticipated participation with the newly added water heater measures.

The main budget drivers include the following:

- Administration This category covers program planning and implementation as well as program management.
- Advertising and Promotion The program is marketed through advertising and support materials, including brochures and welcome kits.
- Participant Incentives This category covers rebates and costs for direct install measures.
- Measurement and Verification The program funds a third-party to inspect 100 percent of projects completion and to do the exit blower door test.

Involvement of Community Energy Organizations

Some projects completed through Whole Home Efficiency go to community redevelopment funds.

Residential Demand Response

Description

The Company offers multiple demand response products through its Residential Demand Response program: Saver's Switch®, AC Rewards, and Smart Thermostat Optimization. Each product uses different strategies to target a reduction in system load during demand peaks.

Saver's Switch for Residential Customers

The Saver's Switch product gives participating customers bill discounts in exchange for allowing the Company to reduce their air conditioning and, if applicable, water heater usage on days of peak system demand. During a control event (typically a hot, humid day or evening), air conditioners are cycled on and off in a manner designed to reduce energy and demand. Enrolled electric water heater load is shed entirely for the duration of the control event, which can occur at any time of year. Air conditioners and water heaters are controlled via a radio operated switch installed by a licensed electrician on or near the customer's central air conditioning unit. Participants in the air conditioning program have the option of enrolling a qualifying electric water heater. The program's main offerings include the following:

- Participating air conditioning customers receive a 15 percent discount off the electric energy charges on their bills between June and September; and
- Water heater participants receive 2 percent off the same charges year-round.

Saver's Switch has operated in Minnesota since 1990. Many of the switches installed early in the program are now beyond their estimated 15-year useful life. In this Extension Plan, we intend to continue to use the Virtual Visit tool to identify switches that need to be replaced, switches identified as needing to be replaced will be on an ongoing basis. We also plan to proactively replace switches more than 15 years old.

AC Rewards

AC Rewards, which launched in 2017, also seeks to reduce energy and demand through a reduction in air conditioning load, but through thermostat adjustment instead of switch-based air conditioner control. Participants can receive up-front rebates for enrolling a qualifying thermostat, and receive annual bill credits, in exchange for allowing the Company to temporarily adjust the set point on the thermostat during control events. Currently, certain thermostats from Honeywell and Ecobee are eligible for enrollment.

AC Rewards participants retain the ability to override individual control events, except in the case of a system emergency. The Company can remove the participants that are deemed to overriding too many events.

Smart Thermostat Optimization

The Smart Thermostat Optimization product offers retrofit and new device rebate incentives to residential customers who purchase and install ENERGY STAR® connected thermostats. This strategy contributes to the Residential Demand Response program by increasing the number of thermostats that are eligible for AC Rewards in the Company's service territory and by reducing heating and cooling energy use throughout the year. Even if customers with ENERGY STAR®

connected thermostats do not choose immediately to enroll in AC Rewards, they will be able to do so at any time during the entire lifetime of their smart thermostats.

Thermostats meeting the ENERGY STAR® Connected Thermostat specification have demonstrated the ability to achieve energy savings through HVAC equipment runtime reductions, specifically an 8 percent or higher reduction in heating equipment runtime and a 10 percent or higher reduction for cooling equipment runtime.

Program Changes

The Company is updating the Residential Demand Response offering in this Extension Plan to include:

- Smart Thermostat Optimization: Adding the measures from the standalone Thermostat Optimization product into the Residential Demand Response program. For 2019 this product had been approved as a standalone program; for 2020 all measures will be moved back into Residential Demand Response and the standalone program discontinued. Goals for the standalone Thermostat Optimization program are set at 0 for 2020. Participation goals have also been revised and updated and measures expanded to provide more granular forecasts and information by channel options (e.g., direct installation and bring your own thermostat (BYOT)).
- AC Rewards: Looking over data from the launch in 2017 to present, participation has not tracked as previously thought; goals have taken this into account with adjusting expected participation and kW impacts for these measures. Plans include continuing to proactively engage with marketing efforts and to add qualifying thermostat providers and channels. Additionally, we aim to expand the lineup of eligible devices for AC Rewards beyond the current Honeywell and Ecobee offerings.
- Residential Saver's Switch: In 2020 we anticipate expanding the water heater option to include cellular operated controllers, and have added three measures to reflect this technological trend. While this is a different control technology from the radio operated switches that have been deployed in past years. The water heater controls themselves are unchanged; they are controlled identically to all other participating water heaters.

Change	Rationale
Expanded the existing 2019 Smart Thermostat Optimization measure "Install Energy Star certified smart thermostat – AC & ELEC HEAT" into three	
channels below for electric technical assumptions:	
Direct Install Smart Thermostat EE - AC & Electric Heating	Optimizing thermostat product to capture energy efficiency savings
BYOT EE - AC & Gas Heating - Electric Only Customers	Optimizing thermostat product to capture energy efficiency savings
BYOT EE - AC & Electric Heating	Optimizing thermostat product to capture energy efficiency savings

Expanded the existing 2019 Smart Thermostat Optimization measure "Install Energy Star certified smart thermostat – AC & Gas" into channels below for gas and electric technical assumptions	
Direct Install Smart Thermostat EE - Gas Heating Gas Only Customer	Optimizing thermostat product to capture energy efficiency savings
Direct Install Smart Thermostat EE – AC & Gas Heating – Electric only & Combo	Optimizing thermostat product to capture energy efficiency
BYOT EE – AC & Gas Heating - Combo Customer	Optimizing thermostat product to capture energy efficiency savings
BYOT EE – AC & Gas Heating – Gas Heating Combo Customer	Optimizing thermostat product to capture energy efficiency savings
Expanded the existing 2019 Smart Thermostat Optimization measure "Install Energy Star certified smart thermostat – Gas Only" into channels below for gas technical assumptions	
Direct Install Smart Thermostat EE – Gas Heating Gas Only Customer	Optimizing thermostat product to capture energy efficiency savings
BYOT EE - Gas Heating Gas Only Customer	Optimizing thermostat product to capture energy efficiency savings
Adding DR Heat Pump Water Heater	Saver's Switch measure for CTA-2045 enabled heat pump water heaters – new technology
Adding DR Grid-Enabled Electric Resistance Water Heater	Saver's Switch measure for CTA-2045 enabled electric resistance water heaters – new technology
Adding DR Grid-Enabled Electric Resistance Water Heater – Retrofit	Saver's Switch measure for existing water heaters that can be retrofitted in order to become CTA-2045 enabled – new technology

Budget and Goal Considerations

The main budget drivers include the following:

- Administration This budget category will cover the costs of internal labor for program planning and implementation, as well as the costs of external contract labor and software maintenance.
- Customer Services The program uses third-parties to install the switches and thermostats that require professional installation ("direct installation channel")
- Advertising and Promotion The Saver's Switch program will have a limited promotional budget in this Plan, as most of the installations will be from the replacement of old switches The thermostat products includes marketing efforts as providers, channels, and devices are expanded.
- Measurement and Verification The program hires a third-party consultant to conduct measurement and verification to determine the savings per switch achieved each year.

Involvement of Community Energy Organizations

Products under the Residential Demand Response program were discussed as one of the several options during demand response stakeholder engagement meetings as part of the Company's resource planning process. More information on this process and stakeholder involvement can be found in Docket No. E002/RP-19-368.

Consumer Education

Description

The Consumer Education program is an indirect-impact program that provides residential customers with the information and resources to reduce their energy use. As the Residential Segment is diverse, Xcel Energy uses a variety of different approaches to educate consumers on energy efficiency.

The program's main offerings include the following:

- Annual community, sports, conservation events, and local community event outreach with energy efficiency messages;
- Sponsorship of seminars and conferences supporting residential energy efficiency and conservation.
- Publication of reference materials; and,
- Social media.

The program focuses on renewing existing partnerships and establishing new partnerships with an enhanced focus on digital communication. In addition, the program employs social media strategies to drive active engagement in energy efficiency through Facebook, Twitter and other digital channels. By continuing to diversify the communication channels, the program increases the residential customer knowledge base and provides a greater variety of resource options and services.

Program Changes

None.

Budget and Goal Considerations

The program's participation and energy savings goals and budget were developed through identification of customer growth patterns, costs to produce materials, the reach of promotions, and sponsorship costs. Participants are established through targeted outreach to customer segments and use of multiple channels for delivery of energy efficiency messaging.

The main budget drivers include the following:

- Administration This category represents the internal labor needed for program planning and implementation.
- Promotion Promotional events, sponsorships, and outreach activation costs are the primary budget driver for this program.

Involvement of Community Energy Organizations None.

Home Energy Audit

Description

The Home Energy Audit program offers substantially discounted energy auditing services to residential customers in single-family homes. The purpose of this program is to improve energy savings by influencing homeowner and renter behaviors through conservation education. This program is marketed through seasonal advertising and bill inserts as increases in monthly energy bills tend to drive program activity. We take advantage of local "green event" opportunities and direct mail campaigns as needed.

The program's main offerings include the following two tiers of audits:

- Home Walkthrough (\$30); and,
- Standard Audit with Infrared (\$60).

The main offerings are described below.

Home Walkthrough Audits

The Home Walkthrough begins with the auditor's review and analysis of the customer's billing history and a discussion surrounding any concerns or questions that the customer may have regarding home energy usage and related comfort. The auditor performs an assessment of the interior and exterior of the home and provides a review of the top recommendations to the homeowner. This option is free to income-qualifying customers. An electronic personalized audit report is emailed to the customer highlighting the top recommendations and providing rebate program information.

Standard Audit with Infrared

The Standard Audit with infrared includes all Home Walkthrough audit components, as well as a blower door test and a combustion appliance zone (CAZ) test. The blower door test is conducted in every home and the CAZ test is performed only if atmospherically vented appliances are present. The audit also includes an infrared scan to evaluate internal structures such as drywall and insulation and to determine temperature differences where insulation is present, missing, or not working effectively. In order for the infrared scan to be effective there needs to be a certain differential between the indoor and outdoor air temperatures. The infrared scan is offered when applicable.

Customers may get a Home Walkthrough audit every three years, or upgrade to a more extensive audit more frequently. The charges to the customer are assessed on bills after the audit is completed.

Program Changes

None.

Budget and Goal Considerations

The program's participation and budgets were determined by historical program participation targets and expenses.

The main budget drivers include the following:

- Administration The budget includes the costs of internal labor and external contract labor to support the program. This also includes the costs of the third-party auditors, as well as the payments made by customers for their audits.
- Advertising and Promotion The program includes a modest promotional budget to steer customers to the audits.

Involvement of Community Energy Organizations

The Company contracts with a third party to implement the Home Energy program. The implementer is responsible for program promotion, audit scheduling, auditor recruiting and subcontracting, paperwork administration and program tracking.

Residential Lamp Recycling

Description

The Residential Lamp Recycling program encourages electric customers in Minnesota to recycle their spent fluorescent bulbs to ensure that hazardous materials such as mercury do not enter the environment.

The program's main offerings include the following:

- Free compact fluorescent light (CFL) bulb recycling at participating local hardware stores and partnering county hazardous waste facilities; and,
- Coupons for 50¢ off the recycling fee for each fluorescent tube and HID bulb at participating hardware stores. The coupons are available at participating hardware stores and Company's website.

The Residential Lamp Recycling program is marketed primarily through the Company's website, Home Lighting program promotions, and participating hardware stores. A search feature allows customers to search by zip code to find the nearest recycling locations.

The Company follows the requirements of Minn. Stat. 216B.241, subd 5, which necessitates public utilities to notify customers that fluorescent recycling is the law. Every Residential Lamp Recycling and Home Lighting promotional piece includes a disclaimer regarding the statute, such as, "Fluorescent lamps contain small amounts of mercury that are harmful to the environment. In Minnesota, it is illegal to dispose of spent mercury bulbs in household trash receptacles."

Program Changes

None.

Budget and Goal Considerations

The budget was developed based on historical spending and the expected number of bulbs to be recycled in the coming years. The main budget drivers include the following:

- Administration This provides funds for internal labor for planning and program implementation.
- Promotion and Advertising We market this program with the Home Lighting promotions.

Involvement of Community Energy Organizations

None.

Low-Income Segment

Description

The goal of the Low-Income Segment is to educate income-eligible customers about their energy usage and how to reduce their monthly utility bills. To address this customer group, which primarily resides in single- and multi-family rental homes, the Company provides materials and assistance to help ease the energy-cost burden, making permanent changes in low-income residences that help improve comfort and lower costs.

Programs

The Low-Income Segment will continue to offer three programs in 2020: Home Energy Savings (HESP), Low-Income Home Energy Squad, and Multi-Family Energy Savings (MESP). The programs offer analyses of both gas and electric consumption to income-qualified customers and provide them with products and services that assist in lowering their monthly energy bills. Through HESP, customers may also be eligible for replacement of appliances based on the condition of the existing units identified during the analysis phase. MESP offers electric home energy efficiency measures and educational information to apartment dwellers. Third-party program implementers will deliver all three programs' operations.

Overall Goals

Most of the energy savings within the Segment will come from energy efficient lighting, insulation, and appliances. The table below provides a breakdown of the Segment participation, budget and savings goals in relation to our total CIP portfolio.

	Electric				Gas			
Segment	Participation	Budget	Gen kW	Gen kWh	Participation	Budget	Dth	
Low-	5,783	\$2,490,344	374	3,259,191	2,054	\$1,901,318	14,697	
Income								
Total CIP	1,397,081	\$102,371,401	116,706	454,160,800	632,668	\$18,730,192	786,334	
Portfolio								

Market Analysis

The interest in and need for low-income energy efficiency services continues, and we anticipate customers struggling to pay their monthly bills. This segment plays a vital role by providing programs and services to help lower energy bills and improve the comfort of low-income homes.

Marketing/Advertising/Promotion

We seek to economize promotional and advertising spends as we strive to build awareness of our low-income offerings as participating community agencies manage enrollment. In this Extension Plan, the low-income programs will be marketed through a variety of activities including neighborhood community events, workshops, and partnerships with local non-profits.

Overall Policies

To participate in the Low-Income Segment programs, customers must have incomes that fall below 50 percent of the State Median Income guidelines or below 200 percent of Federal Poverty levels, whichever income level is greater. The Segment does not have additional unique policies. Each program will enforce its participation and equipment eligibility rules and requirements.

Stakeholder Involvement

The Low-Income Segment programs will continue to be delivered through third party vendors. We also work with a variety of community outreach groups such as local food shelves and other organizations providing services to low income residents.

Home Energy Savings

Description

The Home Energy Savings program (HESP) offers free home energy education and improvement services to income-qualifying customers. Participating customers receive a home visit and energy bill analysis to inform them about the benefits of energy conservation. Based on the findings in the home visit, we determine the customer's eligibility for other offerings, including home weatherization and appliance replacements.

To qualify for participation in HESP, Xcel Energy customers must:

- Have a household income that is at 50 percent of the State Median Income guidelines or at 200 percent of the federal poverty level, whichever is greater; and
- Properties with two to four housing units, at least 50 percent of the households must have incomes below 50 percent of the State Median Income guidelines or 200 percent of the federal poverty level, whichever is greater.
- Rental properties must agree to maintain affordable rent in order to receive benefits from this program.

The program is implemented through third-party providers who are responsible for customer recruitment, enrollment, income eligibility confirmation, subcontractor management, program forecasting, tracking, and reporting. The program is promoted by the Company through advertising and promotion efforts including out-of-home, direct mail, radio, and online. It is also supported with efforts by our Customer Care and Low-Income Assistance departments.

The program's main offerings include the following:

- Free electric home services, including:
 - o Home energy educational visits;
 - o Installation of LED bulbs;
 - o Refrigerator replacements and recycling;
 - o Freezer replacements and recycling;
 - o Window/wall AC replacements and recycling;
 - o Electronically commutated motors installed in new furnaces; and,
 - o Attic insulation for electrically heated homes.
- Free natural gas home services including:
 - o Attic insulation and air-sealing;
 - o Wall insulation;
 - o Furnace or boiler replacement; and,
 - o Water heater replacement.

The main offerings are described in further detail below.

Electric Home Services

The home energy educational visits are available to all income-qualified customers in the Company's electric service territory and may be provided during a Low-Income Home Energy Squad visit. These visits include:

• Analysis of the electric bill;

- Home energy assessment and education;
- Installation of LED bulbs;
- Inspection and evaluation of major appliances;
- Written energy savings recommendations; and,
- Distribution of energy conservation educational materials.

Appliance replacements are available to those customers whose appliances meet the following criteria:

- Customer must own the appliance or provide a signed waver to allow replacement and recycling of the old inefficient appliance;
- Appliance must be used on a regular basis;
- Appliance must be in working condition;
- Refrigerators must be the primary unit in the home unless the customer agrees to recycle a second working appliance as well; and,
- Window/wall AC units may have a maximum EER rating of 8.5.

Evaluation and installation of electric weatherization services is also available in electrically-heated homes.

Natural Gas Home Services

These services are available to all income-qualified customers in the Company's natural gas service territory:

- Standard energy audit including blower door testing;
- Detailed specifications for all weatherization measures;
- Insulation of attic and bypass sealing to an R-value of 44;
- Insulation of walls to an R-value of 14 or greater; and,
- Carbon monoxide detector installed with any weatherization job.

We provide funding for the replacement of old inefficient furnaces, boilers and water heaters with the following:

- Furnaces with a minimum AFUE of 92 percent;
- Boilers with a minimum AFUE of 84 percent; and
- Natural gas water heaters with an UEF of 0.68 or higher.

Program Changes

None.

Budget and Goal Considerations

The program's participation and energy savings goals and budgets were based on historical program data.

The main budget drivers include the following:

- Administration Covers internal labor and expenses for program planning, promotion, implementation and vendor administration.
- Rebates Covers the cost of the equipment/measures installed.

Involvement of Community Energy Organizations

The Company continuously works to build relationships with existing agencies, non-profit organizations, and communities throughout the state. These partnerships allow us to improve program awareness and increase program participation. We are also members of a national ACEEE working groups focused on energy efficiency for low income residents.

Low Income Home Energy Squad®

Description

The Low-Income Home Energy Squad program offers installation services to electric and gas customers who seek to improve their homes' comfort and lower their utility bills. The program is marketed to income-qualifying customers, directly installing a number of moderate-impact, low-cost measures for the Company's gas and electric customers. The program pays for the equipment and labor costs to install a number of appropriate, moderate, impact measures. The program helps to remove barriers for customers that make energy improvements.

The program's main offerings include the following:

- Electric measures, including:
 - o LEDs.
- Heating and cooling measures, including:
 - o Weather-stripping of external doors; and,
 - o Programmable thermostat installation and programming, and setback of pre-existing programmable thermostats.
- Hot water measures including:
 - o High efficiency showerheads and faucet aerators; and,
 - o Temperature assessment and setback of water heater; and,
 - Water heater blanket
- Optional measures for customer purchase including:
 - o Dehumidifiers, installation and recycling; and,
 - o Smart thermostat; and,
 - o Electronics timer.

Program Changes

None.

Budget and Goal Considerations

The program's participation and energy savings goals and budget were determined by cost estimates based on vendor proposals, potential number of participants, and historical program expenses. The main budget drivers include the following:

- Administration This budget funds program administration costs through third-party vendors, as well as third-party labor for the installation of energy efficient measures in customers' homes.
- Promotion and Advertising This category covers print, interactive advertising, and event promotion.

Involvement with Community Energy Organizations

Xcel Energy partners with many communities and cities via its Partners In Energy initiative.

Multi-Family Energy Savings Program

Description

The Multi-Family Energy Savings program (MESP) offers free education and services to qualifying 5+ unit multi-family buildings. MESP provides electric services to income-qualifying renters and is designed to reach these tenants and support low-income housing through building-wide projects. The program offers information on additional energy saving actions the building residents can take beyond the program, and free in-unit energy upgrades, including LEDs and electric appliance replacements.

To qualify, multi-family buildings with five or more units in our electric territory must meet the following criteria: For properties with five or more units, at least 66 percent of the households must have incomes below 50 percent of the State Median Income (based on the August 2012 low income CIP guidance document from the Department of Commerce, Division of Energy Resources). The program is administered by a third-party implementer that can provide services throughout the Company's Minnesota electric service territory. The program is responsible for customer recruitment, enrollment, income eligibility confirmation, subcontractor management, program forecasting, tracking, and reporting. The program is promoted through outreach with multifamily stakeholders and associations. Minimal promotional activities have been necessary to date, but tactics that would be deployed if needed are direct mail campaigns and sales calls to qualifying buildings.

The program's main offering is free electric equipment and installations, including:

- LEDs;
- Refrigerator replacements and recycling;
- Freezer replacements and recycling;
- Window air conditioner (AC) replacements and recycling; and,
- Wall/sleeve AC replacements and recycling.

The main offerings are described in further detail below.

This offering provides tenant educational materials, building energy assessments, and in-unit LED installation to qualified buildings. In addition, appliance replacement and recycling is provided to those buildings/units where the appliances meet the following criteria:

- Appliance must be used on a regular basis;
- Appliance must be in working condition;
- Refrigerator must be the primary one used in the unit, unless customer agrees to recycle a second working appliance as well; and,
- Window/wall AC units must have an EER rating of 8.5 or less to be replaced.

Appliances that are replaced through this program continue to be the property of the original owner. For example, refrigerators owned by the building owner continue to be property of the building owner and AC units owned by the tenant continue to be property of the tenant.

Program Changes

None.

Budget and Goal Considerations

The program's participation and energy savings goals and budget were based on historical program data.

The main budget drivers include the following:

- Administration Covers internal labor and expenses for program planning, promotion, implementation and vendor administration.
- Rebates Covers the cost of the equipment/measures installed.

Involvement of Community Energy Organizations

We are participating in the MN Multifamily Affordable Housing Energy Network, which consists of various community stakeholders and initiated by Fresh Energy, Minnesota Housing, National Resource Defense Council and the National Housing Trust. We are also members of two national ACEEE working groups focused on energy efficiency for low income residents and in multifamily properties.

Planning Segment

Description

The Planning Segment includes indirect-impact efforts that are not directly affiliated with a specific program. The overall purpose of the Planning Segment is to:

- Increase awareness and participation in our programs through CIP-specific advertising and promotional messages;
- Provide software and hardware tools and processes to make it easier for internal staff and customers to manage and participate in our programs;
- Provide strategic direction for Xcel Energy's DSM portfolio;
- Ensure CIP-related regulatory compliance;
- Guide the Company's internal policy issues related to CIP; and,
- Train the Company's Marketing & Sales staff for effective performance.

Programs

The Planning Segment includes Advertising & Promotion, Application Development and Maintenance, CIP Training, and Regulatory Affairs.

Overall Goals

The budgets for this Segment were developed based on historical costs and anticipated market increases for future costs. As an indirect-impact Segment, there are no savings goals associated with these efforts. Budget goals are provided in the table below:

	Electric				Gas		
Segment	Participation	Budget	Gen kW	Gen kWh	Participation	Budget	Dth
Planning	0	\$8,151,775	0	0	0	\$2,228,824	0

Market Analysis

Not applicable.

Marketing/Advertising/Promotion

Not applicable. See the description for Advertising and Promotion for additional details.

Overall Policies

Not applicable.

Stakeholder Involvement

Not applicable.

Advertising & Promotion

Description

The Advertising & Promotion budget drives awareness of electric and gas energy solutions options with broad appeal among all types of customers. The budget is allocated internally between residential and business segments to support their respective program objectives. Within the Company's CIP portfolio, the objective of the advertising and promotion strategy is to ensure that the Company's energy solutions are top-of-mind during customer energy and purchasing decisions, educate and encourage customers to consider the lifetime value rather than only initial costs of various energy options. We strive to inform and influence how customer attitudes and actions impact their energy use on an ongoing basis and encourage them to take energy actions for their homes and businesses. The budget's main offerings are described below:

Various media types help us reach customers at different stages of the efficiency decision-making process. Through these various media channels, the Company strives to:

- Build awareness via broadcast media;
- Capture attention through print and digital media, sponsorship, and events; and,
- Create engagement via interactive media and direct marketing.

Among our advertising and promotion strategies, we:

- Drive web visits for program information and educational content;
- Encourage engagement with our digital media and direct-marketing efforts;
- Maintain awareness, likeability and favorable opinion of our offerings;
- Create an emotional connection by appealing to individual needs and barriers;
- Sponsor cost-effective events and outreach; and,
- Maintain traditional outreach via marketing tactics that deliver the most cost-effective impact.

Program Changes

The increased budget included in this Extension Plan is necessary to help boost achievement expectations as the Company's lighting program savings begin to decrease and the Company strives to drive and sustain deeper energy savings across the portfolio. In aggregate, they compose 6.1% of the Company's requested 2020 electric DSM budget and 8.4% of the Company's requested 2020 natural gas DSM budget. These will give the Company necessary budget flexibility to create and begin the process of expanding our messages in the marketplace.

	Approved for 2019	Proposed for 2020 (Electric)	Approved for 2019	Proposed for 2020 (Gas)
Advertising & Promotion Budget	\$3,300,000	\$6,286,899	\$808,360	\$1,564,532

Budget and Goal Considerations

The budget was determined by using cost estimates from past projects, vendor proposals, current customer counts, current conservation advertising budgets, known costs for creating new campaigns, and other general industry pricing knowledge. As the Company continues to optimize

the marketing mix, the budget gives us the flexibility to choose the tactics and tools necessary to effectively promote customer solutions in balance with increasing costs.

The main budget drivers include the following:

- Administration This category covers the internal labor necessary for advertising and promotion marketing campaigns.
- Advertising and Promotion These funds are spent directly on the Company's advertising and promotion strategies to support individual programs and cross-marketing among programs.

Involvement of Community Energy Organizations

The Advertising & Promotion budget provides support to the Residential, Low-Income, and Business Segment programs that partner with non-profit agencies and community organizations.

Application Development and Maintenance

Description

The Application, Development, and Maintenance (ADM) budget supports the Company's extensive data and process management necessary to market, manage and deliver energy efficiency programs and report program achievement by utilizing several different computer systems that require regular maintenance and sometimes new functionality. In this Extension Plan, we intend to perform enhancements and updates to our current systems to maintain and improve the quality of our reporting. These changes are necessary to introduce additional reporting flexibility and efficiencies, improve back-office processes, and improve process management by injecting efficiencies into current operations.

As ADM is an internal Information Technology (IT) program to support the Company's software and maintenance data and reporting capabilities, it is not marketed externally or offer rebates to customers.

The Company's ADM work is performed by a combination of in-house software developers and system administrators, and contracted external resources. The budget represents software purchases and labor required to configure and integrate the software with existing systems and processes.

Program Changes

None.

Budget and Goal Considerations

Administration – The budgets were developed using historical trends for existing system maintenance work and by identifying project-specific funds for new system development work for the Company to ensure that as technology advances, the costs incurred also increase. The budget increase aims to keep our existing systems and processes at optimal levels of performance. All expenditures for the portfolio – including internal labor and software licenses – are covered by this budget.

Involvement of Community Energy Organizations

Not applicable.

CIP Training

Description

The CIP Training program allows the Company's internal staff within marketing, engineering, regulatory, operations and sales the opportunity for continued education. These education opportunities include learning more about energy efficient electric and natural gas equipment as well as new advances in technology and changes in the energy efficiency industry. These trainings are necessary to enhance the Company's knowledge base. The Company's staff may attend internal or external training sessions, conferences and seminars on various technologies, industry best practices, and energy efficiency and conservation topics. Continued education enables us to stay up-to-date on the latest technologies and trends in the energy efficiency industry to better serve our customers. The CIP training budget allows us to overcome future challenges and help us meet our conservation goals.

The CIP Training program is an internal program to support the Company's training efforts to keep staff aware of new technologies and transformations in the energy efficiency industry. This program is not marketed externally and does not offer rebates to customers.

Program Changes

None.

Budget and Goal Considerations

The program budget was developed by evaluating historical spending for staff to attend both internal and external conferences and seminars on energy efficiency education. The main budget drivers include the following:

Program Administration – This budget covers the internal labor, materials and travel expenses for the Company's staff to attend internal and external conferences, seminars, and training sessions.

Involvement of Community Energy Organizations

Not applicable.

Regulatory Affairs

Description

Regulatory Affairs manages all of the Company's DSM regulatory filings, directs and prepares costbenefit analyses, provides results of energy conservation achievements, manages electric and gas potential studies, and analyzes and prepares cost recovery reports. The group also provides procedures for effectively addressing requirements for the DSM regulatory process. These functions are needed to ensure a cohesive and high-quality DSM portfolio that meets legal requirements, as well as the expectations of our customers, regulators, and staff.

In addition, Regulatory Affairs supports the DSM component of resource planning, rate cases, and certificates of need, and provides strategic evaluation planning and internal policy guidance. These functions are needed to ensure the cost-effectiveness of DSM, to ensure the quality of DSM impact estimates, help generate ideas for future DSM projects, establish programmatic consistency, and manage DSM-related marketing information.

Budget and Goal Considerations

Program budgets were developed based on historical spending. Included in the Regulatory Affairs budgets are materials, administration, and outside consulting costs. Budgets have increased slightly to recognize we spent most, or exceeded, of what was budgeted in the Company's previous Triennial Plan.

The main budget drivers include the following:

Administration – This budget category covers the internal labor and materials, software
fees, and outside consulting and contracting necessary to deliver all DSM-related
regulatory filings in Minnesota.

Program Changes

None.

Involvement of Community Energy Organizations

The Regulatory Affairs group works with third-party alternative filers, community organizations, and other interested parties as applicable. In addition, we regularly attend energy efficiency stakeholder meetings and assist with legislative policy.

Research, Evaluations, & Pilots Segment

Description

The Research, Evaluations, and Pilots Segment includes indirect research and development efforts that are not directly affiliated with a specific direct impact program. This Segment provides research, evaluation, and screening of new DSM products and concept testing.

Under this Segment, Market Research and Product Development:

- Evaluates achieved energy and demand savings;
- Quantifies the various levels of market potential for programs;
- Analyzes overall effects of Xcel Energy's CIP portfolio on customer usage and overall system peak demand and system energy usage;
- Develops new DSM programs;
- Researches, pilots, and monitors new conservation products to determine conservation opportunity;
- Provide overall informational support for DSM;
- Evaluate the processes and impacts of DSM Programs;
- Measure overall customer satisfaction with Xcel Energy's various DSM efforts;
- Provide segment and target market information; and,
- Examine in further depth the various assumptions used within program design and management.

Portions of this Segment are subject to the Research and Development spending cap of 10 percent of our minimum-spending requirement. For the most part, Market Research projects fall outside of Research & Development, except for market potential studies, as the information is not intended exclusively to assist in developing new programs and mainly addresses existing programs through efforts such as program evaluations. All of Product Development projects and costs are included within the R&D category and subject to the cap, except for pilot programs.

Programs

This Segment is comprised of the Market Research and Product Development teams.

Overall Goals

The budgets for Market Research and Product Development were based on past spending and adjusted for planned expenditures. Pilot projects may be proposed as either direct or indirect impact. Two pilots are included in this Extension Plan and savings related to these pilots are presented in the following table:

	Electric				Gas		
Segment	Participation	Budget	Gen	Gen	Participation	Budget	Dth
	_	_	kW	kWh	_		
Research,	38,201	\$3,751,148	1,577	7,052,207	13	\$596,233	4,568
Evaluations,							
& Pilots							

Market Analysis

Not applicable.

Marketing/Advertising/Promotion

Not applicable.

Overall Policies

Not applicable.

Stakeholder Involvement

We involve external parties (government, manufacturers, vendors, installers) in our product development process. We also seek the input of manufacturers, vendors, and installers as we build the technical assumptions for each product in order to test for cost effectiveness.

Energy Information Systems Pilot

Description

The Energy Information Systems (EIS) pilot offers custom electric and gas rebates and consulting services to customers that implement behavior change and low cost/no cost operational improvements. The pilot is primarily marketed to large commercial and industrial customers and currently has 17 participants.

The pilot's main offerings include the following:

- Consulting services to help a customer select an EIS solution;
- Consulting services to support the customer through process of installation, integration, and commission of the EIS;
- A 30 percent incentive towards the purchase and installation of the EIS;
- Consulting services to develop a baseline energy model and M&V plan for the facility;
- Measurement and verification of energy savings due to behavior change and low-cost/no cost operational improvements;
- Ongoing consulting services for a period of up to 36 months of participation; and,
- Custom rebates for energy savings due to behavior change and low-cost/no cost operational improvements.

EIS will use a three-phase approach to implement the process and capture deeper energy savings:

PHASE 1: Setup

Before the customer selects an EIS solution provider, the Company will work with the customer to identify the metering and communications needs, existing sources of data, and opportunities to improve data collection through new metering or data logging equipment for the site. At this time, the Company and the customer will establish a common understanding of goals for the metering solution as well as the definition for how efficiency for each building and system is characterized. The Company will help the customer select an EIS tool provider that meets their specific needs. The Company will pre-qualify EIS providers to ensure solutions will enable accurate and reliable M&V for the program. Once the system installation is verified and approved, the Company will pay an installation incentive to help cover the up-front cost of the EIS.

PHASE 2: Treatment

Once the EIS is operational it will take a period of time to sufficiently capture the data required to inform the identification of energy efficiency opportunities. These opportunities are expected to arise in a variety of behavioral, operational, and capital forms.

- Behavioral with visibility and tighter management of energy performance metrics, occupants and end users are expected to be more engaged in reducing energy consumption
- Operational low cost /no cost measures associated with tune-up of equipment or scheduling of equipment operating times
- Capital expansion of controls systems or new/improved end-use equipment and systems

Frequent communication with the customer and thorough documentation throughout this phase will be required to ensure that new measures are discovered and implemented.

PHASE 3: Verification

On an annual basis, an analysis will be compiled that delineates savings achievements from each primary measure identified in Phase 2. Capital measures will be analyzed and incented though the Company's prescriptive and custom programs. Behavioral and low cost/no cost operational measures will be analyzed using a "top-down" method through the multivariable regression modeling capabilities embedded in the EIS tool.

To ensure persistence of savings, the Company will follow appropriate monitoring guidelines and participants will be held to those requirements in return for eligibility toward incentives related to energy-efficiency activities pursued.

Along with identification of behavioral and low cost/no cost energy savings opportunities, the Company anticipates that EIS pilot will identify additional capital improvement opportunities that will be captured in other DSM products as well.

The customer's formal acknowledgement of planned participation in the pilot begins with the customer signing a Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) prior to beginning Phase 1. The Company views the signing of the MOU to formally establish a date of influence for all projects completed under the umbrella of the product.

Research questions the Company hopes to address with this pilot:

- How much will participants' electricity and natural gas use be reduced when an EIS is integrated into participants' strategic energy management (SEM) practices?
- Can an EIS enable efficiencies in the identification and verification of energy savings measures, and therefore reduce the total cost of program delivery to achieve these savings or achieve savings that otherwise would not occur?
- What types of measures do EIS typically assist in identifying, and does the additional information help drive increased measure implementation?
- Can EIS data enable the Company to identify, isolate and measure behavior-based energy savings measures?
- Are the measurement and verification methods to measure participants' savings robust and precise?

Program Changes

None.

Budget and Goal Considerations

The pilot's participation and energy savings goals and budget were determined from industry research which indicates that up to 20 percent energy savings is possible through the implementation of an EIS¹ In the projections for this pilot, the Company assumes 10 percent energy savings to be achieved over the course of five years of engagement relating to behavioral and low cost/no cost

¹ "Energy information systems (EIS): Technology costs, benefit, and best practice uses," Granderson, Lin, Piette, November 2013.

operational measure savings. The remaining 10 percent of expected savings are anticipated to come through capital measures and will be realized through the Company's prescriptive and custom programs.

The main budget drivers are from rebates and the consulting services provided directly to customers.

Involvement of Community Energy Organizations None.

ENERGY STAR® Retail Products Platform Pilot

Description

The ENERGY STAR® Retail Products Platform program is intended to test a national, mid-stream incentive approach to driving transformation of the appliance and consumer electronics market. The program is part of an effort coordinated by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to incentivize retailers to promote efficient products to drive increased market penetration of ENERGY STAR products. With EPA coordination, the program first launched as a pilot in 2016 and included participating utilities and energy efficiency program implementers from California, Oregon, Washington, Idaho, Montana, New York, Vermont, Wisconsin, Hawaii, and New Jersey.

The program engages retailers through midstream incentive payments to increase the demand and supply for the most energy efficient residential plug-load and appliance products on the market, driving greater sales of select ENERGY STAR-certified products to customers. With a combination of incentives and engagement, retailers will assort, stock, and promote more energy efficient models than they would have absent the program. The shift in product availability will generate energy savings as utility customers purchase and install these more efficient models in their homes.

The program's main offerings include the following:

- Retailer incentives for Energy Star certified:
 - o Basic Air Conditioners;
 - o Advanced Clothes Washers;
 - o Advanced Refrigerators;
 - o Basic and Advanced Freezers; and,
 - o Advanced Electric Clothes Dryers.

Program Changes

In 2019, the Company removed sound Bars, Air Cleaners and Gas Dryers and reduced the incentives for most products to help improve the utility cost test score. The electric budget decreased to \$226,321 in approved rebate spending due to eliminated measures and decreases in rebate levels and the gas budget was moved to \$0 after July 1, 2019 with the removal of Gas Dryers. As part of this Extension Plan, we have included a full-year budget that reflects these approved midyear 2019 changes.

Budget and Goal Considerations

The program's participation and energy savings goals and budget were determined by adjusting the projected participation levels, promotion, and administration expenses that were approved for mid-year 2019 to reflect the full year of 2020, similar to the budget changes mentioned above. The main budget drivers include the following:

- Retailer Incentives- The rebate budget reflects the current retailer incentive levels and projected customer participation, which was based on past participation and retailer sales history.
- Administration This category reflects the costs to manage and verify the retailer sales data to ensure Energy Star qualified product sales are incented.

Involvement of Community Energy Organizations

None.

Measurement & Verification

This section documents our efforts to measure and verify direct-savings of electric and gas programs to ensure that reported savings are as accurate as possible while balancing measurement and verification (M&V) robustness against cost. M&V costs have been budgeted within each program's overall budget.

Prescriptive projects are subjected to realization rates, a calculated metric that compares verified savings with reported savings, then is applied to all reported program savings to come up with total program impacts. Custom projects all adhere to pre-established M&V policy and threshold under Docket Number E, G999/CIP-06-1591. For programs not specifically listed in this document, project M&V is not conducted due to budgetary or logistical constraints, but may be validated in periodic program evaluations.

• Rebate Application Validation (All Programs)

<u>Step 1:</u> Applications are validated prior to data entry and sent back to the customer or account manager if any data is missing or incorrect.

<u>Step 2:</u> Daily audit is conducted on all rebates after data entry but before rebate is issued. Errors are corrected and rebate is paid.

• Measurement & Verification (General)

Verifies on an ongoing basis during performance year the gross energy and demand savings.

- **Prescriptive programs** using deemed savings technical assumptions have random sample field inspections to verify that the measure is installed and operating and the key parameters of the technical assumption match the rebate.
- Custom programs go through stages of engineering review of the savings calculations. Random samples are sent to an outside engineering firm for further review. Projects with savings greater than 1 GWh or 20,000 Dth are pre- and postmetered, as are some projects that are metered at engineering discretion to verify assumptions for new technologies or other variables.
- Exception programs conduct M&V as it makes sense from a financial, accuracy, logistical and customer investment standpoint.

Prescriptive Process

For most of the programs, the verification contractor selects a statistically valid number of projects to verify through field inspections or phone surveys. The sample size is designed to achieve accuracy levels of between 10 percent and 20 percent given a confidence level of 90 percent around the realization rate and is weighted to select larger projects. The number of randomly selected participants in the sample may increase or decrease during the year to ensure that the realization rate accuracy approximates the precision goals for the program. Sampling bias caused by poor response rates and deliberate exclusion of sample projects is reduced through a quality control process. Rebate forms notify all customers that their respective premises and measures are subject to verification inspections.

The realization rate is a calculated value that compares the verified savings with reported savings. The realization rate for a project is the ratio of the verified savings to the savings reported on the rebate application. The realization rate for the program as a whole is the ratio of the program's total verified savings to the total rebate reported savings. The program realization rate is applied to all program savings per fuel to determine program impacts.

The process is as follows:

<u>Step 1:</u> Customer submits rebate application and required documentation to the Company after measure is installed.

<u>Step 2:</u> Rebate Operations reviews all business and residential program rebate applications, supporting documentation, and vendor invoices. They check the customer information, equipment eligibility and proper rebate amounts. If information is missing or incorrect, the application is sent back to the account representative or customer to make changes.

<u>Step 3:</u> If project qualifies for rebate, Rebate Operations enters rebate application form data into the rebate tracking system and authorizes rebate payment. Prior to authorizing rebates, all applications are verified in a daily audit.

<u>Step 4:</u> On a monthly basis the third-party verification contractor (VC) pulls a list of all projects completed during the period.

<u>Step 5:</u> VC selects random samples, notifies the Company of the sample selections, and manages statistically valid sample process to achieve a 90% confidence level with 10% precision.

If it is not possible to achieve 90/10, a confidence and precision level of 90/20 is acceptable. Step 6: VC contacts customer to schedule the inspection.

<u>Step 7:</u> VC visits site and verifies the savings factors and equipment information for that measure. VC also re-runs the rebate calculation worksheet for each project to ensure the inputs and outputs of the calculator are correct.

Step 8: VC documents discrepancies and submits report to the Company.

<u>Step 9</u>: Product management and technical staff evaluate the nature of the discrepancy and take appropriate follow-up actions.

<u>Step 10:</u> VC calculates realization rate for each project and cumulative year-to-date realization rate for each program.

<u>Step 11:</u> Corrective action such as communication of program requirements, changes to program rules or identification of intentional misuse of the programs are undertaken based on these audit results as necessary.

Applicable Prescriptive Programs

Including prescriptive projects of programs with prescriptive and custom components.

Business Programs

- Commercial Efficiency;
- Cooling Efficiency;
- Data Center Efficiency;
- Fluid System Optimization;
- Foodservice Equipment;
- Heating Efficiency;
- Lighting Efficiency;

- Motor Efficiency;
- Process Efficiency; and,
- Turn Key Services.

Residential Programs

- Residential Heating;
- Insulation Rebate;
- Low-Income Home Energy Savings;
- Multi-Family Energy Savings;
- Residential Cooling;
- Residential Demand Response (Smart Thermostat measures) and,
- Water Heating Rebate.

Programs and/or Components with Variation from Prescriptive Process

- The Boiler Tune-Up and Tune-Up Plus measures from the Heating Efficiency program do not have audits performed.
- Business New Construction Energy Efficient Buildings (EEB) component, EEB differs from the prescriptive process in that preapproval is required prior to equipment install, invoices are not required, and all projects are field verified by the third party implementer.
- Energy Feedback, Whole Home Efficiency, Home Energy Squad, Low-Income Home Energy Squad, Refrigerator Recycling, Multi-Family Building Efficiency, the third-party implementers are responsible for ensuring verification of measures.
- For **Efficient New Home Construction**, 100 percent of homes are verified through the Residential Energy Services Network (RESNET) rating and quality assurance protocols.
- For **Home Lighting**, all retailers provide sales data on quantity and type of bulbs sold.
- Select programs utilize third-party program implementers or survey companies to complete follow-up surveys to a sample of the participants to confirm and track whether the equipment was installed. An installation rate is applied to the program's annual savings.
- For **School Education Kits**, participants conduct and submit surveys.
- For **Energy Efficient Showerhead** program, third-party implementer reports on quantity of showerheads distributed. The third-party survey company reports on installation rates.

General Custom Process

Project Identification

Step 1: Project identification and scoping.

Step 2: Customer submits preapproval application to the Company.

Preapproval

<u>Step 3:</u> An engineer (or outside engineering firm) reviews the application and calculates the energy and demand savings based on the technical assumptions specific to that measure and the resulting rebate.

<u>Step 4:</u> Xcel Energy engineers review the calculations, regardless of whether internal or external engineers completed Step 3.

<u>Step 5:</u> We select a random sample of committed projects and send this list to an outside engineering firm (if Xcel Energy engineer performed Step 3) to review the calculations.

<u>Step 6:</u> If the outside engineering firm disagrees with our engineer's analysis, they discuss the project and reach a consensus on the calculations.

<u>Step 7:</u> We send out a preapproval or rejection letter stating the preapproved demand and energy savings along with the rebate amount.

Monitoring

<u>Step 8:</u> If monitoring is needed, an Xcel Energy engineer drafts an M&V plan and sends a monitoring agreement for customer review and approval signature.

<u>Step 9</u>: If the customer does not have the appropriate meter structure, a third-party engineering firm installs metering equipment and collects the pre-data as set forth in the monitoring agreement.

<u>Step 10:</u> After the designated pre-monitoring period, the customer completes the project installation and contacts the account manager.

Step 11: The third-party engineering firm collects post-installation monitoring data and sends pre- and post-data to the Company.

Site Verification

<u>Step 12:</u> For managed accounts, the customer's account manager works with the customer to verify project installation and removal of old equipment, and obtain invoices or alternate cost documentation for submission to our DSM staff.

Approval and Rebate Payment

Step 13: For non-monitored projects, the invoices are reviewed and if the installed measure specifications match the proposed measure specifications, then the preapproved rebate is awarded. If project incremental costs changed by >10%, or the scopechanged, the project is reevaluated (return to Step 3).

<u>Step 14:</u> For monitored projects, an engineer (or third-party engineering firm) determines actual savings based on monitoring results.

<u>Step 15:</u> For monitored projects, if an Xcel Energy engineer completes the analysis, 100% of projects are sent to third-party engineering firm for review.

<u>Step 16:</u> If the third-party engineering firm disagrees with our engineer's analysis, they discuss the project and reach consensus on the calculations.

Step 17: For monitored projects, if the incremental cost and savings (customer Dth, customer kW savings, and customer kWh) vary by <= 10% of the preapproved estimated savings, the preapproved rebate is

paid and the monitored savings and actual costs are claimed.

A new analysis is conducted if the actual

savings vary by >10%. The rebate paid is based on actual savings, and we claim the post-monitored results.

Step 18: Project savings are reported in the year that the rebate is awarded.

Applicable Custom Programs

Including custom and behavioral projects of programs with these components.

• Commercial Efficiency;

- Cooling Efficiency;
- Custom Efficiency;
- Data Center Efficiency;
- Efficiency Controls;
- Fluid System Optimization;
- Heating Efficiency;
- Lighting Efficiency;
- Motor Efficiency;
- Process Efficiency; and
- Turn Key Services.

Exceptions

Programs with special design elements are verified using processes unique to the program. The M&V process for these products is described below.

Business New Construction – We contract with a third-party consultant to develop the energy efficiency recommendations and M&V. Field verification is performed to ensure that the strategies are installed per the design intent. The rebate is not paid until savings are verified.

The following process shows the steps taken throughout the EDA process to ensure proper installation and energy savings:

- Step 1: Application submittal.
- Step 2: Meetings take place with the customer and design team.
- Step 3: Consultant completes energy modeling to identify conservation packages.
- <u>Step 4:</u> Construction documents are reviewed for measures identified through the energy model. The design team and customer are notified whether these measures were found within these documents.(Enhanced Track only)
- <u>Step 5:</u> The customer completes construction.
- <u>Step 6:</u> Consultant visits site and verifies that specified measures were installed. Selected equipment and systems are monitored for a two week timeframe, as appropriate, to evaluate performance variables against modeling assumptions.
- Step 7: For projects with individual measures that have savings greater than or equal to 1.0 GWh or 20,000 Dth per year, the individual measures must be considered "selected equipment" as defined in Step 6 above.
- Step 8: The actual results are used to determine the final rebate.
- Step 9: Rebate is issued to customer based on final savings.

Recommissioning, Heating System Optimization and Study Driven Program (general process)

The customer hires an engineering firm to conduct a study of the building to determine energy savings for each measure. An Xcel Energy engineer then reviews and verifies 100% of the identified opportunities for savings calculation accuracy prior to approving and paying a rebate for the study.

When opportunities are implemented, an Xcel Energy engineer verifies that the implemented measures match what was approved and edits any changes implemented that do not exactly match the approved study. For Recommissioning, the customer needs to notify us when this happens. For

other programs, the quantity/equipment detail on the invoices may be used.

Self-Direct Program

Qualifying customers submit M&V plans with their applications. M&V plans, which may include pre-installation monitoring, are reviewed and approved by an Xcel Energy engineer (or outside engineering firm).

Load Management

Electric Rate Savings

Customer participation and compliance is verified via the specialized meters deployed. This allows us to confirm the amount of load shed at each control event.

Residential Demand Response and Business Saver's Switch

The Residential Demand Response (Saver's Switch and AC Rewards) and Business Saver's Switch programs contract with a third-party to conduct annual load research on a sample of participant sites. This research measures the amount of load relief realized when a control is implemented.

In territories where the automated meter reading system is available, we are able to test residential Saver's Switches remotely to identify sites with failed switches. We anticipate continuing this process annually going forward to ensure a healthy switch population.

Market Research

Description

Market Research drives a variety of specific projects that are used to support effective design and implementation of DSM programs and services. This enhances understanding of current and potential customers, market segmentation, and engagement drivers. Additional research is conducted through procurement of third-party consultants who review primary and secondary data while purchased market research subscriptions offer energy efficiency and/or marketing resources that provide strategic information regarding customers, DSM products, and business direction for Xcel Energy DSM efforts. This research falls into four categories:

- Program Support Activities which primarily provide overall DSM informational support for several programs or segments;
- Program Evaluations which provide individual specific program process and / or impact studies;
- Program Assumptions Analysis which deeply examine the various assumptions used in program design, management, and assessment; and,
- Portfolio Potential Studies that survey existing and emerging energy consuming technologies in homes and businesses to project how the DSM portfolio will evolve in the future.

Although research needs may change during the course of the Plan, we plan the following market research activities in 2020:

- Program Support Activities
 - o E Source membership provides unbiased, objective research and advisory services that help advance efficiency programs and improve the customer experience.
 - O Purchased segmentation information provides specific demographic fields helpful in effectively identifying potential business and residential customers capable of benefiting from existing and planned DSM programs.
 - o Home Use Study provides valuable information regarding saturation of various home appliances and technologies in residential homes.
 - o Residential media research tracking the effectiveness and reach of DSM advertising efforts by asking customers reactions and recall of specific campaigns.
- Program Evaluations: Comprehensive evaluations are completed by independent third-party consultants for specific programs each year. Factors that are taken into consideration in determining the priority and schedule of program evaluations include, but are not limited to: program tenure in Minnesota, savings achieved per participant and relative to total goals, program expenditures compared to total budgets, uncertainty and/or risk associated with savings or technical assumptions, and availability of other studies regarding the particular measures. We plan to conduct the following evaluation activities in 2020:
 - o Energy Efficient Showerheads evaluation
 - o Home Lighting multi-state study examining market effects of lighting programs
 - o A/C Rewards modified comprehensive evaluation
 - o Continuation of Residential Cooling evaluation from 2019

Program Changes

The Company originally included a 2019 evaluation for Residential Heating in our 2017-2019 CIP Triennial Plan. However, based on the amount of potential for cooling-related measures identified in

the statewide potential study, the Company has shifted this evaluation to Residential Cooling and delayed the study by six months to survey customers and trade after the completion of the 2019 cooling season. This change does not affect or change the 2020 budget for this program.

Budget and Goal Considerations

The Market Research budget was developed based on historical project costs for similar research and/or studies of similar scope.

The main budget drivers include the following:

- Administration which covers the internal staff and external professional services needed for project planning and implementation.
- Measurement and Verification which provides funding for program evaluations.

Involvement of Community Energy Organizations

While it is not anticipated at this time based on the programs proposed for evaluation, the Company will engage previously-involved stakeholders to review evaluation plans where the evaluator identifies community-focused research objectives.

Product Development

Description

Product Development identifies, assesses, and develops new energy efficiency and demand response products and services for eventual inclusion as new programs, products, and measures as part of our Conservation Improvement Program. This work enables the Company to keep up-to-date and advance important new energy saving technologies for customers. The group also develops improvements to existing products.

The product development process begins with new ideas for energy conservation measures from customers, regulators, energy professionals, Company staff, and others. Before a new product is approved, the group researches new ideas, evaluates them for savings potential, screens, and, in certain cases, tests particular product ideas as we work through the development process.

During this Extension Plan, Product Development will continue to develop new products and expand existing products to help meet the Company's savings goals. Products or programs are selected for development based on several criteria including, but not limited to: energy efficiency potential, level of effort to development, longevity of the offering (i.e. how long until a product becomes the industry standard), market barriers, and risk (technological, market) among others.

Program Changes

None.

Budget and Goal Considerations

Product Development is an indirect-impact program and, therefore, generally does not set any participation or energy savings goals. In instances where Product Development develops pilots with savings goals, the Company seeks Department approval to claim direct-impact savings in those cases.

The program budgets were developed by reviewing historical program expenditures and estimating the time involved in completing future efforts. The main budget drivers include the following:

- Research and Development (R&D) This category funds internal Product Development staff.
- Administration Product Development contracts with external consultants to assist in project planning and implementation.

Involvement of Community Energy Organizations

Product Development collaborates with a number of local and national organizations, including:

- Minneapolis Sustainable Growth Coalition (MSGC): Product Development regularly works with MSGC to gain feedback on product prototypes from our commercial and industrial customers.
- Clean Energy Partners: Product Development is part of a partnership convened by Clean Energy Partners to implement several work plans that pilot products with our commercial and industrial customers.

- Zero-Energy District Accelerator: We are working through the accelerator to collaborate and support communities that are pursuing zero-energy districts, including: the National Western Center, River Mile, the Ford Community, and Rice Creek Commons.
- US Department of Energy (DOE): Product Development regularly works with DOE to increase awareness of emerging technologies, overcome barriers to emerging technology adoption, and to determine future research needs. Additionally, Product Development regularly supports research sponsored by DOE with in-kind time and resources.
- The National Renewable Energy Laboratory (NREL): Product Development regularly works with NREL to increase awareness of emerging technologies, overcome barriers to emerging technology adoption, and to determine future research needs.
- Center for Energy and Environment (CEE): Product Development regularly works with CEE to increase awareness of emerging technologies, overcome barriers to emerging technology adoption, and to determine future research needs. Additionally, Product Development supports research performed by CEE with in-kind time and resources.
- Consortium for Energy Efficiency: Product Development is part of a coalition of utilities in North America that work to accelerate consideration and adoption of emerging technologies into energy efficiency programs across the industry and into the market.
- Midwest Energy Efficiency Alliance: Product Development is part of a collaborative network advancing energy efficiency in the Midwest for sustainable economic development and environmental stewardship.
- Western Cooling Efficiency Center (WCEC) at UC Davis: Product Development is a sponsoring member of WCEC, which performs laboratory and field research on novel cooling technologies.
- Emerging Technologies Coordinating Council (ETCC): Product Development regularly
 works with the ETCC to increase awareness of emerging technologies and overcome
 barriers to emerging technology adoption.

Assessments

Description

The Assessments Segment accounts for monetary assessments from the Minnesota Department of Commerce, Division of Energy Security. Minn. Stat. § 216B.241, subd(s). 1d, e and f assess each utility a fee for technical assistance, applied research and development grants, and facility energy efficiency.

Program Changes

None.

Budget and Goal Considerations and Participation Development

Budgets for this Extension Plan are based on the direct and indirect assessment invoices received during the 2017-2019 CIP Triennial Plan period.

The main budget drivers include the following:

• Other – All regulatory assessments are budgeted to this category.

Involvement of Community Energy Organizations

None.

Electric Utility Infrastructure

Description

The Minnesota Next Generation Energy Act of 2007 ("Act") created the opportunity for an electric utility to claim savings from projects that improve the efficiency of the utility's infrastructure or system towards its electric savings goal, provided that the utility files a plan to achieve savings of at least one percent of retail sales through direct energy conservation programs. The Act also authorized a new rate schedule for recovery of electric utility infrastructure project costs, but does not require that a utility create a specific rate schedule in order to claim the related energy savings.

Electric utility infrastructure ("EUI") projects are defined in Minn. Stat. § 216B.1636 as electric utility-owned projects that:

- 1) Replace or modify existing electric utility infrastructure, including utility-owned buildings, if the replacement or modification is shown to conserve energy or use energy more efficiently, consistent with section 216B.241, subd. 1c; or,
- 2) Conserve energy or use energy more efficiently by using waste heat recovery converted into electricity as defined in section 216B.241, subd. 1, paragraph (n).

Minn. Stat. § 216B.241, subd. 1c, also clarifies that EUI projects "must result in increased energy efficiency greater than that which would have occurred through normal maintenance activity." Sample projects include distribution system improvements that reduce line losses and heat rate improvements that increase the efficiency of energy production, such as process optimization and equipment design modifications.

In addition to contributing towards our CIP goals, EUI projects typically have the following benefits:

- Direct energy consumption savings;
- Reduced maintenance costs;
- Extended equipment life; and,
- Better power plant performance.

The energy savings translate to less natural gas or coal that is needed to produce electricity, which reduces greenhouse gas emissions and fuel costs, thereby lowering the environmental impact and overall cost of generation.

Because we are expecting to meet the 1.5 percent goal through customer programs, EUI projects will likely play a minor role in this Extension Plan. However, savings from EUI projects may become increasingly important over time as the savings potential from traditional programs continues to decline.

Given the minor role expected for EUI in this Extension Plan and uncertainties in project funding and timing, we are not proposing specific savings goals or budgets for this Segment. Should we complete any EUI projects during the Plan, we will report the results in our annual CIP Status Report and will follow the Department's EUI policy guidance.

Program Changes

None.

Budget and Goal Considerations

As discussed earlier, we are not proposing any budgets or savings goals for this segment.

Involvement of Community Energy Organizations

In 2017, the Company and other stakeholders participated in the Department's EUI stakeholder process in order to better understand existing state policies concerning EUI, examine incentives/disincentives to improving EUI efficiency, and recommend policy changes or clarifications to leverage EUI efficiency to help meet Minnesota's efficiency goals.

ELECTRIC CIP TOTAL						2020 ELE	CTRIC	GOAL	
2020 Net Present Cost Benefit Summ	nary Analysis For	All Participants				Input Summary and Totals			
			Rate	Total		Program "Inputs" per Customer kW			
	Participant	Utility	Impact	Resource	Societal	Lifetime (Weighted on Generator kWh)	A	12.6 years	
	Test	Test	Test	Test	Test	Annual Hours	В	8760	
	(\$Total)	(\$Total)	(\$Total)	(\$Total)	(\$Total)	Gross Customer kW	С	1 kW	
Benefits	, , ,		, , ,		, , ,	Generator Peak Coincidence Factor	D	39.61%	
						Gross Load Factor at Customer	E	17.46%	
Avoided Revenue Requirements						Transmission Loss Factor (Energy)	F	7.268%	
Generation	N/A	\$57,971,500	\$57,971,500	\$57,971,500	\$57,971,500	Transmission Loss Factor (Demand)	G	8.155%	
T & D	N/A	\$36,407,102	\$36,407,102	\$36,407,102	\$36,407,102	Societal Net Benefit (Cost)	Н	\$677	
Marginal Energy	N/A	\$135,903,923	\$135,903,923	\$135,903,923	\$135,903,923	oocean recipient (ooo)		9077	
Environmental Externality	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$48,092,370				
Subtotal	N/A	\$230,282,525	\$230,282,525	\$230,282,525	\$278,374,895	Program Summary per Participant			
						Gross kW Saved at Customer	I	0.18 kW	
Participant Benefits						Net coincident kW Saved at Generator	(IxD)/(1-G)	0.08 kW	
Bill Reduction - Electric	\$360,563,203	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Gross Annual kWh Saved at Customer	(B x E x I)	270 kWh	
Rebates from Xcel Energy	\$38,550,214	N/A	N/A	\$38,550,214	\$38,550,214	Net Annual kWh Saved at Generator	(BxExI)/(1-F)	291 kWh	
Incremental Capital Savings	\$0	N/A	N/A	\$0	\$0		7: \		
Incremental O&M Savings	\$43,459,050	N/A	N/A	\$39,588,834	\$39,588,834				
Subtotal	\$442,572,467	N/A	N/A	\$78,139,048	\$78,139,048	Program Summary All Participants			
						Total Participants	J	1,395,410	
Total Benefits	\$442,572,467	\$230,282,525	\$230,282,525	\$308,421,573	\$356,513,943	Total Budget	K	\$86,435,790	
Costs						Gross kW Saved at Customer	(J x I)	246,291 kW	
						Net coincident kW Saved at Generator	$(I \times D) / (1 - G) \times J$	106,206 kW	
Utility Project Costs						Gross Annual kWh Saved at Customer	(BxExI)xJ	376,641,420 kWh	
Customer Services	N/A	\$2,650,395	\$2,650,395	\$2,650,395	\$2,650,395	Net Annual kWh Saved at Generator	$((\mathbf{B} \times \mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{I})/(1-\mathbf{F})) \times \mathbf{J}$	406,160,800 kWh	
Project Administration	N/A	\$31,400,821	\$31,400,821	\$31,400,821	\$31,400,821	Societal Net Benefits	(JxIxH)	\$166,732,775	
Advertising & Promotion	N/A	\$10,694,664	\$10,694,664	\$10,694,664	\$10,694,664				
Measurement & Verification	N/A	\$1,398,800	\$1,398,800	\$1,398,800	\$1,398,800				
Rebates	N/A	\$38,550,214	\$38,550,214	\$38,550,214	\$38,550,214	Utility Program Cost per kWh Lifetime		\$0.0169	
Other	N/A	\$1,740,895	\$1,740,895	\$1,740,895	\$1,740,895	Utility Program Cost per kW at Gen		\$814	
Subtotal	N/A	\$86,435,790	\$86,435,790	\$86,435,790	\$86,435,790				
Utility Revenue Reduction									
Revenue Reduction - Electric	N/A	N/A	\$360,563,203	N/A	N/A				
Subtotal	N/A	N/A	\$360,563,203	N/A	N/A				
Participant Costs									
Participant Costs Incremental Capital Costs	\$104,192,714	N/A	N/A	\$103,345,379	\$103,345,379				

Note: Dollar values represent present value of impacts accumulated over the lifetime of the measures.

\$104,192,714

\$104,192,714

\$338,379,753

Subtotal

Total Costs

Net Benefit (Cost)

Benefit/Cost Ratio

N/A

\$86,435,790

\$143,846,735

N/A

\$446,998,992

(\$216,716,468)

\$103,345,379

\$189,781,168

\$118,640,405

1.63

\$103,345,379

\$189,781,168

\$166,732,775

Conservation Improvement Program (CIP)

BENEFIT COST FOR GAS CIPS-- Cost-Effectiveness Analysis

Company: Xcel Energy

Project: Total Gas CIP With Indirect Participants

Input Data			2020 Extension
1) Retail Rate (\$/Dth) =	\$6.46	Administrative & Operating Costs =	\$ 11,167,363
Escalation Rate =	4.00%	Incentive Costs = 16) Total Utility Project Costs =	\$7,132,831 \$18,300,194
2) Non-Gas Fuel Retail Rate (\$/Fuel Unit) =	\$0.000	, , ,	
Escalation Rate =	3.22%	17) Direct Participant Costs (\$/Part.) =	\$45
Non-Gas Fuel Units (ie. kWh,Gallons, etc) =	kWh		
		18) Participant Non-Energy Costs (Annual \$/Part.) =	\$ 0
3) Commodity Cost (\$/Dth) = Escalation Rate =	\$4.27 4.00%	Escalation Rate =	2.16%
		19) Participant Non-Energy Savings (Annual \$/Part) =	\$2
4) Demand Cost (\$/Unit/Yr) = Escalation Rate =	\$80.24 4.00%	Escalation Rate =	2.16%
5) Peak Reduction Factor =	1.00%	20) Project Life (Years) =	309.8
6) Variable O&M (\$/Dth) =	\$0.0408	21) Avg. Dth/Part. Saved =	1.2
Escalation Rate =	4.00%	22) Avg Non-Gas Fuel Units/Part. Saved =	0.0
		22a) Avg Additional Non-Gas Fuel Units/ Part. Used =	0.0
7) Non-Gas Fuel Cost (\$/Fuel Unit) = Escalation Rate =	\$0.022 3.22%	23) Number of Participants =	632,668
8) Non-Gas Fuel Loss Factor	5.28%	24) Total Annual Dth Saved =	786,334
9) Gas Environmental Damage Factor = Escalation Rate =	\$0.380 2.16%	25) Incentive/Participant =	\$11.27
10) Non Gas Fuel Enviro. Damage Factor (\$/Unit) = Escalation Rate =	\$0.023 2.16%		
11) Participant Discount Rate =	7.42%		
12) Utility Discount Rate =	7.42%		
13) Societal Discount Rate =	2.55%		
14) General Input Data Year =	2016		

15d) Project Analysis Year 4 =	2020
--------------------------------	------

Cost Summary	2020		Extension NPV	Extension B/C
Utility Cost per Participant =	\$28.93	Ratepayer Impact Measure Test	(\$30,615,313)	0.57
Cost per Participant per Dth =	\$59.50			
		Utility Cost Test	\$23,983,131	2.40
Lifetime Energy Reduction (Dth)	243,576,656			
		Societal Test	\$37,569,638	2.06
Societal Cost per Dth	\$0.14			
		Participant Test	\$59,840,535	3.09

Conservation Improvement Program (CIP)

BENEFIT COST FOR GAS CIPS-- Cost-Effectiveness Analysis

Company: Xcel Energy

Project: Total Gas CIP Direct Participants Only

Input Data			2020 Extension
1) Retail Rate (\$/Dth) =	\$6.46	Administrative & Operating Costs =	\$7,198,979
Escalation Rate =	4.00%	Incentive Costs = 16) Total Utility Project Costs =	\$7,027,256 \$14,226,235
2) Non-Gas Fuel Retail Rate (\$/Fuel Unit) =	\$0.000	, , ,	- , ,
Escalation Rate =	3.22%	17) Direct Participant Costs (\$/Part.) =	\$127
Non-Gas Fuel Units (ie. kWh,Gallons, etc) =	kWh		
		18) Participant Non-Energy Costs (Annual \$/Part.) =	\$ 0
3) Commodity Cost (\$/Dth) = Escalation Rate =	\$4.27 4.00%	Escalation Rate =	2.16%
		19) Participant Non-Energy Savings (Annual \$/Part) =	\$ 7
4) Demand Cost (\$/Unit/Yr) = Escalation Rate =	\$80.24 4.00%	Escalation Rate =	2.16%
5) Peak Reduction Factor =	1.00%	20) Project Life (Years) =	293.1
6) Variable O&M (\$/Dth) =	\$0.0408	21) Avg. Dth/Part. Saved =	3.3
Escalation Rate =	4.00%	22) Avg Non-Gas Fuel Units/Part. Saved =	0.0
		22a) Avg Additional Non-Gas Fuel Units/ Part. Used =	0.0
7) Non-Gas Fuel Cost (\$/Fuel Unit) = Escalation Rate =	\$0.022 3.22%	23) Number of Participants =	221,793
8) Non-Gas Fuel Loss Factor	5.28%	24) Total Annual Dth Saved =	738,814
9) Gas Environmental Damage Factor = Escalation Rate =	\$0.380 2.16%	25) Incentive/Participant =	\$31.68
10) Non Gas Fuel Enviro. Damage Factor (\$/Unit) = Escalation Rate =	\$0.023 2.16%		
11) Participant Discount Rate =	7.42%		
12) Utility Discount Rate =	7.42%		
13) Societal Discount Rate =	2.55%		
14) General Input Data Year =	2016		

15d) Project Analysis Year 4 =	2020
--------------------------------	------

Cost Summary	2020		Extension NPV	Extension B/C
Utility Cost per Participant =	\$64.14	Ratepayer Impact Measure Test	(\$26,688,709)	0.59
Cost per Participant per Dth =	\$57.31			
		Utility Cost Test	\$24,610,513	2.74
Lifetime Energy Reduction (Dth)	216,534,574			
		Societal Test	\$37,253,778	2.16
Societal Cost per Dth	\$0.15			
•		Participant Test	\$54,504,753	2.93

ELECTRIC CIP CONSER	RVATION TO	TAL				2020 ELE	CTRIC	GOAL		
2020 Net Present Cost Benefit Sumn	nary Analysis For	All Participants				Input Summary and Totals				
			Rate	Total		Program "Inputs" per Customer kW				
	Participant	Utility	Impact	Resource	Societal	Lifetime (Weighted on Generator kWh)	A	12.6 years		
	Test	Test	Test	Test	Test	Annual Hours	В	8760		
	(\$Total)	(\$Total)	(\$Total)	(\$Total)	(\$Total)	Gross Customer kW	C	1 kW		
Benefits						Generator Peak Coincidence Factor	D	39.61%		
						Gross Load Factor at Customer	E	17.46%		
Avoided Revenue Requirements						Transmission Loss Factor (Energy)	F	7.268%		
Generation	N/A	\$57,971,500	\$57,971,500	\$57,971,500	\$57,971,500	Transmission Loss Factor (Demand)	G	8.155%		
T & D	N/A	\$36,407,102	\$36,407,102	\$36,407,102	\$36,407,102	Societal Net Benefit (Cost)	Н	\$677		
Marginal Energy	N/A	\$135,903,923	\$135,903,923	\$135,903,923	\$135,903,923					
Environmental Externality	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$48,092,370					
Subtotal	N/A	\$230,282,525	\$230,282,525	\$230,282,525	\$278,374,895	Program Summary per Participant				
						Gross kW Saved at Customer	I	0.18 kW		
Participant Benefits						Net coincident kW Saved at Generator	(IxD)/(1-G)	0.08 kW		
Bill Reduction - Electric	\$360,563,203	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Gross Annual kWh Saved at Customer	(BxExI)	270 kWh		
Rebates from Xcel Energy	\$38,550,214	N/A	N/A	\$38,550,214	\$38,550,214	Net Annual kWh Saved at Generator	(B x E x I) / (1 - F)	291 kWh		
Incremental Capital Savings	\$0	N/A	N/A	\$0	\$0					
Incremental O&M Savings	\$43,459,050	N/A	N/A	\$39,588,834	\$39,588,834					
Subtotal	\$442,572,467	N/A	N/A	\$78,139,048	\$78,139,048	Program Summary All Participants				
						Total Participants	J	1,395,410		
Total Benefits	\$442,572,467	\$230,282,525	\$230,282,525	\$308,421,573	\$356,513,943	Total Budget	K	\$86,435,790		
Costs						Gross kW Saved at Customer	(J x I)	246,291 kW		
						Net coincident kW Saved at Generator	$(I \times D) / (1 - G) \times J$	106,206 kW		
Utility Project Costs						Gross Annual kWh Saved at Customer	(BxExI)xJ	376,641,420 kWh		
Customer Services	N/A	\$2,650,395	\$2,650,395	\$2,650,395	\$2,650,395	Net Annual kWh Saved at Generator	$((B \times E \times I)/(1-F)) \times J$	406,160,800 kWh		
Project Administration	N/A	\$31,400,821	\$31,400,821	\$31,400,821	\$31,400,821	Societal Net Benefits	(J x I x H)	\$166,732,775		
Advertising & Promotion	N/A	\$10,694,664	\$10,694,664	\$10,694,664	\$10,694,664					
Measurement & Verification	N/A	\$1,398,800	\$1,398,800	\$1,398,800	\$1,398,800					
Rebates	N/A	\$38,550,214	\$38,550,214	\$38,550,214	\$38,550,214	Utility Program Cost per kWh Lifetime		\$0.0169		
Other	N/A	\$1,740,895	\$1,740,895	\$1,740,895	\$1,740,895	Utility Program Cost per kW at Gen		\$814		
Subtotal	N/A	\$86,435,790	\$86,435,790	\$86,435,790	\$86,435,790					
Utility Revenue Reduction										
Revenue Reduction - Electric	N/A	N/A	\$360,563,203	N/A	N/A					
Subtotal	N/A	N/A	\$360,563,203	N/A	N/A					
Participant Costs										
Incremental Capital Costs	\$104,192,714	N/A	N/A	\$103,345,379	\$103,345,379					

Note: Dollar values represent present value of impacts accumulated over the lifetime of the measures.

\$104,192,714

\$104,192,714

\$338,379,753

4.25

N/A

N/A

2.66

\$86,435,790

\$143,846,735

N/A

N/A

\$446,998,992

(\$216,716,468)

\$103,345,379

\$189,781,168

\$118,640,405

1.63

Incremental O&M Costs

Subtotal

Total Costs

Net Benefit (Cost)

Benefit/Cost Ratio

1.88

\$189,781,168

\$166,732,775

ELECTRIC CIP LOAD M	ANAGEMEN	IT TOTAL				2020 ELE	CTRIC	GOAL	
2020 Net Present Cost Benefit Sumn	nary Analysis For	All Participants				Input Summary and Totals			
			Rate	Total		Program "Inputs" per Customer kW			
	Participant	Utility	Impact	Resource	Societal	Lifetime (Weighted on Generator kWh)	A	12.6 years	
	Test	Test	Test	Test	Test	Annual Hours	В	8760	
	(\$Total)	(\$Total)	(\$Total)	(\$Total)	(\$Total)	Gross Customer kW	С	1 kW	
Benefits						Generator Peak Coincidence Factor	D	39.61%	
						Gross Load Factor at Customer	Е	17.46%	
Avoided Revenue Requirements						Transmission Loss Factor (Energy)	F	7.267%	
Generation	N/A	\$57,975,617	\$57,975,617	\$57,975,617	\$57,975,617	Transmission Loss Factor (Demand)	G	8.155%	
T & D	N/A	\$36,409,661	\$36,409,661	\$36,409,661	\$36,409,661	Societal Net Benefit (Cost)	Н	\$677	
Marginal Energy	N/A	\$135,905,612	\$135,905,612	\$135,905,612	\$135,905,612	oodean Tee Benefit (000)		9011	
Environmental Externality	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$48,092,981				
Subtotal	N/A	\$230,290,890	\$230,290,890	\$230,290,890	\$278,383,871	Program Summary per Participant			
	,	. , ,	. , ,		. , ,	Gross kW Saved at Customer	I	0.18 kW	
Participant Benefits						Net coincident kW Saved at Generator	(IxD)/(1-G)	0.08 kW	
Bill Reduction - Electric	\$360,568,729	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Gross Annual kWh Saved at Customer	(B x E x I)	270 kWh	
Rebates from Xcel Energy	\$38,570,476	N/A	N/A	\$38,570,476	\$38,570,476	Net Annual kWh Saved at Generator	(BxExI)/(1-F)	291 kWh	
Incremental Capital Savings	\$0	N/A	N/A	\$0	\$0		7, \		
Incremental O&M Savings	\$43,459,050	N/A	N/A	\$39,588,834	\$39,588,834				
Subtotal	\$442,598,256	N/A	N/A	\$78,159,310	\$78,159,310	Program Summary All Participants			
						Total Participants	J	1,395,410	
Total Benefits	\$442,598,256	\$230,290,890	\$230,290,890	\$308,450,200	\$356,543,182	Total Budget	K	\$86,456,052	
Costs						Gross kW Saved at Customer	(J x I)	246,299 kW	
						Net coincident kW Saved at Generator	$(I \times D) / (1 - G) \times J$	106,214 kW	
Utility Project Costs						Gross Annual kWh Saved at Customer	(Bx E x I) x J	376,646,959 kWh	
Customer Services	N/A	\$2,650,395	\$2,650,395	\$2,650,395	\$2,650,395	Net Annual kWh Saved at Generator	$((B \times E \times I)/(1-F)) \times J$	406,164,927 kWh	
Project Administration	N/A	\$31,400,821	\$31,400,821	\$31,400,821	\$31,400,821	Societal Net Benefits	([xIxH)	\$166,724,979	
Advertising & Promotion	N/A	\$10,694,664	\$10,694,664	\$10,694,664	\$10,694,664		()	+,i,i,i,i	
Measurement & Verification	N/A	\$1,398,800	\$1,398,800	\$1,398,800	\$1,398,800				
Rebates	N/A	\$38,570,476	\$38,570,476	\$38,570,476	\$38,570,476	Utility Program Cost per kWh Lifetime		\$0.0169	
Other	N/A	\$1,740,895	\$1,740,895	\$1,740,895	\$1,740,895	Utility Program Cost per kW at Gen		\$814	
Subtotal	N/A	\$86,456,052	\$86,456,052	\$86,456,052	\$86,456,052				
Utility Revenue Reduction									
Revenue Reduction - Electric	N/A	N/A	\$360,568,729	N/A	N/A				
Subtotal	N/A	N/A	\$360,568,729	N/A	N/A				
Participant Costs									
Incremental Capital Costs	\$104,209,487	N/A	N/A	\$103,362,151	\$103,362,151				

Note: Dollar values represent present value of impacts accumulated over the lifetime of the measures.

\$104,209,487

\$104,209,487

\$338,388,769

Subtotal

Total Costs

Net Benefit (Cost)

Benefit/Cost Ratio

N/A

2.66

\$143,834,838

\$86,456,052 \$447,024,781

N/A

(\$216,733,891)

\$103,362,151

\$189,818,203

\$118,631,997

1.62

\$103,362,151

\$189,818,203

\$166,724,979

BUSINESS SEGMENT TO	OTAL					2020 ELE	CTRIC	GOAL	
2020 Net Present Cost Benefit Summ	nary Analysis For	All Participants				Input Summary and Totals			
			Rate	Total		Program "Inputs" per Customer kW			
	Participant	Utility	Impact	Resource	Societal	Lifetime (Weighted on Generator kWh)	A	16.4 years	
	Test	Test	Test	Test	Test	Annual Hours	В	8760	
	(\$Total)	(\$Total)	(\$Total)	(\$Total)	(\$Total)	Gross Customer kW	C	1 kW	
Benefits						Generator Peak Coincidence Factor	D	59.14%	
						Gross Load Factor at Customer	E	29.69%	
Avoided Revenue Requirements						Transmission Loss Factor (Energy)	F	6.613%	
Generation	N/A	\$33,064,860	\$33,064,860	\$33,064,860	\$33,064,860	Transmission Loss Factor (Demand)	G	7.048%	
T & D	N/A	\$20,836,993	\$20,836,993	\$20,836,993	\$20,836,993	Societal Net Benefit (Cost)	Н	\$1,503	
Marginal Energy	N/A	\$103,233,122	\$103,233,122	\$103,233,122	\$103,233,122				
Environmental Externality	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$37,744,756				
Subtotal	N/A	\$157,134,974	\$157,134,974	\$157,134,974	\$194,879,730	Program Summary per Participant			
						Gross kW Saved at Customer	I	1.03 kW	
Participant Benefits						Net coincident kW Saved at Generator	(IxD)/(1-G)	0.65 kW	
Bill Reduction - Electric	\$263,794,383	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Gross Annual kWh Saved at Customer	(B x E x I)	2,671 kWh	
Rebates from Xcel Energy	\$24,513,446	N/A	N/A	\$24,513,446	\$24,513,446	Net Annual kWh Saved at Generator	(B x E x I) / (1 - F)	2,860 kWh	
Incremental Capital Savings	\$0	N/A	N/A	\$0	\$0				
Incremental O&M Savings	\$39,684,253	N/A	N/A	\$40,602,971	\$40,602,971				
Subtotal	\$327,992,083	N/A	N/A	\$65,116,418	\$65,116,418	Program Summary All Participants			
						Total Participants	J	88,906	
Total Benefits	\$327,992,083	\$157,134,974	\$157,134,974	\$222,251,392	\$259,996,148	Total Budget	K	\$42,339,176	
Costs						Gross kW Saved at Customer	(J x I)	91,308 kW	
						Net coincident kW Saved at Generator	$(I \times D) / (1 - G) \times J$	58,094 kW	
Utility Project Costs						Gross Annual kWh Saved at Customer	(BxExI)xJ	237,490,362 kWh	
Customer Services	N/A	\$1,745,900	\$1,745,900	\$1,745,900	\$1,745,900	Net Annual kWh Saved at Generator	$((B \times E \times I)/(1-F)) \times J$	254,306,910 kWh	
Project Administration	N/A	\$13,007,307	\$13,007,307	\$13,007,307	\$13,007,307	Societal Net Benefits	(J x I x H)	\$137,273,523	
Advertising & Promotion	N/A	\$1,168,219	\$1,168,219	\$1,168,219	\$1,168,219				
Measurement & Verification	N/A	\$831,468	\$831,468	\$831,468	\$831,468				
Rebates	N/A	\$24,513,446	\$24,513,446	\$24,513,446	\$24,513,446	Utility Program Cost per kWh Lifetime		\$0.0102	
Other	N/A	\$1,072,836	\$1,072,836	\$1,072,836	\$1,072,836	Utility Program Cost per kW at Gen		\$729	
Subtotal	N/A	\$42,339,176	\$42,339,176	\$42,339,176	\$42,339,176				
Utility Revenue Reduction									
Revenue Reduction - Electric	N/A	N/A	\$263,794,383	N/A	N/A				
Subtotal	N/A	N/A	\$263,794,383	N/A	N/A				
Participant Costs									
Incremental Capital Costs	\$80,383,448	N/A	N/A	\$80,383,448	\$80,383,448				

Note: Dollar values represent present value of impacts accumulated over the lifetime of the measures.

\$80,383,448

\$80,383,448

\$247,608,635

4.08

Incremental O&M Costs

Subtotal

Total Costs

Net Benefit (Cost)

Benefit/Cost Ratio

\$80,383,448

\$122,722,624

\$137,273,523

2.12

N/A

N/A

(\$148,998,585)

\$80,383,448

\$122,722,624

\$99,528,768

1.81

N/A

N/A

\$114,795,798

\$42,339,176 \$306,133,559

Conservation Improvement Program (CIP)

BENEFIT COST FOR GAS CIPS-- Cost-Effectiveness Analysis

Company: Xcel Energy

Project: Business Segment with Indirect Participants

Input Data			2020 Extension
1) Retail Rate (\$/Dth) =	\$6.46	Administrative & Operating Costs =	\$2,660,740
Escalation Rate =	4.00%	Incentive Costs = 16) Total Utility Project Costs =	\$2,492,612 \$5,153,358
2) Non-Gas Fuel Retail Rate (\$/Fuel Unit) =	\$0.000	, ,	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
Escalation Rate =	3.22%	17) Direct Participant Costs (\$/Part.) =	\$657
Non-Gas Fuel Units (ie. kWh,Gallons, etc) =	kWh		
		18) Participant Non-Energy Costs (Annual \$/Part.) =	\$ 0
3) Commodity Cost (\$/Dth) = Escalation Rate =	\$4.27 4.00%	Escalation Rate =	2.16%
		19) Participant Non-Energy Savings (Annual \$/Part) =	\$30
4) Demand Cost (\$/Unit/Yr) = Escalation Rate =	\$80.24 4.00%	Escalation Rate =	2.16%
5) Peak Reduction Factor =	1.00%	20) Project Life (Years) =	35.1
6) Variable O&M (\$/Dth) =	\$0.0408	21) Avg. Dth/Part. Saved =	20.5
Escalation Rate =	4.00%	22) Avg Non-Gas Fuel Units/Part. Saved =	0.0
		22a) Avg Additional Non-Gas Fuel Units/ Part. Used =	0.0
7) Non-Gas Fuel Cost (\$/Fuel Unit) =	\$0.022		
Escalation Rate =	3.22%	23) Number of Participants =	22,280
8) Non-Gas Fuel Loss Factor	5.28%	24) Total Annual Dth Saved =	456,448
9) Gas Environmental Damage Factor =	\$0.380	25) Incentive/Participant =	\$ 111.88
Escalation Rate =	2.16%		
10) Non Gas Fuel Enviro. Damage Factor (\$/Unit) =	\$0.023		
Escalation Rate =	2.16%		
11) Participant Discount Rate =	7.42%		
12) Utility Discount Rate =	7.42%		
13) Societal Discount Rate =	2.55%		
14) General Input Data Year =	2016		

15d) Project Analysis Year 4 =	2020
--------------------------------	------

Cost Summary	2020		Extension NPV	Extension B/C
Utility Cost per Participant =	\$231.30	Ratepayer Impact Measure Test	(\$10,118,538)	0.65
Cost per Participant per Dth =	\$43.36			
		Utility Cost Test	\$14,074,883	3.77
Lifetime Energy Reduction (Dth)	16,002,278			
		Societal Test	\$18,106,868	2.28
Societal Cost per Dth	\$0.89			
		Participant Test	\$17,295,925	2.17

Conservation Improvement Program (CIP)

BENEFIT COST FOR GAS CIPS-- Cost-Effectiveness Analysis

Company: Xcel Energy

Project: Business Segment Direct Participants Only

Input Data			2020 Extension
1) Retail Rate (\$/Dth) =	\$6.46	Administrative & Operating Costs =	\$2,660,740
Escalation Rate =	4.00%	Incentive Costs = 16) Total Utility Project Costs =	\$2,492,612 \$5,153,358
2) Non-Gas Fuel Retail Rate (\$/Fuel Unit) =	\$0.000	, , ,	
Escalation Rate =	3.22%	17) Direct Participant Costs (\$/Part.) =	\$4,463
Non-Gas Fuel Units (ie. kWh,Gallons, etc) =	kWh		
		18) Participant Non-Energy Costs (Annual \$/Part.) =	\$ 0
3) Commodity Cost (\$/Dth) = Escalation Rate =	\$4.27 4.00%	Escalation Rate =	2.16%
		19) Participant Non-Energy Savings (Annual \$/Part) =	\$201
4) Demand Cost (\$/Unit/Yr) = Escalation Rate =	\$80.24 4.00%	Escalation Rate =	2.16%
5) Peak Reduction Factor =	1.00%	20) Project Life (Years) =	32.1
6) Variable O&M (\$/Dth) =	\$0.0408	21) Avg. Dth/Part. Saved =	139.2
Escalation Rate =	4.00%	22) Avg Non-Gas Fuel Units/Part. Saved =	0.0
		22a) Avg Additional Non-Gas Fuel Units/ Part. Used =	0.0
7) Non-Gas Fuel Cost (\$/Fuel Unit) =	\$0.022		
Escalation Rate =	3.22%	23) Number of Participants =	3,280
8) Non-Gas Fuel Loss Factor	5.28%	24) Total Annual Dth Saved =	456,448
9) Gas Environmental Damage Factor =	\$0.380	25) Incentive/Participant =	\$ 759.96
Escalation Rate =	2.16%		
10) Non Gas Fuel Enviro. Damage Factor (\$/Unit) =	\$0.023		
Escalation Rate =	2.16%		
11) Participant Discount Rate =	7.42%		
12) Utility Discount Rate =	7.42%		
13) Societal Discount Rate =	2.55%		
14) General Input Data Year =	2016		

15d) Project Analysis Year 4 =	2020
--------------------------------	------

Cost Summary	2020		Extension NPV	Extension B/C
Utility Cost per Participant =	\$1,571.18	Ratepayer Impact Measure Test	(\$10,081,126)	0.66
Cost per Participant per Dth =	\$43.36			
		Utility Cost Test	\$14,112,295	3.79
Lifetime Energy Reduction (Dth)	14,638,971			
		Societal Test	\$18,106,868	2.28
Societal Cost per Dth	\$0.97			
-		Participant Test	\$17,258,513	2.16

BUSINESS SEGMENT E	NERGY EFF	ICIENCY TO	TAL			2020 ELE	CTRIC	GOAL
2020 Net Present Cost Benefit Sumn	nary Analysis For	All Participants				Input Summary and Totals		
			Rate	Total		Program "Inputs" per Customer kW		
	Participant	Utility	Impact	Resource	Societal	Lifetime (Weighted on Generator kWh)	A	16.4 years
	Test	Test	Test	Test	Test	Annual Hours	В	8760
	(\$Total)	(\$Total)	(\$Total)	(\$Total)	(\$Total)	Gross Customer kW	C	1 kW
Benefits						Generator Peak Coincidence Factor	D	64.55%
						Gross Load Factor at Customer	Е	53.15%
Avoided Revenue Requirements						Transmission Loss Factor (Energy)	F	6.613%
Generation	N/A	\$27,922,883	\$27,922,883	\$27,922,883	\$27,922,883	Transmission Loss Factor (Demand)	G	7.086%
T & D	N/A	\$17,636,258	\$17,636,258	\$17,636,258	\$17,636,258	Societal Net Benefit (Cost)	Н	\$2,603
Marginal Energy	N/A	\$103,192,142	\$103,192,142	\$103,192,142	\$103,192,142			
Environmental Externality	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$37,731,477			
Subtotal	N/A	\$148,751,282	\$148,751,282	\$148,751,282	\$186,482,759	Program Summary per Participant		
						Gross kW Saved at Customer	I	3.66 kW
Participant Benefits						Net coincident kW Saved at Generator	(IxD)/(1-G)	2.54 kW
Bill Reduction - Electric	\$263,668,289	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Gross Annual kWh Saved at Customer	(BxExI)	17,052 kWh
Rebates from Xcel Energy	\$24,087,177	N/A	N/A	\$24,087,177	\$24,087,177	Net Annual kWh Saved at Generator	(B x E x I) / (1 - F)	18,259 kWł
Incremental Capital Savings	\$0	N/A	N/A	\$0	\$0			
Incremental O&M Savings	\$39,684,253	N/A	N/A	\$40,602,971	\$40,602,971			
Subtotal	\$327,439,720	N/A	N/A	\$64,690,149	\$64,690,149	Program Summary All Participants		
						Total Participants	J	13,913
Total Benefits	\$327,439,720	\$148,751,282	\$148,751,282	\$213,441,431	\$251,172,908	Total Budget	K	\$38,170,059
Costs						Gross kW Saved at Customer	(J x I)	50,958 kW
						Net coincident kW Saved at Generator	$(I \times D) / (1 - G) \times J$	35,399 kW
Utility Project Costs						Gross Annual kWh Saved at Customer	(BxExI)xJ	237,242,713 kWh
Customer Services	N/A	\$1,715,900	\$1,715,900	\$1,715,900	\$1,715,900	Net Annual kWh Saved at Generator	$((B \times E \times I)/(1-F)) \times J$	254,041,762 kWh
Project Administration	N/A	\$9,923,139	\$9,923,139	\$9,923,139	\$9,923,139	Societal Net Benefits	(J x I x H)	\$132,619,401
Advertising & Promotion	N/A	\$714,539	\$714,539	\$714,539	\$714,539			
Measurement & Verification	N/A	\$656,468	\$656,468	\$656,468	\$656,468			
Rebates	N/A	\$24,087,177	\$24,087,177	\$24,087,177	\$24,087,177	Utility Program Cost per kWh Lifetime		\$0.0092
Other	N/A	\$1,072,836	\$1,072,836	\$1,072,836	\$1,072,836	Utility Program Cost per kW at Gen		\$1,078
Subtotal	N/A	\$38,170,059	\$38,170,059	\$38,170,059	\$38,170,059			
Utility Revenue Reduction								
Revenue Reduction - Electric	N/A	N/A	\$263,668,289	N/A	N/A			
Subtotal	N/A	N/A	\$263,668,289	N/A	N/A			
Participant Costs								
Incremental Capital Costs	\$80,383,448	N/A	N/A	\$80,383,448	\$80,383,448			

Note: Dollar values represent present value of impacts accumulated over the lifetime of the measures.

\$80,383,448

\$80,383,448

\$247,056,272

4.07

Incremental O&M Costs

Subtotal

Total Costs

Net Benefit (Cost)

Benefit/Cost Ratio

\$80,383,448

\$118,553,507

\$132,619,401

2.12

N/A

N/A

\$301,838,349

(\$153,087,066)

\$80,383,448

\$118,553,507

\$94,887,924

1.80

N/A

N/A

\$38,170,059

\$110,581,223

Conservation Improvement Program (CIP)

BENEFIT COST FOR GAS CIPS-- Cost-Effectiveness Analysis

Company: Xcel Energy

Project: Business Segment Energy Efficiency Total

Input Data			2020 Extension
1) Retail Rate (\$/Dth) =	\$6.46	Administrative & Operating Costs =	\$2,660,740
Escalation Rate =	4.00%	Incentive Costs = 16) Total Utility Project Costs =	\$2,492,612 \$5,153,358
2) Non-Gas Fuel Retail Rate (\$/Fuel Unit) =	\$0.000	, , ,	. , ,
Escalation Rate =	3.22%	17) Direct Participant Costs (\$/Part.) =	\$4,463
Non-Gas Fuel Units (ie. kWh,Gallons, etc) =	kWh		
		18) Participant Non-Energy Costs (Annual \$/Part.) =	\$ 0
3) Commodity Cost (\$/Dth) = Escalation Rate =	\$4.27 4.00%	Escalation Rate =	2.16%
		19) Participant Non-Energy Savings (Annual \$/Part) =	\$201
4) Demand Cost (\$/Unit/Yr) = Escalation Rate =	\$80.24 4.00%	Escalation Rate =	2.16%
5) Peak Reduction Factor =	1.00%	20) Project Life (Years) =	148.5
6) Variable O&M (\$/Dth) =	\$0.0408	21) Avg. Dth/Part. Saved =	139.2
Escalation Rate =	4.00%	22) Avg Non-Gas Fuel Units/Part. Saved =	0.0
		22a) Avg Additional Non-Gas Fuel Units/ Part. Used =	0.0
7) Non-Gas Fuel Cost (\$/Fuel Unit) = Escalation Rate =	\$0.022 3.22%	23) Number of Participants =	3,280
8) Non-Gas Fuel Loss Factor	5.28%	24) Total Annual Dth Saved =	456,448
9) Gas Environmental Damage Factor = Escalation Rate =	\$0.380 2.16%	25) Incentive/Participant =	\$759.96
10) Non Gas Fuel Enviro. Damage Factor (\$/Unit) = Escalation Rate =	\$0.023 2.16%		
11) Participant Discount Rate =	7.42%		
12) Utility Discount Rate =	7.42%		
13) Societal Discount Rate =	2.55%		
14) General Input Data Year =	2016		

15d) Project Analysis Year 4 =	2020
--------------------------------	------

Cost Summary	2020		Extension NPV	Extension B/C
Utility Cost per Participant =	\$1,571.18	Ratepayer Impact Measure Test	(\$10,081,126)	0.66
Cost per Participant per Dth =	\$43.36			
		Utility Cost Test	\$14,112,295	3.79
Lifetime Energy Reduction (Dth)	67,785,187			
		Societal Test	\$18,106,868	2.28
Societal Cost per Dth	\$0.21			
-		Participant Test	\$17,258,513	2.16

BUSINESS NEW CONSTI	RUCTION					2020 ELE	CTRIC	GOAI
2020 Net Present Cost Benefit Summ	ary Analysis For A	All Participants				Input Summary and Totals		
			Rate	Total		Program "Inputs" per Customer kW		
	Participant	Utility	Impact	Resource	Societal	Lifetime (Weighted on Generator kWh)	A	20.0 years
	Test	Test	Test	Test	Test	Annual Hours	В	876
	(\$Total)	(\$Total)	(\$Total)	(\$Total)	(\$Total)	Gross Customer kW	С	1 kV
Benefits						Generator Peak Coincidence Factor	D	72.94%
						Gross Load Factor at Customer	E	44.57%
Avoided Revenue Requirements						Transmission Loss Factor (Energy)	F	6.600%
Generation	N/A	\$3,936,829	\$3,936,829	\$3,936,829	\$3,936,829	Transmission Loss Factor (Demand)	G	7.000%
T & D	N/A	\$2,497,783	\$2,497,783	\$2,497,783	\$2,497,783	Societal Net Benefit (Cost)	Н	\$2,009
Marginal Energy	N/A	\$11,164,541	\$11,164,541	\$11,164,541	\$11,164,541			1.7
Environmental Externality	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$3,947,648			
Subtotal	N/A	\$17,599,154	\$17,599,154	\$17,599,154	\$21,546,801	Program Summary per Participant		
						Gross kW Saved at Customer	I	45.10 kW
Participant Benefits						Net coincident kW Saved at Generator	(IxD)/(1-G)	35.37 kW
Bill Reduction - Electric	\$28,805,593	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Gross Annual kWh Saved at Customer	(BxExI)	176,094 kWl
Rebates from Xcel Energy	\$2,722,945	N/A	N/A	\$2,722,945	\$2,722,945	Net Annual kWh Saved at Generator	(BxExI)/(1-F)	188,537 kWl
Incremental Capital Savings	\$0	N/A	N/A	\$0	\$0	' <u>'</u>		
Incremental O&M Savings	\$0	N/A	N/A	\$0	\$0			
Subtotal	\$31,528,538	N/A	N/A	\$2,722,945	\$2,722,945	Program Summary All Participants		
						Total Participants	J	12:
Total Benefits	\$31,528,538	\$17,599,154	\$17,599,154	\$20,322,099	\$24,269,746	Total Budget	K	\$4,671,924
Costs						Gross kW Saved at Customer	(J x I)	5,502 kV
						Net coincident kW Saved at Generator	$(I \times D) / (1 - G) \times J$	4,316 kV
Utility Project Costs						Gross Annual kWh Saved at Customer	(BxExI)xJ	21,483,430 kWl
Customer Services	N/A	\$750,000	\$750,000	\$750,000	\$750,000	Net Annual kWh Saved at Generator	$((B \times E \times I)/(1-F)) \times J$	23,001,531 kWl
Project Administration	N/A	\$568,979	\$568,979	\$568,979	\$568,979	Societal Net Benefits	(JxIxH)	\$11,052,332
Advertising & Promotion	N/A	\$94,000	\$94,000	\$94,000	\$94,000	-		
Measurement & Verification	N/A	\$286,000	\$286,000	\$286,000	\$286,000			
Rebates	N/A	\$2,722,945	\$2,722,945	\$2,722,945	\$2,722,945	Utility Program Cost per kWh Lifetime		\$0.0102
Other	N/A	\$250,000	\$250,000	\$250,000	\$250,000	Utility Program Cost per kW at Gen		\$1,083
Subtotal	N/A	\$4,671,924	\$4,671,924	\$4,671,924	\$4,671,924			
Utility Revenue Reduction								
Revenue Reduction - Electric	N/A	N/A	\$28,805,593	N/A	N/A			
Subtotal	N/A	N/A	\$28,805,593	N/A	N/A			
Participant Costs								
Incremental Capital Costs	\$8,489,292	N/A	N/A	\$8,489,292	\$8,489,292			

Note: Dollar values represent present value of impacts accumulated over the lifetime of the measures.

Subtotal

Total Costs

Net Benefit (Cost)

Benefit/Cost Ratio

\$8,545,490

\$8,545,490

\$22,983,048

3.69

N/A

\$33,477,517

(\$15,878,364)

N/A

\$4,671,924

\$12,927,230

\$8,545,490

\$13,217,414

\$7,104,684

1.54

\$8,545,490

\$13,217,414

\$11,052,332

Conservation Improvement Program (CIP)

BENEFIT COST FOR GAS CIPS-- Cost-Effectiveness Analysis

Company: Xcel Energy

Project: Business New Construction

Input Data			2020 Extension
1) Retail Rate (\$/Dth) =	\$6.46	Administrative & Operating Costs =	\$239,064
Escalation Rate =	4.00%	Incentive Costs = 16) Total Utility Project Costs =	\$145,441 \$384,505
2) Non-Gas Fuel Retail Rate (\$/Fuel Unit) =	\$0.000	, , ,	. ,
Escalation Rate =	3.22%	17) Direct Participant Costs (\$/Part.) =	\$38,363
Non-Gas Fuel Units (ie. kWh,Gallons, etc) =	kWh		
		18) Participant Non-Energy Costs (Annual \$/Part.) =	\$ 0
3) Commodity Cost (\$/Dth) = Escalation Rate =	\$4.27 4.00%	Escalation Rate =	2.16%
		19) Participant Non-Energy Savings (Annual \$/Part) =	\$ 0
4) Demand Cost (\$/Unit/Yr) = Escalation Rate =	\$80.24 4.00%	Escalation Rate =	2.16%
5) Peak Reduction Factor =	1.00%	20) Project Life (Years) =	20.0
6) Variable O&M (\$/Dth) =	\$0.0408	21) Avg. Dth/Part. Saved =	934.4
Escalation Rate =	4.00%	22) Avg Non-Gas Fuel Units/Part. Saved =	0.0
		22a) Avg Additional Non-Gas Fuel Units/ Part. Used =	0.0
7) Non-Gas Fuel Cost (\$/Fuel Unit) =	\$0.022		
Escalation Rate =	3.22%	23) Number of Participants =	25
8) Non-Gas Fuel Loss Factor	5.28%	24) Total Annual Dth Saved =	23,360
9) Gas Environmental Damage Factor =	\$0.380	25) Incentive/Participant =	\$5,817.66
Escalation Rate =	2.16%		
10) Non Gas Fuel Enviro. Damage Factor (\$/Unit) =	\$0.023		
Escalation Rate =	2.16%		
11) Participant Discount Rate =	7.42%		
12) Utility Discount Rate =	7.42%		
13) Societal Discount Rate =	2.55%		
14) General Input Data Year =	2016		

15d) Project Analysis Year 4 =	2020
--------------------------------	------

Cost Summary	2020		Extension NPV	Extension B/C
Utility Cost per Participant =	\$15,380.22	Ratepayer Impact Measure Test	(\$933,539)	0.69
Cost per Participant per Dth =	\$57.52			
		Utility Cost Test	\$1,706,597	5.44
Lifetime Energy Reduction (Dth)	467,207			
		Societal Test	\$2,192,362	2.83
Societal Cost per Dth	\$2.56			
-		Participant Test	\$1,826,503	2.90

COMMERCIAL EFFICIE	NCY					2020 ELE	CTRIC	GOAL	
2020 Net Present Cost Benefit Summ	nary Analysis For A	All Participants				Input Summary and Totals			
			Rate	Total		Program "Inputs" per Customer kW			
	Participant	Utility	Impact	Resource	Societal	Lifetime (Weighted on Generator kWh)	A	17.4 years	
	Test	Test	Test	Test	Test	Annual Hours	В	8760	
	(\$Total)	(\$Total)	(\$Total)	(\$Total)	(\$Total)	Gross Customer kW	С	1 kW	
Benefits						Generator Peak Coincidence Factor	D	80.06%	
Belletito						Gross Load Factor at Customer	E	67.65%	
Avoided Revenue Requirements						Transmission Loss Factor (Energy)	F	6.600%	
Generation	N/A	\$3,090,603	\$3,090,603	\$3,090,603	\$3,090,603	Transmission Loss Factor (Demand)	G	7.000%	
T & D	N/A	\$1,950,577	\$1,950,577	\$1,950,577	\$1,950,577	Societal Net Benefit (Cost)	Н	\$2,647	
Marginal Energy	N/A	\$12,467,913	\$12,467,913	\$12,467,913	\$12,467,913	bocietai i vet Benent (cost)	11	Ψ2,017	
Environmental Externality	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$4,348,802				
Subtotal	N/A	\$17,509,094	\$17,509,094	\$17,509,094	\$21,857,896	Program Summary per Participant			
	,	, .,,	, ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Gross kW Saved at Customer	I	24.27 kW	
Participant Benefits						Net coincident kW Saved at Generator	(IxD)/(1-G)	20.89 kW	
Bill Reduction - Electric	\$30,933,295	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Gross Annual kWh Saved at Customer	(BxExI)	143,842 kWh	
Rebates from Xcel Energy	\$2,892,511	N/A	N/A	\$2,892,511	\$2,892,511	Net Annual kWh Saved at Generator	(BxExI)/(1-F)	154,007 kWh	
Incremental Capital Savings	\$0	N/A	N/A	\$0	\$0				
Incremental O&M Savings	\$1,019,970	N/A	N/A	\$1,019,970	\$1,019,970				
Subtotal	\$34,845,777	N/A	N/A	\$3,912,481	\$3,912,481	Program Summary All Participants			
						Total Participants	J	182	
Total Benefits	\$34,845,777	\$17,509,094	\$17,509,094	\$21,421,575	\$25,770,378	Total Budget	K	\$3,709,232	
Costs						Gross kW Saved at Customer	(J x I)	4,417 kW	
						Net coincident kW Saved at Generator	$(I \times D) / (1 - G) \times J$	3,803 kW	
Utility Project Costs						Gross Annual kWh Saved at Customer	(BxExI)xJ	26,179,272 kWh	
Customer Services	N/A	\$75,000	\$75,000	\$75,000	\$75,000	Net Annual kWh Saved at Generator	$((B \times E \times I)/(1-F)) \times J$	28,029,199 kWh	
Project Administration	N/A	\$679,221	\$679,221	\$679,221	\$679,221	Societal Net Benefits	(J x I x H)	\$11,691,758	
Advertising & Promotion	N/A	\$25,000	\$25,000	\$25,000	\$25,000		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
Measurement & Verification	N/A	\$30,000	\$30,000	\$30,000	\$30,000				
Rebates	N/A	\$2,892,511	\$2,892,511	\$2,892,511	\$2,892,511	Utility Program Cost per kWh Lifetime		\$0.0076	
Other	N/A	\$7,500	\$7,500	\$7,500	\$7,500	Utility Program Cost per kW at Gen		\$975	
Subtotal	N/A	\$3,709,232	\$3,709,232	\$3,709,232	\$3,709,232				
Utility Revenue Reduction									
Revenue Reduction - Electric	N/A	N/A	\$30,933,295	N/A	N/A				
Subtotal	N/A	N/A	\$30,933,295	N/A	N/A				
Participant Costs									
Incremental Capital Costs	\$10,369,388	N/A	N/A	\$10,369,388	\$10,369,388				
Incremental O&M Costs	\$0	N/A	N/A	\$0	\$0				

Note: Dollar values represent present value of impacts accumulated over the lifetime of the measures.

\$10,369,388

\$10,369,388

\$24,476,389

3.36

Subtotal

Total Costs

Net Benefit (Cost)

Benefit/Cost Ratio

N/A

4.72

\$3,709,232

\$13,799,862

N/A

\$34,642,527

(\$17,133,434)

\$10,369,388

\$14,078,620

\$7,342,955

1.52

\$10,369,388

\$14,078,620

\$11,691,758

Conservation Improvement Program (CIP)

BENEFIT COST FOR GAS CIPS-- Cost-Effectiveness Analysis

Company: Xcel Energy

Project: Commercial Efficiency

Input Data			2020 Extension
1) Retail Rate (\$/Dth) =	\$6.46	Administrative & Operating Costs =	\$282,179
Escalation Rate =	4.00%	Incentive Costs = 16) Total Utility Project Costs =	\$230,703 \$512,882
2) Non-Gas Fuel Retail Rate (\$/Fuel Unit) =	\$0.000	, , ,	
Escalation Rate =	3.22%	17) Direct Participant Costs (\$/Part.) =	\$33,219
Non-Gas Fuel Units (ie. kWh,Gallons, etc) =	kWh		
		18) Participant Non-Energy Costs (Annual \$/Part.) =	\$ 0
3) Commodity Cost (\$/Dth) = Escalation Rate =	\$4.27 4.00%	Escalation Rate =	2.16%
		19) Participant Non-Energy Savings (Annual \$/Part) =	\$5,288
4) Demand Cost (\$/Unit/Yr) = Escalation Rate =	\$80.24 4.00%	Escalation Rate =	2.16%
EN Deale Dedication France -	1.00%	20) Project Life (Years) =	14.9
5) Peak Reduction Factor = 6) Variable O&M (\$/Dth) =	\$0.0408	21) Avg. Dth/Part. Saved =	895.3
Escalation Rate =	4.00%	22) Avg Non-Gas Fuel Units/Part. Saved =	0.0
		22a) Avg Additional Non-Gas Fuel Units/ Part. Used =	0.0
7) Non-Gas Fuel Cost (\$/Fuel Unit) =	\$0.022		
Escalation Rate =	3.22%	23) Number of Participants =	46
8) Non-Gas Fuel Loss Factor	5.28%	24) Total Annual Dth Saved =	41,186
9) Gas Environmental Damage Factor =	\$0.380	25) Incentive/Participant =	\$5,015.29
Escalation Rate =	2.16%		
10) Non Gas Fuel Enviro. Damage Factor (\$/Unit) =	\$0.023		
Escalation Rate =	2.16%		
11) Participant Discount Rate =	7.42%		
12) Utility Discount Rate =	7.42%		
13) Societal Discount Rate =	2.55%		
14) General Input Data Year =	2016		

15d) Project Analysis Year 4 =	2020

Cost Summary	2020		Extension NPV	Extension B/C
Utility Cost per Participant =	\$11,149.62	Ratepayer Impact Measure Test	(\$1,288,048)	0.70
Cost per Participant per Dth =	\$ 49.55			
		Utility Cost Test	\$2,439,488	5.76
Lifetime Energy Reduction (Dth)	612,933			
		Societal Test	\$5,051,526	3.79
Societal Cost per Dth	\$2.95			
-		Participant Test	\$4,992,619	4.27

COMMERCIAL REFRIG	ERATION EF	FICIENCY				2020 ELE	CTRIC	GOAI
2020 Net Present Cost Benefit Summ	nary Analysis For A	Il Participants				Input Summary and Totals		
			Rate	Total		Program "Inputs" per Customer kW		
	Participant	Utility	Impact	Resource	Societal	Lifetime (Weighted on Generator kWh)	A	11.9 years
	Test	Test	Test	Test	Test	Annual Hours	В	876
	(\$Total)	(\$Total)	(\$Total)	(\$Total)	(\$Total)	Gross Customer kW	C	1 kV
Benefits						Generator Peak Coincidence Factor	D	16.59%
						Gross Load Factor at Customer	E	17.36%
Avoided Revenue Requirements						Transmission Loss Factor (Energy)	F	6.600%
Generation	N/A	\$143,434	\$143,434	\$143,434	\$143,434	Transmission Loss Factor (Demand)	G	7.000%
T & D	N/A	\$90,050	\$90,050	\$90,050	\$90,050	Societal Net Benefit (Cost)	Н	\$371
Marginal Energy	N/A	\$725,953	\$725,953	\$725,953	\$725,953			
Environmental Externality	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$254,447			
Subtotal	N/A	\$959,437	\$959,437	\$959,437	\$1,213,885	Program Summary per Participant		
						Gross kW Saved at Customer	I	3.88 kV
Participant Benefits						Net coincident kW Saved at Generator	(IxD)/(1-G)	0.69 kV
Bill Reduction - Electric	\$1,608,836	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Gross Annual kWh Saved at Customer	(BxExI)	5,897 kW
Rebates from Xcel Energy	\$141,165	N/A	N/A	\$141,165	\$141,165	Net Annual kWh Saved at Generator	(BxExI)/(1-F)	6,314 kW
Incremental Capital Savings	\$0	N/A	N/A	\$0	\$0			
Incremental O&M Savings	\$135,074	N/A	N/A	\$7,045	\$7,045			
Subtotal	\$1,885,075	N/A	N/A	\$148,210	\$148,210	Program Summary All Participants		
						Total Participants	Ј	34
Total Benefits	\$1,885,075	\$959,437	\$959,437	\$1,107,647	\$1,362,094	Total Budget	K	\$362,735
Costs						Gross kW Saved at Customer	(J x I)	1,330 kV
						Net coincident kW Saved at Generator	$(I \times D) / (1 - G) \times J$	237 kV
Utility Project Costs						Gross Annual kWh Saved at Customer	(BxExI)xJ	2,022,621 kW
Customer Services	N/A	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	Net Annual kWh Saved at Generator	$((B \times E \times I)/(1-F)) \times J$	2,165,547 kW
Project Administration	N/A	\$209,780	\$209,780	\$209,780	\$209,780	Societal Net Benefits	(JxIxH)	\$493,862
Advertising & Promotion	N/A	\$9,969	\$9,969	\$9,969	\$9,969	-		
Measurement & Verification	N/A	\$1,821	\$1,821	\$1,821	\$1,821			
Rebates	N/A	\$141,165	\$141,165	\$141,165	\$141,165	Utility Program Cost per kWh Lifetime		\$0.0141
Other	N/A	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	Utility Program Cost per kW at Gen		\$1,529
Subtotal	N/A	\$362,735	\$362,735	\$362,735	\$362,735			
Utility Revenue Reduction								
Revenue Reduction - Electric	N/A	N/A	\$1,608,836	N/A	N/A			
Subtotal	N/A	N/A	\$1,608,836	N/A	N/A			
Participant Costs								
Incremental Capital Costs	\$505,497	N/A	N/A	\$505,497	\$505,497			

Note: Dollar values represent present value of impacts accumulated over the lifetime of the measures.

\$505,497

\$505,497

\$1,379,578

3.73

Subtotal

Total Costs

Net Benefit (Cost)

Benefit/Cost Ratio

N/A

\$362,735

\$596,702

2.65

N/A

\$1,971,571

(\$1,012,134)

\$505,497

\$868,232

\$239,415

1.28

\$505,497

\$868,232

\$493,862

Conservation Improvement Program (CIP)

BENEFIT COST FOR GAS CIPS-- Cost-Effectiveness Analysis

Company: Xcel Energy

Project: Commercial Refrigeration Efficiency

Input Data			2020 Extension
1) Retail Rate (\$/Dth) =	\$6.46	Administrative & Operating Costs =	\$21,810
Escalation Rate =	4.00%	Incentive Costs = 16) Total Utility Project Costs =	\$9,812 \$31,621
2) Non-Gas Fuel Retail Rate (\$/Fuel Unit) =	\$0.000	, , ,	. ,
Escalation Rate =	3.22%	17) Direct Participant Costs (\$/Part.) =	\$ 619
Non-Gas Fuel Units (ie. kWh,Gallons, etc) =	kWh		
		18) Participant Non-Energy Costs (Annual \$/Part.) =	\$ 0
3) Commodity Cost (\$/Dth) = Escalation Rate =	\$4.27 4.00%	Escalation Rate =	2.16%
		19) Participant Non-Energy Savings (Annual \$/Part) =	\$4 9
4) Demand Cost (\$/Unit/Yr) = Escalation Rate =	\$80.24 4.00%	Escalation Rate =	2.16%
5) Peak Reduction Factor =	1.00%	20) Project Life (Years) =	11.5
5) Variable O&M (\$/Dth) =	\$0.0408	21) Avg. Dth/Part. Saved =	28.9
Escalation Rate =	4.00%	22) Avg Non-Gas Fuel Units/Part. Saved =	0.0
		22a) Avg Additional Non-Gas Fuel Units/ Part. Used =	0.0
7) Non-Gas Fuel Cost (\$/Fuel Unit) = Escalation Rate =	\$0.022 3.22%	23) Number of Participants =	51
8) Non-Gas Fuel Loss Factor	5.28%	24) Total Annual Dth Saved =	1,472
9) Gas Environmental Damage Factor = Escalation Rate =	\$0.380 2.16%	25) Incentive/Participant =	\$192.38
10) Non Gas Fuel Enviro. Damage Factor (\$/Unit) = Escalation Rate =	\$0.023 2.16%		
11) Participant Discount Rate =	7.42%		
12) Utility Discount Rate =	7.42%		
13) Societal Discount Rate =	2.55%		
14) General Input Data Year =	2016		

15d) Project Analysis Year 4 =	2020
--------------------------------	------

Cost Summary	2020		Extension NPV	Extension B/C
Utility Cost per Participant =	\$620.02	Ratepayer Impact Measure Test	(\$54,174)	0.61
Cost per Participant per Dth =	\$42.92			
		Utility Cost Test	\$54,275	2.72
Lifetime Energy Reduction (Dth)	16,941			
		Societal Test	\$82,360	2.54
Societal Cost per Dth	\$3.15			
-		Participant Test	\$106,288	4.37

COOLING EFFICIENCY						2020 ELE	CTRIC	GOAL	
2020 Net Present Cost Benefit Summ	nary Analysis For A	ll Participants				Input Summary and Totals			
			Rate	Total		Program "Inputs" per Customer kW			
	Participant	Utility	Impact	Resource	Societal	Lifetime (Weighted on Generator kWh)	A	18.5 years	
	Test	Test	Test	Test	Test	Annual Hours	В	8760	
	(\$Total)	(\$Total)	(\$Total)	(\$Total)	(\$Total)	Gross Customer kW	С	1 kW	
Benefits						Generator Peak Coincidence Factor	D	78.44%	
						Gross Load Factor at Customer	E	24.67%	
Avoided Revenue Requirements						Transmission Loss Factor (Energy)	F	6.600%	
Generation	N/A	\$2,073,573	\$2,073,573	\$2,073,573	\$2,073,573	Transmission Loss Factor (Demand)	G	7.000%	
T & D	N/A	\$1,313,889	\$1,313,889	\$1,313,889	\$1,313,889	Societal Net Benefit (Cost)	Н	\$722	
Marginal Energy	N/A	\$2,779,054	\$2,779,054	\$2,779,054	\$2,779,054	oocieur i ver Benefit (000)		4122	
Environmental Externality	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$1,049,842				
Subtotal	N/A	\$6,166,517	\$6,166,517	\$6,166,517	\$7,216,359	Program Summary per Participant			
						Gross kW Saved at Customer	I	1.54 kW	
Participant Benefits						Net coincident kW Saved at Generator	(IxD)/(1-G)	1.30 kW	
Bill Reduction - Electric	\$7,727,764	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Gross Annual kWh Saved at Customer	(BxExI)	3,336 kWh	
Rebates from Xcel Energy	\$1,940,471	N/A	N/A	\$1,940,471	\$1,940,471	Net Annual kWh Saved at Generator	(BxExI)/(1-F)	3,572 kWł	
Incremental Capital Savings	\$0	N/A	N/A	\$0	\$0				
Incremental O&M Savings	\$38,737	N/A	N/A	\$38,737	\$38,737				
Subtotal	\$9,706,971	N/A	N/A	\$1,979,208	\$1,979,208	Program Summary All Participants			
						Total Participants	J	1,800	
Total Benefits	\$9,706,971	\$6,166,517	\$6,166,517	\$8,145,725	\$9,195,567	Total Budget	K	\$2,676,399	
Costs						Gross kW Saved at Customer	(J x I)	2,787 kW	
						Net coincident kW Saved at Generator	$(I \times D) / (1 - G) \times J$	2,351 kW	
Utility Project Costs						Gross Annual kWh Saved at Customer	(BxExI)xJ	6,024,804 kWh	
Customer Services	N/A	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	Net Annual kWh Saved at Generator	$((B \times E \times I)/(1-F)) \times J$	6,450,540 kWh	
Project Administration	N/A	\$457,668	\$457,668	\$457,668	\$457,668	Societal Net Benefits	(J x I x H)	\$2,013,634	
Advertising & Promotion	N/A	\$63,260	\$63,260	\$63,260	\$63,260		12		
Measurement & Verification	N/A	\$18,000	\$18,000	\$18,000	\$18,000				
Rebates	N/A	\$1,940,471	\$1,940,471	\$1,940,471	\$1,940,471	Utility Program Cost per kWh Lifetime		\$0.0225	
Other	N/A	\$197,000	\$197,000	\$197,000	\$197,000	Utility Program Cost per kW at Gen		\$1,138	
Subtotal	N/A	\$2,676,399	\$2,676,399	\$2,676,399	\$2,676,399				
Utility Revenue Reduction									
Revenue Reduction - Electric	N/A	N/A	\$7,727,764	N/A	N/A				
Subtotal	N/A	N/A	\$7,727,764	N/A	N/A				
Participant Costs									
Incremental Capital Costs	\$4,505,535	N/A	N/A	\$4,505,535	\$4,505,535				
Incremental O&M Costs	\$0	N/A	N/A	\$0	\$0				

Note: Dollar values represent present value of impacts accumulated over the lifetime of the measures.

\$4,505,535

\$4,505,535

\$5,201,437

2.15

Subtotal

Total Costs

Net Benefit (Cost)

Benefit/Cost Ratio

N/A

2.30

\$2,676,399

\$3,490,118

N/A

\$10,404,163

(\$4,237,646)

\$4,505,535

\$7,181,934

\$963,791

\$4,505,535

\$7,181,934

\$2,013,634

Conservation Improvement Program (CIP)

BENEFIT COST FOR GAS CIPS-- Cost-Effectiveness Analysis

Company: **Xcel Energy**Project: **Cooling Efficiency**

Project: Cooling Efficiency Input Data			2020 Extension
1) Retail Rate (\$/Dth) =	\$6.46	Administrative & Operating Costs =	\$15, 000
Escalation Rate =	4.00%	Incentive Costs = 16) Total Utility Project Costs =	\$33,579 \$48,579
2) Non-Gas Fuel Retail Rate (\$/Fuel Unit) =	\$0.000	, , ,	
Escalation Rate =	3.22%	17) Direct Participant Costs (\$/Part.) =	\$38,413
Non-Gas Fuel Units (ie. kWh,Gallons, etc) =	kWh		
		18) Participant Non-Energy Costs (Annual \$/Part.) =	\$0
3) Commodity Cost (\$/Dth) = Escalation Rate =	\$4.27 4.00%	Escalation Rate =	2.16%
		19) Participant Non-Energy Savings (Annual \$/Part) =	\$ 0
4) Demand Cost (\$/Unit/Yr) = Escalation Rate =	\$80.24 4.00%	Escalation Rate =	2.16%
5) Peak Reduction Factor =	1.00%	20) Project Life (Years) =	15.0
6) Variable O&M (\$/Dth) =	\$0.0408	21) Avg. Dth/Part. Saved =	1989.3
Escalation Rate =	4.00%	22) Avg Non-Gas Fuel Units/Part. Saved =	0.0
		22a) Avg Additional Non-Gas Fuel Units/ Part. Used =	0.0
7) Non-Gas Fuel Cost (\$/Fuel Unit) =	\$0.022		
Escalation Rate =	3.22%	23) Number of Participants =	3
8) Non-Gas Fuel Loss Factor	5.28%	24) Total Annual Dth Saved =	5,968
9) Gas Environmental Damage Factor =	\$0.380	25) Incentive/Participant =	\$11,192.86
Escalation Rate =	2.16%		
10) Non Gas Fuel Enviro. Damage Factor (\$/Unit) =	\$0.023		
Escalation Rate =	2.16%		
11) Participant Discount Rate =	7.42%		
12) Utility Discount Rate =	7.42%		
13) Societal Discount Rate =	2.55%		
14) General Input Data Year =	2016		
- 1, constantiput Data Teat -	2010		

15d) Project Analysis Year 4 = 2020

Cost Summary	2020		Extension NPV	Extension B/C
Utility Cost per Participant =	\$16,192.86	Ratepayer Impact Measure Test	(\$161,776)	0.73
Cost per Participant per Dth =	\$27.45			
		Utility Cost Test	\$382,556	8.87
Lifetime Energy Reduction (Dth)	89,519			
		Societal Test	\$497,710	4.82
Societal Cost per Dth	\$1.45			
		Participant Test	\$462,673	5.01

CUSTOM EFFICIENCY						2020 ELE	CTRIC	GOAL	
2020 Net Present Cost Benefit Summ	nary Analysis For A	ll Participants				Input Summary and Totals			
			Rate	Total		Program "Inputs" per Customer kW			
	Participant	Utility	Impact	Resource	Societal	Lifetime (Weighted on Generator kWh)	A	18.6 years	
	Test	Test	Test	Test	Test	Annual Hours	В	8760	
	(\$Total)	(\$Total)	(\$Total)	(\$Total)	(\$Total)	Gross Customer kW	С	1 kW	
Benefits						Generator Peak Coincidence Factor	D	73.96%	
						Gross Load Factor at Customer	E	53.00%	
Avoided Revenue Requirements						Transmission Loss Factor (Energy)	F	6.600%	
Generation	N/A	\$665,180	\$665,180	\$665,180	\$665,180	Transmission Loss Factor (Demand)	G	7.000%	
T & D	N/A	\$420,577	\$420,577	\$420,577	\$420,577	Societal Net Benefit (Cost)	Н	\$8,760	
Marginal Energy	N/A	\$2,255,194	\$2,255,194	\$2,255,194	\$2,255,194			1.7	
Environmental Externality	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$790,382				
Subtotal	N/A	\$3,340,951	\$3,340,951	\$3,340,951	\$4,131,333	Program Summary per Participant			
						Gross kW Saved at Customer	I	18.93 kW	
Participant Benefits						Net coincident kW Saved at Generator	(IxD)/(1-G)	15.06 kW	
Bill Reduction - Electric	\$5,672,045	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Gross Annual kWh Saved at Customer	(BxExI)	87,904 kWh	
Rebates from Xcel Energy	\$341,571	N/A	N/A	\$341,571	\$341,571	Net Annual kWh Saved at Generator	(BxExI)/(1-F)	94,116 kWh	
Incremental Capital Savings	\$0	N/A	N/A	\$0	\$0				
Incremental O&M Savings	\$6,923,777	N/A	N/A	\$6,923,777	\$6,923,777				
Subtotal	\$12,937,393	N/A	N/A	\$7,265,348	\$7,265,348	Program Summary All Participants			
						Total Participants	J	52	
Total Benefits	\$12,937,393	\$3,340,951	\$3,340,951	\$10,606,300	\$11,396,681	Total Budget	K	\$1,385,389	
Costs						Gross kW Saved at Customer	(J x I)	984 kW	
						Net coincident kW Saved at Generator	$(I \times D) / (1 - G) \times J$	783 kW	
Utility Project Costs						Gross Annual kWh Saved at Customer	(BxExI)xJ	4,571,010 kWh	
Customer Services	N/A	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	Net Annual kWh Saved at Generator	$((B \times E \times I)/(1-F)) \times J$	4,894,015 kWh	
Project Administration	N/A	\$988,068	\$988,068	\$988,068	\$988,068	Societal Net Benefits	(JxIxH)	\$8,624,357	
Advertising & Promotion	N/A	\$36,796	\$36,796	\$36,796	\$36,796				
Measurement & Verification	N/A	\$16,491	\$16,491	\$16,491	\$16,491				
Rebates	N/A	\$341,571	\$341,571	\$341,571	\$341,571	Utility Program Cost per kWh Lifetime		\$0.0152	
Other	N/A	\$2,464	\$2,464	\$2,464	\$2,464	Utility Program Cost per kW at Gen		\$1,770	
Subtotal	N/A	\$1,385,389	\$1,385,389	\$1,385,389	\$1,385,389				
Utility Revenue Reduction									
Revenue Reduction - Electric	N/A	N/A	\$5,672,045	N/A	N/A				
Subtotal	N/A	N/A	\$5,672,045	N/A	N/A				
Participant Costs									
Participant Costs Incremental Capital Costs	\$1,386,935	N/A	N/A	\$1,386,935	\$1,386,935				

Note: Dollar values represent present value of impacts accumulated over the lifetime of the measures.

\$1,386,935

\$1,386,935

\$11,550,458

9.33

Subtotal

Total Costs

Net Benefit (Cost)

Benefit/Cost Ratio

N/A

2.41

\$1,385,389

\$1,955,562

N/A

\$7,057,434

(\$3,716,483)

\$1,386,935

\$2,772,324

\$7,833,975

\$1,386,935

\$2,772,324

\$8,624,357 4.11

Conservation Improvement Program (CIP)

BENEFIT COST FOR GAS CIPS-- Cost-Effectiveness Analysis

Company: Xcel Energy
Project: Custom Efficiency

Input Data			2020 Extension
1) Retail Rate (\$/Dth) =	\$6.46	Administrative & Operating Costs =	\$122,199
Escalation Rate =	4.00%	Incentive Costs = 16) Total Utility Project Costs =	\$103,360 \$225,559
2) Non-Gas Fuel Retail Rate (\$/Fuel Unit) =	\$0.000		
Escalation Rate =	3.22%	17) Direct Participant Costs (\$/Part.) =	\$64,744
Non-Gas Fuel Units (ie. kWh,Gallons, etc) =	kWh		
		18) Participant Non-Energy Costs (Annual \$/Part.) =	\$ 0
3) Commodity Cost (\$/Dth) = Escalation Rate =	\$4.27 4.00%	Escalation Rate =	2.16%
		19) Participant Non-Energy Savings (Annual \$/Part) =	\$2,613
4) Demand Cost (\$/Unit/Yr) = Escalation Rate =	\$80.24 4.00%	Escalation Rate =	2.16%
5) Peak Reduction Factor =	1.00%	20) Project Life (Years) =	19.5
6) Variable O&M (\$/Dth) =	\$0.0408	21) Avg. Dth/Part. Saved =	810.1
Escalation Rate =	4.00%	22) Avg Non-Gas Fuel Units/Part. Saved =	0.0
		22a) Avg Additional Non-Gas Fuel Units/ Part. Used =	0.0
7) Non-Gas Fuel Cost (\$/Fuel Unit) =	\$0.022		
Escalation Rate =	3.22%	23) Number of Participants =	21
8) Non-Gas Fuel Loss Factor	5.28%	24) Total Annual Dth Saved =	17,011
9) Gas Environmental Damage Factor =	\$ 0.380	25) Incentive/Participant =	\$4,921.90
Escalation Rate =	2.16%		
10) Non Gas Fuel Enviro. Damage Factor (\$/Unit) =	\$0.023		
Escalation Rate =	2.16%		
11) Participant Discount Rate =	7.42%		
12) Utility Discount Rate =	7.42%		
13) Societal Discount Rate =	2.55%		
14) General Input Data Year =	2016		

15d) Project Analysis Year 4 = 2020

Cost Summary	2020		Extension NPV	Extension B/C
Utility Cost per Participant =	\$10,740.90	Ratepayer Impact Measure Test	(\$617,713)	0.71
Cost per Participant per Dth =	\$ 93.18			
		Utility Cost Test	\$1,268,034	6.62
Lifetime Energy Reduction (Dth)	331,141			
		Societal Test	\$1,589,211	2.07
Societal Cost per Dth	\$4.47			
-		Participant Test	\$1,306,198	1.96

DATA CENTER EFFICIE	CNCY					2020 ELE	CTRIC	GOAI
2020 Net Present Cost Benefit Summ	ary Analysis For A	ll Participants				Input Summary and Totals		
			Rate	Total		Program "Inputs" per Customer kW		
	Participant	Utility	Impact	Resource	Societal	Lifetime (Weighted on Generator kWh)	A	11.6 years
	Test	Test	Test	Test	Test	Annual Hours	В	8760
	(\$Total)	(\$Total)	(\$Total)	(\$Total)	(\$Total)	Gross Customer kW	С	1 kW
Benefits						Generator Peak Coincidence Factor	D	78.46%
						Gross Load Factor at Customer	E	88,91%
Avoided Revenue Requirements						Transmission Loss Factor (Energy)	F	6.600%
Generation	N/A	\$583,095	\$583,095	\$583,095	\$583,095	Transmission Loss Factor (Demand)	G	7.000%
T & D	N/A	\$364,495	\$364,495	\$364,495	\$364,495	Societal Net Benefit (Cost)	Н	\$2,549
Marginal Energy	N/A	\$3,270,690	\$3,270,690	\$3,270,690	\$3,270,690			11. 3
Environmental Externality	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$1,147,277			
Subtotal	N/A	\$4,218,280	\$4,218,280	\$4,218,280	\$5,365,556	Program Summary per Participant		
						Gross kW Saved at Customer	I	14.23 kW
Participant Benefits						Net coincident kW Saved at Generator	(IxD)/(1-G)	12.01 kW
Bill Reduction - Electric	\$6,931,472	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Gross Annual kWh Saved at Customer	(BxExI)	110,854 kWł
Rebates from Xcel Energy	\$665,624	N/A	N/A	\$665,624	\$665,624	Net Annual kWh Saved at Generator	(BxExI)/(1-F)	118,688 kWł
Incremental Capital Savings	\$0	N/A	N/A	\$0	\$0			
Incremental O&M Savings	\$331,419	N/A	N/A	\$332,673	\$332,673			
Subtotal	\$7,928,515	N/A	N/A	\$998,297	\$998,297	Program Summary All Participants		
						Total Participants	J	80
Total Benefits	\$7,928,515	\$4,218,280	\$4,218,280	\$5,216,576	\$6,363,853	Total Budget	K	\$1,357,410
Costs						Gross kW Saved at Customer	(J x I)	1,139 kW
						Net coincident kW Saved at Generator	$(I \times D) / (1 - G) \times J$	961 kW
Utility Project Costs						Gross Annual kWh Saved at Customer	(BxExI)xJ	8,868,355 kWl
Customer Services	N/A	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	Net Annual kWh Saved at Generator	$((B \times E \times I)/(1-F)) \times J$	9,495,027 kWl
Project Administration	N/A	\$526,163	\$526,163	\$526,163	\$526,163	Societal Net Benefits	(JxIxH)	\$2,902,011
Advertising & Promotion	N/A	\$27,603	\$27,603	\$27,603	\$27,603	-		
Measurement & Verification	N/A	\$66,220	\$66,220	\$66,220	\$66,220			
Rebates	N/A	\$665,624	\$665,624	\$665,624	\$665,624	Utility Program Cost per kWh Lifetime		\$0.0124
Other	N/A	\$71,800	\$71,800	\$71,800	\$71,800	Utility Program Cost per kW at Gen		\$1,413
Subtotal	N/A	\$1,357,410	\$1,357,410	\$1,357,410	\$1,357,410			
Utility Revenue Reduction								
Revenue Reduction - Electric	N/A	N/A	\$6,931,472	N/A	N/A			
Subtotal	N/A	N/A	\$6,931,472	N/A	N/A			
Participant Costs								
Incremental Capital Costs	\$2,104,432	N/A	N/A	\$2,104,432	\$2,104,432			
meremental Capital Costs								

Note: Dollar values represent present value of impacts accumulated over the lifetime of the measures.

\$2,104,432

\$2,104,432

\$5,824,083

3.77

N/A

\$1,357,410

\$2,860,870

N/A

0.51

\$8,288,882

(\$4,070,603)

\$2,104,432

\$3,461,842

\$1,754,734

1.51

Subtotal

Total Costs

Net Benefit (Cost)

Benefit/Cost Ratio

\$2,104,432

\$3,461,842

\$2,902,011

EFFICIENCY CONTROL	LS .					2020 ELE	CTRIC	GOAL
2020 Net Present Cost Benefit Summ	nary Analysis For A	ll Participants				Input Summary and Totals		
			Rate	Total		Program "Inputs" per Customer kW		
	Participant	Utility	Impact	Resource	Societal	Lifetime (Weighted on Generator kWh)	A	15.0 years
	Test	Test	Test	Test	Test	Annual Hours	В	8760
	(\$Total)	(\$Total)	(\$Total)	(\$Total)	(\$Total)	Gross Customer kW	С	1 kW
Benefits						Generator Peak Coincidence Factor	D	21.05%
Deliterito						Gross Load Factor at Customer	E	78.78%
Avoided Revenue Requirements						Transmission Loss Factor (Energy)	F	6.600%
Generation	N/A	\$209,233	\$209,233	\$209,233	\$209,233	Transmission Loss Factor (Demand)	G	7.000%
T & D	N/A	\$131,583	\$131,583	\$131,583	\$131,583	Societal Net Benefit (Cost)	Н	\$1,853
Marginal Energy	N/A	\$3,292,533	\$3,292,533	\$3,292,533	\$3,292,533	oodean Tee Benefit (000)		¥1,000
Environmental Externality	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$1,322,399			
Subtotal	N/A	\$3,633,349	\$3,633,349	\$3,633,349	\$4,955,748	Program Summary per Participant		
	- 1,7-2	40,000,000	40,000,000	#0,000,017	# 1,5 000,1 10	Gross kW Saved at Customer	Ĭ	17.70 kW
Participant Benefits						Net coincident kW Saved at Generator	(IxD)/(1-G)	4.01 kW
Bill Reduction - Electric	\$7,381,977	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Gross Annual kWh Saved at Customer	(Bx E x I)	122,161 kWh
Rebates from Xcel Energy	\$796,294	N/A	N/A	\$796,294	\$796,294	Net Annual kWh Saved at Generator	(BxExI)/(1-F)	130,794 kWh
Incremental Capital Savings	\$0	N/A	N/A	\$0	\$0		7/\	
Incremental O&M Savings	\$833,528	N/A	N/A	\$833,528	\$833,528			
Subtotal	\$9,011,799	N/A	N/A	\$1,629,822	\$1,629,822	Program Summary All Participants		
						Total Participants	J	70
Total Benefits	\$9,011,799	\$3,633,349	\$3,633,349	\$5,263,171	\$6,585,570	Total Budget	K	\$1,232,065
Costs						Gross kW Saved at Customer	(J x I)	1,239 kW
						Net coincident kW Saved at Generator	$(I \times D)/(1-G) \times J$	280 kW
Utility Project Costs						Gross Annual kWh Saved at Customer	(BxExI)xJ	8,551,289 kWh
Customer Services	N/A	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	Net Annual kWh Saved at Generator	$((B \times E \times I)/(1-F))\times J$	9,155,555 kWh
Project Administration	N/A	\$352,119	\$352,119	\$352,119	\$352,119	Societal Net Benefits	([x I x H)	\$2,296,642
Advertising & Promotion	N/A	\$58,652	\$58,652	\$58,652	\$58,652		()/	1-,-,-,+,+
Measurement & Verification	N/A	\$5,000	\$5,000	\$5,000	\$5,000			
Rebates	N/A	\$796,294	\$796,294	\$796,294	\$796,294	Utility Program Cost per kWh Lifetime		\$0.0090
Other	N/A	\$20,000	\$20,000	\$20,000	\$20,000	Utility Program Cost per kW at Gen		\$4,393
Subtotal	N/A	\$1,232,065	\$1,232,065	\$1,232,065	\$1,232,065			,
Utility Revenue Reduction								
Revenue Reduction - Electric	N/A	N/A	\$7,381,977	N/A	N/A			
Subtotal	N/A	N/A	\$7,381,977	N/A	N/A			
Participant Costs								
Incremental Capital Costs	\$3,056,863	N/A	N/A	\$3,056,863	\$3,056,863			
Incremental O&M Costs	\$0	N/A	N/A	\$0	\$0			

Note: Dollar values represent present value of impacts accumulated over the lifetime of the measures.

\$3,056,863

\$3,056,863

\$5,954,936

2.95

Subtotal

Total Costs

Net Benefit (Cost)

Benefit/Cost Ratio

N/A

\$1,232,065

\$2,401,284

N/A

0.42

\$8,614,042

(\$4,980,693)

\$3,056,863

\$4,288,928

\$974,243

1.23

\$3,056,863

\$4,288,928

\$2,296,642

Conservation Improvement Program (CIP)

BENEFIT COST FOR GAS CIPS-- Cost-Effectiveness Analysis

Company: Xcel Energy
Project: Efficiency Controls

		2020 Extension
\$6.46	Administrative & Operating Costs =	\$49,3 00
4.00%	Incentive Costs = 16) Total Utility Project Costs =	\$134,729 \$184,029
\$0.000	, , ,	
3.22%	17) Direct Participant Costs (\$/Part.) =	\$59,037
kWh		
	18) Participant Non-Energy Costs (Annual \$/Part.) =	\$ 0
\$4.27 4.00%	Escalation Rate =	2.16%
	19) Participant Non-Energy Savings (Annual \$/Part) =	\$1,567
\$80.24 4.00%	Escalation Rate =	2.16%
1.00%	20) Project Life (Years) =	15.0
\$0.0408	21) Avg. Dth/Part. Saved =	944.8
4.00%	22) Avg Non-Gas Fuel Units/Part. Saved =	0.0
	22a) Avg Additional Non-Gas Fuel Units/ Part. Used =	0.0
\$0.022		
3.22%	23) Number of Participants =	17
5.28%	24) Total Annual Dth Saved =	16,062
\$0.380	25) Incentive/Participant =	\$7,925.25
2.16%		
\$0.023		
2.16%		
7.42%		
7.42%		
2.55%		
2016		
	4.00% \$0.000 3.22% kWh \$4.27 4.00% \$80.24 4.00% 1.00% \$0.0408 4.00% \$0.022 3.22% 5.28% \$0.380 2.16% \$0.023 2.16% 7.42% 7.42% 2.55%	100% Incentive Costs

15d) Project Analysis Year 4 = 2020

Cost Summary	2020		Extension NPV	Extension B/C
Utility Cost per Participant =	\$10,825.25	Ratepayer Impact Measure Test	(\$488,679)	0.70
Cost per Participant per Dth =	\$73.94			
		Utility Cost Test	\$976,291	6.31
Lifetime Energy Reduction (Dth)	240,924			
		Societal Test	\$917,765	1.87
Societal Cost per Dth	\$4.37			
-		Participant Test	\$876,758	1.87

FLUID SYSTEMS OPTIM	IZATION					2020 ELE	CTRIC	GOAL
2020 Net Present Cost Benefit Summ	ary Analysis For A	ll Participants				Input Summary and Totals		
			Rate	Total		Program "Inputs" per Customer kW		
	Participant	Utility	Impact	Resource	Societal	Lifetime (Weighted on Generator kWh)	A	17.1 years
	Test	Test	Test	Test	Test	Annual Hours	В	8760
	(\$Total)	(\$Total)	(\$Total)	(\$Total)	(\$Total)	Gross Customer kW	С	1 kW
Benefits						Generator Peak Coincidence Factor	D	78.91%
						Gross Load Factor at Customer	E	66.17%
Avoided Revenue Requirements						Transmission Loss Factor (Energy)	F	6.600%
Generation	N/A	\$1,550,993	\$1,550,993	\$1,550,993	\$1,550,993	Transmission Loss Factor (Demand)	G	7.000%
T & D	N/A	\$981,899	\$981,899	\$981,899	\$981,899	Societal Net Benefit (Cost)	Н	\$2,651
Marginal Energy	N/A	\$5,130,010	\$5,130,010	\$5,130,010	\$5,130,010			1.7
Environmental Externality	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$2,137,518			
Subtotal	N/A	\$7,662,902	\$7,662,902	\$7,662,902	\$9,800,420	Program Summary per Participant		
						Gross kW Saved at Customer	I	6.56 kW
Participant Benefits						Net coincident kW Saved at Generator	(IxD)/(1-G)	5.57 kW
Bill Reduction - Electric	\$14,088,216	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Gross Annual kWh Saved at Customer	(B x E x I)	38,050 kWl
Rebates from Xcel Energy	\$1,155,973	N/A	N/A	\$1,155,973	\$1,155,973	Net Annual kWh Saved at Generator	(BxExI)/(1-F)	40,738 kWł
Incremental Capital Savings	\$0	N/A	N/A	\$0	\$0			
Incremental O&M Savings	\$33,734	N/A	N/A	\$33,734	\$33,734			
Subtotal	\$15,277,923	N/A	N/A	\$1,189,707	\$1,189,707	Program Summary All Participants		
						Total Participants	J	34
Total Benefits	\$15,277,923	\$7,662,902	\$7,662,902	\$8,852,609	\$10,990,128	Total Budget	K	\$1,644,768
Costs						Gross kW Saved at Customer	(J x I)	2,275 kW
						Net coincident kW Saved at Generator	$(I \times D) / (1 - G) \times J$	1,930 kW
Utility Project Costs						Gross Annual kWh Saved at Customer	(BxExI)xJ	13,186,040 kWł
Customer Services	N/A	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	Net Annual kWh Saved at Generator	$((B \times E \times I)/(1-F)) \times J$	14,117,816 kWl
Project Administration	N/A	\$411,552	\$411,552	\$411,552	\$411,552	Societal Net Benefits	(J x I x H)	\$6,031,191
Advertising & Promotion	N/A	\$20,000	\$20,000	\$20,000	\$20,000	-		
Measurement & Verification	N/A	\$31,243	\$31,243	\$31,243	\$31,243			
Rebates	N/A	\$1,155,973	\$1,155,973	\$1,155,973	\$1,155,973	Utility Program Cost per kWh Lifetime		\$0.0068
Other	N/A	\$26,000	\$26,000	\$26,000	\$26,000	Utility Program Cost per kW at Gen		\$852
Subtotal	N/A	\$1,644,768	\$1,644,768	\$1,644,768	\$1,644,768			
Utility Revenue Reduction								
Revenue Reduction - Electric	N/A	N/A	\$14,088,216	N/A	N/A			
Subtotal	N/A	N/A	\$14,088,216	N/A	N/A			
Participant Costs								
Incremental Capital Costs	\$3,314,169	N/A	N/A	\$3,314,169	\$3,314,169			
Incremental O&M Costs	\$0	N/A	N/A	\$0	\$0			

Note: Dollar values represent present value of impacts accumulated over the lifetime of the measures.

\$3,314,169

\$3,314,169

\$11,963,754

4.61

Subtotal

Total Costs

Net Benefit (Cost)

Benefit/Cost Ratio

N/A

4.66

\$1,644,768

\$6,018,134

N/A

0.49

\$15,732,984

(\$8,070,082)

\$3,314,169

\$4,958,937

\$3,893,672

\$3,314,169

\$4,958,937

\$6,031,191

FOODSERVICE EQUIPM	IENT					2020 ELE	CTRIC	GOAL
2020 Net Present Cost Benefit Summ	nary Analysis For Al	1 Participants				Input Summary and Totals		
			Rate	Total		Program "Inputs" per Customer kW		
	Participant	Utility	Impact	Resource	Societal	Lifetime (Weighted on Generator kWh)	A	16.5 years
	Test	Test	Test	Test	Test	Annual Hours	В	8760
	(\$Total)	(\$Total)	(\$Total)	(\$Total)	(\$Total)	Gross Customer kW	С	1 kW
Benefits						Generator Peak Coincidence Factor	D	62.19%
						Gross Load Factor at Customer	E	49.09%
Avoided Revenue Requirements						Transmission Loss Factor (Energy)	F	6.600%
Generation	N/A	\$57,811	\$57,811	\$57,811	\$57,811	Transmission Loss Factor (Demand)	G	7.000%
T & D	N/A	\$36,499	\$36,499	\$36,499	\$36,499	Societal Net Benefit (Cost)	Н	\$1,534
Marginal Energy	N/A	\$213,876	\$213,876	\$213,876	\$213,876			1-100
Environmental Externality	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$76,044			
Subtotal	N/A	\$308,186	\$308,186	\$308,186	\$384,230	Program Summary per Participant		
	,	, ,	" ,		" ,	Gross kW Saved at Customer	I	1.49 kW
Participant Benefits						Net coincident kW Saved at Generator	(IxD)/(1-G)	1.00 kW
Bill Reduction - Electric	\$488,858	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Gross Annual kWh Saved at Customer	(B x E x I)	6,412 kWł
Rebates from Xcel Energy	\$28,781	N/A	N/A	\$28,781	\$28,781	Net Annual kWh Saved at Generator	(BxExI)/(1-F)	6,865 kWl
Incremental Capital Savings	\$0	N/A	N/A	\$0	\$0		7: \	
Incremental O&M Savings	\$319,254	N/A	N/A	\$27,531	\$27,531			
Subtotal	\$836,893	N/A	N/A	\$56,312	\$56,312	Program Summary All Participants		
						Total Participants	J	7.
Total Benefits	\$836,893	\$308,186	\$308,186	\$364,499	\$440,542	Total Budget	K	\$54,753
Costs						Gross kW Saved at Customer	(J x I)	109 kW
						Net coincident kW Saved at Generator	$(I \times D) / (1 - G) \times J$	73 kW
Utility Project Costs						Gross Annual kWh Saved at Customer	(B x E x I) x J	468,058 kWl
Customer Services	N/A	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	Net Annual kWh Saved at Generator	$((B \times E \times I)/(1-F)) \times J$	501,133 kWi
Project Administration	N/A	\$12,087	\$12,087	\$12,087	\$12,087	Societal Net Benefits	(IxIxH)	\$167,006
Advertising & Promotion	N/A	\$7,885	\$7,885	\$7,885	\$7,885		7	, ,
Measurement & Verification	N/A	\$5,000	\$5,000	\$5,000	\$5,000			
Rebates	N/A	\$28,781	\$28,781	\$28,781	\$28,781	Utility Program Cost per kWh Lifetime		\$0.0066
Other	N/A	\$1,000	\$1,000	\$1,000	\$1,000	Utility Program Cost per kW at Gen		\$752
Subtotal	N/A	\$54,753	\$54,753	\$54,753	\$54,753			
Utility Revenue Reduction								
Revenue Reduction - Electric	N/A	N/A	\$488,858	N/A	N/A			
Subtotal	N/A	N/A	\$488,858	N/A	N/A			
Participant Costs								
Incremental Capital Costs	\$218,783	N/A	N/A	\$218,783	\$218,783			

Note: Dollar values represent present value of impacts accumulated over the lifetime of the measures.

\$218,783

\$218,783

\$618,110

3.83

N/A

\$54,753

\$253,433

5.63

N/A

\$543,611

(\$235,425)

\$218,783

\$273,536

\$90,963

1.33

Subtotal

Total Costs

Net Benefit (Cost)

Benefit/Cost Ratio

\$218,783

\$273,536

\$167,006

Conservation Improvement Program (CIP)

BENEFIT COST FOR GAS CIPS-- Cost-Effectiveness Analysis

Company: Xcel Energy

Project: Foodservice Equipment

Input Data			2020 Extension
1) Retail Rate (\$/Dth) =	\$6.46	Administrative & Operating Costs =	\$66,245
Escalation Rate =	4.00%	Incentive Costs = 16) Total Utility Project Costs =	\$30,183 \$96,428
2) Non-Gas Fuel Retail Rate (\$/Fuel Unit) =	\$0.000	10, 10 11, 110,000 3000	#70,120
Escalation Rate =	3.22%	17) Direct Participant Costs (\$/Part.) =	\$2,753
Non-Gas Fuel Units (ie. kWh,Gallons, etc) =	kWh		
		18) Participant Non-Energy Costs (Annual \$/Part.) =	\$ 0
3) Commodity Cost (\$/Dth) = Escalation Rate =	\$4.27 4.00%	Escalation Rate =	2.16%
		19) Participant Non-Energy Savings (Annual \$/Part) =	\$23
4) Demand Cost (\$/Unit/Yr) = Escalation Rate =	\$80.24 4.00%	Escalation Rate =	2.16%
5) Peak Reduction Factor =	1.00%	20) Project Life (Years) =	12.3
6) Variable O&M (\$/Dth) =	\$0.0408	21) Avg. Dth/Part. Saved =	89.4
Escalation Rate =	4.00%	22) Avg Non-Gas Fuel Units/Part. Saved =	0.0
		22a) Avg Additional Non-Gas Fuel Units/ Part. Used =	0.0
7) Non-Gas Fuel Cost (\$/Fuel Unit) = Escalation Rate =	\$0.022 3.22%	23) Number of Participants =	67
8) Non-Gas Fuel Loss Factor	5.28%	24) Total Annual Dth Saved =	5,992
9) Gas Environmental Damage Factor = Escalation Rate =	\$0.380 2.16%	25) Incentive/Participant =	\$450.50
10) Non Gas Fuel Enviro. Damage Factor (\$/Unit) = Escalation Rate =	\$0.023 2.16%		
11) Participant Discount Rate =	7.42%		
12) Utility Discount Rate =	7.42%		
13) Societal Discount Rate =	2.55%		
14) General Input Data Year =	2016		

15d) Project Analysis Year 4 =	2020
--------------------------------	------

Cost Summary	2020		Extension NPV	Extension B/C
Utility Cost per Participant =	\$1,439.23	Ratepayer Impact Measure Test	(\$192,529)	0.66
Cost per Participant per Dth =	\$46.88			
		Utility Cost Test	\$269,591	3.80
Lifetime Energy Reduction (Dth)	73,643			
		Societal Test	\$274,642	2.10
Societal Cost per Dth	\$3.40			
		Participant Test	\$356,372	2.93

HEATING EFFICIENCY	•					2020 ELE	CTRIC	GOAL
2020 Net Present Cost Benefit Sumn	nary Analysis For Al	1 Participants				Input Summary and Totals		
			Rate	Total		Program "Inputs" per Customer kW		
	Participant	Utility	Impact	Resource	Societal	Lifetime (Weighted on Generator kWh)	A	16.4 years
	Test	Test	Test	Test	Test	Annual Hours	В	8760
	(\$Total)	(\$Total)	(\$Total)	(\$Total)	(\$Total)	Gross Customer kW	С	1 kW
Benefits						Generator Peak Coincidence Factor	D	71.65%
						Gross Load Factor at Customer	E	40.69%
Avoided Revenue Requirements						Transmission Loss Factor (Energy)	F	8.400%
Generation	N/A	\$24,308	\$24,308	\$24,308	\$24,308	Transmission Loss Factor (Demand)	G	8.800%
T & D	N/A	\$15,322	\$15,322	\$15,322	\$15,322	Societal Net Benefit (Cost)	Н	\$2,772
Marginal Energy	N/A	\$64,875	\$64,875	\$64,875	\$64,875	oocical rect benefit (cost)		Ψ2,772
Environmental Externality	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$23,600			
Subtotal	N/A	\$104,504	\$104,504	\$104,504	\$128,105	Program Summary per Participant		
	- 1,	4	# - v 1,0 v 1	4-0.900	# - - 0 , 0	Gross kW Saved at Customer	ĭ	0.63 kW
Participant Benefits						Net coincident kW Saved at Generator	(I x D) / (1 - G)	0.49 kW
Bill Reduction - Electric	\$249,465	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Gross Annual kWh Saved at Customer	(Bx E x I)	2,238 kWh
Rebates from Xcel Energy	\$7,780	N/A	N/A	\$7,780	\$7,780	Net Annual kWh Saved at Generator	(BxExI)/(1-F)	2,443 kWh
Incremental Capital Savings	\$0	N/A	N/A	\$0	\$0		() , ()	
Incremental O&M Savings	\$0	N/A	N/A	\$0	\$0			
Subtotal	\$257,245	N/A	N/A	\$7,780	\$7,780	Program Summary All Participants		
	. ,	,	,		. ,	Total Participants	1	64
Total Benefits	\$257,245	\$104,504	\$104,504	\$112,284	\$135,885	Total Budget	K	\$7,830
Costs						Gross kW Saved at Customer	(J x I)	40 kW
33000						Net coincident kW Saved at Generator	$(I \times D) / (1 - G) \times J$	32 kW
Utility Project Costs						Gross Annual kWh Saved at Customer	(BxExI)xJ	143,217 kWh
Customer Services	N/A	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	Net Annual kWh Saved at Generator	$((B \times E \times I)/(1-F))\times J$	156,350 kWh
Project Administration	N/A	\$0	\$0 \$0	\$0	\$0 \$0	Societal Net Benefits	((B X E X I) / (I - I) / X J ([x I x H)	\$111,380
Advertising & Promotion	N/A	\$25	\$25	\$25	\$25	bocketar 14ct Benefits	() *1 *11)	ΨΠ,500
Measurement & Verification	N/A	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0			
Rebates	N/A	\$7,780	\$7,780	\$7,780	\$7,780	Utility Program Cost per kWh Lifetime		\$0.0031
Other	N/A	\$25	\$25	\$25	\$25	Utility Program Cost per kW at Gen		\$248
Subtotal	N/A	\$7,830	\$7,830	\$7,830	\$7,830			,
Utility Revenue Reduction								
Revenue Reduction - Electric	N/A	N/A	\$249,465	N/A	NI / A			
Subtotal	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	\$249,465	N/A N/A	N/A N/A			
	.,	.,		,,	, -			
Participant Costs								
Incremental Capital Costs	\$16,675	N/A	N/A	\$16,675	\$16,675			
Incremental O&M Costs	\$7,653	N/A	N/A	\$0	\$0			

Note: Dollar values represent present value of impacts accumulated over the lifetime of the measures.

Subtotal

Total Costs

Net Benefit (Cost)

Benefit/Cost Ratio

\$24,328

\$24,328

\$232,917

10.57

N/A

\$7,830

\$96,674

13.35

N/A

\$257,295

(\$152,791)

0.41

\$16,675

\$24,505

\$87,779

4.58

\$16,675

\$24,505

\$111,380

Conservation Improvement Program (CIP)

BENEFIT COST FOR GAS CIPS-- Cost-Effectiveness Analysis

Company: Xcel Energy
Project: Heating Efficiency

Input Data			2020 Extension
I) Retail Rate (\$/Dth) =	\$6.46	Administrative & Operating Costs =	\$ 716,628
Escalation Rate =	4.00%	Incentive Costs = 16) Total Utility Project Costs =	\$739,165 \$1,455,793
2) Non-Gas Fuel Retail Rate (\$/Fuel Unit) =	\$0.000		
Escalation Rate =	3.22%	17) Direct Participant Costs (\$/Part.) =	\$4,162
Non-Gas Fuel Units (ie. kWh,Gallons, etc) =	kWh		
		18) Participant Non-Energy Costs (Annual \$/Part.) =	\$ 0
3) Commodity Cost (\$/Dth) = Escalation Rate =	\$4.27 4.00%	Escalation Rate =	2.16%
		19) Participant Non-Energy Savings (Annual \$/Part) =	\$46
4) Demand Cost (\$/Unit/Yr) = Escalation Rate =	\$80.24 4.00%	Escalation Rate =	2.16%
E) Deals Deducation France -	1.00%	20) Project Life (Years) =	7.7
5) Peak Reduction Factor = 5) Variable O&M (\$/Dth) =	\$0.0408	21) Avg. Dth/Part. Saved =	212.9
Escalation Rate =	4.00%	22) Avg Non-Gas Fuel Units/Part. Saved =	0.0
		22a) Avg Additional Non-Gas Fuel Units/ Part. Used =	0.0
7) Non-Gas Fuel Cost (\$/Fuel Unit) =	\$0.022		
Escalation Rate =	3.22%	23) Number of Participants =	576
3) Non-Gas Fuel Loss Factor	5.28%	24) Total Annual Dth Saved =	122,620
O) Gas Environmental Damage Factor =	\$0.380	25) Incentive/Participant =	\$1,283.41
Escalation Rate =	2.16%		
10) Non Gas Fuel Enviro. Damage Factor (\$/Unit) =	\$0.023		
Escalation Rate =	2.16%		
11) Participant Discount Rate =	7.42%		
12) Utility Discount Rate =	7.42%		
13) Societal Discount Rate =	2.55%		
14) General Input Data Year =	2016		

15d) Project Analysis Year 4 =	2020
--------------------------------	------

Cost Summary	2020		Extension NPV	Extension B/C
Utility Cost per Participant =	\$2,527.70	Ratepayer Impact Measure Test	(\$2,577,854)	0.64
Cost per Participant per Dth =	\$31.42			
		Utility Cost Test	\$3,315,081	3.45
Lifetime Energy Reduction (Dth)	948,051			
<u>.</u>		Societal Test	\$3,662,680	2.11
Societal Cost per Dth	\$3.48			
•		Participant Test	\$4,294,749	2.67

LIGHTING EFFICIENCY	Y					2020 ELE	CTRIC	GOAL
2020 Net Present Cost Benefit Summ	nary Analysis For A	Il Participants				Input Summary and Totals		
			Rate	Total		Program "Inputs" per Customer kW		
	Participant	Utility	Impact	Resource	Societal	Lifetime (Weighted on Generator kWh)	A	15.8 years
	Test	Test	Test	Test	Test	Annual Hours	В	8760
	(\$Total)	(\$Total)	(\$Total)	(\$Total)	(\$Total)	Gross Customer kW	С	1 kW
Benefits						Generator Peak Coincidence Factor	D	70.41%
Benefits						Gross Load Factor at Customer	E	61.61%
Avoided Revenue Requirements						Transmission Loss Factor (Energy)	E	6.600%
Generation	N/A	\$5,398,498	\$5,398,498	\$5,398,498	\$5,398,498	Transmission Loss Factor (Demand)	G	7.000%
T & D	N/A	\$3,406,729	\$3,406,729	\$3,406,729	\$3,406,729	Societal Net Benefit (Cost)	Н	\$1,999
Marginal Energy	N/A	\$22,060,747	\$22,060,747	\$22,060,747	\$22,060,747	bocietai i vet Benent (cost)		Ψ1,777
Environmental Externality	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$8,181,087			
Subtotal	N/A	\$30,865,974	\$30,865,974	\$30,865,974	\$39,047,061	Program Summary per Participant		
	,	,,	, , ,		1	Gross kW Saved at Customer	Ĭ	6.15 kW
Participant Benefits						Net coincident kW Saved at Generator	(IxD)/(1-G)	4.66 kW
Bill Reduction - Electric	\$56,330,309	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Gross Annual kWh Saved at Customer	(Bx E x I)	33,197 kWh
Rebates from Xcel Energy	\$4,459,335	N/A	N/A	\$4,459,335	\$4,459,335	Net Annual kWh Saved at Generator	(BxExI)/(1-F)	35,542 kWh
Incremental Capital Savings	\$0	N/A	N/A	\$0	\$0		77.	,-
Incremental O&M Savings	\$0	N/A	N/A	\$6,407	\$6,407			
Subtotal	\$60,789,644	N/A	N/A	\$4,465,742	\$4,465,742	Program Summary All Participants		
						Total Participants	J	1,623
Total Benefits	\$60,789,644	\$30,865,974	\$30,865,974	\$35,331,716	\$43,512,803	Total Budget	K	\$6,665,907
Costs						Gross kW Saved at Customer	(J x I)	9,986 kW
						Net coincident kW Saved at Generator	$(I \times D)/(1-G) \times J$	7,559 kW
Utility Project Costs						Gross Annual kWh Saved at Customer	(BxExI)xJ	53,891,239 kWh
Customer Services	N/A	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	Net Annual kWh Saved at Generator	$((B \times E \times I)/(1-F))\times J$	57,699,400 kWh
Project Administration	N/A	\$1,966,570	\$1,966,570	\$1,966,570	\$1,966,570	Societal Net Benefits	([xIxH)	\$19,959,044
Advertising & Promotion	N/A	\$65,002	\$65,002	\$65,002	\$65,002	overeum i tet Benento	() ******)	413,300,111
Measurement & Verification	N/A	\$75,000	\$75,000	\$75,000	\$75,000			
Rebates	N/A	\$4,459,335	\$4,459,335	\$4,459,335	\$4,459,335	Utility Program Cost per kWh Lifetime		\$0.0073
Other	N/A	\$100,000	\$100,000	\$100,000	\$100,000	Utility Program Cost per kW at Gen		\$882
Subtotal	N/A	\$6,665,907	\$6,665,907	\$6,665,907	\$6,665,907			
Utility Revenue Reduction								
Revenue Reduction - Electric	N/A	N/A	\$56,330,309	N/A	N/A			
Subtotal Subtotal	N/A	N/A	\$56,330,309	N/A	N/A			
Participant Costs								
Incremental Capital Costs	\$16,887,852	N/A	N/A	\$16,887,852	\$16,887,852			
	,,- 	- 1/ 11	/	T-0,000,000	, - o, o o · , o o =			

Note: Dollar values represent present value of impacts accumulated over the lifetime of the measures.

Subtotal

Total Costs

Net Benefit (Cost)

Benefit/Cost Ratio

\$18,676,742

\$18,676,742

\$42,112,902

3.25

N/A

4.63

\$6,665,907

\$24,200,067

N/A

0.49

\$62,996,216

(\$32,130,243)

\$16,887,852

\$23,553,759

\$11,777,957

1.50

\$16,887,852

\$23,553,759

\$19,959,044

MOTOR EFFICIENCY						2020 ELE	CTRIC	GOAL
2020 Net Present Cost Benefit Summ	nary Analysis For A	Il Participants				Input Summary and Totals		
			Rate	Total		Program "Inputs" per Customer kW		
	Participant	Utility	Impact	Resource	Societal	Lifetime (Weighted on Generator kWh)	A	16.8 years
	Test	Test	Test	Test	Test	Annual Hours	В	8760
	(\$Total)	(\$Total)	(\$Total)	(\$Total)	(\$Total)	Gross Customer kW	С	1 kW
Benefits						Generator Peak Coincidence Factor	D	76.97%
						Gross Load Factor at Customer	E	51.22%
Avoided Revenue Requirements						Transmission Loss Factor (Energy)	F	6.600%
Generation	N/A	\$4,738,029	\$4,738,029	\$4,738,029	\$4,738,029	Transmission Loss Factor (Demand)	G	7.000%
T & D	N/A	\$2,990,971	\$2,990,971	\$2,990,971	\$2,990,971	Societal Net Benefit (Cost)	Н	\$2,664
Marginal Energy	N/A	\$14,333,493	\$14,333,493	\$14,333,493	\$14,333,493			1-1001
Environmental Externality	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$5,240,973			
Subtotal	N/A	\$22,062,493	\$22,062,493	\$22,062,493	\$27,303,466	Program Summary per Participant		
						Gross kW Saved at Customer	I	4.27 kW
Participant Benefits						Net coincident kW Saved at Generator	(IxD)/(1-G)	3.53 kW
Bill Reduction - Electric	\$38,015,076	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Gross Annual kWh Saved at Customer	(B x E x I)	19,146 kWh
Rebates from Xcel Energy	\$2,392,086	N/A	N/A	\$2,392,086	\$2,392,086	Net Annual kWh Saved at Generator	(BxExI)/(1-F)	20,499 kWh
Incremental Capital Savings	\$0	N/A	N/A	\$0	\$0	' <u>'</u>		
Incremental O&M Savings	\$84,863	N/A	N/A	\$84,863	\$84,863			
Subtotal	\$40,492,026	N/A	N/A	\$2,476,949	\$2,476,949	Program Summary All Participants		
						Total Participants	J	1,658
Total Benefits	\$40,492,026	\$22,062,493	\$22,062,493	\$24,539,442	\$29,780,415	Total Budget	K	\$3,643,086
Costs						Gross kW Saved at Customer	(J x I)	7,076 kW
						Net coincident kW Saved at Generator	$(I \times D) / (1 - G) \times J$	5,856 kW
Utility Project Costs						Gross Annual kWh Saved at Customer	(BxExI)xJ	31,744,064 kWh
Customer Services	N/A	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	Net Annual kWh Saved at Generator	$((B \times E \times I)/(1-F)) \times J$	33,987,221 kWh
Project Administration	N/A	\$776,000	\$776,000	\$776,000	\$776,000	Societal Net Benefits	(JxIxH)	\$18,852,314
Advertising & Promotion	N/A	\$250,000	\$250,000	\$250,000	\$250,000	-		
Measurement & Verification	N/A	\$20,000	\$20,000	\$20,000	\$20,000			
Rebates	N/A	\$2,392,086	\$2,392,086	\$2,392,086	\$2,392,086	Utility Program Cost per kWh Lifetime		\$0.0064
Other	N/A	\$205,000	\$205,000	\$205,000	\$205,000	Utility Program Cost per kW at Gen		\$622
Subtotal	N/A	\$3,643,086	\$3,643,086	\$3,643,086	\$3,643,086			
Utility Revenue Reduction								
Revenue Reduction - Electric	N/A	N/A	\$38,015,076	N/A	N/A			
Subtotal	N/A	N/A	\$38,015,076	N/A	N/A			
Participant Costs								
Incremental Capital Costs	\$7,285,015	N/A	N/A	\$7,285,015	\$7,285,015			
Incremental O&M Costs	\$0	N/A	N/A	\$0	\$0			

Note: Dollar values represent present value of impacts accumulated over the lifetime of the measures.

\$7,285,015

\$7,285,015

\$33,207,011

5.56

Subtotal

Total Costs

Net Benefit (Cost)

Benefit/Cost Ratio

N/A

6.06

\$3,643,086

\$18,419,407

N/A

\$41,658,162

(\$19,595,670)

\$7,285,015

\$10,928,101

\$13,611,341

2.25

\$7,285,015

\$10,928,101

\$18,852,314

MULTI-FAMILY BUILDI	NG EFFICIE	NCY				2020 ELE	CTRIC	GOAL
2020 Net Present Cost Benefit Summ	nary Analysis For A	ll Participants				Input Summary and Totals		
			Rate	Total		Program "Inputs" per Customer kW		
	Participant	Utility	Impact	Resource	Societal	Lifetime (Weighted on Generator kWh)	A	12.8 years
	Test	Test	Test	Test	Test	Annual Hours	В	8760
	(\$Total)	(\$Total)	(\$Total)	(\$Total)	(\$Total)	Gross Customer kW	С	1 kW
Benefits					, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Generator Peak Coincidence Factor	D	16.90%
Deficitio						Gross Load Factor at Customer	E	13.95%
Avoided Revenue Requirements						Transmission Loss Factor (Energy)	E	7.413%
Generation	N/A	\$309,194	\$309,194	\$309,194	\$309,194	Transmission Loss Factor (Demand)	G	8.536%
T & D	N/A	\$193,850	\$193,850	\$193,850	\$193,850	Societal Net Benefit (Cost)	Н	\$194
Marginal Energy	N/A	\$1,234,996	\$1,234,996	\$1,234,996	\$1,234,996	Societai Net Belletit (Cost)	11	\$194
Environmental Externality	N/A	91,234,990 N/A	91,234,990 N/A	91,234,990 N/A	\$445,218			
Subtotal	N/A	\$1,738,040	\$1,738,040	\$1,738,040	\$2,183,258	Program Summary per Participant		
Subtotal	11/11	ψ1,750,0 1 0	\$1,750,040	ψ1,730,0 1 0	φ2,103,230	Gross kW Saved at Customer	Ţ	0.40 kW
Participant Benefits						Net coincident kW Saved at Generator	(I x D) / (1 - G)	0.07 kW
Bill Reduction - Electric	\$4,248,838	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Gross Annual kWh Saved at Customer	(BxExI)	489 kWh
Rebates from Xcel Energy	\$565,593	N/A	N/A	\$565,593	\$565,593	Net Annual kWh Saved at Gustomer	(BxExI) (BxExI)/(1-F)	528 kWh
Incremental Capital Savings	\$303,333 \$0	N/A	N/A	\$005,575 \$0	\$0 \$0	1vet Milital RWII Saved at Generator	(DXEXT) / (1-1-)	320 KW1
Incremental O&M Savings	\$18,670	N/A	N/A	\$32,800	\$32,800			
Subtotal	\$4,833,101	N/A	N/A	\$598,393	\$598,393	Program Summary All Participants		
out our	¥1,000,101	-1/-1	11/11	#570 , 575	40,0,0,0	Total Participants	Ĭ	6,860
Total Benefits	\$4,833,101	\$1,738,040	\$1,738,040	\$2,336,433	\$2,781,651	Total Budget	K	\$1,476,811
	94,055,101	\$1,730,040	\$1,750,040	\$2,330, 1 33	φ2,701,031			
Costs						Gross kW Saved at Customer	(J x I)	2,746 kW
						Net coincident kW Saved at Generator	$(I \times D) / (1 - G) \times J$	507 kW
Utility Project Costs						Gross Annual kWh Saved at Customer	(B x E x I) x J	3,356,144 kWh
Customer Services	N/A	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	Net Annual kWh Saved at Generator	$((B \times E \times I)/(1-F))\times J$	3,624,863 kWh
Project Administration	N/A	\$894,043	\$894,043	\$894,043	\$894,043	Societal Net Benefits	(J x I x H)	\$533,276
Advertising & Promotion	N/A	\$10,800	\$10,800	\$10,800	\$10,800			
Measurement & Verification	N/A	\$6,375	\$6,375	\$6,375	\$6,375			
Rebates	N/A	\$565,593	\$565,593	\$565,593	\$565,593	Utility Program Cost per kWh Lifetime		\$0.0317
Other	N/A	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	Utility Program Cost per kW at Gen		\$2,910
Subtotal	N/A	\$1,476,811	\$1,476,811	\$1,476,811	\$1,476,811			
Utility Revenue Reduction								
Revenue Reduction - Electric	N/A	N/A	\$4,248,838	N/A	N/A			
Subtotal	N/A	N/A	\$4,248,838	N/A	N/A			
Participant Costs								
Incremental Capital Costs	\$771,564	N/A	N/A	\$771,564	\$771,564			

Note: Dollar values represent present value of impacts accumulated over the lifetime of the measures.

\$771,564

\$771,564

\$4,061,536

6.26

Subtotal

Total Costs

Net Benefit (Cost)

Benefit/Cost Ratio

N/A

\$1,476,811

\$261,229

1.18

N/A

\$5,725,649

(\$3,987,609)

\$771,564

\$2,248,375

\$88,058

1.04

\$771,564

\$2,248,375

\$533,276

Conservation Improvement Program (CIP)

BENEFIT COST FOR GAS CIPS-- Cost-Effectiveness Analysis

Company: Xcel Energy

Project: Multi-Family Building Efficiency

Input Data			2020 Extension
1) Retail Rate (\$/Dth) =	\$6.46	Administrative & Operating Costs =	\$ 503,687
Escalation Rate =	4.00%	Incentive Costs = 16) Total Utility Project Costs =	\$168,656 \$672,343
2) Non-Gas Fuel Retail Rate (\$/Fuel Unit) =	\$0.000	, , ,	
Escalation Rate =	3.22%	17) Direct Participant Costs (\$/Part.) =	\$191
Non-Gas Fuel Units (ie. kWh,Gallons, etc) =	kWh		
		18) Participant Non-Energy Costs (Annual \$/Part.) =	\$ 0
3) Commodity Cost (\$/Dth) = Escalation Rate =	\$4.27 4.00%	Escalation Rate =	2.16%
		19) Participant Non-Energy Savings (Annual \$/Part) =	\$33
4) Demand Cost (\$/Unit/Yr) = Escalation Rate =	\$80.24 4.00%	Escalation Rate =	2.16%
5) Peak Reduction Factor =	1.00%	20) Project Life (Years) =	10.9
6) Variable O&M (\$/Dth) =	\$0.0408	21) Avg. Dth/Part. Saved =	6.9
Escalation Rate =	4.00%	22) Avg Non-Gas Fuel Units/Part. Saved =	0.0
		22a) Avg Additional Non-Gas Fuel Units/ Part. Used =	0.0
7) Non-Gas Fuel Cost (\$/Fuel Unit) =	\$0.022		
Escalation Rate =	3.22%	23) Number of Participants =	2,280
8) Non-Gas Fuel Loss Factor	5.28%	24) Total Annual Dth Saved =	15,773
9) Gas Environmental Damage Factor =	\$0.380	25) Incentive/Participant =	\$73.97
Escalation Rate =	2.16%		
10) Non Gas Fuel Enviro. Damage Factor (\$/Unit) =	\$0.023		
Escalation Rate =	2.16%		
11) Participant Discount Rate =	7.42%		
12) Utility Discount Rate =	7.42%		
13) Societal Discount Rate =	2.55%		
14) General Input Data Year =	2016		

15d) Project Analysis Year 4 = 200

Cost Summary	2020		Extension NPV	Extension B/C
Utility Cost per Participant =	\$294.89	Ratepayer Impact Measure Test	(\$899,182)	0.49
Cost per Participant per Dth =	\$70.18			
		Utility Cost Test	\$191,615	1.28
Lifetime Energy Reduction (Dth)	171,606			
		Societal Test	\$837,484	1.89
Societal Cost per Dth	\$5.47			
		Participant Test	\$1,418,699	4.26

PROCESS EFFICIENCY						2020 ELE	CTRIC	GOAL
2020 Net Present Cost Benefit Summ	ary Analysis For A	Il Participants				Input Summary and Totals		
			Rate	Total		Program "Inputs" per Customer kW		
	Participant	Utility	Impact	Resource	Societal	Lifetime (Weighted on Generator kWh)	A	17.3 years
	Test	Test	Test	Test	Test	Annual Hours	В	8760
	(\$Total)	(\$Total)	(\$Total)	(\$Total)	(\$Total)	Gross Customer kW	С	1 kW
Benefits			, , , , ,			Generator Peak Coincidence Factor	D	55.61%
Belletits						Gross Load Factor at Customer	E	56.34%
Avoided Revenue Requirements						Transmission Loss Factor (Energy)	F	6.600%
Generation	N/A	\$4,265,710	\$4,265,710	\$4,265,710	\$4,265,710	Transmission Loss Factor (Demand)	G	7.000%
T & D	N/A	\$2,693,147	\$2,693,147	\$2,693,147	\$2,693,147	Societal Net Benefit (Cost)	Н	\$5,072
Marginal Energy	N/A	\$19,449,217	\$19,449,217	\$19,449,217	\$19,449,217	Societai Net Benefit (Cost)	11	93,072
Environmental Externality	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$7,166,221			
Subtotal	N/A	\$26,408,074	\$26,408,074	\$26,408,074	\$33,574,295	Program Summary per Participant		
	11/11	Ψ20, 100,071	¥20,100,071	\$20,100,071	400,071,000	Gross kW Saved at Customer	Ĭ	36.70 kW
Participant Benefits						Net coincident kW Saved at Generator	(I x D) / (1 - G)	21.94 kW
Bill Reduction - Electric	\$50,915,379	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Gross Annual kWh Saved at Customer	(BxExI)	181,099 kWh
Rebates from Xcel Energy	\$4,456,249	N/A	N/A	\$4,456,249	\$4,456,249	Net Annual kWh Saved at Generator	(BxExI)/(1-F)	193,896 kWh
Incremental Capital Savings	\$0	N/A	N/A	\$0	\$0		(= = =) / (= =)	
Incremental O&M Savings	\$30,937,931	N/A	N/A	\$31,106,161	\$31,106,161			
Subtotal	\$86,309,559	N/A	N/A	\$35,562,410	\$35,562,410	Program Summary All Participants		
						Total Participants	J	238
Total Benefits	\$86,309,559	\$26,408,074	\$26,408,074	\$61,970,484	\$69,136,705	Total Budget	K	\$6,764,286
Costs						Gross kW Saved at Customer	(J x I)	8,734 kW
						Net coincident kW Saved at Generator	$(I \times D)/(1-G) \times J$	5,222 kW
Utility Project Costs						Gross Annual kWh Saved at Customer	(Bx E x I) x J	43,101,469 kWh
Customer Services	N/A	\$675,000	\$675,000	\$675,000	\$675,000	Net Annual kWh Saved at Generator	$((B \times E \times I)/(1-F))\times J$	46,147,183 kWh
Project Administration	N/A	\$1,506,202	\$1,506,202	\$1,506,202	\$1,506,202	Societal Net Benefits	(IxIxH)	\$44,296,336
Advertising & Promotion	N/A	\$6,835	\$6,835	\$6,835	\$6,835		\./	, , ,
Measurement & Verification	N/A	\$87,000	\$87,000	\$87,000	\$87,000			
Rebates	N/A	\$4,456,249	\$4,456,249	\$4,456,249	\$4,456,249	Utility Program Cost per kWh Lifetime		\$0.0085
Other	N/A	\$33,000	\$33,000	\$33,000	\$33,000	Utility Program Cost per kW at Gen		\$1,295
Subtotal	N/A	\$6,764,286	\$6,764,286	\$6,764,286	\$6,764,286			·
Utility Revenue Reduction								
Revenue Reduction - Electric	N/A	N/A	\$50,915,379	N/A	N/A			
Subtotal	N/A	N/A	\$50,915,379	N/A	N/A			
Participant Costs								
Incremental Capital Costs	\$18,076,083	N/A	N/A	\$18,076,083	\$18,076,083			
	. , ,	,	N/A					

Note: Dollar values represent present value of impacts accumulated over the lifetime of the measures.

\$18,076,083

\$18,076,083

\$68,233,476

4.77

Subtotal

Total Costs

Net Benefit (Cost)

Benefit/Cost Ratio

N/A

\$6,764,286

\$19,643,788

N/A

0.46

\$57,679,665

(\$31,271,591)

\$18,076,083

\$24,840,369

\$37,130,115

2.49

\$18,076,083

\$24,840,369

\$44,296,336

Conservation Improvement Program (CIP)

BENEFIT COST FOR GAS CIPS-- Cost-Effectiveness Analysis

Company: Xcel Energy
Project: Process Efficiency

Input Data			Extension
AD 110 (0/D1)	04.44		0450.450
1) Retail Rate (\$/Dth) =	\$6.46	Administrative & Operating Costs =	\$458,152
Escalation Rate =	4.00%	Incentive Costs =	\$630,171
2) Non-Gas Fuel Retail Rate (\$/Fuel Unit) =	\$0.000	16) Total Utility Project Costs =	\$1,088,323
Escalation Rate =	3.22%	17) Direct Participant Costs (\$/Part.) =	\$81,097
Non-Gas Fuel Units (ie. kWh,Gallons, etc) =	kWh		
		18) Participant Non-Energy Costs (Annual \$/Part.) =	\$ 0
3) Commodity Cost (\$/Dth) =	\$4.27	Escalation Rate =	2.16%
Escalation Rate =	4.00%		
		19) Participant Non-Energy Savings (Annual \$/Part) =	\$2,199
4) Demand Cost (\$/Unit/Yr) =	\$80.24	Escalation Rate =	2.16%
Escalation Rate =	4.00%	200 D I. (5. (V) =	2.0
5) Peak Reduction Factor =	1.00%	20) Project Life (Years) =	3.9
.,		21) Avg. Dth/Part. Saved =	2402.1
6) Variable O&M (\$/Dth) =	\$0.0408		
Escalation Rate =	4.00%	22) Avg Non-Gas Fuel Units/Part. Saved =	0.0
		22a) Avg Additional Non-Gas Fuel Units/ Part. Used =	0.0
7) Non-Gas Fuel Cost (\$/Fuel Unit) =	\$0.022		
Escalation Rate =	3.22%	23) Number of Participants =	75
8) Non-Gas Fuel Loss Factor	5.28%	24) Total Annual Dth Saved =	180,160
9) Gas Environmental Damage Factor =	\$0.380	25) Incentive/Participant =	\$8,402.29
Escalation Rate =	2.16%		
10) Non Gas Fuel Enviro. Damage Factor (\$/Unit) =	\$0.023		
Escalation Rate =	2.16%		
11) Participant Discount Rate =	7.42%		
12) Utility Discount Rate =	7.42%		
13) Societal Discount Rate =	2.55%		
14) General Input Data Year =	2016		

15d) Project Analysis Year 4 =	2020
--------------------------------	------

Cost Summary	2020		Extension NPV	Extension B/C
Utility Cost per Participant =	\$14,510.98	Ratepayer Impact Measure Test	(\$2,125,476)	0.65
Cost per Participant per Dth =	\$39.80			
		Utility Cost Test	\$2,861,876	3.63
Lifetime Energy Reduction (Dth)	703,932			
		Societal Test	\$1,968,302	1.60
Societal Cost per Dth	\$4.62			
•		Participant Test	\$126,122	1.02

2020

RECOMMISSIONING						2020 ELE	CTRIC	GOAL
2020 Net Present Cost Benefit Summ	nary Analysis For A	ll Participants				Input Summary and Totals		
			Rate	Total		Program "Inputs" per Customer kW		
	Participant	Utility	Impact	Resource	Societal	Lifetime (Weighted on Generator kWh)	A	6.8 years
	Test	Test	Test	Test	Test	Annual Hours	В	8760
	(\$Total)	(\$Total)	(\$Total)	(\$Total)	(\$Total)	Gross Customer kW	C	1 kW
Benefits						Generator Peak Coincidence Factor	D	51.08%
						Gross Load Factor at Customer	E	69.12%
Avoided Revenue Requirements						Transmission Loss Factor (Energy)	F	6.600%
Generation	N/A	\$222,731	\$222,731	\$222,731	\$222,731	Transmission Loss Factor (Demand)	G	7.000%
T & D	N/A	\$137,889	\$137,889	\$137,889	\$137,889	Societal Net Benefit (Cost)	Н	\$1,431
Marginal Energy	N/A	\$1,573,866	\$1,573,866	\$1,573,866	\$1,573,866			
Environmental Externality	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$541,204			
Subtotal	N/A	\$1,934,487	\$1,934,487	\$1,934,487	\$2,475,690	Program Summary per Participant		
						Gross kW Saved at Customer	I	11.48 kW
Participant Benefits						Net coincident kW Saved at Generator	(I x D) / (1 - G)	6.31 kW
Bill Reduction - Electric	\$2,729,770	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Gross Annual kWh Saved at Customer	(BxExI)	69,537 kWł
Rebates from Xcel Energy	\$451,293	N/A	N/A	\$451,293	\$451,293	Net Annual kWh Saved at Generator	(B x E x I) / (1 - F)	74,450 kWł
Incremental Capital Savings	\$0	N/A	N/A	\$0	\$0			
Incremental O&M Savings	\$246,171	N/A	N/A	\$246,171	\$246,171			
Subtotal	\$3,427,234	N/A	N/A	\$697,464	\$697,464	Program Summary All Participants		
						Total Participants	J	89
Total Benefits	\$3,427,234	\$1,934,487	\$1,934,487	\$2,631,951	\$3,173,154	Total Budget	K	\$808,898
Costs						Gross kW Saved at Customer	(J x I)	1,022 kW
						Net coincident kW Saved at Generator	$(I \times D) / (1 - G) \times J$	561 kW
Utility Project Costs						Gross Annual kWh Saved at Customer	(B x E x I) x J	6,188,761 kWł
Customer Services	N/A	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	Net Annual kWh Saved at Generator	$((B \times E \times I)/(1-F)) \times J$	6,626,083 kWl
Project Administration	N/A	\$295,605	\$295,605	\$295,605	\$295,605	Societal Net Benefits	(JxIxH)	\$1,462,308
Advertising & Promotion	N/A	\$12,000	\$12,000	\$12,000	\$12,000	-		
Measurement & Verification	N/A	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0			
Rebates	N/A	\$451,293	\$451,293	\$451,293	\$451,293	Utility Program Cost per kWh Lifetime		\$0.0178
Other	N/A	\$50,000	\$50,000	\$50,000	\$50,000	Utility Program Cost per kW at Gen		\$1,441
Subtotal	N/A	\$808,898	\$808,898	\$808,898	\$808,898			
Utility Revenue Reduction								
Revenue Reduction - Electric	N/A	N/A	\$2,729,770	N/A	N/A			
Subtotal	N/A	N/A	\$2,729,770	N/A	N/A			
Participant Costs								
Incremental Capital Costs	\$901,948	N/A	N/A	\$901,948	\$901,948			
Incremental O&M Costs	\$0	N/A	N/A	\$0	\$0			

Note: Dollar values represent present value of impacts accumulated over the lifetime of the measures.

\$901,948

\$901,948

\$2,525,285

3.80

Subtotal

Total Costs

Net Benefit (Cost)

Benefit/Cost Ratio

N/A

2.39

\$808,898

\$1,125,589

N/A

\$3,538,668

(\$1,604,181)

\$901,948

\$1,710,846

\$921,104

1.54

\$901,948

\$1,710,846

\$1,462,308

Conservation Improvement Program (CIP)

BENEFIT COST FOR GAS CIPS-- Cost-Effectiveness Analysis

Company: Xcel Energy
Project: Recommissioning

Input Data			2020 Extension
1) Retail Rate (\$/Dth) =	\$6.46	Administrative & Operating Costs =	\$ 52,576
Escalation Rate =	4.00%	Incentive Costs = 16) Total Utility Project Costs =	\$150,553 \$203,129
2) Non-Gas Fuel Retail Rate (\$/Fuel Unit) =	\$0.000	, , ,	
Escalation Rate =	3.22%	17) Direct Participant Costs (\$/Part.) =	\$7,014
Non-Gas Fuel Units (ie. kWh,Gallons, etc) =	kWh		
		18) Participant Non-Energy Costs (Annual \$/Part.) =	\$ 0
3) Commodity Cost (\$/Dth) = Escalation Rate =	\$4.27 4.00%	Escalation Rate =	2.16%
		19) Participant Non-Energy Savings (Annual \$/Part) =	\$1,294
4) Demand Cost (\$/Unit/Yr) = Escalation Rate =	\$80.24 4.00%	Escalation Rate =	2.16%
5) Peak Reduction Factor =	1.00%	20) Project Life (Years) =	6.7
6) Variable O&M (\$/Dth) =	\$0.0408	21) Avg. Dth/Part. Saved =	429.8
Escalation Rate =	4.00%	22) Avg Non-Gas Fuel Units/Part. Saved =	0.0
		22a) Avg Additional Non-Gas Fuel Units/ Part. Used =	0.0
7) Non-Gas Fuel Cost (\$/Fuel Unit) = Escalation Rate =	\$0.022 3.22%	23) Number of Participants =	49
8) Non-Gas Fuel Loss Factor	5.28%	24) Total Annual Dth Saved =	21,058
9) Gas Environmental Damage Factor = Escalation Rate =	\$0.380 2.16%	25) Incentive/Participant =	\$3,072.52
10) Non Gas Fuel Enviro. Damage Factor (\$/Unit) = Escalation Rate =	\$0.023 2.16%		
11) Participant Discount Rate =	7.42%		
12) Utility Discount Rate =	7.42%		
13) Societal Discount Rate =	2.55%		
14) General Input Data Year =	2016		

15d) Project Analysis Year 4 = 2020

Cost Summary	2020		Extension NPV	Extension B/C
Utility Cost per Participant =	\$4,145.50	Ratepayer Impact Measure Test	(\$406,518)	0.66
Cost per Participant per Dth =	\$25.97	Utility Cost Test	\$571,518	3.81
Lifetime Energy Reduction (Dth)	141,973		ψογ1 , 010	3.01
Societal Cost and Deb	\$2.79	Societal Test	\$925,922	3.34
Societal Cost per Dth	\$2.79	Participant Test	\$1,164,043	4.39

SELF-DIRECT						2020 ELE	CTRIC	GOAL
2020 Net Present Cost Benefit Summ	nary Analysis For Al	1 Participants				Input Summary and Totals		
			Rate	Total		Program "Inputs" per Customer kW		
	Participant	Utility	Impact	Resource	Societal	Lifetime (Weighted on Generator kWh)	A	0.0 years
	Test	Test	Test	Test	Test	Annual Hours	В	8760
	(\$Total)	(\$Total)	(\$Total)	(\$Total)	(\$Total)	Gross Customer kW	С	1 kW
Benefits						Generator Peak Coincidence Factor	D	0.00%
						Gross Load Factor at Customer	Е	#DIV/0!
Avoided Revenue Requirements						Transmission Loss Factor (Energy)	F	0.000%
Generation	N/A	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	Transmission Loss Factor (Demand)	G	0.000%
T & D	N/A	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	Societal Net Benefit (Cost)	Н	#DIV/0
Marginal Energy	N/A	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0			,
Environmental Externality	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$0			
Subtotal	N/A	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	Program Summary per Participant		
						Gross kW Saved at Customer	I	#DIV/0
Participant Benefits						Net coincident kW Saved at Generator	(IxD)/(1-G)	#DIV/0
Bill Reduction - Electric	\$0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Gross Annual kWh Saved at Customer	(BxExI)	#DIV/0
Rebates from Xcel Energy	\$0	N/A	N/A	\$0	\$0	Net Annual kWh Saved at Generator	(BxExI)/(1-F)	#DIV/0
Incremental Capital Savings	\$0	N/A	N/A	\$0	\$0	·		
Incremental O&M Savings	\$0	N/A	N/A	\$0	\$0			
Subtotal	\$0	N/A	N/A	\$0	\$0	Program Summary All Participants		
						Total Participants	J	(
Total Benefits	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	Total Budget	K	\$28,312
Costs						Gross kW Saved at Customer	(J x I)	#DIV/0
						Net coincident kW Saved at Generator	$(I \times D) / (1 - G) \times J$	#DIV/0
Utility Project Costs						Gross Annual kWh Saved at Customer	(BxExI)xJ	#DIV/0
Customer Services	N/A	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	Net Annual kWh Saved at Generator	$((\mathbf{B} \times \mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{I})/(1-\mathbf{F})) \times \mathbf{J}$	#DIV/0
Project Administration	N/A	\$27,505	\$27,505	\$27,505	\$27,505	Societal Net Benefits	(JxIxH)	#DIV/0
Advertising & Promotion	N/A	\$442	\$442	\$442	\$442			
Measurement & Verification	N/A	\$318	\$318	\$318	\$318			
Rebates	N/A	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	Utility Program Cost per kWh Lifetime		#DIV/0!
Other	N/A	\$47	\$47	\$47	\$47	Utility Program Cost per kW at Gen		#DIV/0
Subtotal	N/A	\$28,312	\$28,312	\$28,312	\$28,312			
Utility Revenue Reduction								
Revenue Reduction - Electric	N/A	N/A	\$0	N/A	N/A			
Subtotal	N/A	N/A	\$0	N/A	N/A			
Participant Costs								
	\$0	N/A	N/A	\$0	\$0			
Incremental Capital Costs	<u>ي</u> ون							

Note: Dollar values represent present value of impacts accumulated over the lifetime of the measures.

\$0

INF

Subtotal

Total Costs

Net Benefit (Cost)

Benefit/Cost Ratio

N/A

\$28,312

(\$28,312)

N/A

\$28,312

(\$28,312)

\$0

\$28,312

(\$28,312)

\$0

\$28,312

(\$28,312)

Conservation Improvement Program (CIP)

BENEFIT COST FOR GAS CIPS-- Cost-Effectiveness Analysis

Company: Xcel Energy
Project: Self-Direct

Input Data			2020 Extension
1) Retail Rate (\$/Dth) =	\$6.46	Administrative & Operating Costs =	\$9,243
Escalation Rate =	4.00%	Incentive Costs = 16) Total Utility Project Costs =	\$0 \$9,243
2) Non-Gas Fuel Retail Rate (\$/Fuel Unit) =	\$0.000		
Escalation Rate =	3.22%	17) Direct Participant Costs (\$/Part.) =	\$ 0
Non-Gas Fuel Units (ie. kWh,Gallons, etc) =	kWh		
		18) Participant Non-Energy Costs (Annual \$/Part.) =	\$ 0
3) Commodity Cost (\$/Dth) = Escalation Rate =	\$4.27 4.00%	Escalation Rate =	2.16%
		19) Participant Non-Energy Savings (Annual \$/Part) =	\$ 0
4) Demand Cost (\$/Unit/Yr) = Escalation Rate =	\$80.24 4.00%	Escalation Rate =	2.16%
5) Deal Deal original Frances	1.000/	20) Project Life (Years) =	0.0
5) Peak Reduction Factor =	1.00%	21) Avg. Dth/Part. Saved =	0.0
6) Variable O&M (\$/Dth) =	\$0.0408	, 0	
Escalation Rate =	4.00%	22) Avg Non-Gas Fuel Units/Part. Saved =	0.0
		22a) Avg Additional Non-Gas Fuel Units/ Part. Used =	0.0
7) Non-Gas Fuel Cost (\$/Fuel Unit) =	\$0.022		
Escalation Rate =	3.22%	23) Number of Participants =	0
8) Non-Gas Fuel Loss Factor	5.28%	24) Total Annual Dth Saved =	0
9) Gas Environmental Damage Factor =	\$0.380	25) Incentive/Participant =	\$0.00
Escalation Rate =	2.16%		
10) Non Gas Fuel Enviro. Damage Factor (\$/Unit) =	\$0.023		
Escalation Rate =	2.16%		
11) Participant Discount Rate =	7.42%		
12) Utility Discount Rate =	7.42%		
13) Societal Discount Rate =	2.55%		
14) General Input Data Year =	2016		

15d) Project Analysis Year 4 = 2020

Cost Summary	2020		Extension NPV	Extension B/C
Utility Cost per Participant =	#DIV/0!	Ratepayer Impact Measure Test	(\$9,243)	0.00
Cost per Participant per Dth =	#DIV/0!			
		Utility Cost Test	(\$9,243)	0.00
Lifetime Energy Reduction (Dth)	0			
		Societal Test	(\$9,243)	0.00
Societal Cost per Dth	#DIV/0!			
-		Participant Test	\$0	#DIV/0!

TURN KEY						2020 ELE	CTRIC	GOAL
2020 Net Present Cost Benefit Summ	ary Analysis For A	ll Participants				Input Summary and Totals		
			Rate	Total		Program "Inputs" per Customer kW		
	Participant	Utility	Impact	Resource	Societal	Lifetime (Weighted on Generator kWh)	A	13.9 years
	Test	Test	Test	Test	Test	Annual Hours	В	8760
	(\$Total)	(\$Total)	(\$Total)	(\$Total)	(\$Total)	Gross Customer kW	С	1 kW
Benefits						Generator Peak Coincidence Factor	D	54.93%
						Gross Load Factor at Customer	E	54.22%
Avoided Revenue Requirements						Transmission Loss Factor (Energy)	F	6.600%
Generation	N/A	\$653,661	\$653,661	\$653,661	\$653,661	Transmission Loss Factor (Demand)	G	7.000%
T & D	N/A	\$410,996	\$410,996	\$410,996	\$410,996	Societal Net Benefit (Cost)	Н	\$1,375
Marginal Energy	N/A	\$3,175,184	\$3,175,184	\$3,175,184	\$3,175,184	occession recommendation (coop)		\$1,070
Environmental Externality	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$1,058,814			
Subtotal	N/A	\$4,239,841	\$4,239,841	\$4,239,841	\$5,298,655	Program Summary per Participant		
	,		. , ,	" , ,	" , ,	Gross kW Saved at Customer	I	5.13 kW
Participant Benefits						Net coincident kW Saved at Generator	(IxD)/(1-G)	3.03 kW
Bill Reduction - Electric	\$7,541,395	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Gross Annual kWh Saved at Customer	(BxExI)	24,389 kWh
Rebates from Xcel Energy	\$1,069,506	N/A	N/A	\$1,069,506	\$1,069,506	Net Annual kWh Saved at Generator	(BxExI)/(1-F)	26,112 kWh
Incremental Capital Savings	\$0	N/A	N/A	\$0	\$0		, , , , ,	
Incremental O&M Savings	\$613,866	N/A	N/A	\$0	\$0			
Subtotal	\$9,224,767	N/A	N/A	\$1,069,506	\$1,069,506	Program Summary All Participants		
						Total Participants	J	306
Total Benefits	\$9,224,767	\$4,239,841	\$4,239,841	\$5,309,347	\$6,368,161	Total Budget	K	\$1,680,254
Costs						Gross kW Saved at Customer	(J x I)	1,571 kW
						Net coincident kW Saved at Generator	$(I \times D) / (1 - G) \times J$	928 kW
Utility Project Costs						Gross Annual kWh Saved at Customer	(BxExI)xJ	7,462,940 kWh
Customer Services	N/A	\$215,900	\$215,900	\$215,900	\$215,900	Net Annual kWh Saved at Generator	$((B \times E \times I)/(1-F)) \times J$	7,990,299 kWh
Project Administration	N/A	\$251,578	\$251,578	\$251,578	\$251,578	Societal Net Benefits	(J x I x H)	\$2,160,263
Advertising & Promotion	N/A	\$26,270	\$26,270	\$26,270	\$26,270		10 1	
Measurement & Verification	N/A	\$8,000	\$8,000	\$8,000	\$8,000			
Rebates	N/A	\$1,069,506	\$1,069,506	\$1,069,506	\$1,069,506	Utility Program Cost per kWh Lifetime		\$0.0151
Other	N/A	\$109,000	\$109,000	\$109,000	\$109,000	Utility Program Cost per kW at Gen		\$1,810
Subtotal	N/A	\$1,680,254	\$1,680,254	\$1,680,254	\$1,680,254			
Utility Revenue Reduction								
Revenue Reduction - Electric	N/A	N/A	\$7,541,395	N/A	N/A			
Subtotal	N/A	N/A	\$7,541,395	N/A	N/A			
Participant Costs								
Incremental Capital Costs	\$2,493,416	N/A	N/A	\$2,493,416	\$2,493,416			

Note: Dollar values represent present value of impacts accumulated over the lifetime of the measures.

\$2,493,416

\$2,493,416

\$6,731,351

3.70

Subtotal

Total Costs

Net Benefit (Cost)

Benefit/Cost Ratio

\$2,527,644

\$4,207,898

\$2,160,263

1.51

N/A

0.46

\$9,221,649

(\$4,981,808)

N/A

2.52

\$1,680,254

\$2,559,587

\$2,527,644

\$4,207,898

\$1,101,449

Conservation Improvement Program (CIP)

BENEFIT COST FOR GAS CIPS-- Cost-Effectiveness Analysis

Company: **Xcel Energy**Project: **Turn Key**

Project: 1 urn Key Input Data			2020 Extension
1) Retail Rate (\$/Dth) =	\$6.46	Administrative & Operating Costs =	\$124,663
Escalation Rate =	4.00%	Incentive Costs = 16) Total Utility Project Costs =	\$116,259 \$240,922
2) Non-Gas Fuel Retail Rate (\$/Fuel Unit) =	\$0.000	, , ,	
Escalation Rate =	3.22%	17) Direct Participant Costs (\$/Part.) =	\$2,854
Non-Gas Fuel Units (ie. kWh,Gallons, etc) =	kWh		
		18) Participant Non-Energy Costs (Annual \$/Part.) =	\$ 0
3) Commodity Cost (\$/Dth) = Escalation Rate =	\$4.27 4.00%	Escalation Rate =	2.16%
		19) Participant Non-Energy Savings (Annual \$/Part) =	\$ 0
4) Demand Cost (\$/Unit/Yr) = Escalation Rate =	\$80.24 4.00%	Escalation Rate =	2.16%
5) Peak Reduction Factor =	1.00%	20) Project Life (Years) =	11.1
6) Variable O&M (\$/Dth) =	\$0.0408	21) Avg. Dth/Part. Saved =	82.6
Escalation Rate =	4.00%	22) Avg Non-Gas Fuel Units/Part. Saved =	0.0
		22a) Avg Additional Non-Gas Fuel Units/ Part. Used =	0.0
7) Non-Gas Fuel Cost (\$/Fuel Unit) =	\$0.022		
Escalation Rate =	3.22%	23) Number of Participants =	70
8) Non-Gas Fuel Loss Factor	5.28%	24) Total Annual Dth Saved =	5,785
9) Gas Environmental Damage Factor =	\$0.380	25) Incentive/Participant =	\$1,660.84
Escalation Rate =	2.16%		
10) Non Gas Fuel Enviro. Damage Factor (\$/Unit) =	\$0.023		
Escalation Rate =	2.16%		
11) Participant Discount Rate =	7.42%		
12) Utility Discount Rate =	7.42%		
13) Societal Discount Rate =	2.55%		
14) General Input Data Year =	2016		
14) General Input Data Year =	2016		

15d) Project Analysis Year 4 = 2020

Cost Summary	2020		Extension NPV	Extension B/C
Utility Cost per Participant =	\$3,441.74	Ratepayer Impact Measure Test	(\$326,394)	0.50
Cost per Participant per Dth =	\$76.17			
		Utility Cost Test	\$84,616	1.35
Lifetime Energy Reduction (Dth)	64,229			
		Societal Test	\$116,147	1.36
Societal Cost per Dth	\$5.05			
		Participant Test	\$327,490	2.64

BUSINESS SEGMENT LO	DAD MANAGI	EMENT TO	Γ AL			2020 ELE	CTRIC	GOAI
2020 Net Present Cost Benefit Summ	ary Analysis For Al	1 Participants				Input Summary and Totals		
			Rate	Total		Program "Inputs" per Customer kW		
	Participant	Utility	Impact	Resource	Societal	Lifetime (Weighted on Generator kWh)	A	4.1 years
	Test	Test	Test	Test	Test	Annual Hours	В	876
	(\$Total)	(\$Total)	(\$Total)	(\$Total)	(\$Total)	Gross Customer kW	С	1 kV
Benefits						Generator Peak Coincidence Factor	D	52.31%
						Gross Load Factor at Customer	Е	0.07%
Avoided Revenue Requirements						Transmission Loss Factor (Energy)	F	6.600%
Generation	N/A	\$5,141,977	\$5,141,977	\$5,141,977	\$5,141,977	Transmission Loss Factor (Demand)	G	7.000%
T & D	N/A	\$3,200,735	\$3,200,735	\$3,200,735	\$3,200,735	Societal Net Benefit (Cost)	Н	\$123
Marginal Energy	N/A	\$40,980	\$40,980	\$40,980	\$40,980			
Environmental Externality	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$13,279			
Subtotal	N/A	\$8,383,692	\$8,383,692	\$8,383,692	\$8,396,971	Program Summary per Participant		
						Gross kW Saved at Customer	I	40.62 kV
Participant Benefits						Net coincident kW Saved at Generator	(IxD)/(1-G)	22.85 kW
Bill Reduction - Electric	\$126,094	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Gross Annual kWh Saved at Customer	(BxExI)	249 kWl
Rebates from Xcel Energy	\$426,269	N/A	N/A	\$426,269	\$426,269	Net Annual kWh Saved at Generator	(BxExI)/(1-F)	267 kWl
Incremental Capital Savings	\$0	N/A	N/A	\$0	\$0			
Incremental O&M Savings	\$0	N/A	N/A	\$0	\$0			
Subtotal	\$552,363	N/A	N/A	\$426,269	\$426,269	Program Summary All Participants		
						Total Participants	J	99:
Total Benefits	\$552,363	\$8,383,692	\$8,383,692	\$8,809,961	\$8,823,240	Total Budget	K	\$3,858,636
Costs						Gross kW Saved at Customer	(J x I)	40,350 kW
						Net coincident kW Saved at Generator	$(I \times D) / (1 - G) \times J$	22,694 kV
Utility Project Costs						Gross Annual kWh Saved at Customer	(B x E x I) x J	247,649 kWl
Customer Services	N/A	\$30,000	\$30,000	\$30,000	\$30,000	Net Annual kWh Saved at Generator	$((B \times E \times I)/(1-F))\times J$	265,149 kWl
Project Administration	N/A	\$2,986,859	\$2,986,859	\$2,986,859	\$2,986,859	Societal Net Benefits	(IxIxH)	\$4,964,604
Advertising & Promotion	N/A	\$240,508	\$240,508	\$240,508	\$240,508			. , ,
Measurement & Verification	N/A	\$175,000	\$175,000	\$175,000	\$175,000			
Rebates	N/A	\$426,269	\$426,269	\$426,269	\$426,269	Utility Program Cost per kWh Lifetime		\$3.5688
Other	N/A	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	Utility Program Cost per kW at Gen		\$170
Subtotal	N/A	\$3,858,636	\$3,858,636	\$3,858,636	\$3,858,636			
Utility Revenue Reduction								
Revenue Reduction - Electric	N/A	N/A	\$126,094	N/A	N/A			
Subtotal	N/A	N/A	\$126,094	N/A	N/A			
Participant Costs								
Incremental Capital Costs	\$0	N/A	N/A	\$0	\$0			
Incremental Capital Costs								

Note: Dollar values represent present value of impacts accumulated over the lifetime of the measures.

\$552,363

INF

Subtotal

Total Costs

Net Benefit (Cost)

Benefit/Cost Ratio

N/A

\$3,858,636

\$4,525,056

2.17

N/A

2.10

\$3,858,636

\$4,951,325

2.28

\$3,984,729

\$4,398,963

\$0

\$3,858,636

\$4,964,604

ELECTRIC RATE SAVIN	GS					2020 ELE	CTRIC	GOAL
2020 Net Present Cost Benefit Summ	nary Analysis For A	ll Participants				Input Summary and Totals		
			Rate	Total		Program "Inputs" per Customer kW		
	Participant	Utility	Impact	Resource	Societal	Lifetime (Weighted on Generator kWh)	A	5.0 years
	Test	Test	Test	Test	Test	Annual Hours	В	8760
	(\$Total)	(\$Total)	(\$Total)	(\$Total)	(\$Total)	Gross Customer kW	С	1 kW
Benefits						Generator Peak Coincidence Factor	D	47.46%
						Gross Load Factor at Customer	E	0.20%
Avoided Revenue Requirements						Transmission Loss Factor (Energy)	F	6.600%
Generation	N/A	\$1,370,516	\$1,370,516	\$1,370,516	\$1,370,516	Transmission Loss Factor (Demand)	G	7.000%
T & D	N/A	\$845,089	\$845,089	\$845,089	\$845,089	Societal Net Benefit (Cost)	Н	\$189
Marginal Energy	N/A	\$33,111	\$33,111	\$33,111	\$33,111			#-07
Environmental Externality	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$10,785			
Subtotal	N/A	\$2,248,717	\$2,248,717	\$2,248,717	\$2,259,502	Program Summary per Participant		
						Gross kW Saved at Customer	I	200.00 kW
Participant Benefits						Net coincident kW Saved at Generator	(I x D) / (1 - G)	102.06 kW
Bill Reduction - Electric	\$101,088	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Gross Annual kWh Saved at Customer	(BxExI)	3,532 kWh
Rebates from Xcel Energy	\$0	N/A	N/A	\$0	\$0	Net Annual kWh Saved at Generator	(BxExI)/(1-F)	3,782 kWł
Incremental Capital Savings	\$0	N/A	N/A	\$0	\$0	-		
Incremental O&M Savings	\$0	N/A	N/A	\$0	\$0			
Subtotal	\$101,088	N/A	N/A	\$0	\$0	Program Summary All Participants		
						Total Participants	J	45
Total Benefits	\$101,088	\$2,248,717	\$2,248,717	\$2,248,717	\$2,259,502	Total Budget	K	\$559,716
Costs						Gross kW Saved at Customer	(J x I)	9,000 kW
						Net coincident kW Saved at Generator	$(I \times D) / (1 - G) \times J$	4,593 kW
Utility Project Costs						Gross Annual kWh Saved at Customer	(BxExI)xJ	158,942 kWł
Customer Services	N/A	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	Net Annual kWh Saved at Generator	$((\mathbf{B} \times \mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{I})/(1-\mathbf{F})) \times \mathbf{J}$	170,174 kWh
Project Administration	N/A	\$544,208	\$544,208	\$544,208	\$544,208	Societal Net Benefits	(IxIxH)	\$1,699,786
Advertising & Promotion	N/A	\$15,508	\$15,508	\$15,508	\$15,508			
Measurement & Verification	N/A	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0			
Rebates	N/A	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	Utility Program Cost per kWh Lifetime		\$0.6578
Other	N/A	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	Utility Program Cost per kW at Gen		\$122
Subtotal	N/A	\$559,716	\$559,716	\$559,716	\$559,716			
Utility Revenue Reduction								
Revenue Reduction - Electric	N/A	N/A	\$101,088	N/A	N/A			
Subtotal	N/A	N/A	\$101,088	N/A	N/A			
Participant Costs								
Incremental Capital Costs	\$0	N/A	N/A	\$0	\$0			
Incremental O&M Costs	\$0	N/A	N/A	\$0	\$0			

Note: Dollar values represent present value of impacts accumulated over the lifetime of the measures.

\$101,088

INF

N/A

\$559,716

\$1,689,001

N/A

\$660,804

\$1,587,913

Subtotal

Total Costs

Net Benefit (Cost)

Benefit/Cost Ratio

\$0

\$559,716

\$1,699,786

4.04

\$0

\$559,716

\$1,689,001

PEAK PARTNER REWAR	RDS					2020 ELE	CTRIC	GOAL
2020 Net Present Cost Benefit Summ	nary Analysis For A	ll Participants				Input Summary and Totals		
			Rate	Total		Program "Inputs" per Customer kW		
	Participant	Utility	Impact	Resource	Societal	Lifetime (Weighted on Generator kWh)	A	1.0 years
	Test	Test	Test	Test	Test	Annual Hours	В	8760
	(\$Total)	(\$Total)	(\$Total)	(\$Total)	(\$Total)	Gross Customer kW	С	1 kW
Benefits						Generator Peak Coincidence Factor	D	100.00%
						Gross Load Factor at Customer	E	0.07%
Avoided Revenue Requirements						Transmission Loss Factor (Energy)	F	6.600%
Generation	N/A	\$919,878	\$919,878	\$919,878	\$919,878	Transmission Loss Factor (Demand)	G	7.000%
T & D	N/A	\$562,337	\$562,337	\$562,337	\$562,337	Societal Net Benefit (Cost)	Н	\$76
Marginal Energy	N/A	\$3,704	\$3,704	\$3,704	\$3,704			#10
Environmental Externality	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$1,097			
Subtotal	N/A	\$1,485,919	\$1,485,919	\$1,485,919	\$1,487,016	Program Summary per Participant		
	,	, , ,	,,,,,,	, , ,	,,,,,,	Gross kW Saved at Customer	I	885.29 kW
Participant Benefits						Net coincident kW Saved at Generator	(IxD)/(1-G)	951.93 kW
Bill Reduction - Electric	\$10,034	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Gross Annual kWh Saved at Customer	(BxExI)	5,312 kWh
Rebates from Xcel Energy	\$426,269	N/A	N/A	\$426,269	\$426,269	Net Annual kWh Saved at Generator	(BxExI)/(1-F)	5,687 kWh
Incremental Capital Savings	\$0	N/A	N/A	\$0	\$0		, , ,	
Incremental O&M Savings	\$0	N/A	N/A	\$0	\$0			
Subtotal	\$436,303	N/A	N/A	\$426,269	\$426,269	Program Summary All Participants		
						Total Participants	J	15
Total Benefits	\$436,303	\$1,485,919	\$1,485,919	\$1,912,188	\$1,913,285	Total Budget	K	\$910,277
Costs						Gross kW Saved at Customer	(J x I)	13,279 kW
						Net coincident kW Saved at Generator	$(I \times D) / (1 - G) \times J$	14,279 kW
Utility Project Costs						Gross Annual kWh Saved at Customer	(B x E x I) x J	79,676 kWh
Customer Services	N/A	\$30,000	\$30,000	\$30,000	\$30,000	Net Annual kWh Saved at Generator	$((B \times E \times I)/(1-F))\times J$	85,307 kWh
Project Administration	N/A	\$404,008	\$404,008	\$404,008	\$404,008	Societal Net Benefits	(JxIxH)	\$1,003,008
Advertising & Promotion	N/A	\$25,000	\$25,000	\$25,000	\$25,000			
Measurement & Verification	N/A	\$25,000	\$25,000	\$25,000	\$25,000			
Rebates	N/A	\$426,269	\$426,269	\$426,269	\$426,269	Utility Program Cost per kWh Lifetime		\$10.6706
Other	N/A	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	Utility Program Cost per kW at Gen		\$64
Subtotal	N/A	\$910,277	\$910,277	\$910,277	\$910,277			
Utility Revenue Reduction								
Revenue Reduction - Electric	N/A	N/A	\$10,034	N/A	N/A			
Subtotal	N/A	N/A	\$10,034	N/A	N/A			
Participant Costs								
Incremental Capital Costs	\$0	N/A	N/A	\$0	\$0			
Incremental O&M Costs	\$0	N/A	N/A	\$0	\$0			

Note: Dollar values represent present value of impacts accumulated over the lifetime of the measures.

Subtotal

Total Costs

Net Benefit (Cost)

Benefit/Cost Ratio

N/A

\$910,277

\$575,642

1.63

\$0

\$436,303

INF

N/A

\$920,311

\$565,608

1.61

\$0

\$910,277

\$1,003,008

2.10

\$0

\$910,277

\$1,001,911

SAVER'S SWITCH FOR B	USINESS					2020 ELE	CTRIC	GOAI
2020 Net Present Cost Benefit Summ	ary Analysis For Al	1 Participants				Input Summary and Totals		
			Rate	Total		Program "Inputs" per Customer kW		
	Participant	Utility	Impact	Resource	Societal	Lifetime (Weighted on Generator kWh)	A	15.0 years
	Test	Test	Test	Test	Test	Annual Hours	В	8760
	(\$Total)	(\$Total)	(\$Total)	(\$Total)	(\$Total)	Gross Customer kW	С	1 kW
Benefits						Generator Peak Coincidence Factor	D	19.67%
						Gross Load Factor at Customer	E	0.01%
Avoided Revenue Requirements						Transmission Loss Factor (Energy)	F	6.600%
Generation	N/A	\$2,851,583	\$2,851,583	\$2,851,583	\$2,851,583	Transmission Loss Factor (Demand)	G	7.000%
T & D	N/A	\$1,793,308	\$1,793,308	\$1,793,308	\$1,793,308	Societal Net Benefit (Cost)	H	\$125
Marginal Energy	N/A	\$4,164	\$4,164	\$4,164	\$4,164			1-2-
Environmental Externality	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$1,396			
Subtotal	N/A	\$4,649,056	\$4,649,056	\$4,649,056	\$4,650,453	Program Summary per Participant		
						Gross kW Saved at Customer	I	19.36 kW
Participant Benefits						Net coincident kW Saved at Generator	(IxD)/(1-G)	4.10 kW
Bill Reduction - Electric	\$14,972	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Gross Annual kWh Saved at Customer	(B x E x I)	10 kWł
Rebates from Xcel Energy	\$0	N/A	N/A	\$0	\$0	Net Annual kWh Saved at Generator	(BxExI)/(1-F)	10 kWł
Incremental Capital Savings	\$0	N/A	N/A	\$0	\$0	-		
Incremental O&M Savings	\$0	N/A	N/A	\$0	\$0			
Subtotal	\$14,972	N/A	N/A	\$0	\$0	Program Summary All Participants		
						Total Participants	J	933
Total Benefits	\$14,972	\$4,649,056	\$4,649,056	\$4,649,056	\$4,650,453	Total Budget	K	\$2,388,642
Costs						Gross kW Saved at Customer	(J x I)	18,071 kW
						Net coincident kW Saved at Generator	$(I \times D) / (1 - G) \times J$	3,823 kW
Utility Project Costs						Gross Annual kWh Saved at Customer	(B x E x I) x J	9,030 kWl
Customer Services	N/A	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	Net Annual kWh Saved at Generator	$((B \times E \times I)/(1-F))\times J$	9,668 kWl
Project Administration	N/A	\$2,038,642	\$2,038,642	\$2,038,642	\$2,038,642	Societal Net Benefits	(IxIxH)	\$2,261,810
Advertising & Promotion	N/A	\$200,000	\$200,000	\$200,000	\$200,000			
Measurement & Verification	N/A	\$150,000	\$150,000	\$150,000	\$150,000			
Rebates	N/A	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	Utility Program Cost per kWh Lifetime		\$16.4703
Other	N/A	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	Utility Program Cost per kW at Gen		\$625
Subtotal	N/A	\$2,388,642	\$2,388,642	\$2,388,642	\$2,388,642			
Utility Revenue Reduction								
Revenue Reduction - Electric	N/A	N/A	\$14,972	N/A	N/A			
Subtotal	N/A	N/A	\$14,972	N/A	N/A			
Participant Costs								
Incremental Capital Costs	\$0	N/A	N/A	\$0	\$0			

Note: Dollar values represent present value of impacts accumulated over the lifetime of the measures.

\$14,972

INF

N/A

1.95

\$2,388,642

\$2,260,414

N/A

\$2,388,642

\$2,260,414

1.95

\$2,403,615

\$2,245,442

Subtotal

Total Costs

Net Benefit (Cost)

Benefit/Cost Ratio

\$0

\$2,388,642

\$2,261,810

RESIDENTIAL SEGMEN	IT TOTAL					2020 ELE	CTRIC	GOAL
2020 Net Present Cost Benefit Summ	nary Analysis For A	Il Participants				Input Summary and Totals		
			Rate	Total		Program "Inputs" per Customer kW		
	Participant	Utility	Impact	Resource	Societal	Lifetime (Weighted on Generator kWh)	A	5.9 years
	Test	Test	Test	Test	Test	Annual Hours	В	8760
	(\$Total)	(\$Total)	(\$Total)	(\$Total)	(\$Total)	Gross Customer kW	С	1 kW
Benefits						Generator Peak Coincidence Factor	D	29.16%
						Gross Load Factor at Customer	E	10.25%
Avoided Revenue Requirements						Transmission Loss Factor (Energy)	F	8.400%
Generation	N/A	\$23,917,960	\$23,917,960	\$23,917,960	\$23,917,960	Transmission Loss Factor (Demand)	G	8.800%
T & D	N/A	\$14,953,869	\$14,953,869	\$14,953,869	\$14,953,869	Societal Net Benefit (Cost)	Н	\$275
Marginal Energy	N/A	\$29,689,979	\$29,689,979	\$29,689,979	\$29,689,979			
Environmental Externality	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$9,333,832			
Subtotal	N/A	\$68,561,808	\$68,561,808	\$68,561,808	\$77,895,640	Program Summary per Participant		
						Gross kW Saved at Customer	I	0.11 kW
Participant Benefits						Net coincident kW Saved at Generator	(I x D) / (1 - G)	0.04 kW
Bill Reduction - Electric	\$87,611,432	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Gross Annual kWh Saved at Customer	(B x E x I)	103 kWh
Rebates from Xcel Energy	\$11,956,338	N/A	N/A	\$11,956,338	\$11,956,338	Net Annual kWh Saved at Generator	(BxExI)/(1-F)	112 kWh
Incremental Capital Savings	\$0	N/A	N/A	\$0	\$0			
Incremental O&M Savings	\$236,136	N/A	N/A	\$0	\$0			
Subtotal	\$99,803,907	N/A	N/A	\$11,956,338	\$11,956,338	Program Summary All Participants		
						Total Participants	J	1,262,520
Total Benefits	\$99,803,907	\$68,561,808	\$68,561,808	\$80,518,146	\$89,851,978	Total Budget	K	\$29,703,346
Costs						Gross kW Saved at Customer	(J x I)	144,353 kW
						Net coincident kW Saved at Generator	$(I \times D) / (1 - G) \times J$	46,161 kW
Utility Project Costs						Gross Annual kWh Saved at Customer	(BxExI)xJ	129,652,922 kWh
Customer Services	N/A	\$445,581	\$445,581	\$445,581	\$445,581	Net Annual kWh Saved at Generator	$((B \times E \times I)/(1-F)) \times J$	141,542,491 kWh
Project Administration	N/A	\$12,823,437	\$12,823,437	\$12,823,437	\$12,823,437	Societal Net Benefits	(J x I x H)	\$39,694,057
Advertising & Promotion	N/A	\$3,930,486	\$3,930,486	\$3,930,486	\$3,930,486			
Measurement & Verification	N/A	\$544,004	\$544,004	\$544,004	\$544,004			
Rebates	N/A	\$11,956,338	\$11,956,338	\$11,956,338	\$11,956,338	Utility Program Cost per kWh Lifetime		\$0.0355
Other	N/A	\$3,500	\$3,500	\$3,500	\$3,500	Utility Program Cost per kW at Gen		\$643
Subtotal	N/A	\$29,703,346	\$29,703,346	\$29,703,346	\$29,703,346			
Utility Revenue Reduction								
Revenue Reduction - Electric	N/A	N/A	\$87,611,432	N/A	N/A			
Subtotal	N/A	N/A	\$87,611,432	N/A	N/A			
Participant Costs								
Incremental Capital Costs	\$20,296,476	N/A	N/A	\$19,449,140	\$19,449,140			

Note: Dollar values represent present value of impacts accumulated over the lifetime of the measures.

\$20,296,476

\$20,296,476

\$79,507,430

4.92

Incremental O&M Costs

Subtotal

Total Costs

Net Benefit (Cost)

Benefit/Cost Ratio

\$1,005,435

\$20,454,575

\$50,157,921

\$39,694,057

N/A

N/A

(\$48,752,971)

N/A

N/A

2.31

\$38,858,462

\$29,703,346 \$117,314,778

\$1,005,435

\$20,454,575

\$50,157,921

\$30,360,225

Conservation Improvement Program (CIP)

BENEFIT COST FOR GAS CIPS-- Cost-Effectiveness Analysis

Company: Xcel Energy

Project: Residential Segment with Indirect Participants

Input Data			2020 Extension
) Retail Rate (\$/Dth) =	\$6.46	Administrative & Operating Costs =	\$5,065,533
Escalation Rate =	4.00%	Incentive Costs = 16) Total Utility Project Costs =	\$3,317,516 \$8,383,050
2) Non-Gas Fuel Retail Rate (\$/Fuel Unit) =	\$0.000	, , ,	. , ,
Escalation Rate =	3.22%	17) Direct Participant Costs (\$/Part.) =	\$21
Non-Gas Fuel Units (ie. kWh,Gallons, etc) =	kWh		
		18) Participant Non-Energy Costs (Annual \$/Part.) =	\$ 0
S) Commodity Cost (\$/Dth) = Escalation Rate =	\$4.27 4.00%	Escalation Rate =	2.16%
		19) Participant Non-Energy Savings (Annual \$/Part) =	\$ 1
4) Demand Cost (\$/Unit/Yr) = Escalation Rate =	\$80.24 4.00%	Escalation Rate =	2.16%
i) Peak Reduction Factor =	1.00%	20) Project Life (Years) =	128.7
5) Variable O&M (\$/Dth) =	\$0.0408	21) Avg. Dth/Part. Saved =	0.5
Escalation Rate =	4.00%	22) Avg Non-Gas Fuel Units/Part. Saved =	0.0
		22a) Avg Additional Non-Gas Fuel Units/ Part. Used =	0.0
7) Non-Gas Fuel Cost (\$/Fuel Unit) =	\$0.022		
Escalation Rate =	3.22%	23) Number of Participants =	608,321
8) Non-Gas Fuel Loss Factor	5.28%	24) Total Annual Dth Saved =	310,621
) Gas Environmental Damage Factor =	\$0.380	25) Incentive/Participant =	\$5.45
Escalation Rate =	2.16%		
0) Non Gas Fuel Enviro. Damage Factor (\$/Unit) =	\$0.023		
Escalation Rate =	2.16%		
1) Participant Discount Rate =	7.42%		
2) Utility Discount Rate =	7.42%		
3) Societal Discount Rate =	2.55%		
4) General Input Data Year =	2016		

15d) Project Analysis Year 4 =	2020
--------------------------------	------

Cost Summary	2020		Extension NPV	Extension B/C
Utility Cost per Participant =	\$13.78	Ratepayer Impact Measure Test	(\$16,443,392)	0.56
Cost per Participant per Dth =	\$67.26			
		Utility Cost Test	\$12,553,366	2.50
Lifetime Energy Reduction (Dth)	39,990,553			
		Societal Test	\$12,356,935	2.20
Societal Cost per Dth	\$0.26			
		Participant Test	\$38,805,841	4.10

Conservation Improvement Program (CIP)

BENEFIT COST FOR GAS CIPS-- Cost-Effectiveness Analysis

Company: Xcel Energy

Project: Residential Segment Direct Participants Only

Input Data			2020 Extension
1) Retail Rate (\$/Dth) =	\$6.46	Administrative & Operating Costs =	\$3,963,023
Escalation Rate =	4.00%	Incentive Costs = 16) Total Utility Project Costs =	\$3,317,516 \$7,280,539
2) Non-Gas Fuel Retail Rate (\$/Fuel Unit) =	\$0.000	, , ,	.,,,
Escalation Rate =	3.22%	17) Direct Participant Costs (\$/Part.) =	\$56
Non-Gas Fuel Units (ie. kWh,Gallons, etc) =	kWh		
		18) Participant Non-Energy Costs (Annual \$/Part.) =	\$ 0
3) Commodity Cost (\$/Dth) = Escalation Rate =	\$4.27 4.00%	Escalation Rate =	2.16%
		19) Participant Non-Energy Savings (Annual \$/Part) =	\$ 3
4) Demand Cost (\$/Unit/Yr) = Escalation Rate =	\$80.24 4.00%	Escalation Rate =	2.16%
5) Peak Reduction Factor =	1.00%	20) Project Life (Years) =	128.7
5) Variable O&M (\$/Dth) =	\$0.0408	21) Avg. Dth/Part. Saved =	1.4
Escalation Rate =	4.00%	22) Avg Non-Gas Fuel Units/Part. Saved =	0.0
		22a) Avg Additional Non-Gas Fuel Units/ Part. Used =	0.0
7) Non-Gas Fuel Cost (\$/Fuel Unit) = Escalation Rate =	\$0.022 3.22%	23) Number of Participants =	222,609
3) Non-Gas Fuel Loss Factor	5.28%	24) Total Annual Dth Saved =	310,621
9) Gas Environmental Damage Factor = Escalation Rate =	\$0.380 2.16%	25) Incentive/Participant =	\$14.90
10) Non Gas Fuel Enviro. Damage Factor (\$/Unit) = Escalation Rate =	\$0.023 2.16%		
11) Participant Discount Rate =	7.42%		
12) Utility Discount Rate =	7.42%		
13) Societal Discount Rate =	2.55%		
14) General Input Data Year =	2016		

15d) Project Analysis Year 4 =	2020
--------------------------------	------

Cost Summary	2020		Extension NPV	Extension B/C
Utility Cost per Participant =	\$32.71	Ratepayer Impact Measure Test	(\$15,340,882)	0.58
Cost per Participant per Dth =	\$63.71			
		Utility Cost Test	\$13,655,876	2.88
Lifetime Energy Reduction (Dth)	39,990,553			
		Societal Test	\$3,317,516	2.35
Societal Cost per Dth	\$0.06			
•		Participant Test	\$38,805,841	4.10

RESIDENTIAL SEGMEN	T ENERGY	EFFICIENCY	TOTAL			2020 ELE	CTRIC	GOAI
2020 Net Present Cost Benefit Summ	ary Analysis For A	All Participants				Input Summary and Totals		
			Rate	Total		Program "Inputs" per Customer kW		
	Participant	Utility	Impact	Resource	Societal	Lifetime (Weighted on Generator kWh)	A	5.9 years
	Test	Test	Test	Test	Test	Annual Hours	В	876
	(\$Total)	(\$Total)	(\$Total)	(\$Total)	(\$Total)	Gross Customer kW	С	1 kV
Benefits						Generator Peak Coincidence Factor	D	23.13%
						Gross Load Factor at Customer	Е	15.73%
Avoided Revenue Requirements						Transmission Loss Factor (Energy)	F	8.400%
Generation	N/A	\$10,101,386	\$10,101,386	\$10,101,386	\$10,101,386	Transmission Loss Factor (Demand)	G	8.800%
T & D	N/A	\$6,312,924	\$6,312,924	\$6,312,924	\$6,312,924	Societal Net Benefit (Cost)	Н	\$291
Marginal Energy	N/A	\$29,029,759	\$29,029,759	\$29,029,759	\$29,029,759	overem 1 tet Benefit (000)		Ψ2>.
Environmental Externality	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$9,169,928			
Subtotal	N/A	\$45,444,069	\$45,444,069	\$45,444,069	\$54,613,996	Program Summary per Participant		
	,	. , ,				Gross kW Saved at Customer	I	0.20 kV
Participant Benefits						Net coincident kW Saved at Generator	(IxD)/(1-G)	0.05 kV
Bill Reduction - Electric	\$86,105,363	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Gross Annual kWh Saved at Customer	(B x E x I)	273 kWl
Rebates from Xcel Energy	\$10,152,938	N/A	N/A	\$10,152,938	\$10,152,938	Net Annual kWh Saved at Generator	(BxExI)/(1-F)	298 kWl
Incremental Capital Savings	\$0	N/A	N/A	\$0	\$0		77.	
Incremental O&M Savings	\$236,136	N/A	N/A	\$0	\$0			
Subtotal	\$96,494,437	N/A	N/A	\$10,152,938	\$10,152,938	Program Summary All Participants		
						Total Participants	J	470,50
Total Benefits	\$96,494,437	\$45,444,069	\$45,444,069	\$55,597,007	\$64,766,934	Total Budget	K	\$19,129,217
Costs						Gross kW Saved at Customer	(J x I)	93,131 kW
						Net coincident kW Saved at Generator	$(I \times D)/(1-G) \times J$	23,619 kV
Utility Project Costs						Gross Annual kWh Saved at Customer	(Bx E x I) x J	128,291,484 kWl
Customer Services	N/A	\$445,581	\$445,581	\$445,581	\$445,581	Net Annual kWh Saved at Generator	$((B \times E \times I)/(1-F)) \times J$	140,056,205 kWl
Project Administration	N/A	\$5,338,628	\$5,338,628	\$5,338,628	\$5,338,628	Societal Net Benefits	([x I x H)	\$27,077,862
Advertising & Promotion	N/A	\$2,844,566	\$2,844,566	\$2,844,566	\$2,844,566		()	+-1,+11,++-
Measurement & Verification	N/A	\$344,004	\$344,004	\$344,004	\$344,004			
Rebates	N/A	\$10,152,938	\$10,152,938	\$10,152,938	\$10,152,938	Utility Program Cost per kWh Lifetime		\$0.0233
Other	N/A	\$3,500	\$3,500	\$3,500	\$3,500	Utility Program Cost per kW at Gen		\$810
Subtotal	N/A	\$19,129,217	\$19,129,217	\$19,129,217	\$19,129,217			,,,,
Utility Revenue Reduction								
Revenue Reduction - Electric	N/A	N/A	\$86,105,363	N/A	N/A			
Subtotal	N/A	N/A	\$86,105,363	N/A	N/A			
Participant Costs								
Incremental Capital Costs	\$18,401,756	N/A	N/A	\$17,554,421	\$17,554,421			
Incremental O&M Costs	\$0	N/A	N/A	\$1,005,435	\$1,005,435			

Note: Dollar values represent present value of impacts accumulated over the lifetime of the measures.

\$18,401,756

\$18,401,756

\$78,092,680

5.24

Subtotal

Total Costs

Net Benefit (Cost)

Benefit/Cost Ratio

\$18,559,855

\$37,689,072

\$27,077,862

\$18,559,855

\$37,689,072

\$17,907,934

1.48

N/A

\$105,234,580

(\$59,790,511)

N/A

\$19,129,217

\$26,314,852

Conservation Improvement Program (CIP)

BENEFIT COST FOR GAS CIPS-- Cost-Effectiveness Analysis

Company: Xcel Energy

Project: Residential Segment Energy Efficiency Total

Administrative & Operating Costs = Incentive Costs = 16) Total Utility Project Costs = 17) Direct Participant Costs (\$/Part.) =	\$3,928,643 \$3,242,916 \$7,171,559
16) Total Utility Project Costs =	
	.,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
17) Direct Participant Costs (\$/Part.) =	
	\$56
18) Participant Non-Energy Costs (Annual \$/Part.) =	\$0
Escalation Rate =	2.16%
19) Participant Non-Energy Savings (Annual \$/Part) =	\$ 3
Escalation Rate =	2.16%
20) Project Life (Years) =	118.7
21) Avg. Dth/Part. Saved =	1.2
22) Avg Non-Gas Fuel Units/Part. Saved =	0.0
22a) Avg Additional Non-Gas Fuel Units/ Part. Used =	0.0
23) Number of Participants =	216,459
24) Total Annual Dth Saved =	267,669
25) Incentive/Participant =	\$14.98
	Escalation Rate = 19) Participant Non-Energy Savings (Annual \$/Part) = Escalation Rate = 20) Project Life (Years) = 21) Avg. Dth/Part. Saved = 22) Avg Non-Gas Fuel Units/Part. Saved = 22a) Avg Additional Non-Gas Fuel Units/ Part. Used = 23) Number of Participants = 24) Total Annual Dth Saved =

15d) Project Analysis Year 4 =	2020
--------------------------------	------

Cost Summary	2020		Extension NPV	Extension B/C
Utility Cost per Participant =	\$33.13	Ratepayer Impact Measure Test	(\$14,373,092)	0.57
Cost per Participant per Dth =	\$72.31			
		Utility Cost Test	\$11,534,134	2.61
Lifetime Energy Reduction (Dth)	31,784,102			
		Societal Test	\$19,432,054	2.22
Societal Cost per Dth	\$0.50			
-		Participant Test	\$35,262,481	3.89

EFFICIENT NEW HOMI	E CONSTRUC	TION				2020 ELE	CTRIC	GOAL
2020 Net Present Cost Benefit Summ	nary Analysis For A	Il Participants				Input Summary and Totals		
			Rate	Total		Program "Inputs" per Customer kW		
	Participant	Utility	Impact	Resource	Societal	Lifetime (Weighted on Generator kWh)	A	19.2 years
	Test	Test	Test	Test	Test	Annual Hours	В	8760
	(\$Total)	(\$Total)	(\$Total)	(\$Total)	(\$Total)	Gross Customer kW	C	1 kW
Benefits						Generator Peak Coincidence Factor	D	79.43%
						Gross Load Factor at Customer	E	9.40%
Avoided Revenue Requirements						Transmission Loss Factor (Energy)	F	8.400%
Generation	N/A	\$891,374	\$891,374	\$891,374	\$891,374	Transmission Loss Factor (Demand)	G	8.800%
T & D	N/A	\$565,484	\$565,484	\$565,484	\$565,484	Societal Net Benefit (Cost)	Н	\$938
Marginal Energy	N/A	\$464,202	\$464,202	\$464,202	\$464,202			
Environmental Externality	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$168,838			
Subtotal	N/A	\$1,921,061	\$1,921,061	\$1,921,061	\$2,089,898	Program Summary per Participant		
						Gross kW Saved at Customer	I	0.51 kW
Participant Benefits						Net coincident kW Saved at Generator	(IxD)/(1-G)	0.44 kW
Bill Reduction - Electric	\$1,838,511	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Gross Annual kWh Saved at Customer	(BxExI)	417 kWh
Rebates from Xcel Energy	\$429,912	N/A	N/A	\$429,912	\$429,912	Net Annual kWh Saved at Generator	(BxExI)/(1-F)	455 kWh
Incremental Capital Savings	\$0	N/A	N/A	\$0	\$0	-		
Incremental O&M Savings	\$52,902	N/A	N/A	\$52,902	\$52,902			
Subtotal	\$2,321,325	N/A	N/A	\$482,814	\$482,814	Program Summary All Participants		
						Total Participants	J	2,226
Total Benefits	\$2,321,325	\$1,921,061	\$1,921,061	\$2,403,875	\$2,572,712	Total Budget	K	\$752,352
Costs						Gross kW Saved at Customer	(] x I)	1,126 kW
						Net coincident kW Saved at Generator	$(I \times D) / (1 - G) \times J$	981 kW
Utility Project Costs						Gross Annual kWh Saved at Customer	(BxExI)xJ	927,350 kWh
Customer Services	N/A	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	Net Annual kWh Saved at Generator	$((\mathbf{B} \times \mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{I})/(1-\mathbf{F})) \times \mathbf{J}$	1,012,391 kWh
Project Administration	N/A	\$21,835	\$21,835	\$21,835	\$21,835	Societal Net Benefits	(IxIxH)	\$1,056,127
Advertising & Promotion	N/A	\$50,605	\$50,605	\$50,605	\$50,605		7	
Measurement & Verification	N/A	\$250,000	\$250,000	\$250,000	\$250,000			
Rebates	N/A	\$429,912	\$429,912	\$429,912	\$429,912	Utility Program Cost per kWh Lifetime		\$0.0387
Other	N/A	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	Utility Program Cost per kW at Gen		\$767
Subtotal	N/A	\$752,352	\$752,352	\$752,352	\$752,352			
Utility Revenue Reduction								
Revenue Reduction - Electric	N/A	N/A	\$1,838,511	N/A	N/A			
Subtotal	N/A	N/A	\$1,838,511	N/A	N/A			
Participant Costs								
Incremental Capital Costs	\$764,234	N/A	N/A	\$764,234	\$764,234			
Incremental O&M Costs	\$0	N/A	N/A	\$0	\$0			

Note: Dollar values represent present value of impacts accumulated over the lifetime of the measures.

\$764,234

\$764,234

\$1,557,091

3.04

Subtotal

Total Costs

Net Benefit (Cost)

Benefit/Cost Ratio

N/A

2.55

\$752,352

\$1,168,709

N/A

\$2,590,863

(\$669,802)

\$764,234

\$1,516,586

\$887,289

1.59

\$764,234

\$1,516,586

\$1,056,127

Conservation Improvement Program (CIP)

BENEFIT COST FOR GAS CIPS-- Cost-Effectiveness Analysis

Company: Xcel Energy

Project: Efficient New Home Construction

		Extension
\$7.08	Administrative & Operating Costs =	\$1,081,194
4.00%	Incentive Costs = 16) Total Utility Project Costs =	\$492,367 \$1,573,561
\$0.000	, ,	, , ,
3.22%	17) Direct Participant Costs (\$/Part.) =	\$2,112
kWh		
	18) Participant Non-Energy Costs (Annual \$/Part.) =	\$ 0
\$4.27 4.00%	Escalation Rate =	2.16%
	19) Participant Non-Energy Savings (Annual \$/Part) =	\$3
\$80.24 4.00%	Escalation Rate =	2.16%
1.00%	20) Project Life (Years) =	20.0
\$0.0408	21) Avg. Dth/Part. Saved =	31.8
4.00%	22) Avg Non-Gas Fuel Units/Part. Saved =	0.0
	22a) Avg Additional Non-Gas Fuel Units/ Part. Used =	0.0
\$0.022 3.22%	23) Number of Participants =	960
5.28%	24) Total Annual Dth Saved =	30,514
\$0.380 2.16%	25) Incentive/Participant =	\$512.88
\$0.023 2.16%		
2.55%		
7.42%		
2.55%		
2016		
	4.00% \$0.000 3.22% kWh \$4.27 4.00% \$80.24 4.00% 1.00% \$0.0408 4.00% \$0.022 3.22% 5.28% \$0.380 2.16% \$0.023 2.16% 2.55% 7.42%	4.00% Incentive Costs = 16) Total Utility Project Costs = \$0.000 3.22% 17) Direct Participant Costs (\$/Part.) = kWh 18) Participant Non-Energy Costs (Annual \$/Part.) = Escalation Rate = 4.00% 19) Participant Non-Energy Savings (Annual \$/Part) = Escalation Rate = 20) Project Life (Years) = 1.00% 21) Avg. Dth/Part. Saved = 21) Avg. Dth/Part. Saved = 22a) Avg Additional Non-Gas Fuel Units/Part. Used = \$0.022 3.22% 23) Number of Participants = 5.28% 24) Total Annual Dth Saved = \$0.380 2.16% \$0.023 2.16% 2.55% 7.42% 2.55%

15d) Project Analysis Year 4 =	2020
--------------------------------	------

Cost Summary	2020		Extension NPV	Extension B/C
Utility Cost per Participant =	\$1,639.13	Ratepayer Impact Measure Test	(\$2,624,658)	0.51
Cost per Participant per Dth =	\$118.03			
		Utility Cost Test	\$1,156,621	1.74
Lifetime Energy Reduction (Dth)	609,936			
		Societal Test	\$1,351,385	1.43
Societal Cost per Dth	\$5.10			
		Participant Test	\$4,291,647	3.12

ENERGY EFFICIENT SH	IOWERHEAD)				2020 ELE	CTRIC	GOAI
2020 Net Present Cost Benefit Summ	ary Analysis For Al	1 Participants				Input Summary and Totals		
			Rate	Total		Program "Inputs" per Customer kW		
	Participant	Utility	Impact	Resource	Societal	Lifetime (Weighted on Generator kWh)	A	10.0 years
	Test	Test	Test	Test	Test	Annual Hours	В	876
	(\$Total)	(\$Total)	(\$Total)	(\$Total)	(\$Total)	Gross Customer kW	С	1 kV
Benefits						Generator Peak Coincidence Factor	D	73.53%
						Gross Load Factor at Customer	Е	100,00%
Avoided Revenue Requirements						Transmission Loss Factor (Energy)	F	8.400%
Generation	N/A	\$50,090	\$50,090	\$50,090	\$50,090	Transmission Loss Factor (Demand)	G	8.800%
T & D	N/A	\$31,203	\$31,203	\$31,203	\$31,203	Societal Net Benefit (Cost)	Н	\$9,633
Marginal Energy	N/A	\$417,613	\$417,613	\$417,613	\$417,613			
Environmental Externality	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$119,960			
Subtotal	N/A	\$498,906	\$498,906	\$498,906	\$618,866	Program Summary per Participant		
						Gross kW Saved at Customer	I	0.06 kV
Participant Benefits						Net coincident kW Saved at Generator	(IxD)/(1-G)	0.05 kV
Bill Reduction - Electric	\$1,175,499	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Gross Annual kWh Saved at Customer	(BxExI)	521 kWl
Rebates from Xcel Energy	\$16,094	N/A	N/A	\$16,094	\$16,094	Net Annual kWh Saved at Generator	(BxExI)/(1-F)	569 kWl
Incremental Capital Savings	\$0	N/A	N/A	\$0	\$0			
Incremental O&M Savings	\$527,168	N/A	N/A	\$527,168	\$527,168			
Subtotal	\$1,718,761	N/A	N/A	\$543,262	\$543,262	Program Summary All Participants		
						Total Participants	J	1,92
Total Benefits	\$1,718,761	\$498,906	\$498,906	\$1,042,167	\$1,162,127	Total Budget	K	\$41,801
Costs						Gross kW Saved at Customer	(J x I)	114 kW
						Net coincident kW Saved at Generator	$(I \times D) / (1 - G) \times J$	92 kV
Utility Project Costs						Gross Annual kWh Saved at Customer	(BxExI)xJ	1,000,599 kWl
Customer Services	N/A	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	Net Annual kWh Saved at Generator	$((B \times E \times I)/(1-F)) \times J$	1,092,357 kWl
Project Administration	N/A	\$20,169	\$20,169	\$20,169	\$20,169	Societal Net Benefits	(] x I x H)	\$1,100,305
Advertising & Promotion	N/A	\$5,038	\$5,038	\$5,038	\$5,038			
Measurement & Verification	N/A	\$500	\$500	\$500	\$500			
Rebates	N/A	\$16,094	\$16,094	\$16,094	\$16,094	Utility Program Cost per kWh Lifetime		\$0.0038
Other	N/A	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	Utility Program Cost per kW at Gen		\$454
Subtotal	N/A	\$41,801	\$41,801	\$41,801	\$41,801			
Utility Revenue Reduction								
Revenue Reduction - Electric	N/A	N/A	\$1,175,499	N/A	N/A			
Subtotal	N/A	N/A	\$1,175,499	N/A	N/A			
Participant Costs								
Incremental Capital Costs	\$20,021	N/A	N/A	\$20,021	\$20,021			

Note: Dollar values represent present value of impacts accumulated over the lifetime of the measures.

\$20,021

\$20,021

\$1,698,740

85.85

Subtotal

Total Costs

Net Benefit (Cost)

Benefit/Cost Ratio

N/A

\$41,801

\$457,105

11.94

N/A

\$1,217,300

(\$718,395)

0.41

\$20,021

\$61,822

\$980,345

16.86

\$20,021

\$61,822

18.80

\$1,100,305

Conservation Improvement Program (CIP)

BENEFIT COST FOR GAS CIPS-- Cost-Effectiveness Analysis

Company: Xcel Energy

Project: Energy Efficient Showerhead

Input Data			2020 Extension
) Retail Rate (\$/Dth) =	\$7.08	Administrative & Operating Costs =	\$146,942
Escalation Rate =	4.00%	Incentive Costs = 16) Total Utility Project Costs =	\$146,824 \$293,766
2) Non-Gas Fuel Retail Rate (\$/Fuel Unit) =	\$0.000	10, 10 11, 110,000	#=20 ,
Escalation Rate =	3.22%	17) Direct Participant Costs (\$/Part.) =	\$ 10
Non-Gas Fuel Units (ie. kWh,Gallons, etc) =	kWh		
		18) Participant Non-Energy Costs (Annual \$/Part.) =	\$ 0
8) Commodity Cost (\$/Dth) = Escalation Rate =	\$4.27 4.00%	Escalation Rate =	2.16%
		19) Participant Non-Energy Savings (Annual \$/Part) =	\$34
4) Demand Cost (\$/Unit/Yr) = Escalation Rate =	\$80.24 4.00%	Escalation Rate =	2.16%
s) Peak Reduction Factor =	1.00%	20) Project Life (Years) =	10.0
5) Variable O&M (\$/Dth) =	\$0.0408	21) Avg. Dth/Part. Saved =	2.2
Escalation Rate =	4.00%	22) Avg Non-Gas Fuel Units/Part. Saved =	0.0
		22a) Avg Additional Non-Gas Fuel Units/ Part. Used =	0.0
7) Non-Gas Fuel Cost (\$/Fuel Unit) =	\$0.022		
Escalation Rate =	3.22%	23) Number of Participants =	14,080
8) Non-Gas Fuel Loss Factor	5.28%	24) Total Annual Dth Saved =	31,295
) Gas Environmental Damage Factor =	\$ 0.380	25) Incentive/Participant =	\$10.43
Escalation Rate =	2.16%		
0) Non Gas Fuel Enviro. Damage Factor (\$/Unit) =	\$0.023		
Escalation Rate =	2.16%		
1) Participant Discount Rate =	2.55%		
2) Utility Discount Rate =	7.42%		
3) Societal Discount Rate =	2.55%		
4) General Input Data Year =	2016		

15d) Project Analysis Year 4 =	2020
--------------------------------	------

Cost Summary	2020		Extension NPV	Extension B/C
Utility Cost per Participant =	\$20.86	Ratepayer Impact Measure Test	(\$919,512)	0.64
Cost per Participant per Dth =	\$14.08			
		Utility Cost Test	\$1,331,584	5.53
Lifetime Energy Reduction (Dth)	312,954			
. ,		Societal Test	\$6,422,239	22.86
Societal Cost per Dth	\$0.94			
		Participant Test	\$7,356,998	51.11

ENERGY FEEDBACK RE	SIDENTIAL					2020 ELE	CTRIC	GOAI
2020 Net Present Cost Benefit Summ	ary Analysis For A	ll Participants				Input Summary and Totals		
			Rate	Total		Program "Inputs" per Customer kW		
	Participant	Utility	Impact	Resource	Societal	Lifetime (Weighted on Generator kWh)	A	3.0 years
	Test	Test	Test	Test	Test	Annual Hours	В	876
	(\$Total)	(\$Total)	(\$Total)	(\$Total)	(\$Total)	Gross Customer kW	C	1 kV
Benefits						Generator Peak Coincidence Factor	D	96.39%
						Gross Load Factor at Customer	E	47.03%
Avoided Revenue Requirements						Transmission Loss Factor (Energy)	F	8.400%
Generation	N/A	\$759,490	\$759,490	\$759,490	\$759,490	Transmission Loss Factor (Demand)	G	8.800%
T & D	N/A	\$464,289	\$464,289	\$464,289	\$464,289	Societal Net Benefit (Cost)	Н	\$848
Marginal Energy	N/A	\$3,417,976	\$3,417,976	\$3,417,976	\$3,417,976			
Environmental Externality	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$690,838			
Subtotal	N/A	\$4,641,755	\$4,641,755	\$4,641,755	\$5,332,593	Program Summary per Participant		
						Gross kW Saved at Customer	I	0.01 kV
Participant Benefits						Net coincident kW Saved at Generator	(IxD)/(1-G)	0.02 kV
Bill Reduction - Electric	\$5,731,163	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Gross Annual kWh Saved at Customer	(BxExI)	60 kWl
Rebates from Xcel Energy	\$0	N/A	N/A	\$0	\$0	Net Annual kWh Saved at Generator	(BxExI)/(1-F)	65 kWl
Incremental Capital Savings	\$0	N/A	N/A	\$0	\$0			
Incremental O&M Savings	\$0	N/A	N/A	\$0	\$0			
Subtotal	\$5,731,163	N/A	N/A	\$0	\$0	Program Summary All Participants		
						Total Participants	J	256,32
Total Benefits	\$5,731,163	\$4,641,755	\$4,641,755	\$4,641,755	\$5,332,593	Total Budget	K	\$2,179,675
Costs						Gross kW Saved at Customer	(J x I)	3,718 kW
						Net coincident kW Saved at Generator	$(I \times D) / (1 - G) \times J$	3,930 kV
Utility Project Costs						Gross Annual kWh Saved at Customer	(BxExI)xJ	15,317,788 kWI
Customer Services	N/A	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	Net Annual kWh Saved at Generator	$((B \times E \times I)/(1-F)) \times J$	16,722,476 kWl
Project Administration	N/A	\$2,146,030	\$2,146,030	\$2,146,030	\$2,146,030	Societal Net Benefits	(IxIxH)	\$3,152,918
Advertising & Promotion	N/A	\$8,645	\$8,645	\$8,645	\$8,645			
Measurement & Verification	N/A	\$25,000	\$25,000	\$25,000	\$25,000			
Rebates	N/A	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	Utility Program Cost per kWh Lifetime		\$0.0434
Other	N/A	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	Utility Program Cost per kW at Gen		\$555
Subtotal	N/A	\$2,179,675	\$2,179,675	\$2,179,675	\$2,179,675			
Utility Revenue Reduction								
Revenue Reduction - Electric	N/A	N/A	\$5,731,163	N/A	N/A			
Subtotal	N/A	N/A	\$5,731,163	N/A	N/A			
Participant Costs								
Incremental Capital Costs	\$0	N/A	N/A	\$0	\$0			
meremental Capital Costs								

Note: Dollar values represent present value of impacts accumulated over the lifetime of the measures.

\$5,731,163

INF

Subtotal

Total Costs

Net Benefit (Cost)

Benefit/Cost Ratio

N/A

2.13

\$2,179,675

\$2,462,080

N/A

\$2,179,675

\$2,462,080

2.13

\$7,910,838

(\$3,269,083)

\$0

\$2,179,675

\$3,152,918

Conservation Improvement Program (CIP)

BENEFIT COST FOR GAS CIPS-- Cost-Effectiveness Analysis

Company: Xcel Energy

Project: Energy Feedback Residential

Input Data			2020 Extension
l) Retail Rate (\$/Dth) =	\$7.08	Administrative & Operating Costs =	\$330,672
Escalation Rate =	4.00%	Incentive Costs = 16) Total Utility Project Costs =	\$0 \$330,672
2) Non-Gas Fuel Retail Rate (\$/Fuel Unit) =	\$0.000	, ,	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
Escalation Rate =	3.22%	17) Direct Participant Costs (\$/Part.) =	\$ 0
Non-Gas Fuel Units (ie. kWh,Gallons, etc) =	kWh		
		18) Participant Non-Energy Costs (Annual \$/Part.) =	\$ 0
3) Commodity Cost (\$/Dth) = Escalation Rate =	\$4.27 4.00%	Escalation Rate =	2.16%
		19) Participant Non-Energy Savings (Annual \$/Part) =	\$ 0
4) Demand Cost (\$/Unit/Yr) = Escalation Rate =	\$80.24 4.00%	Escalation Rate =	2.16%
5) Peak Reduction Factor =	1.00%	20) Project Life (Years) =	3.0
5) Variable O&M (\$/Dth) =	\$0.0408	21) Avg. Dth/Part. Saved =	0.1
Escalation Rate =	4.00%	22) Avg Non-Gas Fuel Units/Part. Saved =	0.0
		22a) Avg Additional Non-Gas Fuel Units/ Part. Used =	0.0
7) Non-Gas Fuel Cost (\$/Fuel Unit) =	\$0.022		
Escalation Rate =	3.22%	23) Number of Participants =	170,898
3) Non-Gas Fuel Loss Factor	5.28%	24) Total Annual Dth Saved =	24,762
)) Gas Environmental Damage Factor =	\$0.380	25) Incentive/Participant =	\$0.00
Escalation Rate =	2.16%		
10) Non Gas Fuel Enviro. Damage Factor (\$/Unit) =	\$0.023		
Escalation Rate =	2.16%		
11) Participant Discount Rate =	2.55%		
12) Utility Discount Rate =	7.42%		
13) Societal Discount Rate =	2.55%		
(4) General Input Data Year =	2016		

15d) Project Analysis Year 4 =	2020
--------------------------------	------

Cost Summary	2020		Extension NPV	Extension B/C
Utility Cost per Participant =	\$1.93	Ratepayer Impact Measure Test	(\$501,748)	0.47
Cost per Participant per Dth =	\$13.35			
		Utility Cost Test	\$113,692	1.34
Lifetime Energy Reduction (Dth)	74,287			
		Societal Test	\$144,440	1.44
Societal Cost per Dth	\$4.45			
•		Participant Test	\$615,440	#DIV/0!

RESIDENTIAL HEATIN	G					2020 ELE	CTRIC	GOAL
2020 Net Present Cost Benefit Summ	nary Analysis For A	ll Participants				Input Summary and Totals		
			Rate	Total		Program "Inputs" per Customer kW		
	Participant	Utility	Impact	Resource	Societal	Lifetime (Weighted on Generator kWh)	A	17.9 years
	Test	Test	Test	Test	Test	Annual Hours	В	8760
	(\$Total)	(\$Total)	(\$Total)	(\$Total)	(\$Total)	Gross Customer kW	С	1 kW
Benefits						Generator Peak Coincidence Factor	D	66.03%
						Gross Load Factor at Customer	E	39.50%
Avoided Revenue Requirements						Transmission Loss Factor (Energy)	F	8.400%
Generation	N/A	\$1,167,805	\$1,167,805	\$1,167,805	\$1,167,805	Transmission Loss Factor (Demand)	G	8.800%
T & D	N/A	\$738,321	\$738,321	\$738,321	\$738,321	Societal Net Benefit (Cost)	Н	\$1,116
Marginal Energy	N/A	\$3,188,453	\$3,188,453	\$3,188,453	\$3,188,453			1-,
Environmental Externality	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$1,158,504			
Subtotal	N/A	\$5,094,579	\$5,094,579	\$5,094,579	\$6,253,083	Program Summary per Participant		
	,	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,			,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	Gross kW Saved at Customer	I	0.19 kW
Participant Benefits						Net coincident kW Saved at Generator	(IxD)/(1-G)	0.14 kW
Bill Reduction - Electric	\$12,481,917	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Gross Annual kWh Saved at Customer	(BxExI)	659 kWh
Rebates from Xcel Energy	\$1,000,000	N/A	N/A	\$1,000,000	\$1,000,000	Net Annual kWh Saved at Generator	(BxExI)/(1-F)	720 kWh
Incremental Capital Savings	\$0	N/A	N/A	\$0	\$0		, , , ,	
Incremental O&M Savings	\$0	N/A	N/A	\$0	\$0			
Subtotal	\$13,481,917	N/A	N/A	\$1,000,000	\$1,000,000	Program Summary All Participants		
						Total Participants	J	10,000
Total Benefits	\$13,481,917	\$5,094,579	\$5,094,579	\$6,094,579	\$7,253,083	Total Budget	K	\$1,233,702
Costs						Gross kW Saved at Customer	(] x I)	1,906 kW
						Net coincident kW Saved at Generator	$(I \times D) / (1 - G) \times J$	1,380 kW
Utility Project Costs						Gross Annual kWh Saved at Customer	(BxExI)xJ	6,594,400 kWh
Customer Services	N/A	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	Net Annual kWh Saved at Generator	$((B \times E \times I)/(1-F)) \times J$	7,199,127 kWh
Project Administration	N/A	\$78,475	\$78,475	\$78,475	\$78,475	Societal Net Benefits	(IxIxH)	\$2,127,673
Advertising & Promotion	N/A	\$141,690	\$141,690	\$141,690	\$141,690			
Measurement & Verification	N/A	\$13,537	\$13,537	\$13,537	\$13,537			
Rebates	N/A	\$1,000,000	\$1,000,000	\$1,000,000	\$1,000,000	Utility Program Cost per kWh Lifetime		\$0.0096
Other	N/A	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	Utility Program Cost per kW at Gen		\$894
Subtotal	N/A	\$1,233,702	\$1,233,702	\$1,233,702	\$1,233,702			
Utility Revenue Reduction								
Revenue Reduction - Electric	N/A	N/A	\$12,481,917	N/A	N/A			
Subtotal	N/A	N/A	\$12,481,917	N/A	N/A			
Participant Costs								
Incremental Capital Costs	\$2,120,000	N/A	N/A	\$2,120,000	\$2,120,000			
Incremental O&M Costs	\$1,771,708	N/A	N/A	\$1,771,708	\$1,771,708			

Note: Dollar values represent present value of impacts accumulated over the lifetime of the measures.

Subtotal

Total Costs

Net Benefit (Cost)

Benefit/Cost Ratio

\$3,891,708

\$3,891,708

\$9,590,209

3.46

N/A

\$1,233,702

\$3,860,877

N/A

\$13,715,619

(\$8,621,039)

\$3,891,708

\$5,125,410

\$969,170

1.19

\$3,891,708

\$5,125,410

\$2,127,673 1.42

Conservation Improvement Program (CIP)

BENEFIT COST FOR GAS CIPS-- Cost-Effectiveness Analysis

Company: **Xcel Energy**Project: **Residential Heating**

		2020 Extension
\$7.08	Administrative & Operating Costs =	\$ 386,713
4.00%	Incentive Costs = 16) Total Utility Project Costs =	\$2,130,700 \$2,517,413
\$0.000	, , ,	
3.22%	17) Direct Participant Costs (\$/Part.) =	\$590
kWh		
	18) Participant Non-Energy Costs (Annual \$/Part.) =	\$0
\$4.27 4.00%	Escalation Rate =	2.16%
	19) Participant Non-Energy Savings (Annual \$/Part) =	\$0
\$80.24 4.00%	Escalation Rate =	2.16%
1.00%	20) Project Life (Years) =	18.1
\$0.0408	21) Avg. Dth/Part. Saved =	9.8
4.00%	22) Avg Non-Gas Fuel Units/Part. Saved =	0.0
	22a) Avg Additional Non-Gas Fuel Units/ Part. Used =	0.0
\$0.022		
3.22%	23) Number of Participants =	12,272
5.28%	24) Total Annual Dth Saved =	120,000
\$0.380	25) Incentive/Participant =	\$173.62
2.16%		
\$0.023		
2.16%		
2.55%		
7.42%		
2.55%		
2016		
	4.00% \$0.000 3.22% kWh \$4.27 4.00% \$80.24 4.00% 1.00% \$0.0408 4.00% \$0.022 3.22% 5.28% \$0.380 2.16% \$0.023 2.16% 2.55% 7.42%	## 1.00% Incentive Costs = 16) Total Utility Project Costs = 16) Total Utility Project Costs = 16) Total Utility Project Costs = 17) Direct Participant Costs (\$/Part.) = 18) Participant Non-Energy Costs (Annual \$/Part.) = 18) Participant Non-Energy Savings (Annual \$/Part.) = 100% ### 15

15d) Project Analysis Year 4 = 2020

Cost Summary	2020		Extension NPV	Extension B/C
Utility Cost per Participant =	\$205.13	Ratepayer Impact Measure Test	(\$6,363,810)	0.61
Cost per Participant per Dth =	\$81.27			
		Utility Cost Test	\$7,473,450	3.97
Lifetime Energy Reduction (Dth)	2,171,608			
		Societal Test	\$7,935,461	2.04
Societal Cost per Dth	\$3.51			
-		Participant Test	\$15,237,022	3.11

HOME ENERGY SQUAD						2020 ELE	CTRIC	GOAI
2020 Net Present Cost Benefit Summ	ary Analysis For A	ll Participants				Input Summary and Totals		
			Rate	Total		Program "Inputs" per Customer kW		
	Participant	Utility	Impact	Resource	Societal	Lifetime (Weighted on Generator kWh)	A	5.6 years
	Test	Test	Test	Test	Test	Annual Hours	В	876
	(\$Total)	(\$Total)	(\$Total)	(\$Total)	(\$Total)	Gross Customer kW	C	1 kV
Benefits						Generator Peak Coincidence Factor	D	12.07%
						Gross Load Factor at Customer	E	11.15%
Avoided Revenue Requirements						Transmission Loss Factor (Energy)	F	8,400%
Generation	N/A	\$186,931	\$186,931	\$186,931	\$186,931	Transmission Loss Factor (Demand)	G	8.800%
T & D	N/A	\$115,761	\$115,761	\$115,761	\$115,761	Societal Net Benefit (Cost)	Н	\$77
Marginal Energy	N/A	\$782,942	\$782,942	\$782,942	\$782,942			
Environmental Externality	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$277,597			
Subtotal	N/A	\$1,085,634	\$1,085,634	\$1,085,634	\$1,363,231	Program Summary per Participant		
						Gross kW Saved at Customer	I	0.74 kV
Participant Benefits						Net coincident kW Saved at Generator	(I x D) / (1 - G)	0.10 kV
Bill Reduction - Electric	\$2,556,473	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Gross Annual kWh Saved at Customer	(BxExI)	723 kW
Rebates from Xcel Energy	\$0	N/A	N/A	\$0	\$0	Net Annual kWh Saved at Generator	(BxExI)/(1-F)	789 kW
Incremental Capital Savings	\$0	N/A	N/A	\$0	\$0	-		
Incremental O&M Savings	\$243,084	N/A	N/A	\$34,370	\$34,370			
Subtotal	\$2,799,557	N/A	N/A	\$34,370	\$34,370	Program Summary All Participants		
						Total Participants	J	5,37
Total Benefits	\$2,799,557	\$1,085,634	\$1,085,634	\$1,120,004	\$1,397,601	Total Budget	K	\$889,545
Costs						Gross kW Saved at Customer	(J x I)	3,975 kV
						Net coincident kW Saved at Generator	$(I \times D) / (1 - G) \times J$	526 kV
Utility Project Costs						Gross Annual kWh Saved at Customer	(BxExI)xJ	3,883,008 kW
Customer Services	N/A	\$438,581	\$438,581	\$438,581	\$438,581	Net Annual kWh Saved at Generator	$((B \times E \times I)/(1-F)) \times J$	4,239,092 kW
Project Administration	N/A	\$180,544	\$180,544	\$180,544	\$180,544	Societal Net Benefits	(IxIxH)	\$305,456
Advertising & Promotion	N/A	\$270,420	\$270,420	\$270,420	\$270,420			· •
Measurement & Verification	N/A	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0			
Rebates	N/A	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	Utility Program Cost per kWh Lifetime		\$0.0376
Other	N/A	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	Utility Program Cost per kW at Gen		\$1,691
Subtotal	N/A	\$889,545	\$889,545	\$889,545	\$889,545			
Utility Revenue Reduction								
Revenue Reduction - Electric	N/A	N/A	\$2,556,473	N/A	N/A			
Subtotal	N/A	N/A	\$2,556,473	N/A	N/A			
Participant Costs								
Incremental Capital Costs	\$202,600	N/A	N/A	\$202,600	\$202,600			

Note: Dollar values represent present value of impacts accumulated over the lifetime of the measures.

\$202,600

\$202,600

\$2,596,957

13.82

N/A

\$889,545

\$196,089

1.22

N/A

\$3,446,018

(\$2,360,383)

\$202,600

\$1,092,145

\$27,859

1.03

Subtotal

Total Costs

Net Benefit (Cost)

Benefit/Cost Ratio

\$202,600

\$1,092,145

\$305,456

Conservation Improvement Program (CIP)

BENEFIT COST FOR GAS CIPS-- Cost-Effectiveness Analysis

Company: Xcel Energy
Project: Home Energy Squad

Project: Home Energy Squad Input Data			2020 Extension
1) Retail Rate (\$/Dth) =	\$7.08	Administrative & Operating Costs =	\$1,306,189
Escalation Rate =	4.00%	Incentive Costs = 16) Total Utility Project Costs =	\$0 \$1,306,189
2) Non-Gas Fuel Retail Rate (\$/Fuel Unit) =	\$0.000		
Escalation Rate =	3.22%	17) Direct Participant Costs (\$/Part.) =	\$64
Non-Gas Fuel Units (ie. kWh,Gallons, etc) =	kWh		
		18) Participant Non-Energy Costs (Annual \$/Part.) =	\$ 0
3) Commodity Cost (\$/Dth) = Escalation Rate =	\$4.27 4.00%	Escalation Rate =	2.16%
		19) Participant Non-Energy Savings (Annual \$/Part) =	\$39
4) Demand Cost (\$/Unit/Yr) = Escalation Rate =	\$80.24 4.00%	Escalation Rate =	2.16%
5) Peak Reduction Factor =	1.00%	20) Project Life (Years) =	9.7
6) Variable O&M (\$/Dth) =	\$0.0408	21) Avg. Dth/Part. Saved =	9.2
Escalation Rate =	4.00%	22) Avg Non-Gas Fuel Units/Part. Saved =	0.0
		22a) Avg Additional Non-Gas Fuel Units/ Part. Used =	0.0
7) Non-Gas Fuel Cost (\$/Fuel Unit) =	\$0.022		
Escalation Rate =	3.22%	23) Number of Participants =	2,200
8) Non-Gas Fuel Loss Factor	5.28%	24) Total Annual Dth Saved =	20,261
9) Gas Environmental Damage Factor =	\$ 0.380	25) Incentive/Participant =	\$0.00
Escalation Rate =	2.16%		
10) Non Gas Fuel Enviro. Damage Factor (\$/Unit) =	\$0.023		
Escalation Rate =	2.16%		
11) Participant Discount Rate =	2.55%		
12) Utility Discount Rate =	7.42%		
13) Societal Discount Rate =	2.55%		
14) General Input Data Year =	2016		

15d) Project Analysis Year 4 = 2020

Cost Summary	2020		Extension NPV	Extension B/C
Utility Cost per Participant =	\$593.72	Ratepayer Impact Measure Test	(\$1,701,041)	0.38
Cost per Participant per Dth =	\$71.47			
		Utility Cost Test	(\$280,578)	0.79
Lifetime Energy Reduction (Dth)	196,578			
		Societal Test	\$694,708	1.48
Societal Cost per Dth	\$7.37			
•		Participant Test	\$2,402,451	17.94

HOME LIGHTING						2020 ELE	CTRIC	GOAI
2020 Net Present Cost Benefit Summ	nary Analysis For A	All Participants				Input Summary and Totals		
			Rate	Total		Program "Inputs" per Customer kW		
	Participant	Utility	Impact	Resource	Societal	Lifetime (Weighted on Generator kWh)	A	4.4 years
	Test	Test	Test	Test	Test	Annual Hours	В	8760
	(\$Total)	(\$Total)	(\$Total)	(\$Total)	(\$Total)	Gross Customer kW	С	1 kW
Benefits						Generator Peak Coincidence Factor	D	12.45%
						Gross Load Factor at Customer	E	13.62%
Avoided Revenue Requirements						Transmission Loss Factor (Energy)	F	8.400%
Generation	N/A	\$2,271,736	\$2,271,736	\$2,271,736	\$2,271,736	Transmission Loss Factor (Demand)	G	8.800%
T & D	N/A	\$1,399,165	\$1,399,165	\$1,399,165	\$1,399,165	Societal Net Benefit (Cost)	Н	\$171
Marginal Energy	N/A	\$14,246,579	\$14,246,579	\$14,246,579	\$14,246,579	overeum 1 ver Benefit (0000)		91/1
Environmental Externality	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$4,978,490			
Subtotal	N/A	\$17,917,480	\$17,917,480	\$17,917,480	\$22,895,969	Program Summary per Participant		
	,	. , ,				Gross kW Saved at Customer	I	0.49 kW
Participant Benefits						Net coincident kW Saved at Generator	(IxD)/(1-G)	0.07 kW
Bill Reduction - Electric	\$45,184,990	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Gross Annual kWh Saved at Customer	(Bx E x I)	585 kWł
Rebates from Xcel Energy	\$4,166,400	N/A	N/A	\$4,166,400	\$4,166,400	Net Annual kWh Saved at Generator	(BxExI)/(1-F)	639 kWł
Incremental Capital Savings	\$0	N/A	N/A	\$0	\$0			
Incremental O&M Savings	\$0	N/A	N/A	\$0	\$0			
Subtotal	\$49,351,390	N/A	N/A	\$4,166,400	\$4,166,400	Program Summary All Participants		
						Total Participants	J	146,067
Total Benefits	\$49,351,390	\$17,917,480	\$17,917,480	\$22,083,880	\$27,062,369	Total Budget	K	\$7,471,646
Costs					<u>.</u>	Gross kW Saved at Customer	(J x I)	71,614 kW
						Net coincident kW Saved at Generator	$(I \times D) / (1 - G) \times J$	9,773 kW
Utility Project Costs						Gross Annual kWh Saved at Customer	(BxExI)xJ	85,464,271 kWh
Customer Services	N/A	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	Net Annual kWh Saved at Generator	$((B \times E \times I)/(1-F)) \times J$	93,301,606 kWh
Project Administration	N/A	\$1,401,206	\$1,401,206	\$1,401,206	\$1,401,206	Societal Net Benefits	(IxIxH)	\$12,239,597
Advertising & Promotion	N/A	\$1,894,040	\$1,894,040	\$1,894,040	\$1,894,040			
Measurement & Verification	N/A	\$10,000	\$10,000	\$10,000	\$10,000			
Rebates	N/A	\$4,166,400	\$4,166,400	\$4,166,400	\$4,166,400	Utility Program Cost per kWh Lifetime		\$0.0181
Other	N/A	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	Utility Program Cost per kW at Gen		\$765
Subtotal	N/A	\$7,471,646	\$7,471,646	\$7,471,646	\$7,471,646			
Utility Revenue Reduction								
Revenue Reduction - Electric	N/A	N/A	\$45,184,990	N/A	N/A			
Subtotal Subtotal	N/A	N/A	\$45,184,990	N/A	N/A			
Participant Costs								
Incremental Capital Costs	\$7,351,126	N/A	N/A	\$7,351,126	\$7,351,126			
Incremental O&M Costs	\$0	N/A	N/A	\$0	\$0			
meremental Ocen Costs	90	14/11	11/11	90	90			

Note: Dollar values represent present value of impacts accumulated over the lifetime of the measures.

\$7,351,126

\$7,351,126

\$42,000,264

6.71

Subtotal

Total Costs

Net Benefit (Cost)

Benefit/Cost Ratio

N/A

\$7,471,646

\$10,445,834

N/A

\$52,656,636

(\$34,739,157)

\$7,351,126

\$14,822,772

\$7,261,108

1.49

\$7,351,126

\$14,822,772

\$12,239,597

INSULATION REBATE						2020 ELE	CTRIC	GOAL
2020 Net Present Cost Benefit Summ	nary Analysis For A	ll Participants				Input Summary and Totals		
			Rate	Total		Program "Inputs" per Customer kW		
	Participant	Utility	Impact	Resource	Societal	Lifetime (Weighted on Generator kWh)	A	19.0 years
	Test	Test	Test	Test	Test	Annual Hours	В	8760
	(\$Total)	(\$Total)	(\$Total)	(\$Total)	(\$Total)	Gross Customer kW	С	1 kW
Benefits						Generator Peak Coincidence Factor	D	12.38%
						Gross Load Factor at Customer	E	15.07%
Avoided Revenue Requirements						Transmission Loss Factor (Energy)	F	8.400%
Generation	N/A	\$134,631	\$134,631	\$134,631	\$134,631	Transmission Loss Factor (Demand)	G	8.800%
T & D	N/A	\$85,159	\$85,159	\$85,159	\$85,159	Societal Net Benefit (Cost)	Н	\$776
Marginal Energy	N/A	\$1,241,134	\$1,241,134	\$1,241,134	\$1,241,134	oocean Tier Benefit (000)	••	9170
Environmental Externality	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$288,379			
Subtotal	N/A	\$1,460,925	\$1,460,925	\$1,460,925	\$1,749,303	Program Summary per Participant		
	,	,,,,,,,	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	, , ,	, , ,	Gross kW Saved at Customer	Ĭ	1.95 kW
Participant Benefits						Net coincident kW Saved at Generator	(IxD)/(1-G)	0.27 kW
Bill Reduction - Electric	\$2,915,287	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Gross Annual kWh Saved at Customer	(B x E x I)	2,580 kWh
Rebates from Xcel Energy	\$206,972	N/A	N/A	\$206,972	\$206,972	Net Annual kWh Saved at Generator	(BxExI)/(1-F)	2,817 kWh
Incremental Capital Savings	\$0	N/A	N/A	\$0	\$0		//\	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
Incremental O&M Savings	\$1,032,856	N/A	N/A	\$0	\$0			
Subtotal	\$4,155,115	N/A	N/A	\$206,972	\$206,972	Program Summary All Participants		
					-	Total Participants	J	619
Total Benefits	\$4,155,115	\$1,460,925	\$1,460,925	\$1,667,897	\$1,956,275	Total Budget	K	\$252,072
Costs						Gross kW Saved at Customer	(] x I)	1,210 kW
						Net coincident kW Saved at Generator	$(I \times D) / (1 - G) \times J$	164 kW
Utility Project Costs						Gross Annual kWh Saved at Customer	(BxExI)xJ	1,597,125 kWh
Customer Services	N/A	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	Net Annual kWh Saved at Generator	$((B \times E \times I)/(1-F))\times J$	1,743,586 kWh
Project Administration	N/A	\$27,800	\$27,800	\$27,800	\$27,800	Societal Net Benefits	((B X B X I) / (I = I) / X J	\$938,872
Advertising & Promotion	N/A	\$9,800	\$9,800	\$9,800	\$9,800	occetar rect Benefits	() 41411)	Ψ730,072
Measurement & Verification	N/A	\$4,000	\$4,000	\$4,000	\$4,000			
Rebates	N/A	\$206,972	\$206,972	\$206,972	\$206,972	Utility Program Cost per kWh Lifetime		\$0.0076
Other	N/A	\$3,500	\$3,500	\$3,500	\$3,500	Utility Program Cost per kW at Gen		\$1,534
Subtotal	N/A	\$252,072	\$252,072	\$252,072	\$252,072			+-,
Utility Revenue Reduction								
Revenue Reduction - Electric	N/A	NI / A	\$2,915,287	N/A	N/A			
Subtotal	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	\$2,915,287	N/A N/A	N/A N/A			
Subtotal	IN/A	IN/A	φ2,213,20/	18/11	11/11			
Participant Costs								
Incremental Capital Costs	\$1,612,667	N/A	N/A	\$765,331	\$765,331			
Incremental O&M Costs	\$0	N/A	N/A	\$0	\$0			

Note: Dollar values represent present value of impacts accumulated over the lifetime of the measures.

\$1,612,667

\$1,612,667

\$2,542,448

2.58

N/A

5.80

\$252,072

\$1,208,853

N/A

0.46

\$3,167,359

(\$1,706,434)

\$765,331

\$1,017,403

\$650,494

1.64

Subtotal

Total Costs

Net Benefit (Cost)

Benefit/Cost Ratio

\$765,331

\$1,017,403

\$938,872

Conservation Improvement Program (CIP)

BENEFIT COST FOR GAS CIPS-- Cost-Effectiveness Analysis

Company: **Xcel Energy**Project: **Insulation Rebate**

Input Data			Extension
1) Retail Rate (\$/Dth) =	\$ 7.08	Administrative & Operating Costs =	\$100,625
Escalation Rate =	4.00%	Incentive Costs =	\$229,810
		16) Total Utility Project Costs =	\$330,435
2) Non-Gas Fuel Retail Rate (\$/Fuel Unit) =	\$0.000		
Escalation Rate =	3.22%	17) Direct Participant Costs (\$/Part.) =	\$2,150
Non-Gas Fuel Units (ie. kWh,Gallons, etc) =	kWh		
		18) Participant Non-Energy Costs (Annual \$/Part.) =	\$ 0
3) Commodity Cost (\$/Dth) =	\$4.27	Escalation Rate =	2.16%
Escalation Rate =	4.00%		
		19) Participant Non-Energy Savings (Annual \$/Part) =	\$ 0
4) Demand Cost (\$/Unit/Yr) =	\$80.24	Escalation Rate =	2.16%
Escalation Rate =	4.00%	20) Project Life (Years) =	18.0
5) Peak Reduction Factor =	1.00%		
6) Variable O&M (\$/Dth) =	\$0.0408	21) Avg. Dth/Part. Saved =	23.3
Escalation Rate =	4.00%	22) Avg Non-Gas Fuel Units/Part. Saved =	0.0
Escaraton Nate –	4.0070	22) Avg (voir-Gas) tuel Ollits) I art. Saved –	0.0
		22a) Avg Additional Non-Gas Fuel Units/ Part. Used =	0.0
7) Non-Gas Fuel Cost (\$/Fuel Unit) =	\$0.022		
Escalation Rate =	3.22%	23) Number of Participants =	773
8) Non-Gas Fuel Loss Factor	5.28%	24) Total Annual Dth Saved =	17,985
9) Gas Environmental Damage Factor =	\$0.380	25) Incentive/Participant =	\$297.30
Escalation Rate =	2.16%	25) memore i ancipant –	\$271.50
40) N. G. F. IF.; D. F. (6/H.;) -	60.022		
10) Non Gas Fuel Enviro. Damage Factor (\$/Unit) = Escalation Rate =	\$0.023 2.16%		
11) Participant Discount Rate =	2.55%		
12) Utility Discount Rate =	7.42%		
13) Societal Discount Rate =	2.55%		
14) General Input Data Year =	2016		

15d) Project Analysis Year 4 = 2020

Cost Summary	2020		Extension NPV	Extension B/C
Utility Cost per Participant =	\$427.47	Ratepayer Impact Measure Test	(\$899,124)	0.62
Cost per Participant per Dth =	\$110.77			
		Utility Cost Test	\$1,146,712	4.47
Lifetime Energy Reduction (Dth)	324,365			
		Societal Test	\$727,300	1.45
Societal Cost per Dth	\$4.96			
-		Participant Test	\$1,772,649	2.07

2020

REFRIGERATOR RECYC	CLING					2020 ELE	CTRIC	GOAI
2020 Net Present Cost Benefit Summ	ary Analysis For A	ll Participants				Input Summary and Totals		
			Rate	Total		Program "Inputs" per Customer kW		
	Participant	Utility	Impact	Resource	Societal	Lifetime (Weighted on Generator kWh)	A	8.1 years
	Test	Test	Test	Test	Test	Annual Hours	В	8760
	(\$Total)	(\$Total)	(\$Total)	(\$Total)	(\$Total)	Gross Customer kW	С	1 kW
Benefits						Generator Peak Coincidence Factor	D	65.98%
						Gross Load Factor at Customer	Е	60,34%
Avoided Revenue Requirements						Transmission Loss Factor (Energy)	F	8.400%
Generation	N/A	\$391,043	\$391,043	\$391,043	\$391,043	Transmission Loss Factor (Demand)	G	8.800%
T & D	N/A	\$242,396	\$242,396	\$242,396	\$242,396	Societal Net Benefit (Cost)	Н	\$2,039
Marginal Energy	N/A	\$2,081,196	\$2,081,196	\$2,081,196	\$2,081,196			1-3000
Environmental Externality	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$666,178			
Subtotal	N/A	\$2,714,636	\$2,714,636	\$2,714,636	\$3,380,814	Program Summary per Participant		
	,		. , ,	" , ,	" , ,	Gross kW Saved at Customer	I	0.18 kW
Participant Benefits						Net coincident kW Saved at Generator	(IxD)/(1-G)	0.13 kW
Bill Reduction - Electric	\$6,314,704	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Gross Annual kWh Saved at Customer	(B x E x I)	967 kWł
Rebates from Xcel Energy	\$241,500	N/A	N/A	\$241,500	\$241,500	Net Annual kWh Saved at Generator	(BxExI)/(1-F)	1,056 kWł
Incremental Capital Savings	\$0	N/A	N/A	\$0	\$0		· · · · · ·	•
Incremental O&M Savings	\$0	N/A	N/A	\$0	\$0			
Subtotal	\$6,556,204	N/A	N/A	\$241,500	\$241,500	Program Summary All Participants		
						Total Participants	J	7,100
Total Benefits	\$6,556,204	\$2,714,636	\$2,714,636	\$2,956,136	\$3,622,314	Total Budget	K	\$972,934
Costs						Gross kW Saved at Customer	(J x I)	1,299 kW
						Net coincident kW Saved at Generator	$(I \times D) / (1 - G) \times J$	940 kW
Utility Project Costs						Gross Annual kWh Saved at Customer	(B x E x I) x J	6,867,053 kWł
Customer Services	N/A	\$7,000	\$7,000	\$7,000	\$7,000	Net Annual kWh Saved at Generator	$((\mathbf{B} \times \mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{I})/(1-\mathbf{F})) \times \mathbf{J}$	7,496,782 kWl
Project Administration	N/A	\$517,490	\$517,490	\$517,490	\$517,490	Societal Net Benefits	(IxIxH)	\$2,649,380
Advertising & Promotion	N/A	\$206,944	\$206,944	\$206,944	\$206,944		\./	, , ,
Measurement & Verification	N/A	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0			
Rebates	N/A	\$241,500	\$241,500	\$241,500	\$241,500	Utility Program Cost per kWh Lifetime		\$0.0160
Other	N/A	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	Utility Program Cost per kW at Gen		\$1,035
Subtotal	N/A	\$972,934	\$972,934	\$972,934	\$972,934			•
Utility Revenue Reduction								
Revenue Reduction - Electric	N/A	N/A	\$6,314,704	N/A	N/A			
Subtotal	N/A	N/A	\$6,314,704	N/A	N/A			
Participant Costs								
Incremental Capital Costs	\$0	N/A	N/A	\$0	\$0			

Note: Dollar values represent present value of impacts accumulated over the lifetime of the measures.

\$6,556,204

INF

N/A

2.79

\$972,934

\$1,741,702

N/A

\$7,287,638

(\$4,573,002)

Subtotal

Total Costs

Net Benefit (Cost)

Benefit/Cost Ratio

\$0

\$972,934

\$2,649,380

3.72

\$0

\$972,934

\$1,983,202

RESIDENTIAL COOLIN	G					2020 ELE	CTRIC	GOAL
2020 Net Present Cost Benefit Summ	nary Analysis For A	Il Participants				Input Summary and Totals		
			Rate	Total		Program "Inputs" per Customer kW		
	Participant	Utility	Impact	Resource	Societal	Lifetime (Weighted on Generator kWh)	A	15.1 years
	Test	Test	Test	Test	Test	Annual Hours	В	8760
	(\$Total)	(\$Total)	(\$Total)	(\$Total)	(\$Total)	Gross Customer kW	С	1 kW
Benefits						Generator Peak Coincidence Factor	D	90.00%
Deliterito						Gross Load Factor at Customer	E	7.50%
Avoided Revenue Requirements						Transmission Loss Factor (Energy)	F	8.400%
Generation	N/A	\$4,047,400	\$4,047,400	\$4,047,400	\$4,047,400	Transmission Loss Factor (Demand)	G	8.800%
T & D	N/A	\$2,545,746	\$2,545,746	\$2,545,746	\$2,545,746	Societal Net Benefit (Cost)	Н	\$611
Marginal Energy	N/A	\$2,491,961	\$2,491,961	\$2,491,961	\$2,491,961	bocietai i vet Benent (cost)		9011
Environmental Externality	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$570,401			
Subtotal	N/A	\$9,085,108	\$9,085,108	\$9,085,108	\$9,655,509	Program Summary per Participant		
	- 1,7 - 2	#*,****	#* , 000 , 100	π·,···,·	#-,000,000	Gross kW Saved at Customer	Ĭ	0.47 kW
Participant Benefits						Net coincident kW Saved at Generator	(IxD)/(1-G)	0.47 kW
Bill Reduction - Electric	\$5,555,311	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Gross Annual kWh Saved at Customer	(Bx E x I)	311 kWh
Rebates from Xcel Energy	\$3,552,450	N/A	N/A	\$3,552,450	\$3,552,450	Net Annual kWh Saved at Generator	(BxExI)/(1-F)	339 kWh
Incremental Capital Savings	\$0	N/A	N/A	\$0	\$0		7/\	
Incremental O&M Savings	\$0	N/A	N/A	\$0	\$0			
Subtotal	\$9,107,761	N/A	N/A	\$3,552,450	\$3,552,450	Program Summary All Participants		
						Total Participants	J	11,582
Total Benefits	\$9,107,761	\$9,085,108	\$9,085,108	\$12,637,558	\$13,207,959	Total Budget	K	\$4,139,360
Costs						Gross kW Saved at Customer	(J x I)	5,479 kW
						Net coincident kW Saved at Generator	$(I \times D)/(1-G) \times J$	5,406 kW
Utility Project Costs						Gross Annual kWh Saved at Customer	(BxExI)xJ	3,600,307 kWh
Customer Services	N/A	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	Net Annual kWh Saved at Generator	$((B \times E \times I)/(1-F))\times J$	3,930,467 kWh
Project Administration	N/A	\$364,869	\$364,869	\$364,869	\$364,869	Societal Net Benefits	(IxIxH)	\$3,345,412
Advertising & Promotion	N/A	\$212,074	\$212,074	\$212,074	\$212,074		7	, , , , , , ,
Measurement & Verification	N/A	\$9,967	\$9,967	\$9,967	\$9,967			
Rebates	N/A	\$3,552,450	\$3,552,450	\$3,552,450	\$3,552,450	Utility Program Cost per kWh Lifetime		\$0.0696
Other	N/A	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	Utility Program Cost per kW at Gen		\$766
Subtotal	N/A	\$4,139,360	\$4,139,360	\$4,139,360	\$4,139,360			
Utility Revenue Reduction								
Revenue Reduction - Electric	N/A	N/A	\$5,555,311	N/A	N/A			
Subtotal	N/A	N/A	\$5,555,311	N/A	N/A			
Participant Costs								
Incremental Capital Costs	\$5,723,187	N/A	N/A	\$5,723,187	\$5,723,187			
Incremental O&M Costs	\$0	N/A	N/A	\$0	\$0			

Note: Dollar values represent present value of impacts accumulated over the lifetime of the measures.

\$5,723,187

\$5,723,187

\$3,384,574

1.59

Subtotal

Total Costs

Net Benefit (Cost)

Benefit/Cost Ratio

N/A

2.19

\$4,139,360

\$4,945,748

N/A

\$9,694,671

(\$609,563)

\$5,723,187

\$9,862,547

\$2,775,011

1.28

\$5,723,187

\$9,862,547

\$3,345,412

SCHOOL EDUCATION K	ITS					2020 ELE	CTRIC	GOAI
2020 Net Present Cost Benefit Summa	ary Analysis For Al	1 Participants				Input Summary and Totals		
			Rate	Total		Program "Inputs" per Customer kW		
	Participant	Utility	Impact	Resource	Societal	Lifetime (Weighted on Generator kWh)	A	5.8 years
	Test	Test	Test	Test	Test	Annual Hours	В	876
	(\$Total)	(\$Total)	(\$Total)	(\$Total)	(\$Total)	Gross Customer kW	С	1 kV
Benefits						Generator Peak Coincidence Factor	D	9.12%
						Gross Load Factor at Customer	E	11.89%
Avoided Revenue Requirements						Transmission Loss Factor (Energy)	F	8.400%
Generation	N/A	\$81,848	\$81,848	\$81,848	\$81,848	Transmission Loss Factor (Demand)	G	8.800%
T & D	N/A	\$50,586	\$50,586	\$50,586	\$50,586	Societal Net Benefit (Cost)	Н	\$32
Marginal Energy	N/A	\$542,481	\$542,481	\$542,481	\$542,481			10-
Environmental Externality	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$196,140			
Subtotal	N/A	\$674,915	\$674,915	\$674,915	\$871,055	Program Summary per Participant		
	,			. ,	. ,	Gross kW Saved at Customer	I	0.09 kV
Participant Benefits						Net coincident kW Saved at Generator	(IxD)/(1-G)	0.01 kV
Bill Reduction - Electric	\$1,814,742	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Gross Annual kWh Saved at Customer	(BxExI)	89 kWl
Rebates from Xcel Energy	\$472,775	N/A	N/A	\$472,775	\$472,775	Net Annual kWh Saved at Generator	(BxExI)/(1-F)	97 kWl
Incremental Capital Savings	\$0	N/A	N/A	\$0	\$0		, , , ,	
Incremental O&M Savings	\$190,694	N/A	N/A	\$190,694	\$190,694			
Subtotal	\$2,478,210	N/A	N/A	\$663,469	\$663,469	Program Summary All Participants		
						Total Participants	J	29,00
Total Benefits	\$2,478,210	\$674,915	\$674,915	\$1,338,383	\$1,534,523	Total Budget	K	\$982,930
Costs						Gross kW Saved at Customer	(J x I)	2,466 kW
						Net coincident kW Saved at Generator	$(I \times D)/(1-G) \times J$	246 kV
Utility Project Costs						Gross Annual kWh Saved at Customer	(B x E x I) x J	2,567,987 kWl
Customer Services	N/A	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	Net Annual kWh Saved at Generator	$((B \times E \times I)/(1-F))\times J$	2,803,479 kWl
Project Administration	N/A	\$504,260	\$504,260	\$504,260	\$504,260	Societal Net Benefits	(IxIxH)	\$78,818
Advertising & Promotion	N/A	\$5,895	\$5,895	\$5,895	\$5,895		7	, , , , , ,
Measurement & Verification	N/A	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0			
Rebates	N/A	\$472,775	\$472,775	\$472,775	\$472,775	Utility Program Cost per kWh Lifetime		\$0.0605
Other	N/A	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	Utility Program Cost per kW at Gen		\$3,988
Subtotal	N/A	\$982,930	\$982,930	\$982,930	\$982,930			• •
Utility Revenue Reduction								
Revenue Reduction - Electric	N/A	N/A	\$1,814,742	N/A	N/A			
Subtotal	N/A	N/A	\$1,814,742	N/A	N/A			
Participant Costs								
Participant Costs Incremental Capital Costs	\$472,775	N/A	N/A	\$472,775	\$472,775			

Note: Dollar values represent present value of impacts accumulated over the lifetime of the measures.

\$472,775

\$472,775

\$2,005,435

5.24

Subtotal

Total Costs

Net Benefit (Cost)

Benefit/Cost Ratio

N/A

\$982,930

(\$308,015)

0.69

N/A

0.24

\$2,797,672

(\$2,122,757)

\$472,775

\$1,455,705

(\$117,322)

0.92

\$472,775

\$1,455,705

\$78,818

Conservation Improvement Program (CIP)

BENEFIT COST FOR GAS CIPS-- Cost-Effectiveness Analysis

Company: Xcel Energy

Project: School Education Kits

Input Data			2020 Extension
1) Retail Rate (\$/Dth) =	\$7.08	Administrative & Operating Costs =	\$262,015
Escalation Rate =	4.00%	Incentive Costs = 16) Total Utility Project Costs =	\$64,350 \$326,365
2) Non-Gas Fuel Retail Rate (\$/Fuel Unit) =	\$0.000	, , ,	. ,
Escalation Rate =	3.22%	17) Direct Participant Costs (\$/Part.) =	\$ 5
Non-Gas Fuel Units (ie. kWh,Gallons, etc) =	kWh		
		18) Participant Non-Energy Costs (Annual \$/Part.) =	\$ 0
3) Commodity Cost (\$/Dth) = Escalation Rate =	\$4.27 4.00%	Escalation Rate =	2.16%
		19) Participant Non-Energy Savings (Annual \$/Part) =	\$12
4) Demand Cost (\$/Unit/Yr) = Escalation Rate =	\$80.24 4.00%	Escalation Rate =	2.16%
5) Peak Reduction Factor =	1.00%	20) Project Life (Years) =	10.0
6) Variable O&M (\$/Dth) =	\$0.0408	21) Avg. Dth/Part. Saved =	0.8
Escalation Rate =	4.00%	22) Avg Non-Gas Fuel Units/Part. Saved =	0.0
		22a) Avg Additional Non-Gas Fuel Units/ Part. Used =	0.0
7) Non-Gas Fuel Cost (\$/Fuel Unit) = Escalation Rate =	\$0.022 3.22%	23) Number of Participants =	14,000
8) Non-Gas Fuel Loss Factor	5.28%	24) Total Annual Dth Saved =	11,391
9) Gas Environmental Damage Factor = Escalation Rate =	\$0.380 2.16%	25) Incentive/Participant =	\$4.60
10) Non Gas Fuel Enviro. Damage Factor (\$/Unit) = Escalation Rate =	\$0.023 2.16%		
11) Participant Discount Rate =	2.55%		
12) Utility Discount Rate =	7.42%		
13) Societal Discount Rate =	2.55%		
14) General Input Data Year =	2016		

15d) Project Analysis Year 4 =	2020
--------------------------------	------

Cost Summary	2020		Extension NPV	Extension B/C
Utility Cost per Participant =	\$23.31	Ratepayer Impact Measure Test	(\$554,130)	0.52
Cost per Participant per Dth =	\$34.30			
		Utility Cost Test	\$265,245	1.81
Lifetime Energy Reduction (Dth)	113,912			
		Societal Test	\$2,107,824	7.46
Societal Cost per Dth	\$2.87			
-		Participant Test	\$2,667,504	42.45

WATER HEATER REBAT	ΓE					2020 ELE	CTRIC	GOAL		
2020 Net Present Cost Benefit Summ	nary Analysis For Al	1 Participants				Input Summary and Totals				
			Rate	Total		Program "Inputs" per Customer kW				
	Participant	Utility	Impact	Resource	Societal	Lifetime (Weighted on Generator kWh)	A	10.0 years		
	Test	Test	Test	Test	Test	Annual Hours	В	8760		
	(\$Total)	(\$Total)	(\$Total)	(\$Total)	(\$Total)	Gross Customer kW	С	1 kW		
Benefits						Generator Peak Coincidence Factor	D	100.00%		
						Gross Load Factor at Customer	Е	82.06%		
Avoided Revenue Requirements						Transmission Loss Factor (Energy)	F	8.400%		
Generation	N/A	\$21,913	\$21,913	\$21,913	\$21,913	Transmission Loss Factor (Demand)	G	8.800%		
T & D	N/A	\$13,650	\$13,650	\$13,650	\$13,650	Societal Net Benefit (Cost)	Н	\$544		
Marginal Energy	N/A	\$78,769	\$78,769	\$78,769	\$78,769					
Environmental Externality	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$31,662					
Subtotal	N/A	\$114,332	\$114,332	\$114,332	\$145,993	Program Summary per Participant				
						Gross kW Saved at Customer	I	0.56 kW		
Participant Benefits						Net coincident kW Saved at Generator	(IxD)/(1-G)	0.61 kW		
Bill Reduction - Electric	\$310,253	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Gross Annual kWh Saved at Customer	(B x E x I)	4,001 kWh		
Rebates from Xcel Energy	\$29,700	N/A	N/A	\$29,700	\$29,700	Net Annual kWh Saved at Generator	(BxExI)/(1-F)	4,368 kWh		
Incremental Capital Savings	\$0	N/A	N/A	\$0	\$0		7: \			
Incremental O&M Savings	\$0	N/A	N/A	\$0	\$0					
Subtotal	\$339,953	N/A	N/A	\$29,700	\$29,700	Program Summary All Participants				
						Total Participants	J	66		
Total Benefits	\$339,953	\$114,332	\$114,332	\$144,032	\$175,693	Total Budget	K	\$85,700		
Costs					·	Gross kW Saved at Customer	(J x I)	37 kW		
						Net coincident kW Saved at Generator	$(I \times D) / (1 - G) \times J$	40 kW		
Utility Project Costs						Gross Annual kWh Saved at Customer	(B x E x I) x J	264,092 kWh		
Customer Services	N/A	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	Net Annual kWh Saved at Generator	$((B \times E \times I)/(1-F)) \times J$	288,310 kWh		
Project Administration	N/A	\$30,000	\$30,000	\$30,000	\$30,000	Societal Net Benefits	(IxIxH)	\$20,002		
Advertising & Promotion	N/A	\$25,000	\$25,000	\$25,000	\$25,000					
Measurement & Verification	N/A	\$1,000	\$1,000	\$1,000	\$1,000					
Rebates	N/A	\$29,700	\$29,700	\$29,700	\$29,700	Utility Program Cost per kWh Lifetime		\$0.0297		
Other	N/A	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	Utility Program Cost per kW at Gen		\$2,127		
Subtotal	N/A	\$85,700	\$85,700	\$85,700	\$85,700			-		
Utility Revenue Reduction										
Revenue Reduction - Electric	N/A	N/A	\$310,253	N/A	N/A					
Subtotal	N/A	N/A	\$310,253	N/A	N/A					
Participant Costs										
Incremental Capital Costs	\$40,356	N/A	N/A	\$40,356	\$40,356					
	11	N/A	N/A	\$29,635	\$29,635					

Note: Dollar values represent present value of impacts accumulated over the lifetime of the measures.

Subtotal

Total Costs

Net Benefit (Cost)

Benefit/Cost Ratio

\$69,991

\$69,991

\$269,962

4.86

N/A

\$85,700

\$28,632

1.33

\$69,991

\$155,691

\$20,002

1.13

\$69,991

\$155,691

(\$11,659)

N/A

\$395,953

(\$281,621)

Conservation Improvement Program (CIP)

BENEFIT COST FOR GAS CIPS-- Cost-Effectiveness Analysis

Company: Xcel Energy
Project: Water Heater Rebate

Input Data			Extension
1) Retail Rate (\$/Dth) =	\$ 7.08	Administrative & Operating Costs =	\$106,994
Escalation Rate =	4.00%	Incentive Costs =	\$95,550
		16) Total Utility Project Costs =	\$202,544
2) Non-Gas Fuel Retail Rate (\$/Fuel Unit) =	\$0.000		
Escalation Rate =	3.22%	17) Direct Participant Costs (\$/Part.) =	\$352
Non-Gas Fuel Units (ie. kWh,Gallons, etc) =	kWh		
		18) Participant Non-Energy Costs (Annual \$/Part.) =	\$ 0
3) Commodity Cost (\$/Dth) =	\$4.27	Escalation Rate =	2.16%
Escalation Rate =	4.00%		
		19) Participant Non-Energy Savings (Annual \$/Part) =	\$ 0
4) Demand Cost (\$/Unit/Yr) =	\$80.24	Escalation Rate =	2.16%
Escalation Rate =	4.00%	20) Project Life (Years) =	14.5
5) Peak Reduction Factor =	1.00%		
6) Variable O&M (\$/Dth) =	\$0.0408	21) Avg. Dth/Part. Saved =	3.2
Escalation Rate =	4.00%	22) Avg Non-Gas Fuel Units/Part. Saved =	0.0
Escalation Race	1.0070	22) Trig troit Gas I del Glids) I art Saved	0.0
		22a) Avg Additional Non-Gas Fuel Units/ Part. Used =	0.0
7) Non-Gas Fuel Cost (\$/Fuel Unit) =	\$0.022	-N. V	
Escalation Rate =	3.22%	23) Number of Participants =	1,071
8) Non-Gas Fuel Loss Factor	5.28%	24) Total Annual Dth Saved =	3,461
9) Gas Environmental Damage Factor =	\$0.380	25) Incentive/Participant =	\$89.22
Escalation Rate =	2.16%	25) Interiore, I materialis	ΨΟΣ. ΔΔ
10) Non Gas Fuel Enviro. Damage Factor (\$/Unit) =	\$0.023		
Escalation Rate =	2.16%		
40 B B B	2.550/		
11) Participant Discount Rate =	2.55%		
12) Utility Discount Rate =	7.42%		
13) Societal Discount Rate =	2.55%		
14) General Input Data Year =	2016		

15d) Project Analysis Year 4 = 2020

Cost Summary	2020		Extension NPV	Extension B/C
Utility Cost per Participant =	\$189.12	Ratepayer Impact Measure Test	(\$295,632)	0.45
Cost per Participant per Dth =	\$167.49			
		Utility Cost Test	\$39,247	1.19
Lifetime Energy Reduction (Dth)	50,175			
		Societal Test	(\$131,975)	0.73
Societal Cost per Dth	\$9.65			
		Participant Test	\$178,167	1.47

2020

WHOLE HOME EFFICIE	ENCY					2020 ELE	CTRIC	GOAI
2020 Net Present Cost Benefit Summ	ary Analysis For Al	1 Participants				Input Summary and Totals		
			Rate	Total		Program "Inputs" per Customer kW		
	Participant	Utility	Impact	Resource	Societal	Lifetime (Weighted on Generator kWh)	A	11.1 years
	Test	Test	Test	Test	Test	Annual Hours	В	8760
	(\$Total)	(\$Total)	(\$Total)	(\$Total)	(\$Total)	Gross Customer kW	С	1 kW
Benefits						Generator Peak Coincidence Factor	D	68.62%
						Gross Load Factor at Customer	E	12.73%
Avoided Revenue Requirements						Transmission Loss Factor (Energy)	F	8.4000%
Generation	N/A	\$98,804	\$98,804	\$98,804	\$98,804	Transmission Loss Factor (Demand)	G	8.8000%
T & D	N/A	\$62,210	\$62,210	\$62,210	\$62,210	Societal Net Benefit (Cost)	Н	\$312
Marginal Energy	N/A	\$82,697	\$82,697	\$82,697	\$82,697			
Environmental Externality	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$25,452			
Subtotal	N/A	\$243,711	\$243,711	\$243,711	\$269,163	Program Summary per Participant		
						Gross kW Saved at Customer	I	0.81 kW
Participant Benefits						Net coincident kW Saved at Generator	(IxD)/(1-G)	0.61 kW
Bill Reduction - Electric	\$251,109	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Gross Annual kWh Saved at Customer	(B x E x I)	902 kWł
Rebates from Xcel Energy	\$37,135	N/A	N/A	\$37,135	\$37,135	Net Annual kWh Saved at Generator	(BxExI)/(1-F)	985 kWł
Incremental Capital Savings	\$0	N/A	N/A	\$0	\$0			
Incremental O&M Savings	\$0	N/A	N/A	\$0	\$0			
Subtotal	\$288,244	N/A	N/A	\$37,135	\$37,135	Program Summary All Participants		
						Total Participants	J	230
Total Benefits	\$288,244	\$243,711	\$243,711	\$280,846	\$306,298	Total Budget	K	\$127,500
Costs						Gross kW Saved at Customer	(J x I)	186 kW
						Net coincident kW Saved at Generator	$(I \times D) / (1 - G) \times J$	140 kW
Utility Project Costs						Gross Annual kWh Saved at Customer	(BxExI)xJ	207,503 kWł
Customer Services	N/A	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	Net Annual kWh Saved at Generator	$((B \times E \times I)/(1-F)) \times J$	226,532 kWl
Project Administration	N/A	\$45,950	\$45,950	\$45,950	\$45,950	Societal Net Benefits	(J x I x H)	\$58,010
Advertising & Promotion	N/A	\$14,415	\$14,415	\$14,415	\$14,415	-		
Measurement & Verification	N/A	\$30,000	\$30,000	\$30,000	\$30,000			
Rebates	N/A	\$37,135	\$37,135	\$37,135	\$37,135	Utility Program Cost per kWh Lifetime		\$0.0507
Other	N/A	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	Utility Program Cost per kW at Gen		\$911
Subtotal	N/A	\$127,500	\$127,500	\$127,500	\$127,500			
Utility Revenue Reduction								
Revenue Reduction - Electric	N/A	N/A	\$251,109	N/A	N/A			
Subtotal	N/A	N/A	\$251,109	N/A	N/A			
Participant Costs								
Incremental Capital Costs	\$111,563	N/A	N/A	\$111,563	\$111,563			
Incremental O&M Costs	\$9,225	N/A	N/A	\$9,225	\$9,225			

Note: Dollar values represent present value of impacts accumulated over the lifetime of the measures.

Subtotal

Total Costs

Net Benefit (Cost)

Benefit/Cost Ratio

\$120,789

\$120,789

\$167,455

2.39

N/A

\$127,500

\$116,211

1.91

N/A

\$378,609

(\$134,897)

0.64

\$120,789

\$248,289

\$32,558

1.13

\$120,789

\$248,289

\$58,010

Conservation Improvement Program (CIP)

BENEFIT COST FOR GAS CIPS-- Cost-Effectiveness Analysis

Company: Xcel Energy

Project: Whole Home Efficiency

Input Data			2020 Extension
1) Retail Rate (\$/Dth) =	\$7.08	Administrative & Operating Costs =	\$207,299
Escalation Rate =	4.00%	Incentive Costs = 16) Total Utility Project Costs =	\$83,316 \$290,615
2) Non-Gas Fuel Retail Rate (\$/Fuel Unit) =	\$0.000		#== 0,000
Escalation Rate =	3.22%	17) Direct Participant Costs (\$/Part.) =	\$2,581
Non-Gas Fuel Units (ie. kWh,Gallons, etc) =	kWh		
		18) Participant Non-Energy Costs (Annual \$/Part.) =	\$ 0
3) Commodity Cost (\$/Dth) = Escalation Rate =	\$4.27 4.00%	Escalation Rate =	2.16%
		19) Participant Non-Energy Savings (Annual \$/Part) =	\$20
4) Demand Cost (\$/Unit/Yr) = Escalation Rate =	\$80.24 4.00%	Escalation Rate =	2.16%
5) Peak Reduction Factor =	1.00%	20) Project Life (Years) =	15.4
6) Variable O&M (\$/Dth) =	\$0.0408	21) Avg. Dth/Part. Saved =	39.0
Escalation Rate =	4.00%	22) Avg Non-Gas Fuel Units/Part. Saved =	0.0
		22a) Avg Additional Non-Gas Fuel Units/ Part. Used =	0.0
7) Non-Gas Fuel Cost (\$/Fuel Unit) = Escalation Rate =	\$0.022 3.22%	23) Number of Participants =	205
8) Non-Gas Fuel Loss Factor	5.28%	24) Total Annual Dth Saved =	7,998
9) Gas Environmental Damage Factor = Escalation Rate =	\$0.380 2.16%	25) Incentive/Participant =	\$405.93
10) Non Gas Fuel Enviro. Damage Factor (\$/Unit) = Escalation Rate =	\$0.023 2.16%		
11) Participant Discount Rate =	2.55%		
12) Utility Discount Rate =	7.42%		
13) Societal Discount Rate =	2.55%		
14) General Input Data Year =	2016		

15d) Project Analysis Year 4 =	2020
--------------------------------	------

Cost Summary	2020		Extension NPV	Extension B/C
Utility Cost per Participant =	\$1,415.93	Ratepayer Impact Measure Test	(\$513,438)	0.53
Cost per Participant per Dth =	\$102.58			
		Utility Cost Test	\$288,160	1.99
Lifetime Energy Reduction (Dth)	123,380			
		Societal Test	\$180,674	1.25
Societal Cost per Dth	\$5.97			
-		Participant Test	\$740,602	2.40

RESIDENTIAL DEMANI	O RESPONSE	E				2020 ELE	CTRIC	GOAI
2020 Net Present Cost Benefit Summ	ary Analysis For A	All Participants				Input Summary and Totals		
			Rate	Total		Program "Inputs" per Customer kW		
	Participant	Utility	Impact	Resource	Societal	Lifetime (Weighted on Generator kWh)	A	10.1 years
	Test	Test	Test	Test	Test	Annual Hours	В	876
	(\$Total)	(\$Total)	(\$Total)	(\$Total)	(\$Total)	Gross Customer kW	С	1 kV
Benefits						Generator Peak Coincidence Factor	D	40.14%
						Gross Load Factor at Customer	E	0.30%
Avoided Revenue Requirements						Transmission Loss Factor (Energy)	F	8.400%
Generation	N/A	\$13,816,574	\$13,816,574	\$13,816,574	\$13,816,574	Transmission Loss Factor (Demand)	G	8.800%
T & D	N/A	\$8,640,945	\$8,640,945	\$8,640,945	\$8,640,945	Societal Net Benefit (Cost)	Н	\$285
Marginal Energy	N/A	\$660,220	\$660,220	\$660,220	\$660,220			#=00
Environmental Externality	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$163,904			
Subtotal	N/A	\$23,117,739	\$23,117,739	\$23,117,739	\$23,281,643	Program Summary per Participant		
						Gross kW Saved at Customer	I	1.73 kV
Participant Benefits						Net coincident kW Saved at Generator	(IxD)/(1-G)	0.76 kV
Bill Reduction - Electric	\$1,506,070	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Gross Annual kWh Saved at Customer	(BxExI)	46 kW
Rebates from Xcel Energy	\$1,803,400	N/A	N/A	\$1,803,400	\$1,803,400	Net Annual kWh Saved at Generator	(BxExI)/(1-F)	50 kW
Incremental Capital Savings	\$0	N/A	N/A	\$0	\$0	-		
Incremental O&M Savings	\$0	N/A	N/A	\$0	\$0			
Subtotal	\$3,309,470	N/A	N/A	\$1,803,400	\$1,803,400	Program Summary All Participants		
						Total Participants	J	29,66
Total Benefits	\$3,309,470	\$23,117,739	\$23,117,739	\$24,921,139	\$25,085,043	Total Budget	K	\$8,603,202
Costs						Gross kW Saved at Customer	(J x I)	51,222 kV
						Net coincident kW Saved at Generator	$(I \times D) / (1 - G) \times J$	22,542 kV
Utility Project Costs						Gross Annual kWh Saved at Customer	(BxExI)xJ	1,361,439 kW
Customer Services	N/A	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	Net Annual kWh Saved at Generator	$((\mathbf{B} \times \mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{I})/(1-\mathbf{F})) \times \mathbf{J}$	1,486,287 kW
Project Administration	N/A	\$6,099,802	\$6,099,802	\$6,099,802	\$6,099,802	Societal Net Benefits	(IxIxH)	\$14,587,121
Advertising & Promotion	N/A	\$500,000	\$500,000	\$500,000	\$500,000			
Measurement & Verification	N/A	\$200,000	\$200,000	\$200,000	\$200,000			
Rebates	N/A	\$1,803,400	\$1,803,400	\$1,803,400	\$1,803,400	Utility Program Cost per kWh Lifetime		\$0.5750
Other	N/A	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	Utility Program Cost per kW at Gen		\$382
Subtotal	N/A	\$8,603,202	\$8,603,202	\$8,603,202	\$8,603,202			
Utility Revenue Reduction								
Revenue Reduction - Electric	N/A	N/A	\$1,506,070	N/A	N/A			
Subtotal	N/A	N/A	\$1,506,070	N/A	N/A			
Participant Costs								
Incremental Capital Costs	\$1,894,720	N/A	N/A	\$1,894,720	\$1,894,720			

Note: Dollar values represent present value of impacts accumulated over the lifetime of the measures.

\$1,894,720

\$1,894,720

\$1,414,750

Subtotal

Total Costs

Net Benefit (Cost)

Benefit/Cost Ratio

N/A

\$8,603,202

\$14,514,537

N/A

\$10,109,272

\$13,008,467

\$1,894,720

\$10,497,922

\$14,423,217

\$1,894,720

\$10,497,922

\$14,587,121

Conservation Improvement Program (CIP)

BENEFIT COST FOR GAS CIPS-- Cost-Effectiveness Analysis

Company: Xcel Energy

Project: Residential Demand Response

Input Data			2020 Extension
1) Retail Rate (\$/Dth) =	\$7.08	Administrative & Operating Costs =	\$34,380
Escalation Rate =	4.00%	Incentive Costs = 16) Total Utility Project Costs =	\$74,600 \$108,980
2) Non-Gas Fuel Retail Rate (\$/Fuel Unit) =	\$0.000		
Escalation Rate =	3.22%	17) Direct Participant Costs (\$/Part.) =	\$53
Non-Gas Fuel Units (ie. kWh,Gallons, etc) =	kWh		
		18) Participant Non-Energy Costs (Annual \$/Part.) =	\$0
3) Commodity Cost (\$/Dth) = Escalation Rate =	\$4.27 4.00%	Escalation Rate =	2.16%
		19) Participant Non-Energy Savings (Annual \$/Part) =	\$ 0
4) Demand Cost (\$/Unit/Yr) = Escalation Rate =	\$80.24 4.00%	Escalation Rate =	2.16%
5) Peak Reduction Factor =	1.00%	20) Project Life (Years) =	10.0
6) Variable O&M (\$/Dth) =	\$0.0408	21) Avg. Dth/Part. Saved =	7.0
Escalation Rate =	4.00%	22) Avg Non-Gas Fuel Units/Part. Saved =	0.0
		22a) Avg Additional Non-Gas Fuel Units/ Part. Used =	0.0
7) Non-Gas Fuel Cost (\$/Fuel Unit) =	\$0.022		
Escalation Rate =	3.22%	23) Number of Participants =	6,150
8) Non-Gas Fuel Loss Factor	5.28%	24) Total Annual Dth Saved =	42,952
9) Gas Environmental Damage Factor =	\$ 0.380	25) Incentive/Participant =	\$12.13
Escalation Rate =	2.16%		
10) Non Gas Fuel Enviro. Damage Factor (\$/Unit) =	\$0.023		
Escalation Rate =	2.16%		
11) Participant Discount Rate =	2.55%		
12) Utility Discount Rate =	7.42%		
13) Societal Discount Rate =	2.55%		
14) General Input Data Year =	2016		

15d) Project Analysis Year 4 =	2020
--------------------------------	------

Cost Summary	2020		Extension NPV	Extension B/C
Utility Cost per Participant =	\$17.72	Ratepayer Impact Measure Test	(\$967,789)	0.70
Cost per Participant per Dth =	\$10.10			
		Utility Cost Test	\$2,121,743	20.47
Lifetime Energy Reduction (Dth)	429,516			
		Societal Test	\$2,554,645	8.11
Societal Cost per Dth	\$0.84			
•		Participant Test	\$3,543,360	11.91

Avoided Revenue Requirements	THERMOSTAT OPTIMIZ	ZATION PRO	GRAM				2020 ELE	CTRIC	GOAL
Particup	2020 Net Present Cost Benefit Sumn	nary Analysis For Al	l Participants				Input Summary and Totals		
Test Test				Rate	Total		Program "Inputs" per Customer kW		
Penefits Final Participant Penefits Penefits		Participant	Utility	Impact	Resource	Societal	Lifetime (Weighted on Generator kWh)	A	0.0 years
Benefits		Test	Test	Test	Test	Test	Annual Hours	В	8760
Centeration		(\$Total)	(\$Total)	(\$Total)	(\$Total)	(\$Total)	Gross Customer kW	C	1 kW
Acided Revenue Requirements	Benefits						Generator Peak Coincidence Factor	D	0.00%
Acided Revenue Requirements							Gross Load Factor at Customer	E	#DIV/0!
Generation N/A 50 80 80 80 So So So So So So So S	Avoided Revenue Requirements							F	0.000%
Total Denefits	•	N/A	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	(0,7	G	0.000%
Marginal Energy N/A S0 S0 S0 S0 S0 S0 S0 S		,							#DIV/0!
Subtoral N/A Su	Marginal Energy	,							,
Subtoral N/A Su	0 0,	,							
Participant Benefits	Subtotal						Program Summary per Participant		
Bill Reduction - Electric \$0								I	#DIV/0!
Bill Reduction - Electric 50 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A So So Net Annual kWh Saved at Customer (B x E x I)	Participant Benefits						Net coincident kW Saved at Generator	(IxD)/(1-G)	#DIV/0!
Incremental Capital Savings \$0	Bill Reduction - Electric	\$0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Gross Annual kWh Saved at Customer		#DIV/0!
Incremental O&M Savings \$0	Rebates from Xcel Energy	\$0	N/A	N/A	\$0	\$0	Net Annual kWh Saved at Generator	(BxExI)/(1-F)	#DIV/0!
Subtotal Substitute	Incremental Capital Savings	\$0	N/A	N/A	\$0	\$0	-		
Total Benefits \$0	Incremental O&M Savings	\$0	N/A	N/A	\$0	\$0			
Total Benefits \$0	Subtotal	\$0	N/A	N/A	\$0	\$0	Program Summary All Participants		
Costs							Total Participants	J	0
Customer Services	Total Benefits	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	Total Budget	K	\$0
Utility Project Costs	Costs						Gross kW Saved at Customer	(] x I)	#DIV/0!
Utility Project Costs							Net coincident kW Saved at Generator	100	#DIV/0!
Customer Services	Utility Project Costs								#DIV/0!
Project Administration	. ,	N/A	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		, ,	#DIV/0!
Advertising & Promotion N/A \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	Project Administration	,						, , , , -	#DIV/0!
Measurement & Verification N/A \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 Rebates N/A \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 Utility Program Cost per kWh Lifetime #I Other N/A \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 Utility Program Cost per kW at Gen Utility Program Cost per kW at Gen *I Utility Revenue Reduction Revenue Reduction - Electric N/A N/A \$0 N/A		,							
Rebates N/A \$0 <	O .	N/A	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0			
Other N/A \$0 <th< td=""><td>Rebates</td><td></td><td></td><td>\$0</td><td></td><td></td><td>Utility Program Cost per kWh Lifetime</td><td></td><td>#DIV/0!</td></th<>	Rebates			\$0			Utility Program Cost per kWh Lifetime		#DIV/0!
Utility Revenue Reduction Revenue Reduction - Electric N/A N/A \$0 N/A N/A Subtotal N/A N/A \$0 N/A N/A Participant Costs Incremental Capital Costs \$0 N/A N/A \$0 \$0	Other		\$0						#DIV/0!
Revenue Reduction - Electric N/A N/A \$0 N/A N/A Subtotal N/A N/A \$0 N/A N/A Participant Costs Incremental Capital Costs \$0 N/A N/A \$0 \$0	Subtotal	N/A	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0			-
Revenue Reduction - Electric N/A N/A \$0 N/A N/A Subtotal N/A N/A \$0 N/A N/A Participant Costs Incremental Capital Costs \$0 N/A N/A \$0 \$0	Utility Revenue Reduction								
Subtotal N/A N/A \$0 N/A N/A Participant Costs Incremental Capital Costs \$0 N/A N/A \$0 \$0	5	N/A	N/A	\$0	N/A	N/A			
Incremental Capital Costs \$0 N/A N/A \$0 \$0									
Incremental Capital Costs \$0 N/A N/A \$0 \$0	Participant Costs								
		\$0	N/A	N/A	\$0	\$0			
Incremental O&M Costs \$0 N/A N/A \$0 \$0	Incremental O&M Costs	\$0	N/A	N/A	\$0	\$0			

Note: Dollar values represent present value of impacts accumulated over the lifetime of the measures.

\$0

INF INF

N/A

\$0

\$0

N/A

\$0

INF

Subtotal

Total Costs

Net Benefit (Cost)

Benefit/Cost Ratio

\$0

\$0

\$0

\$0

\$0

INF

Conservation Improvement Program (CIP)

BENEFIT COST FOR GAS CIPS-- Cost-Effectiveness Analysis

Company: Xcel Energy

Project: Thermostat Optimization Program

Input Data			2020 Extension
l) Retail Rate (\$/Dth) =	\$6.46	Administrative & Operating Costs =	\$ 0
Escalation Rate =	4.00%	Incentive Costs = 16) Total Utility Project Costs =	\$0 \$0
2) Non-Gas Fuel Retail Rate (\$/Fuel Unit) =	\$0.000	10) 10tal Culty 110ject costs –	20
Escalation Rate =	3.22%	17) Direct Participant Costs (\$/Part.) =	\$ 0
Non-Gas Fuel Units (ie. kWh,Gallons, etc) =	kWh		
		18) Participant Non-Energy Costs (Annual \$/Part.) =	\$ 0
3) Commodity Cost (\$/Dth) = Escalation Rate =	\$4.27 4.00%	Escalation Rate =	2.16%
		19) Participant Non-Energy Savings (Annual \$/Part) =	\$ 0
4) Demand Cost (\$/Unit/Yr) = Escalation Rate =	\$80.24 4.00%	Escalation Rate =	2.16%
5) Peak Reduction Factor =	1.00%	20) Project Life (Years) =	0.0
5) Variable O&M (\$/Dth) =	\$0.0408	21) Avg. Dth/Part. Saved =	0.0
Escalation Rate =	4.00%	22) Avg Non-Gas Fuel Units/Part. Saved =	0.0
		22a) Avg Additional Non-Gas Fuel Units/ Part. Used =	0.0
7) Non-Gas Fuel Cost (\$/Fuel Unit) =	\$0.022		
Escalation Rate =	3.22%	23) Number of Participants =	0
3) Non-Gas Fuel Loss Factor	5.28%	24) Total Annual Dth Saved =	0
0) Gas Environmental Damage Factor =	\$ 0.380	25) Incentive/Participant =	\$0.00
Escalation Rate =	2.16%		
10) Non Gas Fuel Enviro. Damage Factor (\$/Unit) =	\$0.023		
Escalation Rate =	2.16%		
1) Participant Discount Rate =	7.42%		
12) Utility Discount Rate =	7.42%		
13) Societal Discount Rate =	2.55%		
(4) General Input Data Year =	2016		

15d) Project Analysis Year 4 = 202

Cost Summary	2020		Extension NPV	Extension B/C
Utility Cost per Participant =	#DIV/0!	Ratepayer Impact Measure Test	\$0	#DIV/0!
Cost per Participant per Dth =	#DIV/0!			
		Utility Cost Test	\$ 0	#DIV/0!
Lifetime Energy Reduction (Dth)	0			
		Societal Test	\$ 0	#DIV/0!
Societal Cost per Dth	#DIV/0!			
		Participant Test	\$ 0	#DIV/0!

LOW INCOME SEGMEN	T TOTAL					2020 ELE	CTRIC	GOAI
2020 Net Present Cost Benefit Summ	ary Analysis For A	ll Participants				Input Summary and Totals		
			Rate	Total		Program "Inputs" per Customer kW		
	Participant	Utility	Impact	Resource	Societal	Lifetime (Weighted on Generator kWh)	A	10.2 years
	Test	Test	Test	Test	Test	Annual Hours	В	8760
	(\$Total)	(\$Total)	(\$Total)	(\$Total)	(\$Total)	Gross Customer kW	С	1 kW
Benefits						Generator Peak Coincidence Factor	D	15.44%
						Gross Load Factor at Customer	E	15.44%
Avoided Revenue Requirements						Transmission Loss Factor (Energy)	F	8.400%
Generation	N/A	\$194,009	\$194,009	\$194,009	\$194,009	Transmission Loss Factor (Demand)	G	8.800%
T & D	N/A	\$121,549	\$121,549	\$121,549	\$121,549	Societal Net Benefit (Cost)	Н	(\$407
Marginal Energy	N/A	\$1,012,194	\$1,012,194	\$1,012,194	\$1,012,194			(# 101
Environmental Externality	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$331,249			
Subtotal	N/A	\$1,327,751	\$1,327,751	\$1,327,751	\$1,659,000	Program Summary per Participant		
	,			" , ,	" , ,	Gross kW Saved at Customer	I	0.38 kW
Participant Benefits						Net coincident kW Saved at Generator	(IxD)/(1-G)	0.06 kW
Bill Reduction - Electric	\$3,337,560	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Gross Annual kWh Saved at Customer	(BxExI)	516 kWł
Rebates from Xcel Energy	\$1,419,785	N/A	N/A	\$1,419,785	\$1,419,785	Net Annual kWh Saved at Generator	(BxExI)/(1-F)	564 kWł
Incremental Capital Savings	\$0	N/A	N/A	\$0	\$0		, , , , ,	
Incremental O&M Savings	\$0	N/A	N/A	\$0	\$0			
Subtotal	\$4,757,345	N/A	N/A	\$1,419,785	\$1,419,785	Program Summary All Participants		
						Total Participants	J	5,783
Total Benefits	\$4,757,345	\$1,327,751	\$1,327,751	\$2,747,536	\$3,078,785	Total Budget	K	\$2,490,344
Costs						Gross kW Saved at Customer	(J x I)	2,208 kW
						Net coincident kW Saved at Generator	$(I \times D)/(1-G) \times J$	374 kW
Utility Project Costs						Gross Annual kWh Saved at Customer	(B x E x I) x J	2,985,419 kWl
Customer Services	N/A	\$458,914	\$458,914	\$458,914	\$458,914	Net Annual kWh Saved at Generator	$((B \times E \times I)/(1-F))\times J$	3,259,191 kWi
Project Administration	N/A	\$443,680	\$443,680	\$443,680	\$443,680	Societal Net Benefits	(IxIxH)	(\$899,454
Advertising & Promotion	N/A	\$150,051	\$150,051	\$150,051	\$150,051			(1227)
Measurement & Verification	N/A	\$17,914	\$17,914	\$17,914	\$17,914			
Rebates	N/A	\$1,419,785	\$1,419,785	\$1,419,785	\$1,419,785	Utility Program Cost per kWh Lifetime		\$0.0750
Other	N/A	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	Utility Program Cost per kW at Gen		\$6,662
Subtotal	N/A	\$2,490,344	\$2,490,344	\$2,490,344	\$2,490,344			. ,
Utility Revenue Reduction								
Revenue Reduction - Electric	N/A	N/A	\$3,337,560	N/A	N/A			
Subtotal Subtotal	N/A	N/A	\$3,337,560	N/A	N/A			
Participant Costs								
Incremental Capital Costs	\$1,463,100	N/A	N/A	\$1,463,100	\$1,463,100			
		.,	.,					

Note: Dollar values represent present value of impacts accumulated over the lifetime of the measures.

Subtotal

Total Costs

Net Benefit (Cost)

Benefit/Cost Ratio

\$1,487,895

\$1,487,895

\$3,269,451

3.20

N/A

\$5,827,904

(\$4,500,154)

N/A

\$2,490,344

(\$1,162,593)

\$1,487,895

\$3,978,239

(\$1,230,703)

0.69

\$1,487,895

\$3,978,239

(\$899,454)

Conservation Improvement Program (CIP)

BENEFIT COST FOR GAS CIPS-- Cost-Effectiveness Analysis

Company: Xcel Energy

Project: Low Income Segment Total

Input Data			2020 Extension
1) Retail Rate (\$/Dth) =	\$6.46	Administrative & Operating Costs =	\$609,590
Escalation Rate =	4.00%	Incentive Costs = 16) Total Utility Project Costs =	\$1,291,728 \$1,901,318
2) Non-Gas Fuel Retail Rate (\$/Fuel Unit) =	\$0.000	, , ,	
Escalation Rate =	3.22%	17) Direct Participant Costs (\$/Part.) =	\$629
Non-Gas Fuel Units (ie. kWh,Gallons, etc) =	kWh		
		18) Participant Non-Energy Costs (Annual \$/Part.) =	\$ 0
3) Commodity Cost (\$/Dth) = Escalation Rate =	\$4.27 4.00%	Escalation Rate =	2.16%
		19) Participant Non-Energy Savings (Annual \$/Part) =	\$21
4) Demand Cost (\$/Unit/Yr) = Escalation Rate =	\$80.24 4.00%	Escalation Rate =	2.16%
5) Peak Reduction Factor =	1.00%	20) Project Life (Years) =	25.8
		21) Avg. Dth/Part. Saved =	7.2
6) Variable O&M (\$/Dth) = Escalation Rate =	\$0.0408 4.00%	22) Avg Non-Gas Fuel Units/Part. Saved =	0.0
		22a) Avg Additional Non-Gas Fuel Units/ Part. Used =	0.0
7) Non-Gas Fuel Cost (\$/Fuel Unit) = Escalation Rate =	\$0.022 3.22%	23) Number of Participants =	2,054
8) Non-Gas Fuel Loss Factor	5.28%	24) Total Annual Dth Saved =	14,697
9) Gas Environmental Damage Factor = Escalation Rate =	\$0.380 2.16%	25) Incentive/Participant =	\$628.95
10) Non Gas Fuel Enviro. Damage Factor (\$/Unit) = Escalation Rate =	\$0.023 2.16%		
11) Participant Discount Rate =	7.42%		
12) Utility Discount Rate =	7.42%		
13) Societal Discount Rate =	2.55%		
14) General Input Data Year =	2016		

15d) Project Analysis Year 4 =	2020
--------------------------------	------

Cost Summary	2020		Extension NPV	Extension B/C
Utility Cost per Participant =	\$925.76	Ratepayer Impact Measure Test	(\$2,234,491)	0.28
Cost per Participant per Dth =	\$217.26			
		Utility Cost Test	(\$1,035,915)	0.46
Lifetime Energy Reduction (Dth)	379,682			
		Societal Test	(\$285,144)	0.85
Societal Cost per Dth	\$5.01			
-		Participant Test	\$1,983,758	2.54

HOME ENERGY SAVING	GS PROGRAM					2020 ELE	CTRIC	GOAL
2020 Net Present Cost Benefit Summ	nary Analysis For Al	1 Participants				Input Summary and Totals		
			Rate	Total		Program "Inputs" per Customer kW		
	Participant	Utility	Impact	Resource	Societal	Lifetime (Weighted on Generator kWh)	A	16.4 years
	Test	Test	Test	Test	Test	Annual Hours	В	8760
	(\$Total)	(\$Total)	(\$Total)	(\$Total)	(\$Total)	Gross Customer kW	С	1 kW
Benefits						Generator Peak Coincidence Factor	D	31.86%
						Gross Load Factor at Customer	E	28.77%
Avoided Revenue Requirements						Transmission Loss Factor (Energy)	F	8.400%
Generation	N/A	\$85,442	\$85,442	\$85,442	\$85,442	Transmission Loss Factor (Demand)	G	8.800%
T & D	N/A	\$53,871	\$53,871	\$53,871	\$53,871	Societal Net Benefit (Cost)	Н	(\$2,305
Marginal Energy	N/A	\$383,550	\$383,550	\$383,550	\$383,550			(11.)
Environmental Externality	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$135,558			
Subtotal	N/A	\$522,863	\$522,863	\$522,863	\$658,421	Program Summary per Participant		
						Gross kW Saved at Customer	I	0.16 kW
Participant Benefits						Net coincident kW Saved at Generator	(IxD)/(1-G)	0.05 kW
Bill Reduction - Electric	\$1,438,249	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Gross Annual kWh Saved at Customer	(BxExI)	392 kWł
Rebates from Xcel Energy	\$815,697	N/A	N/A	\$815,697	\$815,697	Net Annual kWh Saved at Generator	(BxExI)/(1-F)	428 kWł
Incremental Capital Savings	\$0	N/A	N/A	\$0	\$0			
Incremental O&M Savings	\$0	N/A	N/A	\$0	\$0			
Subtotal	\$2,253,946	N/A	N/A	\$815,697	\$815,697	Program Summary All Participants		
						Total Participants	J	2,11
Total Benefits	\$2,253,946	\$522,863	\$522,863	\$1,338,560	\$1,474,118	Total Budget	K	\$1,349,151
Costs						Gross kW Saved at Customer	(J x I)	329 kW
						Net coincident kW Saved at Generator	$(I \times D) / (1 - G) \times J$	115 kW
Utility Project Costs						Gross Annual kWh Saved at Customer	(BxExI)xJ	829,685 kWł
Customer Services	N/A	\$161,600	\$161,600	\$161,600	\$161,600	Net Annual kWh Saved at Generator	$((B \times E \times I)/(1-F)) \times J$	905,770 kWl
Project Administration	N/A	\$215,439	\$215,439	\$215,439	\$215,439	Societal Net Benefits	(JxIxH)	(\$758,839
Advertising & Promotion	N/A	\$146,614	\$146,614	\$146,614	\$146,614			,
Measurement & Verification	N/A	\$9,801	\$9,801	\$9,801	\$9,801			
Rebates	N/A	\$815,697	\$815,697	\$815,697	\$815,697	Utility Program Cost per kWh Lifetime		\$0.0905
Other	N/A	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	Utility Program Cost per kW at Gen		\$11,731
Subtotal	N/A	\$1,349,151	\$1,349,151	\$1,349,151	\$1,349,151			
Utility Revenue Reduction								
Revenue Reduction - Electric	N/A	N/A	\$1,438,249	N/A	N/A			
Subtotal	N/A	N/A	\$1,438,249	N/A	N/A			
Participant Costs								
Incremental Capital Costs	\$859,011	N/A	N/A	\$859,011	\$859,011			
1	\$24,795	N/A	N/A	\$24,795	\$24,795			

Note: Dollar values represent present value of impacts accumulated over the lifetime of the measures.

Subtotal

Total Costs

Net Benefit (Cost)

Benefit/Cost Ratio

\$883,806

\$883,806

\$1,370,139

2.55

N/A

\$2,787,400

(\$2,264,537)

N/A

\$1,349,151

(\$826,288)

0.39

\$883,806

\$2,232,957

(\$894,397)

0.60

\$883,806

\$2,232,957

(\$758,839)

Conservation Improvement Program (CIP)

BENEFIT COST FOR GAS CIPS-- Cost-Effectiveness Analysis

Company: Xcel Energy

Project: Home Energy Savings Program

Input Data			2020 Extension
1) Retail Rate (\$/Dth) =	\$7.08	Administrative & Operating Costs =	\$196,613
Escalation Rate =	4.00%	Incentive Costs = 16) Total Utility Project Costs =	\$1,291,728 \$1,488,341
2) Non-Gas Fuel Retail Rate (\$/Fuel Unit) =	\$0.000	, , ,	. , ,
Escalation Rate =	3.22%	17) Direct Participant Costs (\$/Part.) =	\$2,333
Non-Gas Fuel Units (ie. kWh,Gallons, etc) =	kWh		
		18) Participant Non-Energy Costs (Annual \$/Part.) =	\$ 0
3) Commodity Cost (\$/Dth) = Escalation Rate =	\$4.27 4.00%	Escalation Rate =	2.16%
		19) Participant Non-Energy Savings (Annual \$/Part) =	\$ 0
4) Demand Cost (\$/Unit/Yr) = Escalation Rate =	\$80.24 4.00%	Escalation Rate =	2.16%
5) Peak Reduction Factor =	1.00%	20) Project Life (Years) =	16.1
6) Variable O&M (\$/Dth) =	\$0.0408	21) Avg. Dth/Part. Saved =	8.9
Escalation Rate =	4.00%	22) Avg Non-Gas Fuel Units/Part. Saved =	0.0
		22a) Avg Additional Non-Gas Fuel Units/ Part. Used =	0.0
7) Non-Gas Fuel Cost (\$/Fuel Unit) =	\$0.022		
Escalation Rate =	3.22%	23) Number of Participants =	554
8) Non-Gas Fuel Loss Factor	5.28%	24) Total Annual Dth Saved =	4,919
9) Gas Environmental Damage Factor =	\$0.380	25) Incentive/Participant =	\$2,332.50
Escalation Rate =	2.16%		
10) Non Gas Fuel Enviro. Damage Factor (\$/Unit) =	\$0.023		
Escalation Rate =	2.16%		
11) Participant Discount Rate =	2.55%		
12) Utility Discount Rate =	7.42%		
13) Societal Discount Rate =	2.55%		
14) General Input Data Year =	2016		

15d) Project Analysis Year 4 =	2020
--------------------------------	------

Cost Summary	2020		Extension NPV	Extension B/C
Utility Cost per Participant =	\$2,687.53	Ratepayer Impact Measure Test	(\$1,630,796)	0.18
Cost per Participant per Dth =	\$565.12			
		Utility Cost Test	(\$1,118,319)	0.25
Lifetime Energy Reduction (Dth)	79,309			
		Societal Test	(\$922,969)	0.38
Societal Cost per Dth	\$ 18.77			
•		Participant Test	\$738,952	1.57

LI HOME ENERGY SQU	AD					2020 ELE	CTRIC	GOAL
2020 Net Present Cost Benefit Sumn	nary Analysis For Al	1 Participants				Input Summary and Totals		<u> </u>
			Rate	Total		Program "Inputs" per Customer kW		
	Participant	Utility	Impact	Resource	Societal	Lifetime (Weighted on Generator kWh)	A	5.3 years
	Test	Test	Test	Test	Test	Annual Hours	В	8760
	(\$Total)	(\$Total)	(\$Total)	(\$Total)	(\$Total)	Gross Customer kW	С	1 kW
Benefits						Generator Peak Coincidence Factor	D	10.63%
						Gross Load Factor at Customer	E	11.02%
Avoided Revenue Requirements						Transmission Loss Factor (Energy)	F	8.400%
Generation	N/A	\$45,390	\$45,390	\$45,390	\$45,390	Transmission Loss Factor (Demand)	G	8.800%
T & D	N/A	\$27,989	\$27,989	\$27,989	\$27,989	Societal Net Benefit (Cost)	Н	\$108
Marginal Energy	N/A	\$307,576	\$307,576	\$307,576	\$307,576			#
Environmental Externality	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$87,139			
Subtotal	N/A	\$380,954	\$380,954	\$380,954	\$468,093	Program Summary per Participant		
	,	,			,	Gross kW Saved at Customer	I	0.69 kW
Participant Benefits						Net coincident kW Saved at Generator	(IxD)/(1-G)	0.08 kW
Bill Reduction - Electric	\$794,227	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Gross Annual kWh Saved at Customer	(BxExI)	663 kWh
Rebates from Xcel Energy	\$0	N/A	N/A	\$0	\$0	Net Annual kWh Saved at Generator	(BxExI)/(1-F)	724 kWh
Incremental Capital Savings	\$0	N/A	N/A	\$0	\$0		, , , ,	
Incremental O&M Savings	\$0	N/A	N/A	\$0	\$0			
Subtotal	\$794,227	N/A	N/A	\$0	\$0	Program Summary All Participants		
						Total Participants	J	1,900
Total Benefits	\$794,227	\$380,954	\$380,954	\$380,954	\$468,093	Total Budget	K	\$327,675
Costs						Gross kW Saved at Customer	(] x I)	1,305 kW
						Net coincident kW Saved at Generator	$(I \times D) / (1 - G) \times J$	152 kW
Utility Project Costs						Gross Annual kWh Saved at Customer	(BxExI)xJ	1,259,447 kWh
Customer Services	N/A	\$247,314	\$247,314	\$247,314	\$247,314	Net Annual kWh Saved at Generator	$((B \times E \times I)/(1-F)) \times J$	1,374,942 kWh
Project Administration	N/A	\$77,361	\$77,361	\$77,361	\$77,361	Societal Net Benefits	(JxIxH)	\$140,418
Advertising & Promotion	N/A	\$3,000	\$3,000	\$3,000	\$3,000		72	
Measurement & Verification	N/A	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0			
Rebates	N/A	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	Utility Program Cost per kWh Lifetime		\$0.0452
Other	N/A	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	Utility Program Cost per kW at Gen		\$2,154
Subtotal	N/A	\$327,675	\$327,675	\$327,675	\$327,675			
Utility Revenue Reduction								
Revenue Reduction - Electric	N/A	N/A	\$794,227	N/A	N/A			
Subtotal	N/A	N/A	\$794,227	N/A	N/A			
Participant Costs								
Incremental Capital Costs	\$0	N/A	N/A	\$0	\$0			
Incremental O&M Costs	\$0	N/A	N/A	\$0	\$0			

Note: Dollar values represent present value of impacts accumulated over the lifetime of the measures.

\$794,227

INF

Subtotal

Total Costs

Net Benefit (Cost)

Benefit/Cost Ratio

N/A

\$327,675

\$53,279

1.16

N/A

\$1,121,902

(\$740,948)

\$0

\$327,675

\$140,418

1.43

\$0

\$327,675

\$53,279

Conservation Improvement Program (CIP)

BENEFIT COST FOR GAS CIPS-- Cost-Effectiveness Analysis

Company: Xcel Energy

Project: LI Home Energy Squad

Input Data			2020 Extension
1) Retail Rate (\$/Dth) =	\$7.08	Administrative & Operating Costs =	\$ 412,977
Escalation Rate =	4.00%	Incentive Costs = 16) Total Utility Project Costs =	\$0 \$412,977
2) Non-Gas Fuel Retail Rate (\$/Fuel Unit) =	\$0.000	·, · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	# · · · y · · ·
Escalation Rate =	3.22%	17) Direct Participant Costs (\$/Part.) =	\$ 0
Non-Gas Fuel Units (ie. kWh,Gallons, etc) =	kWh		
		18) Participant Non-Energy Costs (Annual \$/Part.) =	\$ 0
3) Commodity Cost (\$/Dth) = Escalation Rate =	\$4.27 4.00%	Escalation Rate =	2.16%
		19) Participant Non-Energy Savings (Annual \$/Part) =	\$29
4) Demand Cost (\$/Unit/Yr) = Escalation Rate =	\$80.24 4.00%	Escalation Rate =	2.16%
5) Peak Reduction Factor =	1.00%	20) Project Life (Years) =	9.7
5) Variable O&M (\$/Dth) =	\$0.0408	21) Avg. Dth/Part. Saved =	6.5
Escalation Rate =	4.00%	22) Avg Non-Gas Fuel Units/Part. Saved =	0.0
		22a) Avg Additional Non-Gas Fuel Units/ Part. Used =	0.0
7) Non-Gas Fuel Cost (\$/Fuel Unit) = Escalation Rate =	\$0.022 3.22%	23) Number of Participants =	1,500
8) Non-Gas Fuel Loss Factor	5.28%	24) Total Annual Dth Saved =	9,777
9) Gas Environmental Damage Factor = Escalation Rate =	\$0.380 2.16%	25) Incentive/Participant =	\$0.00
10) Non Gas Fuel Enviro. Damage Factor (\$/Unit) = Escalation Rate =	\$0.023 2.16%		
11) Participant Discount Rate =	2.55%		
12) Utility Discount Rate =	7.42%		
13) Societal Discount Rate =	2.55%		
14) General Input Data Year =	2016		

15d) Project Analysis Year 4 = 202

Cost Summary	2020		Extension NPV	Extension B/C
Utility Cost per Participant =	\$275.32	Ratepayer Impact Measure Test	(\$603,694)	0.45
Cost per Participant per Dth =	\$42.24			
		Utility Cost Test	\$82,404	1.20
Lifetime Energy Reduction (Dth)	94,964			
		Societal Test	\$637,825	2.54
Societal Cost per Dth	\$4.35			
-		Participant Test	\$1,244,806	#DIV/0!

MULTI-FAMILY ENERG	Y SAVINGS P	ROGRAM				2020 ELE	CTRIC	GOAL
2020 Net Present Cost Benefit Summ	nary Analysis For A	Il Participants				Input Summary and Totals		
			Rate	Total		Program "Inputs" per Customer kW		
	Participant	Utility	Impact	Resource	Societal	Lifetime (Weighted on Generator kWh)	A	11.3 years
	Test	Test	Test	Test	Test	Annual Hours	В	8760
	(\$Total)	(\$Total)	(\$Total)	(\$Total)	(\$Total)	Gross Customer kW	С	1 kW
Benefits					<u>.</u>	Generator Peak Coincidence Factor	D	16.95%
						Gross Load Factor at Customer	E	17.83%
Avoided Revenue Requirements						Transmission Loss Factor (Energy)	F	8.400%
Generation	N/A	\$63,176	\$63,176	\$63,176	\$63,176	Transmission Loss Factor (Demand)	G	8.800%
T & D	N/A	\$39,689	\$39,689	\$39,689	\$39,689	Societal Net Benefit (Cost)	Н	(\$490)
Marginal Energy	N/A	\$321,068	\$321,068	\$321,068	\$321,068			
Environmental Externality	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$108,552			
Subtotal	N/A	\$423,933	\$423,933	\$423,933	\$532,485	Program Summary per Participant		
						Gross kW Saved at Customer	I	0.32 kW
Participant Benefits						Net coincident kW Saved at Generator	(IxD)/(1-G)	0.06 kW
Bill Reduction - Electric	\$1,105,085	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Gross Annual kWh Saved at Customer	(B x E x I)	508 kWh
Rebates from Xcel Energy	\$604,088	N/A	N/A	\$604,088	\$604,088	Net Annual kWh Saved at Generator	(BxExI)/(1-F)	554 kWh
Incremental Capital Savings	\$0	N/A	N/A	\$0	\$0			
Incremental O&M Savings	\$0	N/A	N/A	\$0	\$0			
Subtotal	\$1,709,173	N/A	N/A	\$604,088	\$604,088	Program Summary All Participants		
						Total Participants	J	1,766
Total Benefits	\$1,709,173	\$423,933	\$423,933	\$1,028,021	\$1,136,573	Total Budget	K	\$813,518
Costs						Gross kW Saved at Customer	(J x I)	574 kW
						Net coincident kW Saved at Generator	$(I \times D) / (1 - G) \times J$	107 kW
Utility Project Costs						Gross Annual kWh Saved at Customer	(BxExI)xJ	896,287 kWh
Customer Services	N/A	\$50,000	\$50,000	\$50,000	\$50,000	Net Annual kWh Saved at Generator	$((B \times E \times I)/(1-F)) \times J$	978,479 kWh
Project Administration	N/A	\$150,880	\$150,880	\$150,880	\$150,880	Societal Net Benefits	(J x I x H)	(\$281,033)
Advertising & Promotion	N/A	\$437	\$437	\$437	\$437	-		
Measurement & Verification	N/A	\$8,113	\$8,113	\$8,113	\$8,113			
Rebates	N/A	\$604,088	\$604,088	\$604,088	\$604,088	Utility Program Cost per kWh Lifetime		\$0.0734
Other	N/A	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	Utility Program Cost per kW at Gen		\$7,626
Subtotal	N/A	\$813,518	\$813,518	\$813,518	\$813,518			
Utility Revenue Reduction								
Revenue Reduction - Electric	N/A	N/A	\$1,105,085	N/A	N/A			
Subtotal	N/A	N/A	\$1,105,085	N/A	N/A			
Participant Costs								
Incremental Capital Costs	\$604,088	N/A	N/A	\$604,088	\$604,088			
Incremental O&M Costs	\$0	N/A	N/A	\$0	\$0			

Note: Dollar values represent present value of impacts accumulated over the lifetime of the measures.

\$604,088

\$604,088

\$1,105,084

2.83

Subtotal

Total Costs

Net Benefit (Cost)

Benefit/Cost Ratio

N/A

\$813,518

(\$389,585)

0.52

N/A

\$1,918,603

(\$1,494,670)

\$604,088

\$1,417,606

(\$389,585)

\$604,088

\$1,417,606

(\$281,033) 0.80

RESEARCH, EVALUATION	ONS & PILOT	'S SEGMEN'I	TOTAL			2020 ELE	CTRIC	GOAI
2020 Net Present Cost Benefit Summ	nary Analysis For A	ll Participants				Input Summary and Totals		
			Rate	Total		Program "Inputs" per Customer kW		
	Participant	Utility	Impact	Resource	Societal	Lifetime (Weighted on Generator kWh)	A	8.9 years
	Test	Test	Test	Test	Test	Annual Hours	В	8760
	(\$Total)	(\$Total)	(\$Total)	(\$Total)	(\$Total)	Gross Customer kW	C	1 kW
Benefits						Generator Peak Coincidence Factor	D	17.10%
						Gross Load Factor at Customer	E	8.83%
Avoided Revenue Requirements						Transmission Loss Factor (Energy)	F	7.650%
Generation	N/A	\$794,672	\$794,672	\$794,672	\$794,672	Transmission Loss Factor (Demand)	G	8.711%
T & D	N/A	\$494,692	\$494,692	\$494,692	\$494,692	Societal Net Benefit (Cost)	Н	(\$141
Marginal Energy	N/A	\$1,968,629	\$1,968,629	\$1,968,629	\$1,968,629			
Environmental Externality	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$682,533			
Subtotal	N/A	\$3,257,992	\$3,257,992	\$3,257,992	\$3,940,525	Program Summary per Participant		
						Gross kW Saved at Customer	I	0.22 kW
Participant Benefits						Net coincident kW Saved at Generator	(IxD)/(1-G)	0.04 kW
Bill Reduction - Electric	\$5,819,827	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Gross Annual kWh Saved at Customer	(BxExI)	170 kWł
Rebates from Xcel Energy	\$660,645	N/A	N/A	\$660,645	\$660,645	Net Annual kWh Saved at Generator	(B x E x I) / (1 - F)	185 kWł
Incremental Capital Savings	\$0	N/A	N/A	\$0	\$0			
Incremental O&M Savings	\$3,563,456	N/A	N/A	\$16,093	\$16,093			
Subtotal	\$10,043,928	N/A	N/A	\$676,738	\$676,738	Program Summary All Participants		
						Total Participants	Ј	38,201
Total Benefits	\$10,043,928	\$3,257,992	\$3,257,992	\$3,934,730	\$4,617,263	Total Budget	K	\$3,751,148
Costs						Gross kW Saved at Customer	(J x I)	8,422 kW
						Net coincident kW Saved at Generator	$(I \times D) / (1 - G) \times J$	1,577 kW
Utility Project Costs						Gross Annual kWh Saved at Customer	(BxExI)xJ	6,512,717 kWł
Customer Services	N/A	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	Net Annual kWh Saved at Generator	$((B \times E \times I)/(1-F)) \times J$	7,052,207 kWl
Project Administration	N/A	\$2,639,495	\$2,639,495	\$2,639,495	\$2,639,495	Societal Net Benefits	(JxIxH)	(\$1,183,576)
Advertising & Promotion	N/A	\$27,072	\$27,072	\$27,072	\$27,072	-		
Measurement & Verification	N/A	\$5,414	\$5,414	\$5,414	\$5,414			
Rebates	N/A	\$660,645	\$660,645	\$660,645	\$660,645	Utility Program Cost per kWh Lifetime		\$0.0599
Other	N/A	\$418,522	\$418,522	\$418,522	\$418,522	Utility Program Cost per kW at Gen		\$2,378
Subtotal	N/A	\$3,751,148	\$3,751,148	\$3,751,148	\$3,751,148			
Utility Revenue Reduction								
Revenue Reduction - Electric	N/A	N/A	\$5,819,827	N/A	N/A			
Subtotal	N/A	N/A	\$5,819,827	N/A	N/A			
Participant Costs								
Incremental Capital Costs	\$2,049,691	N/A	N/A	\$2,049,691	\$2,049,691			
Incremental O&M Costs	\$0	N/A	N/A	\$0	\$0			

Note: Dollar values represent present value of impacts accumulated over the lifetime of the measures.

\$2,049,691

\$2,049,691

\$7,994,237

4.90

Subtotal

Total Costs

Net Benefit (Cost)

Benefit/Cost Ratio

N/A

\$3,751,148

(\$493,156)

N/A

\$9,570,975

(\$6,312,983)

\$2,049,691

\$5,800,839

(\$1,866,109)

0.68

\$2,049,691

\$5,800,839

(\$1,183,576)

Conservation Improvement Program (CIP)

BENEFIT COST FOR GAS CIPS-- Cost-Effectiveness Analysis

Company: Xcel Energy

Project: Research, Evaluations & Pilots Segment Total

Input Data			2020 Extension
1) Retail Rate (\$/Dth) =	\$6.46	Administrative & Operating Costs =	\$565,25
Escalation Rate =	4.00%	Incentive Costs = 16) Total Utility Project Costs =	\$30,975 \$596,233
2) Non-Gas Fuel Retail Rate (\$/Fuel Unit) =	\$0.000	, , .,	" · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Escalation Rate =	3.22%	17) Direct Participant Costs (\$/Part.) =	\$3,312
Non-Gas Fuel Units (ie. kWh,Gallons, etc) =	kWh		
		18) Participant Non-Energy Costs (Annual \$/Part.) =	\$ 0
3) Commodity Cost (\$/Dth) = Escalation Rate =	\$4.27 4.00%	Escalation Rate =	2.16%
		19) Participant Non-Energy Savings (Annual \$/Part) =	\$5,593
4) Demand Cost (\$/Unit/Yr) = Escalation Rate =	\$80.24 4.00%	Escalation Rate =	2.16%
5) Peak Reduction Factor =	1.00%	20) Project Life (Years) =	6.7
6) Variable O&M (\$/Dth) =	\$0.0408	21) Avg. Dth/Part. Saved =	351.4
Escalation Rate =	4.00%	22) Avg Non-Gas Fuel Units/Part. Saved =	0.0
		22a) Avg Additional Non-Gas Fuel Units/ Part. Used =	0.0
7) Non-Gas Fuel Cost (\$/Fuel Unit) = Escalation Rate =	\$0.022 3.22%	23) Number of Participants =	13
8) Non-Gas Fuel Loss Factor	5.28%	24) Total Annual Dth Saved =	4,568
9) Gas Environmental Damage Factor = Escalation Rate =	\$0.380 2.16%	25) Incentive/Participant =	\$2,382.6
10) Non Gas Fuel Enviro. Damage Factor (\$/Unit) = Escalation Rate =	\$0.023 2.16%		
11) Participant Discount Rate =	7.42%		
12) Utility Discount Rate =	7.42%		
13) Societal Discount Rate =	2.55%		
14) General Input Data Year =	2016		

15d) Project Analysis Year 4 = 202

Cost Summary	2020		Extension NPV	Extension B/C
Utility Cost per Participant =	\$45,864.08	Ratepayer Impact Measure Test	(\$643,253)	0.21
Cost per Participant per Dth =	\$139.95			
		Utility Cost Test	(\$433,565)	0.28
Lifetime Energy Reduction (Dth)	30,507			
		Societal Test	\$39,364	1.06
Societal Cost per Dth	\$20.27			
•		Participant Test	\$1,755,010	34.08

ENERGY STAR RETAIL	PRODUCTS					2020 ELE	CTRIC	GOAL
2020 Net Present Cost Benefit Summ	nary Analysis For A	ll Participants				Input Summary and Totals		
			Rate	Total		Program "Inputs" per Customer kW		
	Participant	Utility	Impact	Resource	Societal	Lifetime (Weighted on Generator kWh)	A	11.4 years
	Test	Test	Test	Test	Test	Annual Hours	В	8760
	(\$Total)	(\$Total)	(\$Total)	(\$Total)	(\$Total)	Gross Customer kW	C	1 kW
Benefits						Generator Peak Coincidence Factor	D	15.34%
						Gross Load Factor at Customer	Е	5.38%
Avoided Revenue Requirements						Transmission Loss Factor (Energy)	F	8.400%
Generation	N/A	\$717,502	\$717,502	\$717,502	\$717,502	Transmission Loss Factor (Demand)	G	8.800%
T & D	N/A	\$446,933	\$446,933	\$446,933	\$446,933	Societal Net Benefit (Cost)	Н	\$134
Marginal Energy	N/A	\$1,419,989	\$1,419,989	\$1,419,989	\$1,419,989			
Environmental Externality	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$495,000			
Subtotal	N/A	\$2,584,424	\$2,584,424	\$2,584,424	\$3,079,424	Program Summary per Participant		
						Gross kW Saved at Customer	I	0.21 kW
Participant Benefits						Net coincident kW Saved at Generator	(IxD)/(1-G)	0.04 kW
Bill Reduction - Electric	\$4,875,264	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Gross Annual kWh Saved at Customer	(BxExI)	99 kWł
Rebates from Xcel Energy	\$542,875	N/A	N/A	\$542,875	\$542,875	Net Annual kWh Saved at Generator	(BxExI)/(1-F)	108 kWh
Incremental Capital Savings	\$0	N/A	N/A	\$0	\$0		, , , ,	
Incremental O&M Savings	\$0	N/A	N/A	\$0	\$0			
Subtotal	\$5,418,139	N/A	N/A	\$542,875	\$542,875	Program Summary All Participants		
						Total Participants	J	38,150
Total Benefits	\$5,418,139	\$2,584,424	\$2,584,424	\$3,127,299	\$3,622,299	Total Budget	K	\$706,966
Costs						Gross kW Saved at Customer	(] x I)	7,999 kW
						Net coincident kW Saved at Generator	$(I \times D) / (1 - G) \times J$	1,345 kW
Utility Project Costs						Gross Annual kWh Saved at Customer	(BxExI)xJ	3,768,015 kWh
Customer Services	N/A	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	Net Annual kWh Saved at Generator	$((B \times E \times I)/(1-F)) \times J$	4,113,554 kWh
Project Administration	N/A	\$131,605	\$131,605	\$131,605	\$131,605	Societal Net Benefits	(IxIxH)	\$1,070,333
Advertising & Promotion	N/A	\$27,072	\$27,072	\$27,072	\$27,072		7	
Measurement & Verification	N/A	\$5,414	\$5,414	\$5,414	\$5,414			
Rebates	N/A	\$542,875	\$542,875	\$542,875	\$542,875	Utility Program Cost per kWh Lifetime		\$0.0150
Other	N/A	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	Utility Program Cost per kW at Gen		\$526
Subtotal	N/A	\$706,966	\$706,966	\$706,966	\$706,966			
Utility Revenue Reduction								
Revenue Reduction - Electric	N/A	N/A	\$4,875,264	N/A	N/A			
Subtotal	N/A	N/A	\$4,875,264	N/A	N/A			
Participant Costs								
Incremental Capital Costs	\$1,845,000	N/A	N/A	\$1,845,000	\$1,845,000			
Incremental O&M Costs	\$0	N/A	N/A	\$0	\$0			

Note: Dollar values represent present value of impacts accumulated over the lifetime of the measures.

\$1,845,000

\$1,845,000

\$3,573,139

2.94

Subtotal

Total Costs

Net Benefit (Cost)

Benefit/Cost Ratio

N/A

3.66

\$706,966

\$1,877,457

N/A

0.46

\$5,582,230

(\$2,997,806)

\$1,845,000

\$2,551,966

\$575,332

1.23

\$1,845,000

\$2,551,966

\$1,070,333

Conservation Improvement Program (CIP)

BENEFIT COST FOR GAS CIPS-- Cost-Effectiveness Analysis

Company: Xcel Energy

Project: Energy Star Retail Products

Input Data			2020 Extensio
1) Retail Rate (\$/Dth) =	\$6.46	Administrative & Operating Costs =	\$ 0
Escalation Rate =	4.00%	Incentive Costs = 16) Total Utility Project Costs =	\$0 \$0
2) Non-Gas Fuel Retail Rate (\$/Fuel Unit) =	\$0.000	10) 10th Carry 110ject 3000	•
Escalation Rate =	3.22%	17) Direct Participant Costs (\$/Part.) =	\$ 0
Non-Gas Fuel Units (ie. kWh,Gallons, etc) =	kWh		
		18) Participant Non-Energy Costs (Annual \$/Part.) =	\$ 0
3) Commodity Cost (\$/Dth) = Escalation Rate =	\$4.27 4.00%	Escalation Rate =	2.16%
		19) Participant Non-Energy Savings (Annual \$/Part) =	\$ 0
4) Demand Cost (\$/Unit/Yr) = Escalation Rate =	\$80.24 4.00%	Escalation Rate =	2.16%
5) Peak Reduction Factor =	1.00%	20) Project Life (Years) =	0.0
5) Variable O&M (\$/Dth) =	\$0.0408	21) Avg. Dth/Part. Saved =	0.0
Escalation Rate =	4.00%	22) Avg Non-Gas Fuel Units/Part. Saved =	0.0
		22a) Avg Additional Non-Gas Fuel Units/ Part. Used =	0.0
7) Non-Gas Fuel Cost (\$/Fuel Unit) =	\$0.022		
Escalation Rate =	3.22%	23) Number of Participants =	0
8) Non-Gas Fuel Loss Factor	5.28%	24) Total Annual Dth Saved =	0
9) Gas Environmental Damage Factor =	\$0.380	25) Incentive/Participant =	\$0.00
Escalation Rate =	2.16%		
10) Non Gas Fuel Enviro. Damage Factor (\$/Unit) =	\$0.023		
Escalation Rate =	2.16%		
11) Participant Discount Rate =	7.42%		
12) Utility Discount Rate =	7.42%		
13) Societal Discount Rate =	2.55%		
14) General Input Data Year =	2016		

15d) Project Analysis Year 4 =	2020
--------------------------------	------

Cost Summary	2020		Extension NPV	Extension B/C
Utility Cost per Participant =	#DIV/0!	Ratepayer Impact Measure Test	(\$3,414)	0.00
Cost per Participant per Dth =	#DIV/0!			
		Utility Cost Test	(\$3,414)	0.00
Lifetime Energy Reduction (Dth)	0			
		Societal Test	\$ 0	#DIV/0!
Societal Cost per Dth	#DIV/0!			
-		Participant Test	\$3,414	#DIV/0!

ENERGY INFORMATION	N SYSTEMS					2020 ELE	CTRIC	GOAL
2020 Net Present Cost Benefit Summ	ary Analysis For Al	1 Participants				Input Summary and Totals		
			Rate	Total		Program "Inputs" per Customer kW		
	Participant	Utility	Impact	Resource	Societal	Lifetime (Weighted on Generator kWh)	A	5.3 years
	Test	Test	Test	Test	Test	Annual Hours	В	8760
	(\$Total)	(\$Total)	(\$Total)	(\$Total)	(\$Total)	Gross Customer kW	С	1 kW
Benefits						Generator Peak Coincidence Factor	D	51.08%
						Gross Load Factor at Customer	E	74.12%
Avoided Revenue Requirements						Transmission Loss Factor (Energy)	F	6.600%
Generation	N/A	\$77,170	\$77,170	\$77,170	\$77,170	Transmission Loss Factor (Demand)	G	7.000%
T & D	N/A	\$47,759	\$47,759	\$47,759	\$47,759	Societal Net Benefit (Cost)	Н	\$1,097
Marginal Energy	N/A	\$548,640	\$548,640	\$548,640	\$548,640	occession recommendation (cook)		91,027
Environmental Externality	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$187,533			
Subtotal	N/A	\$673,568	\$673,568	\$673,568	\$861,101	Program Summary per Participant		
	,		, ,		,	Gross kW Saved at Customer	Ĭ	9.39 kW
Participant Benefits						Net coincident kW Saved at Generator	(IxD)/(1-G)	5.16 kW
Bill Reduction - Electric	\$944,563	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Gross Annual kWh Saved at Customer	(B x E x I)	60,993 kWl
Rebates from Xcel Energy	\$117,770	N/A	N/A	\$117,770	\$117,770	Net Annual kWh Saved at Generator	(BxExI)/(1-F)	65,303 kWl
Incremental Capital Savings	\$0	N/A	N/A	\$0	\$0		77	,
Incremental O&M Savings	\$3,563,456	N/A	N/A	\$16,093	\$16,093			
Subtotal	\$4,625,789	N/A	N/A	\$133,863	\$133,863	Program Summary All Participants		
						Total Participants	J	4
Total Benefits	\$4,625,789	\$673,568	\$673,568	\$807,431	\$994,964	Total Budget	K	\$326,580
Costs						Gross kW Saved at Customer	(J x I)	423 kW
						Net coincident kW Saved at Generator	$(I \times D)/(1-G) \times J$	232 kW
Utility Project Costs						Gross Annual kWh Saved at Customer	(BxExI)xJ	2,744,702 kWl
Customer Services	N/A	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	Net Annual kWh Saved at Generator	$((B \times E \times I)/(1-F))\times J$	2,938,653 kWl
Project Administration	N/A	\$192,250	\$192,250	\$192,250	\$192,250	Societal Net Benefits	([x I x H)	\$463,693
Advertising & Promotion	N/A	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		()	+ 100,010
Measurement & Verification	N/A	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0			
Rebates	N/A	\$117,770	\$117,770	\$117,770	\$117,770	Utility Program Cost per kWh Lifetime		\$0.0209
Other	N/A	\$16,560	\$16,560	\$16,560	\$16,560	Utility Program Cost per kW at Gen		\$1,407
Subtotal	N/A	\$326,580	\$326,580	\$326,580	\$326,580			. ,
Utility Revenue Reduction								
Revenue Reduction - Electric	N/A	N/A	\$944,563	N/A	N/A			
Subtotal	N/A	N/A	\$944,563	N/A	N/A			
Participant Costs								
Incremental Capital Costs	\$204,691	N/A	N/A	\$204,691	\$204,691			
		.,	.,		8			

Note: Dollar values represent present value of impacts accumulated over the lifetime of the measures.

\$204,691

\$204,691

\$4,421,099

22.60

N/A

\$326,580

\$346,988

2.06

N/A

\$1,271,143

(\$597,575)

\$204,691

\$531,271

\$276,161

1.52

Subtotal

Total Costs

Net Benefit (Cost)

Benefit/Cost Ratio

\$204,691

\$531,271

\$463,693

Conservation Improvement Program (CIP)

BENEFIT COST FOR GAS CIPS-- Cost-Effectiveness Analysis

Company: Xcel Energy

Project: Energy Information Systems

Input Data			2020 Extension
1) Retail Rate (\$/Dth) =	\$6.46	Administrative & Operating Costs =	\$86,600
Escalation Rate =	4.00%	Incentive Costs = 16) Total Utility Project Costs =	\$30,975 \$117,575
2) Non-Gas Fuel Retail Rate (\$/Fuel Unit) =	\$0.000	, ,	, ,,,,,,,,
Escalation Rate =	3.22%	17) Direct Participant Costs (\$/Part.) =	\$3,312
Non-Gas Fuel Units (ie. kWh,Gallons, etc) =	kWh		
		18) Participant Non-Energy Costs (Annual \$/Part.) =	\$ 0
3) Commodity Cost (\$/Dth) = Escalation Rate =	\$4.27 4.00%	Escalation Rate =	2.16%
		19) Participant Non-Energy Savings (Annual \$/Part) =	\$5,593
4) Demand Cost (\$/Unit/Yr) = Escalation Rate =	\$80.24 4.00%	Escalation Rate =	2.16%
5) Peak Reduction Factor =	1.00%	20) Project Life (Years) =	6.7
6) Variable O&M (\$/Dth) =	\$0.0408	21) Avg. Dth/Part. Saved =	351.4
Escalation Rate =	4.00%	22) Avg Non-Gas Fuel Units/Part. Saved =	0.0
		22a) Avg Additional Non-Gas Fuel Units/ Part. Used =	0.0
7) Non-Gas Fuel Cost (\$/Fuel Unit) =	\$0.022		
Escalation Rate =	3.22%	23) Number of Participants =	13
8) Non-Gas Fuel Loss Factor	5.28%	24) Total Annual Dth Saved =	4,568
9) Gas Environmental Damage Factor =	\$0.380	25) Incentive/Participant =	\$2,382.69
Escalation Rate =	2.16%		
10) Non Gas Fuel Enviro. Damage Factor (\$/Unit) =	\$0.023		
Escalation Rate =	2.16%		
11) Participant Discount Rate =	7.42%		
12) Utility Discount Rate =	7.42%		
13) Societal Discount Rate =	2.55%		
14) General Input Data Year =	2016		

15d) Project Analysis Year 4 = 202

Cost Summary	2020		Extension NPV	Extension B/C
Utility Cost per Participant =	\$9,044.23	Ratepayer Impact Measure Test	(\$161,181)	0.51
Cost per Participant per Dth =	\$35.16			
		Utility Cost Test	\$48,507	1.41
Lifetime Energy Reduction (Dth)	30,507			
		Societal Test	\$518,022	4.71
Societal Cost per Dth	\$4.58			
		Participant Test	\$1,751,596	34.02

			2020		
Business Segment	Electric Societal	Electric TRC	Electric RIM	Electric Participant	Electric Utility
Business New Construction	1.84	1.54	0.53	3,69	3.77
Commercial Efficiency	1.83	1.52	0.53	3.36	4.72
Commercial Refrigeration Efficiency	1.57	1.28	0.31	3.73	2.65
Cooling Efficiency	1.28	1.13	0.59	2.15	2.30
Custom Efficiency	4.11	3.83	0.47	9.33	2.41
Data Center Efficiency	1.84	1.51	0.51	3.77	3.11
Efficiency Controls	1.54	1.23	0.42	2.95	2.95
Fluid Systems Optimization	2.22	1.79	0.49	4.61	4.60
Foodservice Equipment	1.61	1.33	0.57	3.83	5.63
Heating Efficiency	5.55	4.58	0.41	10.57	13.3
Lighting Efficiency	1.85	1.50	0.49	3.25	4.63
Motor Efficiency	2.73	2.25	0.53	5.56	6.00
Multi-Family Building Efficiency	1.24	1.04	0.30	6.26	1.18
Process Efficiency	2.78	2.49	0.46	4.77	3.90
Recommissioning	1.85	1.54	0.55	3.80	2.39
Self-Direct	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Turn Key	1.51	1.26	0.46	3.70	2.52
Business Segment Energy Efficiency Total	2.12	1.80	0.49	4.07	3.90
Electric Rate Savings	4.04	4.02	3.40	INF	4.02
Saver's Switch for Business	1.95	1.95	1.93	INF	1.95
Peak Partner Rewards	2.10	2.10	1.61	INF	1.63
Business Segment Load Management Total	2.29	2.28	2.10	INF	2.17
Business Segment Total	2.12	1.81	0.51	4.08	3.71
Residential Segment					
Energy Efficient Showerhead	18.80	16.86	0.41	85.85	11.9
Energy Feedback Residential	2.45	2.13	0.59	INF	2.1:
Efficient New Home Construction	1.70	1.59	0.74	3.04	2.5.
Residential Heating	1.42	1.19	0.37	3.46	4.1.
Home Energy Squad	1.30	1.04	0.32	15.07	1.2
Home Lighting	1.83	1.49	0.34	6.71	2.4
Whole Home Efficiency	1.19	1.09	0.66	2.18	1.8
Insulation Rebate	1.92	1.64	0.46	2.58	5.80
Refrigerator Recycling	3.72	3.04	0.37	INF	2.79
Residential Cooling	1.34	1.28	0.94	1.59	2.19
School Education Kits	1.05	0.92	0.24	5.24	0.69
Water Heater Rebate Thermostat Optimization Program	1.13	0.93	0.29	4.86	1.3:
Residential Segment Energy Efficiency Total	1.72	1.48	0.43	5.24	2.38
Residential Demand Response					
Residential Segment Total	2.39 1.79	2.37 1.61	2.29 0.58	1.75 4.92	2.69 2.31
0	1./9	1.01	0.58	4.92	2.3
Low Income Segment	 			,	
Home Energy Savings Program	0.66	0.60	0.19	2.55	0.39
LI Home Energy Squad	1.43	1.16	0.34	INF	1.10
Multi-Family Energy Savings Program	0.80	0.73	0.22	2.83	0.52
Low Income Segment Total	0.77	0.69	0.23	3.20	0.53
Research, Evaluations & Pilots Segment					
Energy Star Retail Products	1.42	1.23	0.46	2.94	3.6
Energy Information Systems	1.87	1.52	0.53	22.60	2.00
Research, Evaluations & Pilots Segment Total	0.80	0.68	0.34	4.90	0.87
PORTFOLIO SUBTOTAL	1.64	1.63	0.52	4.25	2.6
TORTI OLIO COLIOTALE	1.04	1.03	0.34	7.23	2.00

	20	20
	Gas Societal	Gas Utility
Business Segment		
Business New Construction	2.83	5.44
Commercial Efficiency	3.79	5.76
Commercial Refrigeration Efficiency	2.54	2.72
Cooling Efficiency	4.82	8.87
Custom Efficiency	2.07	6.62
Data Center Efficiency		
Efficiency Controls	1.87	6.31
Fluid Systems Optimization		
Foodservice Equipment	2.10	3.80
Heating Efficiency	2.11	3.45
Lighting Efficiency		
Motor Efficiency		
Multi-Family Building Efficiency	1.89	1.28
Process Efficiency	1.60	3.63
Recommissioning	3.34	3.81
Self-Direct	0.00	0.00
Turn Key	1.36	1.35
Business Segment Energy Efficiency Total	2.28	3.79
Docidential Comment		
Residential Segment Energy Efficient Showerhead	22.86	F F2
Energy Feedback Residential	4	5.53
Efficient New Home Construction	1.44 1.43	1.34 1.74
Residential Heating	2.04	3.97
Home Energy Squad		
	1.48	0.79
Home Lighting	1.05	1.00
Whole Home Efficiency	1.25	1.99
Insulation Rebate	1.45	4.47
Refrigerator Recycling		
Residential Cooling	7.46	1.01
School Education Kits	7.46	1.81
Water Heater Rebate	0.73	1.19
Thermostat Optimization Program		
Residential Segment Energy Efficiency	2 22	2 (4
Total	2.22	2.61
Residential Demand Response	8.11	20.47
Low Income Segment		
Home Energy Savings Program	0.38	0.25
LI Home Energy Squad	2.54	1.20
Low Income Segment Total	0.85	0.46
Planning Segment Total		
Energy Star Retail Products		
Energy Information Systems	4.71	1.41
Research, Evaluations & Pilots Segment	7./1	171
Total	1.06	0.28
PORTFOLIO SUBTOTAL	2.06	2.40

Technical Assumptions

This section contains the forecast planning and deemed savings technical assumptions for the proposed programs:

• Forecast Planning Assumptions - These assumptions are forecasts that describe the predicted participation, savings, and costs of the measures proposed in the Plan. They represent an average of the values for the expected product mix that we anticipate customers will implement. These assumptions are used to estimate the energy consumption impacts and other measure-specific factors in order to calculate the benefit-cost analyses. The forecasted impacts are derived by applying the anticipated participation for each measure to the Deemed Savings Technical Assumptions for that measure. The impacts from each of the measures are aggregated and inputted into the benefit-cost model for the program level analysis.

Unless indicated otherwise in redline in the Technical Assumptions, the forecasts are the same as those approved for 2019, as per the Deputy Commissioner's Decision to extend the 2017-2019 CIP Triennial Plans to 2020. All requested changes are highlighted in red in the Forecasted Technical Assumptions.

• Deemed Savings Technical Assumptions ("DSTAs") - These assumptions describe how actual energy savings, cost, and other values will be calculated for each measure that is implemented. For prescriptive DSM measures, the deemed savings technical assumptions contain the algorithms that will be used to calculate energy and demand savings, as well as all assumed or customer-provided values to be used as inputs to these algorithms. Additionally, the Deemed Savings technical assumptions describe how incremental capital and incremental operation and maintenance costs will be determined for each implemented measure, and detail the values which will be used for the measure life. For custom products, the Deemed Savings technical assumptions describe the methodology to be used to calculate project specific savings, as well as any values to be used for all implemented projects under a specific DSM product.

For the 2020 Extension, only the DSTA's that have proposed changes or additions are included. The DSTA's for all other programs are identical to those already approved for 2019, as per the Deputy Commissioner's Decision to extend the 2017-2019 CIP Triennial to 2020. These DSTA's can be found in the original 2017-2019 CIP Triennial Plan and all approved 2017-2019 Plan modifications.

Technical Reference Manual Compliance

On January 14, 2016 the Department issued the 2017-2019 Minnesota Technical Resource Manual (TRM), Version 2.0. Within the final order (Docket No. E,G999/CIP-15-896), the Department stated: "If a utility wants to use a method that deviates from the TRM measure, they must provide justification with the filing detailing the reasoning for the deviation as well as providing any calculations, methodologies and assumptions used in the alternative calculation."

As noted in the Company's 2017-2019 CIP Triennial Plan and justified in our subsequent response to the Department's Information Request No. 3 (Docket No. E,G002/CIP-16-115), the Company

does not adopt all 2017-2019 MN TRM 2.0 assumptions for all programs. The calculations and methodologies for energy and demand savings are very similar to those in the TRM, but vary on a program-by-program basis. Additionally, some programs have been updated with more recent assumptions and inputs to align with more recent versions of the TRM, including from MN TRM 3.0. Per the Deputy Commissioner's Decision to extend the 2017-2019 CIP Triennial Plans to 2020 (Docket No. E,G002/CIP-16-115), the calculations and methodologies in our 2020 Extension Plan are a continuation of those approved in our 2017-2019 CIP Triennial Plan, unless identified otherwise in the Deemed Savings Technical Assumptions.

The following table describes each column in the Forecasted Technical Assumptions. Please note that the fields in red font in the subsequent 2020 Minnesota Extension Forecasted Technical Assumptions indicate updates from the approved 2019 Forecasted Technical Assumptions.

Column Label	Column Description
Program	Program Name
Electric Measure Group	New column for 2020 measures only
Electric Measure Description	Program name and individual measures
Efficient Product Description/Rating	High efficiency product description
	Consumption of high efficiency product in either watts
Efficient Product Consumption	(electric) or Dth/yr (gas)
Efficient Hours of Operation (hrs/yr)	High efficiency product hours of operation
Baseline Product Description/Rating	Baseline product description
Baseline Product Consumption	Consumption of baseline product in either watts (electric) or Dth/yr (gas)
Baseline Hours of Operation (hrs/yr)	Baseline equipment hours of operation
Measure Lifetime (years)	High efficiency product lifetime
Rebate Amount	Average dollar amount of rebate given to participants
Average Baseline Product Cost (\$)	The average cost of a baseline product in dollars
Incremental Cost of Efficient Product (\$)	Difference in efficient and baseline product cost
Assumed Energy Cost (\$/kWh)	Unit cost
Rebate as a % of Incremental Cost	Percent of incremental cost that is equal to the rebate amount
Incremental Cost Payback Period w/o Rebate (yrs)	Payback period expressed in years after a participant acquires the high efficiency product using the incremental cost of the product
Incremental Cost Payback Period w/ Rebate (yrs)	Payback period expressed in years after a participant acquires the high efficiency product with the incremental cost reduced by the rebate amount
Annual Customer kWh/Dth Savings	Annual kWh or Dth savings customer realizes after implementing high efficiency product
Rebated Cost per Annual Cust kWh/Dth	Rebate cost per annual kWh or Dth saved by the high
Saved	efficiency product at the customer meter
Rebated Lifetime Cost per Cust kWh/Dth	Rebate cost per kWh or Dth saved by the high efficiency
Saved	product over the lifetime of the product at the customer meter
Customer kW Savings (Electric Only)	Consumption savings in kW customer realizes after implementing high efficiency product
Generator Peak kW Savings (Electric Only)	Annual kW savings utility realizes on annual peak day after customer implements high efficiency product (includes T&D losses)
Non-Energy O&M Savings (\$)	Non energy savings related to O&M
Energy O&M Savings (\$)	Energy savings related to O&M
Coincidence Factor (Electric Only)	Percent of peak customer kW that coincides with system peak
2019 Participants	Individual premises forecasted to participate in programs during 2019
2019 Units	Individual units forecasted to participate in program during 2019
2020 Participants	Individual premises forecasted to participate in programs during 2020
2020 Units	Individual units forecasted to participate in program during 2020
NTG	Net-to-Gross %, Forecast Assumption
Installation Rate	Metric for tracking installations, Forecast Assumption (%)
Realization Rate	Calculated metric that compares verified savings with reported savings, Forecast Assumption.

2019 Net Gen kW (Electric Only)	Total Forecasted Net Generator Peak kW Savings from all forecasted 2019 participating units.
2019 Net Gen kWh/Dth	Total Forecasted Net Generator Peak kWh or Dth Savings
•	from all forecasted 2019 participating units.
2019 Rebate Budget	Total forecasted rebates for forecasted 2019 participating units
2019 Incremental Costs	Total Forecasted Incremental Costs incurred by all forecasted
2017 Incrementar Gosts	2019 participating units.
2020 Net Gen kW (Electric Only)	Total Forecasted Net Generator Peak kW Savings from all
2020 Net Gen kw (Electric Only)	forecasted 2020 participating units.
2020 Not Can I-Wh /Dth	Total Forecasted Net Generator Peak kWh or Dth Savings
2020 Net Gen kWh/Dth	from all forecasted 2020 participating units.
2020 Rebate Budget	Total forecasted rebates for forecasted 2020 participating units
2020 Incremental Costs	Total Forecasted Incremental Costs incurred by all forecasted
2020 Incremental Costs	2020 participating units.

	1				Efficient	Raceline Raceline	Measur	Average Incre	resul Assured	Return as a from	ent' increst' .	Annual Rebated	Rebated Lifetime Currents	Cenerator	- France		-		heraterio	Partitorina		111 NET Co	- 1911 brown		1915 NET Con	****
Program	Electric Measure Group (for programs with new 2000 measures only)		less Product Description / Sifficie Rating	ient Product Consumption (watts)	Efficient Hours of Staceline Product Operation Description / Rating (hralps)	Product Hours of Consumptio Operation n (watte) (brally)	e Rebate Lifetime Amount (\$) (pears)	Raseline Co Product Cost Eff (S) Prod	icines (Sixen)	Socremental Period Cost (N) Socker	sack Payback d wis Period of 1 a next Bahasa test of	kWh KWh Saved Savings (SAWA)	cost /Cust MW Savings KMh Saved (KM)	Peak kW Non-lineng Savings Savings (kW)	y CAM CAM COING	dence 3919 Participants or (%) (1)	(-) Participants	OI CHAIN	NTS Rate CN (N	Rate 20 (74)	(NW)	oto NET Gen 2019 Red NWN Budge (NWN) (S)	Cours (R)	2020 NET Geo. (kW)	(MIN)	2020 Rebate 2020 Incremental Budget Costs (\$) (\$)
In a second		Business Rusiness New Construction																								
Business New Construction Business New Construction		Average EDA Project - 2017 More E Average EDA Project - 2018 More E	Efficient than Code Building Efficient than Code Building	187,640		268,067 4,656	20 \$41,710	\$0 \$1-	45,391 \$0.06	29% 6.7	7 48	366,338 \$0.114	\$0.006 80.4	62.3 \$0.0	\$0.00 7	25. 0	0 0	0	100% 100%	100%		0 0	0	0	0	0 0
Business New Construction Business New Construction		Average EDA Project - 2019 More E Average EEB Project - 2017 More E	Efficient than Code Building Efficient than Code Building	152,055 43,253	4,086 Code-Compliant Building 3,338 Code-Compliant Building	217,222 4,086			05,670 \$0.06				\$0.006 66.2 \$0.007 19.5		90.00 7		70 70		100% 100%	100%	3,632	19,954,818 2,289,6	05 7,096,87	6 3,532	19,964,818	2,289,635 7,396,676
Business New Construction		Average EEB Project - 2018 More E	Efficient than Code Suilding	40,411	3,250 Code-Compliant Building	57,730 3,250		\$0 SI	1,505 \$0.06	38% 6.1		56,286 \$0.144		14.4 - 481.0	12 \$0.00 7	P% 0	0 0	0	100% 100%	100%	0	0 0		0	0	0 0
Business New Construction Commercial Efficiency		Average EEB Project - 2019 More E Commercial Efficiency	Efficient than Code Stuliding	62316	3,025 Code-Compliant Building	60,308 3,005	20 \$8,333	\$0 SJ	11,008 \$0.06	40% 63	7 40	54,724 \$0.152	\$0.008 18.1	15.1 479.3	15 \$0.00 7	7% 52	52 52	ω	100% 100%	100%	794	2,046,713 433,31	1,092,41	5 784	3,016,713	433,210 1,092,415
Commercial Efficiency Commercial Efficiency		Average poject results from 2015 history Study Cost Allocations	0	1,691,153	5.913 New updated systems 0 0	0 0	17 \$13,590 0 \$138,750	\$0 \$6 \$0 \$1	90.00 S0.07	26% 4.1 100% 80%	NO PONO	0 #DMO	\$0.005 25.6 #DNU 0.0	22:0 \$676: 00 \$0.0	12 \$0.00 B	0% 172 0% 4	172 172	172	100% 100% 100% 100%	100%	0 0	27,834,366 2,337,5 0 555,00	11 9,794,38 10 555,000	9 3,790	27,834,366	2,307,511 9,794,388 555,000 555,000
Commercial Efficiency		Phase 2 Customer Contribution Biehavioral Changes Behavioral Changes	avior changes that reduce energy use	2,962,672	8,760 No change in behavior								\$0.000 62.3		90.00 10	0% 1	1 1	1	100% 100%	100%	67	584,498 0	0	6	584,498	0 0
Commercial Efficiency Cooling Efficiency		Coolina Efficiency	avior changes that reduce energy use	1,875,948	8,760 No change in behavior	4,016,584 8,760			\$0 \$0.00			-363,947 \$0.000				0% 1	1 1		100% 100%	100%		-389,665 0		-6	-289,665	0 0
Cooling Efficiency Cooling Efficiency			unit size 8:20 tons, 12:60 EER, 15:06:SEER FU with Demand Corrol	8,108 1,207	1,529 DX unit size 8.20 tons, 10.90 EER, 12.00 SEER 498 RTU with Standard	9,285 1,529 2,414 498	20 \$1,133 15 \$310		2,137 \$0.07 1,500 \$0.07			1,798 \$0,690 601 \$0,517			90.00 9		1,177 1,000	1,177	100% 100% 100% 100%	100%		2,365,854 1,333,7 83,584 40,35		9 1,340	2,365,854 83,584	1,303,796 2,515,329 40,353 195,000
Cooling Efficiency		Wister-source Heat Pumps WSHP	Punit size 1.94 tons, 13.94 EER, 15.45 SEER	1,678	921 WSHP unit size 1.94 tons, 12.00 SER, 13.20 SEER	1,944 921	20 \$145	\$2,010 \$	\$6.07 \$0.07	29% 30.	3.2 21.4	266 \$3.589	\$0.029 0.3	03 \$0.0	90.00 9	2% 150	160 150				41	42,579 23,12			42,079	29,122 79,499
Cooling Efficiency Cooling Efficiency		PTAC Units PTAC Scrol/Screw Chiler Chile	C unit size 0.76 tons, 11.78 SER, 11.86 SEER let size 109 tons, 0.71 FLV	76,933	1,013 PTAC unit size 0.74 tons, 11.14 SER. 13.11 SEER. 1,002 Chiller size 109 tons, 0.77 RJ 1000 DESCRIPTION OF DESCRIPTION	796 1,013 V 91,797 1,092	20 \$1,545 20 \$1,545	\$1,232 S \$41,416 \$1	\$185 \$0.07 12,692 \$0.07	12% 29.	10 S13 16 260	64 \$0.790 6,406 \$0.241	\$0.099 0.0	60 \$0.0 57 \$0.0	90.00 9 90.00 9		140 100		100% 100% 100% 100%	100%	11	6,568 4,76 13,715 3,08		6 11	4,568 13,715	4784 25,853 3,089 25,383
Cooling Efficiency		Certifigal Chiler Chile	Ser size 341.04 tons, 0.58 FLV KWIton, 0.42 PLV Ser size 124.73 tons, 10.43	182,860	5,979 Chiller size 341.04 tons, 0.62 PLV PLVKINhon, 0.58 PLV 2,422 Chiller size 124.73 tons, 9.56	2 211,153 5,678 6 156,528 2,422						109,357 \$0,075 33,692 \$0,123	\$0.004 19.3 \$0.006 13.9			2% S	7 6		100% 100% 100% 100%	100%		819,592 57,76 793,608 91,13			819,582 783,608	
Cooling Efficiency Cooling Efficiency		Looning shades L	EFR. 16 04 PLV Customer has Study		No Study		\$10,000	\$0 \$1	4,501 \$0.07	69%		0	0.0	60 \$0.0	90.00 10	0% 9	9 9	9	100% 100%	100%	0	0 90,00	0 130,512	. 0	0	90,000 130,512
Cooling Efficiency			ert equipment as identified a recommissioning study		Existing equipment Const Speed Chiller size 855				2,526 \$0.07			0			90.00 21		1 1		_		٠	0 10,08	_			10,081 12,526
Cooling Efficiency Cooling Efficiency		Chiller VFD Result Custom Cooling Projects	Chiller size 855 tons, 0.43 FLV kWton, 0.38 PLV New Equipment	206,610 89,775	1,021 Const Speed Chiller size 855 tons, 0.62 FLV4Wton, 0.57 PLV 3,722 Existing or New Indicent	485,070 1,021 112,638 3,722	15 \$23,769 18 \$8,034	\$130,359 Si \$7,961 S3	11,460 \$0.07 13,935 \$0.07	29% 53	3 42	161,610 \$0.147 85,090 \$0.106	\$0.010 158.5 \$0.006 22.9	-13.5 \$0.0 9.8 \$202	90.00 . 90 90.00 4	9% 1 9% 13	1 1	1 15	100% 100%	100%	-13 147	173,364 23,36 1,366,536 135,51	9 61,460	-13 147	179,244	23,769 61,660 135,513 509,032
Cooling Efficiency		ERV Install on RTUAHU for reduced cooling load Heart	New Equipment % Sensible Effectiveness Recovery on 11192 CPM CA (Cooling Mode) (DE) site 1 2 year 21 97	9,608	238 No heat recovery on 11193	27,679 238	15 \$11,193					6,103 \$1,834				2% 3	3 3	3	100% 100%	100%	62	19,602 23,57		52	19,602	
Cooling Efficiency Cooling Efficiency			SHP size 1.2 tons, 21.27 SEER, 10.50HSPF SHP size 1.2 tons, 21.27	1,088	1,365 MSHP size 1,2 tost, 14 SECR 8,2 HSPF 5,236 MSHP size 1,2 tost, 14 SECR	R 1,647 1,265 o 1,647 5236	18 \$227 18 \$108		\$612 \$6.07 \$612 \$6.07	64% 13.		707 \$0.321 2.936 \$0.037	\$0.018 0.6 \$0.002 0.6	05 \$0.0 06 \$0.0		9% 0	216 0	216	100% 100%	100%	117	163,212 49,00	2 110,456	117	169,212	49,003 110,458
Cooling Efficiency Cooling Efficiency Cooling Efficiency		ECM Motors - Medium Temp Display Case ECM Motors - Low Temp Display Case	SEER ECMMoor ECMMoor	26	8,672 Staded Pole Meter 8,672 Staded Pole Meter	72 8,672 84 8,679	15 \$40 15 \$40	\$0 S	5141 \$0.07 5141 \$0.07	28% 5.	1 34	414 \$0.097 489 \$0.082	\$0.006 0.0 \$0.006 0.1	01 \$0.0 01 \$0.0	90.00 g	2% 20 2% 7	29 20	29	100% 100% 100% 100%	100%	-	12,861 1,160 6,278 441	1,001	1	12,861	1,160 4,081 480 1,669
Cooling Efficiency		ECM Matters - Medium Temp Wallerin, Evap fan en 15° Diameter ECM Matters - Low Temp Wallerin, Even fan 10°	ECMMoor .	44	8,585 Shaded Pole Motor	137 8,585			DES \$0.07		it 9.7	790 \$0.088		61 \$0.0			74 51	74	100% 100%	100%		62,838 5,160		7	62,838	5,180 19,907
Cooling Efficiency Cooling Efficiency		E-CM Million - Low Femp Walk-In, Evap fan -o. 10° Diameter E-CM Millions - Medium Temp Walk-In, Evap fan > 15° Diameter	ECMMoor ECMMoor	68	8,585 Shaded Pole Motor 8,585 Shaded Pole Motor	138 8,585	15 \$70 15 \$70	\$0 \$		20% 6.0	6 49	606 \$0.116	\$0.006 0.1 \$0.008 0.1	01 \$0.0	90.00 9	9% 16	34 22 24 19	24	100% 100% 100% 100%	100%		34,058 2,365 15,555 1,660	0,456	2	34,058 15,555	1,690 6,456
Cooling Efficiency Cooling Efficiency		ECM Motors - Low Temp Walk-in, Evap fan > 15' Diameter Anti-Sweat Heater Controls Anti-	ECMMotor 6-Sweet Heater Corpols	80	8,585 Shaded Pole Motor 8,760 Anti-Sweat Heaten running	163 8,585 179 8,760	15 \$70 12 \$60		\$0.67 \$0.00 \$0.07	20% 5.1 20% 3.2		714 \$0.098 1,414 \$0.042	\$0.007 0.1 \$0.004 0.2	01 \$0.0 02 \$0.0		9% 16 9% 130	24 19 173 120	24	100% 100% 100% 100%	100%	27	18,349 1,660 261,962 10,38		2	18,349	1,680 6,456 10,380 51,930
Cooling Efficiency		Energy Efficient Case Doors	No heat Case Doors	0	8,760 constantly 8,760 Arti-Gwest Heatest running constantly	179 8,760	10 \$125	\$0 1	\$538 \$0.07	29% 5.	1 29	1,671 \$0.080		62 \$0.0	90.00 10	0% 156	173 156	173	100% 100%	100%	20	291,067 21,62	5 92,988	20	291,067	10,580 51,900 21,625 92,998
Cooling Efficiency Cooling Efficiency		Advanced Digital Economizer Control (per ton) - Placeholder Demand Control Versitation (per ton) - Placeholder	0	0	0 0	0 0	0 S0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0.07 \$0 \$0.07	#DM/01 #DM	NO PONO	0 #DMG	#DN0 0.0	60 \$0.0 60 \$0.0	90.00 10 90.00 10	0% 0	0 0	0	100% 100% 100% 100%	100%	0	0 0	0	0	0	0 0
Custom Efficiency Custom Efficiency		Custom Efficiency	Efficiency Product/system	36,067	4,643 Less Efficiere Deutschfündung	57777 4,643	19 \$6,439	\$49,905 SJ	16,976 \$0.07	26% 1.5	5 12	91,420 \$0.070	\$0.004 19.7	15.7 \$10,68	127 \$0.00 7	4% so	50 50	50	100% 100%	100%	783	4,894,015 321,60	1,349,79	7 783	4,894,015	321,966 1,348,797
Custom Efficiency Data Center Efficiency		Data Center Efficiency	0	6	0 0	0 0	0 \$8,808	\$0 \$1	9,069 \$0.07	51% #DB	NO solva	0 803/01	MONO 0.0	60 \$0.0	90.00 10	0% 2	2 2	2	100% 100%	100%	۰	0 19,61	5 38,139	0	0	19,615 38,139
Data Center Efficiency Data Center Efficiency		Data Center Efficiency Study Data Center Measures Hitto	0 crical Averages from paint	0 385,537	7,312 Historical Averages from pair	0 0	0 \$16,301 11 \$12,106	\$0 \$2 \$255,991 \$6	11,735 \$0.07 13,346 \$0.07	75% #D6 23% 2.1	8 2.1	0 #DMGI 266,416 \$0.045	80.004 0.0 \$0.004 36.4	00 \$0.0 26.9 \$1,392	90.00 0 46 90.00 g	P% 10 P% 20	10 10	10 20	100% 100% 100% 100%	100%	0 538	0 163,01 5,704,829 242,11	14 217,353 15 1,066,91	5 538	0 5,704,829	160,014 217,352 242,105 1,066,915
Data Center Efficiency Data Center Efficiency		Result - EC Plug Fans In-Unit Result - EC Plug Fans Below-Floor	EC Plug Fan EC Plug Fan	2,151	8,760 Forward-curved Centiflugal Fan with AC motor 8,760 Forward-curved Centiflugal	2,711 8,662 2,794 8,662	10 \$1,200	\$0 \$	2,797 \$0.07	42% 93	6 51	4,694 \$0,259 7,691 \$0,156	\$0.096 0.6	10 500	90.00 50 90.00 50	0% 5	10 6		100% 100%	100%		49,617 12,00 82,239 12,00		6	49,617	12,000 27,867 12,000 27,867
Data Center Efficiency		New-EC Plug Fans In-Unit	EC Plug Fan	2,151	8,760 Forward-curved Ceretrugal Fan with AC motor	2,675 8,705	20 \$700	\$0 \$	1,700 \$0.07	41% 5.7	7 24	4,439 \$0.158	\$0.008 0.5	09 \$0.0	90.00 10	0% 12	48 12	48	100% 100%	100%	27	229,152 23,60	0 81,600	27	228,152	20,600 81,600
Data Center Efficiency Data Center Efficiency			EC Plug Fan ghly efficient data center	1,967	8,760 Forward-curved Centifugal Fan with AC motor 8,700 Standard efficiency new data	2,735 8,671 4,000,000 8,700	20 \$700 11 \$29,034						\$0.005 0.9 \$0.005 55.6		90.00 10 90.00 g		9 9	36	100% 100%	100%	34	283,584 25,20 3,104,825 174,25	0 61,200 IS SB0,665	34	283,584 3,104,625	25,200 61,200 174,205 580,682
Data Center Efficiency		Chilled Water Systems Waterside Economizer W	hilled water system with waterside economiper	32,412	8,760 Chilled water system without economics	f 53,000 8,760	20 \$21,200	\$0 SE	5,571 \$0.07	32% 5.1	i0 3.4	180,351 \$0.118	\$0.006 20.6	00 \$0.0	90.00	n, o	0 0	0	100% 100%	100%	0	0 0		0	0	0 0
Data Center Efficiency Efficiency Controls		Zero & Thin Client Installations along client	ng with thin-client or zero- nz device replaces desktop	13	7,311 ENERGY STAR 3.0	29 7,311	10 \$10	\$600 \$	\$117 \$0.07						0 -90.44 10	0% 12	360 13	350	100% 100%	100%		41,682 3,500	40,960		41,682	3,500 40,950
					EDECRICATION				110 200	9% 2	11 2.8	111	\$0.051 0.0	00 \$30.5	0 40.00 11						•	41,000				
Efficiency Controls		Fifficiency Controls Efficiency Controls - Electric New	w Digital Controls System	27,741	6,901 Non-Digital or Obsolera Digital System	a 46,236 6,901	16 \$11,773	\$0 \$4	_							1% 67	0 0	ω	100% 100%	100%	290	9,156,555 789,71		7 290	9,155,555	798,760 2,045,357
Efficiency Controls Efficiency Controls Fluid System Optimization		Efficiency Controls - Electric New Efficiency Controls - Study Allocation Fluid System Optimization	w Digital Controls System Study Allocation	27,741	0 0	0 0	0 \$3,511	\$0 \$	15,453 \$0.06 2,605 \$0.00	26% 5.1 65% #DN	2 29 NO 9000	127,631 \$0.092 0 #DMGI	\$0.006 18.5 #DND 0.0	42 \$1,117 00 \$0.0	07 \$0.00 2 3 \$0.00 10	0% 3	47 47 2 2	2	100% 100% 100% 100%	100%	200	9,155,555 789,74 0 7,53e	30 3,045,35 1 11,506	7 290	0	7,534 11,536
Efficiency Controls Efficiency Controls Fluid System Optimization Fluid System Optimization		Efficiency Controls - Electric New Efficiency Controls - Study Abscadion Fixed System Oothimization Non-Custom Opportunities identified in an FSO study, i.e. recommissioning type adjustment, leaks, vastes and demand reduction, study driven control and insidia.	w Digital Connois System Shutly Allocation Optimized System	22,741	7,779 Non-Optimized System	148,399 7,779	0 \$3,511 5 \$0	so s	15,459 \$0.06 2,805 \$0.06 1,163 \$0.07	26% 5.3 65% #D6.	2 29 NO ECNO 12 03	127,621 \$0.082 0 #DM01 53,182 \$0.000	\$0.006 18.5 80.001 0.0 \$0.000 6.8	42 \$1,117 00 \$0.0 52 \$0.0	90.00 2 0 90.00 91 0 90.00 7	0% 3 1% 9				100% 100% 100%	200	9,155,555 789,71 0 7,539 540,702 0	00 3,545,35 1 11,506 11,045	7 290 0	640,709	7,534 11,506 0 11,045
Efficiency Controls Efficiency Controls Fluid System Optimization		Officiency Controlls - Discrict New York Controlls - Discrict New York Controlls - Susty Alexadon Philad Svvstem Ootlimization Non-Custom Opportunities identified in an \$50 study i.e. encommissioning lipid adjustment, listin, waste and discrimination and when could and resident Compressed An Officiency Study Last Compressed An Officiency Study	ur Digital Controls System Shudy Allocation Optimized System sike & Waste Found and Repailed	27,741 0 141,509 142,361 113,561	0 0 7.779 Non-Optimized System Scienting Systems with Lasks 8 7.99 Skillering Systems with Lasks 8 8.90 Old or less efficiency systems 6 6.90 Old or less efficiency systems 6	0 0 148,339 7,779 \$ 148,339 7,189	0 \$3,511 5 \$0	\$0 \$ \$0 \$ \$0 \$	15,459 \$0.06 2,805 \$0.06 1,163 \$0.07	20% 5.3 65% MON 0% 0.3	2 29 NO ECNO 12 03	127,621 \$0.082 0 #DM01 53,182 \$0.000	\$0.006 18.5 80.001 0.0 \$0.000 6.8	42 \$1,117 00 \$0.0 52 \$0.0	90.00 2 0 90.00 91 0 90.00 7	0% 3 1% 9				100% 100% 100% 100%	200	9,155,555 789,74 0 7,53e	00 3,545,35 1 11,506 11,045	7 290 0	0	7,534 11,506 0 11,045
Efficiency Controls Efficiency Controls Efficiency Controls Fluid System Optimization		Stitutery Comits - Electric Service Se	w Digital Constals System Study Allocation Optimized System sisk & Wasse Found and Repaired New Equipment New Cycling Drive New Constals to Martine		0 0 7.779 Non-Optimized System Scienting Systems with Lasks 8 7.99 Skillering Systems with Lasks 8 8.90 Old or less efficiency systems 6 6.90 Old or less efficiency systems 6	0 0 148,339 7,779 \$ 148,339 7,189	0 \$3,511 5 \$0 5 \$4,60 20 \$11,928 20 \$745	\$0 \$ \$0 \$ \$0 \$ \$0 \$4	15,452 \$0.06 3,005 \$0.06 1,162 \$0.07 9,005 \$0.07 17,846 \$0.07	20% 5.3 65% HCM 0% 0.3 47% 2.2 25% 2.3 56% 2.2	12 29 score score 12 1.7 1.7 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1	127/031 \$0.002 0 #CM/03 50,102 \$0.000 42/872 \$0.000 217/607 \$0.065 7726 \$0.004	\$0.006 19.5 KDNOI 0.0 \$0.000 6.8 \$0.001 6.0 \$0.003 34.8	42 \$1,117 00 \$0.0 52 \$0.0 44 \$0.0 25.3 \$0.0	30.00 2 30.00 31 30.00 7 30.00 7	0% 3 1% 9 0% 40 9% 26 0% 47			100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100%		290 0 49 267 886 81	9,155,555 789,71 0 7,539 540,702 0	00 3,046,35 1 11,506 11,645 100 275,766 1,674,60 7 90,252	7 290 0 0 49 1 267 1 896 81	540,702 1,840,349	7,534 11,506 0 11,646 128,400 325,786 417,460 1,674,601 50,127 90,252
Efficiency Controls Efficiency Controls Efficiency Controls Fluid System Optimization		States Contrain - States States - States States - States	ur Digital Controls System Study Allocation Spaley Allocation Optimized System Spaley Allocation A Water Found and Repaired New Spaleyment New Spaleyment New Spaleyment Season Spaleyment		0 0 7,779 Non-Optimized System 7,169 Suiting Systems with Lease at 7,169 Waster that have not been required 6,207 Ont or test at facilities of proper 7,168 New Non-Optimin Drope 7,102 No Purge Control for Heading	0 0 148,339 7,779 8 148,339 7,189 or 148,339 6,257 3,435 7,188 8 80,087 7,120	0 \$3,511 5 \$0 5 \$4,60 20 \$11,928 20 \$745	\$0 \$1 \$0 \$1\$\$ \$0 \$0 \$1 \$0 \$1 \$0 \$1 \$0 \$1 \$0 \$1 \$0 \$1 \$0 \$1 \$0 \$1 \$0 \$1 \$0 \$1\$\$ \$0 \$1 \$0 \$1 \$0 \$1\$\$ \$0 \$1 \$0 \$1\$\$ \$0 \$1 \$0 \$1\$\$\$\$ \$0 \$1\$\$\$\$0 \$1\$\$\$\$0 \$1\$\$\$\$0 \$1\$\$\$\$0 \$1\$\$\$\$0 \$1\$\$\$0 \$1\$\$\$\$0 \$1\$\$\$0 \$1\$\$\$0 \$1\$\$\$0 \$1	\$5,653 \$0.06 \$3,005 \$0.06 \$1,963 \$0.07 \$1,963 \$0.07 \$7,964 \$0.07 \$1,002 \$0.07 \$1,002 \$0.07	20% 5.3 65% HCM 0% 0.3 47% 2.2 25% 2.3 56% 2.2	2 39 NNO #CMO #CMO #CMO #CMO #CMO #CMO #CMO #CM	127/031 \$0.002 0 #CM/03 50,102 \$0.000 42/872 \$0.000 217/607 \$0.065 7726 \$0.004	\$0.000 19.5 80.000 0.0 \$0.000 6.8 \$0.001 6.0 \$0.000 54.8 \$0.005 1.1 \$0.005 1.1 \$0.001 19.5 \$0.001 14.8	42 \$1,117 90 \$0.0 52 \$0.0 64 \$0.0 152 \$0.0 113 \$0.0 15 \$41.5	3 30.00 2 3 30.00 3 3 30.00 3 3 30.00 3 3 30.00 5 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3	00% 3 17% 9 00% 40 00% 40 00% 47 00% 47		40 35 70	100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100%		290 0 49 267 886 81	9,155,555 789,76 0 7,530 560,703 0 1,840,349 179,45 8,154,440 417,44 579,784 52,12	00 3,045,35 1 11,506 11,645 00 375,796 16 1,674,60 7 90,353	7 290 0 0 49 1 267 1 896 81	0 540,729 1,840,549 8,154,440 £30,744	7.594 11.596 0 11.645 178,400 205,798 417,465 1,674,601 55,127 92,209 8,000 27,298 8,000 178,141
Efficiency Controls Efficiency Controls Efficiency Controls Fluid System Optimization		Missing Common - Generic State **Flair of Streets on Contributions** **Flair of Streets on Contributions** **Flair of Streets on Contributions** **Contribution - Contribution - Contributions** **Contribution - Contribution - Contribution - Contributions** **Contribution - Contribution	or Digital Controls System Study Allocation Study Allocation Optimized System Optimized System Study Allocation Optimized System Study Allocation Optimized System Study Allocation Optimized Optimi	142,361 113,561 2,369 72,549	Top No. Openion System Saling System on Lank B Saling System on Lank B Top System on Lank B	0 0 148,329 7,779 \$ 148,329 7,180 or 148,329 6,357 3,435 7,180 8 93,087 7,123 93,136 7,321 6d 517 6,596	0 \$2,511 5 \$0 5 \$4,60 20 \$11,828 20 \$245 15 \$1,000 20 \$2,100 13 \$200	\$0 \$: \$0 \$: \$0 \$: \$0 \$: \$0 \$: \$7,234 \$: \$0 \$: \$1,255 \$:	55,653 \$0.06 33,055 \$0.06 11,963 \$0.07 11,963 \$0.07 17,964 \$0.07 13,022 \$0.07 1,022 \$0.07 1,022 \$0.07 1,022 \$0.07 1,022 \$0.07 1,022 \$0.07 1,022 \$0.07 1,022 \$0.07	26% 5.1 65% 85% 95% 0% 0.2 45% 3.1 25% 2.2 29% 0.1 45% 1.1	12 3.9 1000 95000 12 1.7 1.2 2.4 1.1 1.7 1.5 1.1 1.7 1.5 1.1 1.7 1.5 1.1 1.7 1.5 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1	127,631 \$0.082 0 #50.005 53,192 \$0.000 42,872 \$0.154 42,872 \$0.055 7,726 \$0.055 7,726 \$0.055 3,810 \$0.073 8,810 \$0.055	\$0.006 18.5 \$0.009 0.0 \$0.000 6.8 \$0.001 6.0 \$0.000 36.8 \$0.005 11 \$0.000 14.8 \$0.000 14.8 \$0.000 14.8 \$0.000 14.8 \$0.000 14.8	42 \$5,177 50 \$0.00 \$2 \$0.00 \$4 \$0.00 253 \$0.00 113 \$0.00 15 \$61,5 \$4 \$0.00	07 \$3.00 2 0 \$3.00 7 0 \$3.00 7 0 \$3.00 7 0 \$3.00 6 0 \$3.00 6 0 \$3.00 11 0 \$3.00 11 0 \$3.00 11 0 \$3.00 11 0 \$3.00 11	00% 3 17% 9 00% 40 00% 40 00% 47 00% 8 00% 40 00% 40 00% 40	40 40 40 25 25 25 26 47 8 8 8 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40	40 35 70 8 40 63	100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100%	100% 100% 100% 100% 100%	280 0 49 257 88 91 91 58 24	0.555,555 788,715 0 7,536 560,703 0 1,840,340 172,444 81,54440 417,444 670,744 52,72 402,567 804,00 403,567 12,60	00 2,045,35 1 11,045 11,045 11,045 100 205,798 00 1,074,00 00 27,789 00 179,141 00 28,224	7 280 0 0 49 1 267 1 886 81 91 68	0 540,703 1,640,569 8,154,460 576,744 642,567 423,542 240,917	7,554 11,556 0 11,566 178,400 255,798 41,746 15,746,511 56,137 96,253 8,000 227,98 8,000 178,141 13,600 28,224
Efficiency Controls Efficiency Controls Efficiency Controls Fluid System Optimization		Stemp Connect School Stemp Connect School Stemp Connect School Sc	is Digital Contents System Enally Allocation Coptinized System size & Waste Found and Repaired New Cyplinere New Cypline	142,561 113,561 2,359 72,549 91,776 0	9 Sandy Spream American Spream Spream American	0 0 0 148,339 7,759 8 148,339 7,180 7 148,339 7,180 3,435 7,180 8 83,087 7,123 8 83,087 7,231 6 6,096 6 20,004 2,099	5 \$4,660 5 \$4,660 20 \$11,528 20 \$745 15 \$1,000 12 \$2,000 13 \$200 20 \$2,602	\$0 \$: \$0 \$: \$0 \$: \$0 \$: \$0 \$: \$0 \$: \$0 \$: \$0 \$: \$1,208 \$: \$1,268 \$: \$1,268 \$: \$10,748 \$:	55,653 \$0.06 3,005 \$0.06 1,150 \$0.07 1,150 \$0.07 17,946 \$0.07 1,022 \$0.07 1,024 \$0.07 4,079 \$0.07 56,004 \$0.07	20% 6.1 60% 90% 0% 0.2 40% 2.3 20% 2.3 20% 0.1 40% 6.1 40% 6.1	2 3.9 mon score sc	127,631 \$3,082 0 #03405 53,182 \$0,000 53,182 \$0,000 42,872 \$0,154 277,66 \$0,073 8,860 \$0,073 8,860 \$0,073 18,164 \$0,065 18,165 \$0,144	\$0.006 18.5 \$0.009 0.0 \$0.000 6.8 \$0.001 6.0 \$0.000 56.8 \$0.000 13.5 \$0.001 1.4 \$0.000 0.5 \$0.000 6.1	42 \$1,177 50 \$0.00 \$2 \$0.00 \$4 \$0.00 \$5 \$0	37 \$3000 2 9 \$3000 51 0 \$3000 15 0 \$3000 6 0 \$3000 6 0 \$3000 15 0 \$3000 15 0 \$3000 15 0 \$3000 6 0 \$3000 6 0 \$3000 6	00% 3 17% 9 00% 40 000% 40 00% 40 00% 40 00% 40 000% 40 00% 40 00% 40 000% 40 00% 40 00% 40 00% 40 00% 40 0	40 40 40 35 35 35 35 47 40 40 40 40 41 41	40 36 30 8 40 63	100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100%	100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100%	290 0 49 257 886 81 91 58 24	9,155,556 798,715 0 7,559 540,755 0 7 1,840,349 178,445 8,154,440 417,45 579,744 52,12 642,567 8,00 643,563 84,00 845,454 84,00 845,457 12,60 846,305 193,11	00 2,046,36 1 11,065 11,065 11,065 00 275,796 00 1,874,60 00 22,298 00 179,441 00 28,294	7 280 0 49 49 49 1 257 1 886 81 91 51 58	0 540,700 1,640,569 8,154,640 570,744 642,647 423,543 240,947 816,375	7,584 11,586 0 11,566 1 11,566 1 11,566 1 11,566 1 158,400 205,796 417,666 1,574,601 1 52,127 53,532 1 53,500 27,236 1 123,566 2 22,607 1 13,566 2 22,607
Efficiency Controls Flad System Controls Flad System Optimization		Storage Control Charles Florid Storage Control Charles Florid Storage Control Charles Florid Storage Control Charles Florid Storage Control Charles Storage Control Charles Storage Control Charles Storage Control Storage	ur Digital Connents System Study Alexandra Coptinished System Coptinished System and its Wisser Found and Study Study Study Study New Scippose New Michael New Scippose New Michael New New New Michael New	142,581 113,581 2,369 72,569 81,776 0 14,011	9 C. New Cyclins of System. 7-79 Wester Cyclins of System. 7-10 Wester Cyclins of State Cyc	0 0 0 148,399 7,779 6 148,399 7,189 7 148,399 6,507 148,399 6,507 8,306 7,188 83,306 7,123 83,306 70,123 83,306 70,123 83,306 70,123 83,306 70,123 83,306 70,123 83,306 70,123 83,306 70,123 83,306 70,123 83,306 70,123 83,306 70,123 83,306 70,123 83,306 70,123 83,306 70,123 83,306 70,123 83,306 70,123 83,306 70,123 83,306 70,123 83,306 70,123 83,306 70,123	6 \$2,511 5 \$0 5 \$4,460 20 \$11,528 20 \$2+65 16 \$2,000 20 \$2,000 13 \$200 20 \$2,000	\$0 \$1 \$0 \$1 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$5,453 \$0.06 \$0.06 \$0.06 \$0.06 \$0.07	28% 5:1 60% 90% 0% 0: 40% 3:1 29% 3:1 29% 4:1 49% 4:1 29% 4:1	2 3.9 NOO 90NOO 13 0.3 0.3 1.2 1.7 1.2 2.4 5.5 1.1 1.7 1.0 6.5 1.1 1.7 1.0 6.5 1.1 1.3 3.2 3.8 1.0 1.3 2.2 1.5 9.3	127A07 \$0.002 0 #05409 53,102 \$0.000 42,972 \$0.154 217A07 \$0.065 7,776 \$0.066 9,076 18,155 \$0.062 18,155 \$0.144 18,709 \$0.087	\$0.000 18.5 \$0.000 6.8 \$0.000 6.8 \$0.000 84.8 \$0.000 84.8 \$0.000 14.5 \$0.000 15.5 \$0.000 05.5 \$0.000 6.1 \$0.000 6.1 \$0.000 6.1 \$0.000 6.1	42 \$4,177 60 \$60 52 \$60 64 \$60 753 \$60	39 \$000 2 0 \$100 51 0 \$000 7 0 \$000 11 0 \$000 11 0 \$000 11 0 \$000 6 0 \$000 6 0 \$000 6 0 \$000 6 0 \$000 6	00% 3 15% 9 15% 9 15% 40 15% 40 15% 40 15% 40 15% 40 15% 40 15% 40 15% 40 15% 40 15% 40 15% 40 15% 40 15% 40 15% 40 15% 40 15% 40 15% 41	40 40 35 35 35 70 47 8 8 8 40 40 40 41 41 25 23	40 25 25 25	100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100%	100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100%	280 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	9,155,555 788,737,60 0 7,550 540,700 0 7,550 540,700 0 7,844,600 1,840,340 178,444,00 8,154,440 477,40 642,567 8,00 642,567 8,00 243,877 12,00 846,376 123,177 12,00 846,376 123,177 12,00	00 3,646,355 1 11,046 11,046 11,046 100 375,798 101 1,074,80 102 27,989 103 227,989 104 224,007 105 224,007	7 280 0 46 1 257 1 866 81 91 91 26 243	0 540,700 1,640,569 8,154,460 577,744 642,567 422,543 243,617 816,375 537,536	7.584 11.506 1 1.506 1 1.506 1 1.506 1 1.506 1 1.506 1 1.506 1 1.506 1 1.506 1 1.506 1 1.506 1 1 1.506 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
Efficiency Cortribo (Filorency Cortribo (Filorency Cortribo) Filed System Optimization		Storacy Control School Storacy Control School Schoo	ur Digital Conness System Stady Alexanian Coptinised System Optinised System see & Vision Found and Replanted Replanted New Experience New Coptinised System New	140,581 113,681 2,569 72,549 81,776 0 14,011 14,011	9 Sandy Spream American Spream Spream American	0 0 148,300 7,730 148,300 7,180 07 148,300 6,557 1,0,65 7,186 1,0,65 7,186 1,0,65 7,186 1,0,75 7,0,75 1,0,7	0 \$2,511 5 \$0 5 \$4,60 20 \$11,00 20 \$27,61 16 \$1,000 20 \$2,600 20 \$2,600 20 \$3,600 20 \$3,600	\$0 \$1 \$2 \$3 \$3 \$4 \$35 \$	\$1,450 \$5.06 \$5.00	26% 5.1 5.5 5.6 5.6 5.6 5.6 5.6 5.6 5.6 5.6 5.6	.2 2.9 .000 #50002 .3 0.3 .2 1.7 .2 2.4 .5 1.1 .7 0.5 .11 2.3 .8 1.0 .12 2.2 .13 2.3 .14 4.5	127,621 50,092 0 160,000 163,192 50,000 163,192 50,000 163,192 50,194 217,667 50,006 50,003 163,000 50,003 163,000 50,003 163,000 50,00	\$0.006 18.5 \$0.000 0.0 \$0.000 6.8 \$0.001 6.0 \$0.001 34.8 \$0.001 1.1 \$0.000 1.5 \$0.001 1.4 \$0.001 6.5 \$0.001 6.5 \$0.001 6.5 \$0.001 6.5 \$0.001 6.5	42 \$4,177 60 \$0.0 52 \$0.0 64 \$0.0 72 \$0.0 73 \$0.0 74 \$0.0 64 \$0.0 64 \$0.0 64 \$0.0 64 \$0.0	07 \$0.00 2 0 \$0.00 7 0 \$0.00 7 0 \$0.00 11 0 \$0.00 11 0 \$0.00 11 0 \$0.00 11 0 \$0.00 11 0 \$0.00 11 0 \$0.00 11 0 \$0.00 11 0 \$0.00 11 0 \$0.00 11 0 \$0.00 11 0 \$0.00 11 0 \$0.00 11 0 \$0.00 11 0 \$0.00 11 0 \$0.00 11 0 \$0.00 11	00% 3 15% 9 00% 40 00% 40 00% 40 00% 47 00% 47 00% 47 00% 47 00% 47 00% 40 00%	40 40 40 35 35 35 35 47 40 40 40 40 41 41	40 40 56 57 72 72 72 72 72 72 72 72 72 72 72 72 72	100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100%	100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100%	280 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0.155.5555 788.737.00 0 7.350 0 7.350	30 3045,353,351 11,006 11,06 11,006 11,006 11,006 11,006 11,006 11,006 11,006 11,006	7 200 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 540,700 1,640,569 8,154,640 570,744 642,647 423,543 240,947 816,375	7.584 11.586 1 1.586 1
Efficiency Controls Flad System Controls Flad System Optimization		Strategy Control Schools Park Strategy Control Schools Park Strategy Control Schools Park Strategy Control Control Schools Control Schools	or Digital Conness System Enally Allocation Enally Allocation Optimized System Allocation Allocat	142,581 113,581 2,369 72,569 81,776 0 14,011	9 C. New Cyclins of System. 7-79 Wester Cyclins of System. 7-10 Wester Cyclins of State Cyc	0 0 0 148,399 7,779 6 148,399 7,189 7 148,399 6,507 148,399 6,507 8,306 7,188 83,306 7,123 83,306 70,123 83,306 70,123 83,306 70,123 83,306 70,123 83,306 70,123 83,306 70,123 83,306 70,123 83,306 70,123 83,306 70,123 83,306 70,123 83,306 70,123 83,306 70,123 83,306 70,123 83,306 70,123 83,306 70,123 83,306 70,123 83,306 70,123 83,306 70,123 83,306 70,123	6 \$2,511 5 \$0 5 \$4,460 20 \$11,528 20 \$2+65 16 \$2,000 20 \$2,000 13 \$200 20 \$2,000	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$	\$5,453 \$0.06 \$0.06 \$0.06 \$0.06 \$0.07	20% 6.5 6.5 6.6 6.6 6.6 6.6 6.6 6.6 6.6 6.6	2 39 NO 6000 3 03 3 03 2 17 2 2 24 5 5 11 7 05 1 33 3 22 1 4 4 45	127,631 50,002 0 0 50,002 0 0 50,002 0 0 50,002	\$0.000 18.5 \$0.000 6.8 \$0.000 6.8 \$0.000 84.8 \$0.000 84.8 \$0.000 14.5 \$0.000 15.5 \$0.000 05.5 \$0.000 6.1 \$0.000 6.1 \$0.000 6.1 \$0.000 6.1	42 \$1,177 50 \$0.00 52 \$0.00 52 \$0.00 52 \$0.00 52 \$0.00 52 \$0.00 53 \$0.00 54 \$0.00 55 \$45,50 56 \$0.00 52 \$0.00 53 \$0.00 54 \$0.00 55 \$0.00 56 \$0.00 57 \$0.00 58 \$0.00 58 \$0.00 58 \$0.00 58 \$0.00 58 \$0.00 58 \$0.00 58 \$0.00 58 \$0.00 59 \$0.00 50 \$.07 \$0.00 2 2 50.00 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1	00% 3 155 9 155 00 155	40 40 35 35 35 70 47 8 8 8 40 40 40 41 41 25 23	40 40 56 57 72 72 72 72 72 72 72 72 72 72 72 72 72	100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100%	100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100%	280 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	9,155,555 788,737,60 0 7,550 540,700 0 7,550 540,700 0 7,844,600 1,840,340 178,444,00 8,154,440 477,40 642,567 8,00 642,567 8,00 243,877 12,00 846,376 123,177 12,00 846,376 123,177 12,00	00 \$045,555,500 1 11,000 1 11,000 1 11,000 1 11,000 0 297,700,000 0 187,400 0 297,000 0 197,400 0 294,001 0 120,000	7 200 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 540,700 1,640,569 8,154,460 577,744 642,567 422,543 243,617 816,375 537,536	7.584 11.506 1 1.506 1 1.506 1 1.506 1 1.506 1 1.506 1 1.506 1 1.506 1 1.506 1 1.506 1 1.506 1 1.506 1 1 1.506 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
Efficiency Controls See See See See See See See See See Se		Storage Control School Service Control Service	or Digital Connects System Enally Allocation Enally Allocation Coptional System Coptional System Coptional System Service State Responsed Response	140,581 113,681 2,569 72,549 81,776 0 14,011 14,011	9 NoCycles and Symmetry 7-79 NoCycles and Symmetry 8-70 NoCycles and Symmetry 1-70 NoCycles and Symmetry 1-70 NoCycles and NoCycles and NoCycles 1-70 NoCycles and NoCy	0 0 0 148,239 7,789 148,239 7,189 07 148,239 8,267 3,465 7,189 163,267 7,189 163,267 7,291 163,260 7,291 163,260 7,291 163,260 7,291 17 0,0066 17 0,0066 18 0,0066 3,009 17 0,0066 3,009 18 18,009 3,009 19 0,0066 3,009 10 0,0066 3,0066 3,009 10 0,0066 3,0066 3,006	0 SASS1 5 S0 5 S4-660 20 S11-828 20 S25-5 20 S25-5 20 S25-5 20 S3-605 20 S4-605	\$0 \$ \$0 \$ \$0 \$ \$0 \$ \$0 \$ \$0 \$ \$0 \$ \$0	\$5,653 \$500 \$1,5	20% 6.5 6.5 6.6 6.6 6.6 6.6 6.6 6.6 6.6 6.6	2 39 NO 6000 3 03 3 03 2 17 2 2 24 5 5 11 7 05 1 33 3 22 1 4 4 45	127,631 50,002 0 0 50,002 0 0 50,002 0 0 50,002	\$1006 NA.5 (\$5000 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100	42 \$1,177 50 \$0.00 52 \$0.00 52 \$0.00 52 \$0.00 52 \$0.00 52 \$0.00 53 \$0.00 54 \$0.00 55 \$45,50 56 \$0.00 52 \$0.00 53 \$0.00 54 \$0.00 55 \$0.00 56 \$0.00 57 \$0.00 58 \$0.00 58 \$0.00 58 \$0.00 58 \$0.00 58 \$0.00 58 \$0.00 58 \$0.00 58 \$0.00 59 \$0.00 50 \$	07 \$5.00 2 2 3 50.00 12 3 50.00 7 3 50.00 7 3 50.00 7 3 50.00 12 3 50.00 12 3 50.00 12 5	15	40 40 35 35 35 70 47 8 8 8 40 40 40 41 41 25 23	40 40 56 57 72 72 72 72 72 72 72 72 72 72 72 72 72	100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100%	100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100%	280 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0,105,555 788,373 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78	00 \$045,555,500 1 11,000 1 11,000 1 11,000 1 11,000 0 297,700,000 0 187,400 0 297,000 0 197,400 0 294,001 0 120,000	7 200 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 540,710 1,540,350 1,540,355 1,540,	7.584 11.605 10.105 11.605 10.105 11.605 10.105 11.605 10.105 11.605 10.105 11.605 10.105 11.605 10.105 11.605 11.
Efficiency Cortribos Efficiency Cortribos Flad System Conternation		Storage Control School Service Control School Service Control School Service Control Service C	or Digital Connects System State Annual System State Annual System State Annual System Coptional System Optional System New Capatines New May Capatines New Ma	140,581 113,681 2,569 72,549 81,776 0 14,011 14,011	2 1 279 Na-Capenard Spensor 279 Na-Capenard Spensor 279 Na-Capenard Spensor 279 Name and Limits Spensor 270 Name a	0 0 0 144,339 7,779 144,339 7,779 144,339 7,180 144,339 7,180 144,339 7,123 145,339 7,123	0 \$4.001 5 \$0 5 \$4.000	\$0 \$ \$0 \$ \$0 \$ \$0 \$ \$0 \$ \$0 \$ \$0 \$ \$0	55.643 \$5.00 \$5.00 \$1.00	20% 5.1 2.2 2.5 2.5 2.5 2.5 2.5 2.5 2.5 2.5 2.5	22 38 38 38 38 38 38 38 38 38 38 38 38 38	127Am 50002	\$10.04 19.5 10.0 10	4.2 \$1177 6 6 6 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8	37 \$5:00 2 2 3 5:00 2 3 5:00 3	00% 3 1% 9 00% 60 00% 80 0	40 40 35 35 35 70 47 8 8 8 40 40 40 41 41 25 23	40 55 55 79 8 4 43 64 64 64 65 12 55 12 12 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11	100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100%	100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100%	200 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	8:505.000 783.75 750 750 750 750 750 750 750 750 750 7	00 3045,505,505 11,006 11,006 11,006 11,006 10,007 11,006 10,007	7 200 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 563,703 1,560,560 6,154,660 557,744 562,567 202,560 202,560 202,560 202,560 202,601 0 4,665 346 346 346 347	7.00 11.00 10.00 11.00 1
Beneuery Corthols Flad Boston Deterration Flad Byston		Storacy Control Charles Florid Strates Control Storace Florid Strates Control Storace Florid Strates Control Control Storace Florid Strates Control Control Storace Strates Control Control Storace Strates Control Sto	Popula Counties System Sondy Michaelman Sondy Michaelman Sondy Michaelman Collemant System Sondy Michaelman	140,581 113,681 2,569 72,549 81,776 0 14,011 14,011	277 Na Cappared Springs 1779 Na Cappared Springs 1779 Na Cappared Springs 1779 Na Cappared Springs 1779 Na Cappared Springs 1770 Na Cappared Sp	3 0 4 14339 7.79 14439 7.89 14439 7.89 14439 7.89 14439 7.70 14439	0 \$4.615 5 \$4.600 5 \$4.600 5 \$4.600 5 \$4.0	\$0 \$ \$0 \$ \$0 \$ \$0 \$ \$0 \$ \$0 \$ \$0 \$ \$0	\$1,463 \$0.00	20% 0.1 20% 0.1 20% 0.1 20% 1.1 20% 1.2 20% 1.2 20% 1.2 20% 1.2 20% 1.2 20% 1.2 20% 0.5 20% 0.7 20%	22 3.0 100 without 13 3 0.3 12 12 12 13 14 15 15 15 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16	127,001 \$3,000 \$0 \$100	8004 95.5 4000 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	42 \$1.00 \$1.	27 8500 2 2 8500 17 3 8500 17 3 8500 17 3 8500 17 3 8500 18 3 8500	075. 3 15. 9 15. 9 16. 00 175.	40 40 35 35 35 70 47 8 8 8 40 40 40 41 41 25 23	40 56 79 4 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 4	100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100%	100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100%	200 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	8:05.050 703.77 105.0	00 3.045,35 3.04 3.05 3.04 3.05 3.04 3.05 3.04 3.05 3.04 3.05 3.05 3.05 3.05 3.05 3.05 3.05 3.05	7 200 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 1,000,000 0 1,000,000 0 1,000,000 0 1,000,000	9 1196 9 1196 10 1196
Efficiery Cortinols Efficiery Cortinols Flad Bystem Objetization Fl		Storacy Connect Schools Flash Storacy Connect Schools Flash Storacy Connect Schools Flash Storacy Connect Schools Compensate A Connect Schools Compensate A Connect Schools Compensate A Connect Schools Compensate A Connect School Connect Connect Schools Connect C	Popul Cores Spans Span Montal Spans Span Span Span Span Span Span Span Span	140,581 113,681 2,569 72,549 81,776 0 14,011 14,011	2779 Medican Indiana I	1	0 54511 5 50 5 54,600	\$0 \$ \$0 \$ \$0 \$ \$0 \$ \$0 \$ \$0 \$ \$0 \$ \$0	\$1,463 \$3.06 \$3.00 \$1.00	20% 5.1 0% 6.0 0% 1.0 20% 2.1 20% 2.2 20% 3.2 20% 4.1 20% 4.1 20% 5.1 20% 5.2 20% 5.2 20% 5.2 20% 5.3 20% 5	2 38 30 400 4000 4000 4000 4000 4000 4000	127Am \$1000 \$1,000	\$1000 115. \$2000 60.	4.0 \$1.00 \$1		900 3 3 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	40 40 35 35 35 70 47 8 8 8 40 40 40 41 41 25 23	40 56 79 6 79 6 79 6 79 6 79 6 79 6 79 6 7	100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100%	100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100%	200 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	8-1000 WHAT WAS A STATE OF THE	00 3404,551 11,000 111,000 111,000 100 100 100 100	7 200 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 549,700 1,800,300 8,514,400 60,507 60,507 60,507 60,507 60,505 607,500 6	9 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 10
Benezey Cortifolis Benezey Cortifolis Benezey Cortifolis Bald Buston Orderstation Fluid System		Streety Control School Street School	chyaric Connex Spanne Shari Michael Shari Mi	16,381 115,381 125,381 125,381 125,480 125,480 144,381	2779 Me-Operand Spanes Family Committee Commit	14,339 7,79 7,18	0 \$4.541 5 \$0 5 \$4.660 5 \$4.660 20 \$11,000 16 \$1,000 16 \$1,000 20 \$4.600 20	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$	\$1,463 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$1.40	20% 1.1 (1.1 (1.1 (1.1 (1.1 (1.1 (1.1 (1.1	12 28 28 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20	12281	100 11	42 \$4.17.1 \$6.0 \$6.0 \$6.0 \$6.0 \$6.0 \$6.0 \$6.0 \$6.0		900 3 3 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 4	60 36 36 36 36 36 36 36 36 36 36 36 36 36	100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100%	100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100%	280 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	\$1,000 (0.00) (0	00 A004,304 11,066 11,060 11,060 11,060 11,060 10,075,766 10,075,766 10,075,766 10,075,766 10,075,766 10,075,766 10,075,766 10,075,766 10,075,766 10,075,766 10,075,766 10,075,766 10,075,766 10,075,766 11,075,7	7 260 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	64,720 1,800,000 8,554,600 6,554,600 60,50	0 1100 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1
Efficiency Cortifolis Efficiency Cortifolis Flad Shotem Observation Flad System Opservation		Strategy Control School School Strategy Control School School Strategy Control School School School School School Strategy Control School Strategy Control School Strategy Control School S	Special Control Spirits Share discounts Special Control Spirits Share discounts And Spirits	140,581 113,681 2,569 72,549 81,776 0 14,011 14,011	2779 Managaman I passan Japan Sangaran Sangaran Sangaran Sangaran Japan Sangaran	14,339 7,79 7,18	0 \$4.541 5 \$0 5 \$4.660 5 \$4.660 20 \$11,000 16 \$1,000 16 \$1,000 20 \$4.600 20	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$	\$1,463 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$1.40	20% 1.1 (1.1 (1.1 (1.1 (1.1 (1.1 (1.1 (1.1	12 28 28 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20	12281	\$1000 115. \$2000 60.	42 \$4.17.1 \$6.0 \$6.0 \$6.0 \$6.0 \$6.0 \$6.0 \$6.0 \$6.0		900 3 3 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	40 40 35 35 35 70 47 8 8 8 40 40 40 41 41 25 23	60 36 36 36 36 36 36 36 36 36 36 36 36 36	100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100%	100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100%	280 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	8-1000 WHAT WAS A STATE OF THE	00 A004,304 11,066 11,060 11,060 11,060 11,060 10,075,766 10,075,766 10,075,766 10,075,766 10,075,766 10,075,766 10,075,766 10,075,766 10,075,766 10,075,766 10,075,766 10,075,766 10,075,766 10,075,766 11,075,7	7 260 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 549,700 1,800,300 8,514,400 60,507 60,507 60,507 60,507 60,505 607,500 6	9 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 10
Efficiery Cortinols Efficiery Cortinols Flad Bystem Deferration Flad Bernice Flood Bernice Flood Bernice Flood Bernice Flood Bernice Letting Efficiency		Strategy Control School School Strategy Control School School Strategy Control School School School School School Strategy Control School Strategy Control School Strategy Control School S	chyaric Connex Spanne Shari Michael Shari Mi	16,381 115,381 125,381 125,381 125,480 125,480 144,381	2779 Medigeneral Spanner Spann	\$ 14,000 \$7,70 \$7,00 \$7,	0 SAST 1 5 SO SS	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$	Color Colo	29% 6.00% 20% 20% 20% 20% 20% 20% 20% 20% 20%	12 28 28 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20	12281	\$1000 MA. (APPL) \$1000	42 \$4.17.1 \$6.0 \$6.0 \$6.0 \$6.0 \$6.0 \$6.0 \$6.0 \$6.0	87 8460 2 7 8 860 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	900 3 3 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 4	60 36 36 36 36 36 36 36 36 36 36 36 36 36	100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100%	100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100%	280 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	\$1,000 (0.00) (0	99 April 1997 April 19	7 260 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	60,700 66,700 1,600,540 1,600,540 600,640 6	9 1106 9 1106 10 1106 10 1106 10 10 1006 10 10 1006 10 10 1006 10 10 1006 10 10 1006 10 10 1006 10 10 1006 10 10 1006 10 10 1006 10 10 10 1006 10 10 10 1006 10 10 10 1006 10 10 10 1006 10 10 10 1006 10 10 1006 10 1
Efficiency Cortifolia Efficiency Cortifolia Flad System Collectation Flad System Collectation		Storage Control School School Storage Control School Storage Control School School Storage Control School Storage Control School Storage Control School School Storage Control School Sch	Chipal Carlons Spane San Assaria San Ass	16,381 115,381 125,381 125,381 125,480 125,480 144,381	2779 Medigeneral Spanner Spann	1	0 SAST 1 5 SO SS	\$0 \$ \$0 \$ \$0 \$ \$0 \$ \$0 \$ \$0 \$ \$0 \$ \$0	\$1,463 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$1.40	29% 6. 6. 6. 6. 6. 6. 6. 6. 6. 6. 6. 6. 6.	3 39 300 000 000 000 000 000 000 000 000	17787	No.	42 81171 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	### SAME ###	(S) 3 (S) 3 (S)	40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 4	40 40 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16	100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100%	100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100%	280 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	\$1,000 (0.00) (0	100 100	7 260 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	64,720 1,800,000 8,554,600 6,554,600 60,50	200 110000 11000 1
Emoistry Corthols Emoistry Corthols Flad Boston Deterration Flad Byston Deterration Flad Byst		Storage Control School Service Control Service	Chipal Content Spane See Assess See Asses Se	16,381 115,381 125,381 125,381 125,480 125,480 144,381	2779 Medicyment I plane i gwyr ac ym ar yn	1	0	\$0 \$ \$0 \$ \$0 \$ \$0 \$ \$0 \$ \$0 \$ \$0 \$ \$0	\$1.00 \$1.00	29% 5	2	17287 5500 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	No.	42 Secretary 5 Sec	87 Sales 2 2 3 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	(%) 2 (%) (%) (%) (%) (%) (%) (%) (%) (%) (%)	40 40 40 55 55 55 56 56 56 56 56 56 56 56 56 56	60 36 56 56 56 56 56 56 56 56 56 56 56 56 56	100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100%	100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100%	200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200	\$156.00 Apr. 1	19 1.000 1.0	7 (and) (b) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c	60 7 60 7 60 7 60 7 60 7 60 7 60 7 60 7	200 1100 1000 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100
Efficiency Controls Efficiency Controls Flad Bystem Deferration Light Byste		Storage Control School Service Control Service	Chypric Cores Spanie Spanie C	16.381 10.381 10.381 75.588 75.588 0 14.581 10 14.581 14.5	277 Section of the control of the co	7 14339 779 779 779 779 779 779 779 779 779	S	\$0 \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	No.	29% 5.0 (c)	22 38 300 40000 400000 1 3 2 2 2 1 3 2 4 1 1 1 2 2 4 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	17287	No.00 No.0	42 Services 52 Services 53 Services 54 Services 55 Se	87	(S) 2 (S)	60 63 64 65 65 65 65 65 65 65 65 65 65 65 65 65	40 36 40 36 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40	100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100%	\$1000. \$1000.	800 F	\$30,000 (0.000) \$ 1,000 \$ 1,	10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	7 (and) (b) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c	60,700 1,500,500	9 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 10
Efficiency Cortifols Efficiency Cortifols Flad Bystem Codercation Flad System Codercation Fl		Strategy Control Schools American American	Chipel Centre Spane See Assess See Asses See As	16.381 10.381 10.381 75.588 75.588 0 14.581 10 14.581 14.5	2779 Medican Springer	1	2	50 8 50 50 50 50 50 50	1640 1640 1640 1640 1640 1640 1640 1640	20% 5.1	2	177876 80000 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	March Marc	## Secretary Secretary ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ##		(%) 2 2 (%) (%) (%) (%) (%) (%) (%) (%) (%) (%)	60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 6	65 65 65 65 65 65 65 65	1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 100	100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100%	## 1	No.	00 5.000.000 11.0	7 (and) (b) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c	60,000 (60,000	100 100
Efficiency Cortifolis Efficiency Cortifolis Jadd Brosten Coffersation Fluid System Coffersation Fl		Consequence States Consequence S	Page Comen Spore That decimen Spore That Spore T	16.381 10.381 10.381 75.588 75.588 0 14.581 10 14.581 14.5	## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ##	7	B	50 8 50 50 50 50 50 50	1640 1640 1640 1640 1640 1640 1640 1640	100 100	2	177671 SHEEL 0 FORMULE 0 FORMULE 0 FORMULE 0 FORMULE 17767 SHEEL	March Marc	## \$1.00 \$1.		(%) 2 2 (%) (%) (%) (%) (%) (%) (%) (%) (%) (%)	61 62 63 64 64 64 64 64 64 64 64 64 64 64 64 64	65 65 65 65 65 65 65 65	1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 100	100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100%	## 1	8.000.000		7 300 10 10 10 10 10 10 1	6 (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1)	90 1106 1000 1106 1106 1106 1106 1106 11
Efficiency Coeffolio Efficiency Coeffolio Efficiency Coeffolio Efficiency End System Coefficience Fluid System Coefficience Lighting Efficiency		Consequence States Consequence S	Chipel Centre Spane See Assess See Asses See As	16.381 10.381 10.381 75.588 75.588 0 14.581 10 14.581 14.5	277 American de la companya del la companya de la companya del la companya de	1	B	50 8 50 50 50 50 50 50	1645	100 100	2	177671 SHEEL 0 FORMULE 0 FORMULE 0 FORMULE 0 FORMULE 17767 SHEEL	March Marc	## \$1.00 \$1.	07 83:00 2 2 7 83:00 2 7 8	(%) 2 2 (%) (%) (%) (%) (%) (%) (%) (%) (%) (%)	61 62 63 64 64 64 64 64 64 64 64 64 64 64 64 64	65 65 65 65 65 65 65 65	1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 100	100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100%	## 1	NORMAN NORMAN NORMAN		7 300 10 10 10 10 10 10 1	\$\\ \text{\$6.5\text{75}}\\ \$6.5\text{75	90 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1
Efficiency Cortifolia Efficiency Cortifolia Flad System Contentation		Consequence States Consequence S	Page Comen Spore That decimen Spore That Spore T	16.381 10.381 10.381 75.588 75.588 0 14.581 10 14.581 14.5	## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ##	7	B	50 8 50 50 50 50 50 50	1500 1500 1500 1500 1500 1500 1500 1500	100 100	2	177671 SHEEL 0 FORMULE 0 FORMULE 0 FORMULE 0 FORMULE 17767 SHEEL	March Marc	## \$1.00 \$1.	07 83:00 2 2 7 83:00 2 7 8	(%) 2 2 (%) (%) (%) (%) (%) (%) (%) (%) (%) (%)	61 62 63 64 64 64 64 64 64 64 64 64 64 64 64 64	65 65 65 65 65 65 65 65	1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 100	100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100%	## 1	8.000.000		7 300 10 10 10 10 10 10 1	6 (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1)	90 1106 1000 1106 1106 1106 1106 1106 11
Efficiency Controls Efficiency Controls Flad Bystem Deferration Light Bystem Deferration Lighting Efficiency Lighting Effici		Consequence States Consequence S	Page Comen Spore That decimen Spore That Spore T	16.381 10.381 10.381 75.588 75.588 0 14.581 10 14.581 14.5	277 Section 1 and	1	1	50 8 50 50 50 50 50 50	1500 1500 1500 1500 1500 1500 1500 1500	100 100	2	177671 SHEEL 0 FORMULE 0 FORMULE 0 FORMULE 0 FORMULE 17767 SHEEL	March Marc	## 2		(%) 2 2 (%) (%) (%) (%) (%) (%) (%) (%) (%) (%)	61 62 63 64 64 64 64 64 64 64 64 64 64 64 64 64	65 65 65 65 65 65 65 65	1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 100	100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100%	## 1	8-00-000		7 300 10 10 10 10 10 10 1	64000 1400000 140000 140000 140000 140000 140000 140000 1400000 1400000 1400000 140000 140000 140000 140000 140000 140000 140000 140000 140000 1400000	100 100
Efficiency Cortinols Efficiency Cortinols Flad System Colemanton Flad Syste		Consequence States Consequence S	Page Comen Spore That decimen Spore That Spore T	16.381 10.381 10.381 75.588 75.588 0 14.581 10 14.581 14.5	277 American de la companya del la companya de la companya de la companya del la compa	1	1	20	1500 1500 1500 1500 1500 1500 1500 1500	100 100	3	177,070 \$1000.00 7 \$20	March Marc	## 2 PATE PATE ## 2 PATE ## 2 PATE ## 3 PATE			61 62 63 64 64 64 64 64 64 64 64 64 64 64 64 64	65 65 65 65 65 65 65 65	100	100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100%	88 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8	NORTH- N	100 100	7 300 10 10 10 10 10 10 1	\$ 44000 \$ 1400	500 1500 1500 1500 1500 1500 1500 1500
Efficiency Cortifolia Efficiency Cortifolia Flad System Cofferication Fl		Consequence States Consequence S	Page Comen Spore That decimen Spore That Spore T	16.381 10.381 10.381 75.588 75.588 0 14.581 10 14.581 14.5	277 American de la companya del la companya de la companya del la companya de la companya del	1	1	20	1441	100 100	3	177,077 \$1000.00 7 \$1000.00 7 \$1000.00 10	Section Sect	## 2 PATE PATE ## 2 PATE ## 2 PATE ## 3 PATE			0		100	100 mm m	60	Notice Part Part	100 100	7 300 10 10 10 10 10 10 1	\$ 64,000 \$ 64,000	500 1500 1500 1500 1500 1500 1500 1500
Efficiency Cortinols Efficiency Cortinols Flad System Colemanton Flad Syste		Consequence States Consequence S	Page Comen Spore That decimen Spore That Spore T	16.381 10.381 10.381 75.588 75.588 0 14.581 10 14.581 14.5	277 Medican State I plant of the Common of State I plant	1	1	20	1444	100 100	3	177.071 SHORE 3. SHORE 3	Section Sect	## 2		(%) 2 2 (%) (%) (%) (%) (%) (%) (%) (%) (%) (%)	0		100	900 900 900 900 900 900 900 900 900 900	60	8-00-000	100 100	7 300 10 10 10 10 10 10 1	\$ 6,000 6,00	100 100
Efficiency Cortribo Efficiency Cortribo Flad System Celestration Flad		Consequence States Consequence S	Page Comen Spore That decimen Spore That Spore T	16.381 10.381 10.381 75.588 75.588 0 14.581 10 14.581 14.5	277 American de la companya del la companya de la companya del la companya de la companya del	1	1	20	1441	100 100	3	177,077 \$1000.00 7 \$1000.00 7 \$1000.00 10	Section Sect	## 2 PATE PATE ## 2 PATE ## 2 PATE ## 3 PATE		(%) 2 2 (%) (%) (%) (%) (%) (%) (%) (%) (%) (%)	0		100	900 900 900 900 900 900 900 900 900 900	60	8-00-000	100 100	7 300 10 10 10 10 10 10 1	\$ 6,000 6,00	100 100
Efficiency Cortifolia Efficiency Cortifolia Flad Bostom Observation Flad System Optimization Fla		Consequence States Consequence S	Page Comen Spore That decimen Spore That Spore T	16.381 10.381 10.381 75.588 75.588 0 14.581 10 14.581 14.5	277 American de la companya del la companya de la companya del la companya de la companya del	1	1	20	1441	100 100	3	177,077 \$1000.00 7 \$1000.00 7 \$1000.00 10	Section Sect	## 2 PATE PATE ## 2 PATE ## 2 PATE ## 3 PATE		(%) 2 2 (%) (%) (%) (%) (%) (%) (%) (%) (%) (%)	0		100	900 900 900 900 900 900 900 900 900 900	60	8-00-000	100 100	7 300 10 10 10 10 10 10 1	\$ 6,000 6,00	100 100
Efficiency Cortinols Efficiency Cortinols Flad Bystem Colemation Lighting Efficiency Lighting Effi		Consequence States Consequence S	Page Comen Spore That decimen Spore That Spore T	16.381 10.381 10.381 75.588 75.588 0 14.581 10 14.581 14.5	277 American de la companya del la companya de la companya del la companya de la companya del	1	1	20	1441	100 100	3	177,077 \$1000.00 7 \$1000.00 7 \$1000.00 10	Section Sect	## 2 PATE PATE ## 2 PATE ## 2 PATE ## 3 PATE		(%) 2 2 (%) (%) (%) (%) (%) (%) (%) (%) (%) (%)	0		100	900 900 900 900 900 900 900 900 900 900	60	8-00-000	100 100	7 300 10 10 10 10 10 10 1	\$ 6,000 6,00	100 100

Program	Sectric Measure throup (for programs with new 2000 measures only)	Sectric Measure Description	Efficient Product Description / St Rating	Missent Product Consumpt (water)	Efficient police Hours of Operation (broke)	State-line Product Description / Rating	Stateline Stateline Product Hours of Consumptio Operation	Measur • Rebate Lifetime Amount ()	Average Sacetine	Cost of Energy	ed Rebase as a Sast Not Sast Incremental	Increme" Incr Cost Co Payback Payl	rent1 Annual Cost Custome Back NWh	Rebated Rebated Cost/Cost KWh Saved	Customer Gene AW Savings Savi	rator k KW Non-Energy Oil ings Savings (S)	M Stergy Coincidence 20 Savings (S) Factor (N)	919 Participants 2019 Unit	2000 2000 Participants 2000	27A 250	Installation Rate	Resilization 201	9 NET Gen kW 2	2019 NET Gan 201 KWN I	9 Return 29 Indget	TTS incremental 2020 Costs (S)	NET Gen NW 2020 (KW) (difficen 2022 Re Wh Budg	date 2020 Incremental per Costs (II)
Lighting Efficiency		LED Traffic Ralis and Anows - 12' Red	LED Traffic Balls and Arrows 12"	11	(Nralyr) 4,380	Incandescent Traffic Stalls and	n (watte) (braily)	(pears) 20 525	(N) SO	Product (8) (\$200	7 28%	23 1	1.6 543	(\$490) KM 50000 50.000 \$0.002	0.1 0	w) 11 \$0.00	\$0.00 55%	1 6	1 1	100%	100%	100%		2,907	125	60			6 460
Lighting Efficiency		LED Traffic Stalls and Arrows - 8" Green	LED Traffic State and Arrows 6' Green LED Traffic State and Arrows 10'		4,380	Incandescent Traffic Sale and Annual of Green	69 4,380	20 \$32		\$70 \$0.0		3.6 1	1.9 267		0.1 0	10 \$0.00	\$0.00 43%	1 6	1	100%	100%	100%	0	1,430	190	260	0 1	400 100	0 260
Lighting Efficiency		LED Traffic Balls and Anows - 12' Green LED Traffic Amous - 12' Red	Green LED Traffic Arous 12" Red	11	4,380	Arous 12' Green Incandescent Traffic Rails and Arous 12' Green Incandescent Traffic Arous 12' Red	135 4,380 135 4,380	20 \$32 20 \$60						\$0.069 \$0.003 \$0.092 \$0.006			\$0.00 43% \$0.00 90%	1 5	1 1	100%	100%	100%	1	2,907	190	450		907 160 907 250	
Lighting Efficiency Lighting Efficiency Lighting Efficiency		LSD Parking Garage lighting - 25th - 60th	LED Parking Garage Fixture	41 71			179 8,760 280 8,760	20 \$136 20 \$150	\$0 \$0	\$352 \$01 \$425 \$01	7 38%	40 2	2.5 1,208 2.1 1,829	\$0.112 \$0.006 \$0.082 \$0.004	0.1 0	11 \$0.00	90.00 100% 90.00 100%	4 500 5 700	4 5	0 100% 0 100%	100%	100%	74	646,626 1,370,718	97,500 105,000	175,837 297,259	74 6 197 1.3	6,606 67,6 70,718 106.0	00 175,637 000 297,259
Lighting Efficiency		LED Parking Garage lighting - 6119 - 8009 Imagnal Occupancy Sensor - 1 per fixture and installed as manufacturer cotion	Lab Planing Galanges - score Lighting Finure with Imagral Occupancy Sensor	35	5,335	HID - HPS, MH, Mr, PSMH Lighting Fisture with Minual Stellich	51 5,336	8 \$20		\$38 \$04			3.2 81				-\$0.44 84%	B 1,000	8 13	00 100%	100%	100%	14		20,000	27,500	14 8	1,826 20,0	
Lighting Efficiency Lighting Efficiency		manufacturer corlors integral Photo Sensor – 1 per fisture and installed as a manufacturer corlors integral Occupancy & Photo Sensor – 1 per fisture and	Lighting Flature with Integral Photo Sensor Lighting Flature with Integral	21	5,335	Lighting Fidure with Minusel Switch Lighting Fidure with Minusel	51 5,306 51 5,306	8 50 8 528		\$13 \$0.0 \$50 \$0	7 64% \$1	1.9 G		\$0.084 \$0.011 \$0.082 \$0.003			-\$0.52 54% -\$0.58 54%	8 1,000 4 500		00 100% 0 100%	100%	100%	16		8,000 14,000	12,500		1,875 8,00 7,139 14,0	
Lighting Efficiency		LED High-Say Luminaines - 95 - 199W	LED High Ray 95-199W	197	5,336	HD Fasure on 250W or Fluorescent	436 5,236	20 \$135		\$400 \$0.0			2.9 1,378				47.54 84%	7 938	7 9		100%	100%			126,563	380,552			563 380,552
Lighting Efficiency Lighting Efficiency		LED High-Ray Luminaines - 190 - 290W LED High-Ray Luminaines - 291 - 464W	LED High Say 190-290W	464	5,336 5,336	Phorescent HD Fature 750W or	511 5,335 1,027 5,335				P 22%			\$0.132 \$0.007 \$0.065 \$0.003			-96.24 84% -916.75 84%	S 563	5 5	D 100%	100%	100%	109		94,375	383,525 585,875		6,862 84,3 13,012 112,5	75 383,525 500 586,875
Lighting Efficiency Lighting Efficiency		LED High-Bay Luminahes - 465 - 625W Result Kits for LED High-Bay Luminahes - 55 - 185W	LED High Say 465-625W	Ø1	5,335 5,335	HD Fagure on 1000W or	1,394 5,395	20 \$250	\$0	\$1,541 \$0.0	7 16%	6.5 1	5.4 3,537	\$0.071 \$0.004	0.7 0	16 \$0.00	-\$19.36 84%	2 269	2 2	B 100%	100%	100%	160	1,017,605	67,199	414,265	160 1,0	17,605 67,1	88 414,265
Lighting Efficiency Lighting Efficiency		Result Kits for LED High-Bay Luminaires - 65 - 189W Result Kits for LED High-Bay Luminaires - 190 - 290W	I ED Main Day Swendtrik's 190.	177	5,336	HD Facure on 400W or	436 5,335 663 5,335	20 \$40 20 \$60		\$322 \$0.0 \$427 \$0.0			2.0 1,378 2.8 1,962			12 \$0.00	-\$7.54 54% -\$10.74 54%	2 188	2 1	B 100%	100%	100%	62		1,500 9,375	12,088		1,50 9,824 9,33	
Lighting Efficiency		Result Kits for LED High-Bay Luminaires - 291 - 464W	290W LED High Bay Resolt Kit 291- 666W	451	5,336	HD Fature on 750W or Fluorescent	1,027 5,306	20 \$80		\$549 \$0.0				\$0.006 \$0.001	0.6 0		-\$16.75 84%	2 188	2 1	B 100%	100%	100%			15,000	106,689		4,547 15,0	
Lighting Efficiency Lighting Efficiency Lighting Efficiency		Result Kits for LED High-Bay Luminaires - 685 - 625W LED Tube Type A 2 foor	LED 2 Foot Tube Installs	671 21	5,336 5,336 5,336	Plugrescent Flugrescent Lamps	1,334 5,335 32 5,335	20 \$160 8 \$2	\$0 \$6	\$760 \$0.0 \$4 \$0.0	7 48%	3.2 £	2.5 2,537 0.5 59	\$0.045 \$0.002 \$0.034 \$0.004	0.7 0	10 \$0.00	419.36 54% -93.32 54%	2 156 54 7,500	2 5 54 7,1	6 100% 00 100%	100%	100%	90 76	591,631 676,636	25,000 15,000	119,218 21,329	76 40	1,621 25,0 6,436 15,0	00 21,329
Lighting Efficiency		LED Tube Type C 2 foot LED Tube Type A 4 foot	Remote Kits LED 4 Foot Tube Instalt	11 22	5,336 5,336	Fluorescent Lamps Fluorescent Lamps	20 5,336 34 5,336	8 \$5 8 \$2	\$0 \$3	\$22 \$0.0 \$6 \$0.0	7 22%	7.2 1	5.6 44 0.9 67	\$0.113 \$0.014 \$0.090 \$0.004	0.0 0	10 \$0.00	-90.24 84% -90.36 84%	54 7,500 143 20,000	54 7,1 143 20,	00 100%	100%	100%	56 225	365,739 1,427,800	37,500 40,000	162,376 120,301	56 26 225 1,4	6,739 37,6 27,800 40,0	80 162,375 80 120,301
Lighting Efficiency Lighting Efficiency		LED Tube Type C 4 foot	LED 4 Foot Tube Excernal Driver Samula Kits LED Smeet Light Feature LED Smeet Light Feature	22	5,336	Fluorescent Lamps	36 5,336	8 \$5 20 \$30	\$0 \$0	\$25 \$0.0 \$365 \$0.0	7 20%	50 4	4.0 25	\$0.067 \$0.008 \$0.110 \$0.000	0.0 0	10 \$0.00	-90.41 84% 90.00 cm	143 20,000	143 20,	000 100%	100%	100%	262 A	1,601,062	100,000	501,600 19,781	292 1,6	01,062 100,0	000 S01,600
Lighting Efficiency Lighting Efficiency		LED Seer lighting - 30-44W LED Seer lighting - 45-55W		80	4,903 1	100W HPS Street Light Flaure	128 4,903	20 \$60	\$0	\$418 \$0.0	7 10%	15.0 1	13.6 302	\$0.105 \$0.006	0.1 0	10 \$0.00	\$0.00 0%	1 60	1 6	0 100%	100%	100%	0	20,673	2,000	20,904	0 2	2,673 2,00	20,824
Lighting Efficiency Lighting Efficiency		LED Street lighting - 56-79W LED Street lighting - 80-109W	LED Street Light Flature LED Street Light Flature	75 104	4,903	150W HID Figure 179W HID Figure	191 4,903 202 4,903	20 \$60 20 \$75	\$0	\$464 \$0.0 \$518 \$0.0	P 11% P 14%	12.2 1	10:9 522 12.7 479	\$0.096 \$0.006 \$0.157 \$0.008	0.1 G	10 \$0.00	90.00 C% 90.00 C%	2 250 1 50	1 1	0 100% 0 100%	100%	100%	0	139,725 25,598	12,500	116,007 25,881	0 1:	9,725 12,6 LS98 3,71	00 116,007 50 25,891
Lighting Efficiency Lighting Efficiency		LED Street Splaing - 110-12997 LED Street Splaing - 140-20997	LED Street Light Flature LED Street Light Flature	964	4,903	250W HID Figure 400W HID Figure	450 4,903	20 \$125	90 90	\$606 \$01	7 20%	61 4	4.9 1,604	\$0.000 \$0.004	0.3 0	10 \$0.00	90.00 0%	1 125	1 1	5 100% 5 100%	100%	100%	0	197,997	15,625	78,260	0 1	7,697 15,6	00 96,007 05 76,000
Lighting Efficiency Lighting Efficiency Lighting Efficiency		LED Ama lighting - 45-458Y LED Ama lighting - 66-469Y LED Ama lighting - 90-119W	LED Street Light Flature LED Street Light Flature LED Street Light Flature	79	4,903	150W MH Fature 135W MH Fature 250W MH Fature	210 4,903 296 4,903	20 \$125 20 \$150	\$0 \$0	\$620 \$0.0 \$586 \$0.0	7 24%	11.0 6	8.3 660 6.4 994	\$0.192 \$0.010 \$0.191 \$0.008	0.1 0	10 \$0.00	\$0.00 0% \$0.00 0%	2 150 2 200	2 1	0 100% 0 100%	100%	100%		104,333 200,005	18,750	78,009	0 10	4,339 19,7 0,005 30,0	50 79,009 00 117,249
Lighting Efficiency Lighting Efficiency		LED Awa lighting - 120-140W LED Traffer Floure 186	LED Street Light Flature LED Traffer Flature	190 45	4,903 5,326	400W MH Fileure Fluorescent Fileure	456 4,903 91 5,305	20 \$175 20 \$60	\$0 \$0	\$649 \$0.0 \$229 \$0.0	7 27%	5.6 4 13.4 5	4.1 1,598 10.4 245	\$0.109 \$0.006 \$0.204 \$0.010	0.0 0	10 \$0.00 10 \$0.00	\$0.00 0% -\$1.34 84%	3 300 4 450	3 3	0 100% 0 100%	100%	100%	19	\$13,398 118,066	52,500 22,500	194,627 100,126	0 St	3,398 52,5 8,066 22,5	00 194,627 00 100,126
Lighting Efficiency Lighting Efficiency		LED Traffer Fiscure 2102 LED Traffer Fiscure 2108	LED Traffer Flature LED Traffer Flature	29 43	5,336 5,336	Ruorescent Flature	73 5,336 122 5,336	20 \$60 20 \$60	\$0 \$0	\$197 \$0.0 \$241 \$0.0	7 25% 7 21%	15.8 1 11.3 6	11.8 194 8.9 217	\$0.271 \$0.014 \$0.158 \$0.008	0.0 0 0.1 0	10 \$0.00 11 \$0.00	-\$1.01 54% -\$1.72 54%	21 4,250 24 3,250	31 4,3 34 3,3	50 100% 50 100%	100%	100%	132 174	839,038 1,101,390	212,500 NE2,500	836,494 783,853	132 80 134 1,1	9,038 212,0 21,390 162,0	500 836,494 500 793,853
Lighting Efficiency	<u> </u>	LED Trother Remode Vice 1564 LED Trother Remode Vice 2502	LED Tothe Figure - Renalt Kt LED Tothe Figure - Renalt Kt	36	5,336 5,336	Fluorescent Flature Fluorescent Flature Fluorescent Flature	72 5,836 71 5,836	20 \$30	\$0 60	\$106 \$0.0 \$166 \$0.0	7 28% 7 18%	7.7 1	5.5 203	\$0.148 \$0.007 \$0.167 \$0.008	0.0 0	10 \$0.00 10 \$0.00	-\$1.11 84% -\$0.99 84%	1 20	1 3	0 100% 0 100%	100%	100%	1 2	4,346 57,843	9,000	2,120	1 .	346 60 (346 80	0 2,120
Lighting Efficiency Lighting Efficiency		LED Traffer Remote Kit 2006	LED Troffer Flature - Remote Kit	58	5,236	Puorescent Flature Fluorescent Flature	115 5,335	20 500	\$0	\$180 \$0.0		92 1	7.7 204	\$0.000 \$0.000	0.1 0	11 \$0.00	-91.67 B4%	22 1,000	22 3,0	00 100%	100%	100%	154		90,000	69,309 564,831	154 60	7,968 90,0	00 564,921
Lighting Efficiency Lighting Efficiency		LED Exterior Wall Pack - co 25W LED Exterior Wall Pack - 26W - 60W	LED Wall Pack Flature LED Wall Pack Flature	19 44	4,903 4,903	HD Wall Pack Fisture HD Wall Pack Fisture	98 4,909 218 4,909	20 \$35 20 \$75	\$0 \$0	\$248 \$0.0 \$306 \$0.0	7 14% 7 23%	8.6 1 5.2 4	7.4 395 4.0 853	\$0.089 \$0.004 \$0.088 \$0.004	0.1 0 0.2 0	10 \$0.00 10 \$0.00	\$0.00 0% \$0.00 0%	2 200 4 760	2 2 6 7	0 100% 0 100%	100%	100% 100%	0	94,510 684,597	7,000 56,350	49,601 264,376	0 8	6,510 7,00 4,587 56,2	00 49,601 50 244,376
Lighting Britishnoy Lighting Efficiency		LED Exerior Wall Pack - 61W - 150W LED Parking Gerage Wall Pack <= 25W	LED Wall Pack Flature LED Panking Garage Flature	901 18		HD Wall Pack Flature HD Wall Pack Flature	416 4,903 99 8,760	20 \$100 20 \$35	\$0 \$0	\$496 \$0.0 \$279 \$0.0	7 12%	6.4 S	3.5 1,546 4.7 710	\$0.065 \$0.000 \$0.069 \$0.000	0.9 0 0.1 0	10 \$0.00 11 \$0.00	\$0.00 0% \$0.00 100%	2 250 1 25	1 3	0 100% 5 100%	100%	100%	2	413,967 18,967	25,000 875	124,091 6,964	2 1	3,847 25,0 1,997 871	00 134,031 5 6,964
Lighting Efficiency Lighting Efficiency		LED Parking Garage Wall Pack - 26W - 150W LED Parking Garage Wall Pack - 6YW - 150W	LED Parking Garage Future LED Parking Garage Future	44 94		HD Wall Pack Fidure HD Wall Pack Fidure	219 8,760 410 8,760	20 \$75 20 \$100	\$0 \$0	\$379 \$0.0 \$566 \$0.0	r 20% r 18%	28 2	2.7 1,530 2.3 2,771	\$0.049 \$0.002 \$0.006 \$0.002	0.2 0	12 \$0.00 13 \$0.00	\$0.00 100% \$0.00 100%	1 25	1 3	b 100% 0 100%	100%	100%	10	40,941 98,969	1,675	9,443 16,995	5 4	2941 1,83 1,999 2,00	0 8,443 0 14,996
Lighting Efficiency Lighting Efficiency		LED Ountoor Canopy lighting - SSW - 600V LED Ountoor Canopy lighting - 61W - 150W	LED	103	4,903	Metal Holide Metal Holide	454 4,903 21 5,649	20 \$100 20 \$125 5 \$6	\$0	\$300 \$00 \$300 \$00	7 38%	26 1	1.6 1,719 2.4 54	\$0.073 \$0.004 \$0.073 \$0.004	0.4 0	10 \$0.00 10 \$0.00	\$0.00 0% \$0.00 0% \$0.00 82%	4 800 146 20,400	6 8	0 100% 0 100%	100%	100% 100%	0	1,472,650	7,500 100,000 102,000	262,520 262,520 294,372	0 1,4	72,660 7,00 72,660 100,0 79,794 102,0	00 262,520 000 262,520 000 294,372
Lighting Efficiency Lighting Efficiency		LED Interior Lamp - A Lamps LED Interior Lamp - PAR20, R20	LED temp		5,649	Lamo Halogen, Incandescent, or CFL	27 5,649	5 \$10	\$6	\$13 \$01	7 75%	1.7 0	0.4 507	\$0.093 \$0.017	0.0 0	10 \$0.00	\$0.00 82%	16 20,400	15 2,0	60 100% 60 100%	100%	100%	34	293,628	20,400	27,183	36 25	3,628 20,4	00 27,193
Lighting Efficiency		LED Interior Lamp - PAR30	LED lamp	13	5,649	laisgen, incandescent, or CFL Lamo	43 5,649 37 5,649	5 \$15		\$21 \$0.0 \$11 \$0.0		1.7	0.5 171	\$0.088 \$0.016	0.0 0	10 \$0.00	\$0.00 82%	59 8,160 41 5,610			100%	100%	218	1,493,879	122,400	171,197			00 171,197 00 61,007
Lighting Efficiency Lighting Efficiency	-	LED Interior Lamp - BR30 LED Interior Lamp - PAR38	LED tamp	17	5,649	Lamo Biogen, incandescent, or CFL	57 5,649	5 900	\$8 \$6	\$11 \$0.0 \$30 \$0.0		1.0 0	0.6 228	\$0.068 \$0.012 \$0.088 \$0.016	0.0 0	10 \$0.00	\$0.00 82% \$0.00 82%	41 5,610		10 100% 20 100%	100%	100%	218	1,692,517	122,400	192,835		1,170 56,1	
Lighting Efficiency		LED Interior Lamp - BR40	LED temp	15	5,549	Bildgen, Incandescent, or CFL Lamo Bildgen, Incandescent, or CFL	51 5,649 22 5,649	5 \$20 5 \$6			7 92% 7 55%	1.5 0	0.1 203	\$0.099 \$0.018 \$0.099 \$0.013			\$0.00 82% \$0.00 82%	6 765 1 61	6 7	5 100% 1 100%	100%	100%	24		16,300	16,009		6,621 15,3 ,695 368	00 16,669
Lighting Efficiency Lighting Efficiency		LED Interior Lamp - PAR 16 LED Interior Lamp - MR16	LED tamp	6	5,649		27 5,649	5 310		\$11 \$0.0 \$16 \$0.0		1.8 0	0.7 120	\$0.084 \$0.017			90.00 B2% 90.00 B2%	66 9,180	66 92		100%	100%	172		91,800	145,697		N,671 91,6	
Lighting Efficiency		LED Interior Lamp - GU10	LED temp		5,649	Bildgen, Incandescent, or CFL Lamo	19 5,649 30 5,649	5 \$10	\$2	\$13 \$04 \$19 \$04		2.5 0 1.9 1	0.5 70	\$0.142 \$0.006 \$0.005 \$0.008	0.0 0		\$0.00 82% \$0.00 82%	1 100	1 9	2 100% 75 100%	100%	100%	1	7,661 193,452	1,000	1,292	1 3	9,452 6,31	1,292
Lighting Efficiency Lighting Efficiency Lighting Efficiency		LED Interior Lamp - Decorative (R, SA, Candle) LED Interior Screw in Figure Resolit	LED lamp	15	642	Lamo Biogen, incandescent, or CFL Conse	29 5,649	6 \$15	\$15		7 81%		0.4 194			10 \$0.00	90.00 82%	1 102	1 9		100%	100%	2		1,590	1,891	2 1	8,452 6,33 6,613 1,53	
Lighting Efficiency Lighting Efficiency		Fluorescent Low Wattage Till 4' lamps Fluorescent High Ray - <= 300W	T8 25W and 28W Lamps New Construction High Bay	31 323	5,336 5,336	Til 32W Lamps 400W Mesi Halide	37 5,336 568 5,336	5 \$1 20 \$10	\$179	\$4 \$0.0 \$90 \$0.0	F 13%	1.0 0	1.5 36 0.9 1,306	\$0.015 \$0.003 \$0.008 \$0.000	0.0 0	12 \$0.00	-93.19 84% -97.15 84%	72 10,000 1 30	72 10,	000 100%	100%	100%	58 7	966,530 41,697	300	40,000	7 4	6,530 5,00 1,837 30	0 40,000
Liebtine Pffinieren		Fluorescent High Stay - c= 610W	New Construction High Ray Fluorescents Less Than 60000	596	5,336	750W Metal Halide	1,059 5,305	20 \$15		\$134 \$0.0	7 11%	0.8 0	0.7 2,467	\$0.006 \$0.000	0.5 0	14 \$0.00	-\$13.50 84%	4 500	4 9	0 100%	100%	100%	208	1,320,538	7,500	66,875	208 1,5	20,538 7,50	
Lighting Efficiency Lighting Efficiency		Flucrescent High Bay - cu 900W CRL, Pin Based - cu 1989	Page State Communication Commu	762 20	5,335 5,335	1000W Metal Halide Incandescent	1,345 5,335 49 5,335	20 \$20 20 \$2	\$360	\$159 \$0.0 \$9 \$0.0	F 13% F 22%	0.9 0	0.6 3,110	\$0.006 \$0.000 \$0.013 \$0.001	0.0 0	10 \$0.00	\$0.00 100% -\$0.84 84%	1 134	1 1	H 100%	100%	100%	0	466,163 658	2,680	21,306	0 0	6,163 2,66 658 8	21,306 37
Lighting Efficiency		CR., Pin Sased - 19-32W CR., Pin Sased - 33-100W	Pin Gased CFL Pin Gased CFL	44 37	5,335 5,335	Incandescent Incandescent	67 5,335 92 5,335	20 \$2 20 \$2	\$40 \$41	\$12 \$0.0 \$12 \$0.0	7 16% 7 16%	06 0	0.5 266 0.5 265	\$0.007 \$0.000 \$0.007 \$0.000	0.1 G	10 \$0.00	-\$1.56 84% -\$1.61 84%	3 305 4 500	3 3 4 9	6 100% 0 100%	100%	100%	15 25	93,320 157,812	1,000	2,703 6,170	25 9 26 11	7,812 1,00	0 3,703 30 4,170
Lighting Efficiency Lighting Efficiency		CRL, 3-toor Low Wattage - 25 - 28W LED Interior Figure - c= 25 Watts	PL 25th CPL LED Downlight Luminaire	21	5,335	PL 40W CPL Incardescent Luminaire	54 5,335 54 5,335	20 \$25	\$27 \$27	\$72 \$01	7 25%	61 4	40 176	\$0.142 \$0.007	0.0 0	10 \$0.00	-90.96 54%	22 3,000	22 3/	100%	100%	100%	80	963,762 963,762	75,000	217,085	80 50	2762 75,0	00 217,065
Lighting Efficiency		LED Retrigerated Case Lighting	LED Strip lighting	51 44	8,760 8,760	TB or T12 Fluorescent	139 8,760 177 8,760	20 \$35 20 \$25	\$19	\$145 \$0.0 \$108 \$0.0	7 24%	26 1	1.9 771 1.0 1166	\$0.045 \$0.000 \$0.001 \$0.001	0.1 0	11 \$0.00	\$0.00 100% \$0.00 100%	9 1,200	9 13	00 100% 0 100%	100%	100%	114 57	991,068 499,729	10,000	173,484 43,210	114 96 57 41	1,068 42,0 8,729 10,0	00 173,484 00 49,210
Lighting Efficiency		LED Refrigerend Case Lighting LED Parking Garage lighting 2019 - 6099 LED Parking Garage lighting 6799 - 8209 LED High-Rey Luminaines - 85 - 18099	LED Parking Garage Fixture LED High Bay New Construction	72 177	8,760 5,336	HD Facus HPS Facus to 250V	275 8,760 327 5,336	20 \$35 20 \$125	\$290 \$200	\$137 \$00 \$361 \$00	7 25%	1.1 G	0.8 1,778 4.2 802	\$0.000 \$0.001 \$0.156 \$0.008	0.2 0 0.2 0	12 \$0.00 11 \$0.00	\$0.00 100% -\$4.39 84%	2 275	2 2	100% S 100%	100%	100%	0 27	236,061	0 34,9%	0 96,498	0 37 25	0 0	0 00,498
Lighting Efficiency		LED High-Ray Luminaires - 190 - 290W	LED High Bay New Construction 190-290W	299	5,336	HPS Fature <= 400W	549 5,335	20 \$140		\$600 \$0.0			4.8 1,642	\$0.097 \$0.006	0.9 0	12 \$0.00	-97.89 84%	2 275	2 2	S 100%	100%	100%	67		38,500	197,123		4,574 20,5	00 167,123
Lighting Efficiency Lighting Efficiency		LED High-Ray Luminaines - 291 - 464W LED High-Ray Luminaines - 465 - 625W	190-200V LED High Ray New Construction 261-464W LED High Ray New Construction	475 475		HPS Figure co 750W HPS Figure co 1000W	1,046 5,335 1,370 5,336	20 \$165 20 \$175		\$954 \$0.0 \$1,552 \$0.0			3.8 3,074 5.5 3,708			15 \$0.00 14 \$0.00	-\$16.60 54% -\$20.29 54%	2 275	2 2		100%	100%			45,375 26,350	262,423 232,790		6,154 45,3 6,465 26,2	75 262,423 50 232,790
Lighting Efficiency		LED Street lighting - 30-64W LED Street lighting - 45-55W	LED Street Light Flature LED Street Light Flature	37	4,903	70W HD Street Light Flaure 100W HPS Street Light Flaure	90 4,903	20 \$15	\$236 \$340	\$160 \$0.0 \$170 \$0.0	7 9%	85 3	7.7 258	\$0.068 \$0.000 \$0.065 \$0.000	0.1 0	10 \$0.00 10 \$0.00	\$0.00 0% \$0.00 0%	1 50	1 5	0 100% 0 100%	100%	100%	0	13,824	750 1,260	7,979 8,919	0 1	1,824 75 1,673 1,25	0 7,978 50 8,919
Lighting Efficiency Lighting Efficiency		LED Street lighting - 56-79W	LED Street Light Flours	75	4,903	150W HID Street Light Floure	192 4,903	20 \$35	\$248	\$217 \$0.0	7 16%	5.7	4.8 522	\$0.067 \$0.003	0.1 0	10 \$0.00	\$0.00 0%	2 250	2 2	0 100%	100%	100%	0	139,852	8,750	54,185	0 12	9,852 8,71	SD 54,195
Lighting Efficiency Lighting Efficiency		LED Seet lighting - 80-109W LED Seet lighting - 110-129W	LED Street Light Flature LED Street Light Flature	106	4,903	175W HD Street Light Floure	203 4,909 288 4,909	20 \$65 20 \$65		\$264 \$0.0 \$217 \$0.0	F 21%	7.5 6 5.8 4	6.0 479 4.6 743	\$0.115 \$0.006 \$0.087 \$0.004	0.1 0	10 \$0.00	\$0.00 O% \$0.00 O%	1 50	2 4	0 100% S 100%	100%	100%	0	25,627 139,301	2,760	13,194		6807 2,70 9,301 11,3	
Lighting Efficiency		LED Street lighting - 140-209W	LED Street Light Flature LED Parking Area Flature	964 55	4,903		450 4,903 168 4,903	20 \$85 20 \$65	\$290 \$222	\$346 \$01 \$240 \$01	7 25% 7 22%	3.4 ±	2.5 1,404 4.7 554	\$0.061 \$0.000 \$0.099 \$0.006	0.9 0 0.1 0	10 \$0.00	\$0.00 0% \$0.00 0%	1 125 1 50	1 5	5 100% 0 100%	100%	100% 100%	0	187,897 29,659	10,625	43,276 12,163	0 11	7,897 10,6 1659 2,71	05 43,276 50 12,163
Lighting Efficiency Lighting Efficiency Lighting Efficiency Lighting Efficiency		LEO Area Sottino - 45-45/W LEO Area Sottino - 60-99/W LEO Area Sottino - 90-118W	LED Parking Area Florure LED Parking Area Florure	78 106	4,903 4,903	175W MH Figure 250W MH Figure	139 4,903 275 4,903	20 \$65 20 \$75	\$290 \$290	\$230 \$04 \$296 \$04	7 28% 7 25%	5.8 4 4.9 3	4.1 547 3.6 836	\$0.119 \$0.006 \$0.090 \$0.004	0.1 0 0.2 0	10 \$0.00 10 \$0.00	\$0.00 0% \$0.00 0%	1 75 1 100	1 3	£ 100% 0 100%	100%	100% 100%	0	43,899 89,503	4,875 7,500	17,355 29,625	0 4	1,899 4,87 8500 7,50	17,355 50 29,625
Lighting Efficiency Lighting Efficiency		LED Awa lighting - 120-140W LED Trother Floure 15th	LED Parking Area Fisture LED Troffer Fisture	50 45	4,900 5,335	Florescent Flores	435 4,903 91 5,335 73 5,996	20 \$85 20 \$30	\$298 \$50	\$172 \$04 \$172 \$04	7 17% F 400	32 2 10.4 6	8.6 245	\$0.007 \$0.000 \$0.122 \$0.006 \$0.160 ************************************	0.0 0.0	50.00 10 \$0.00	\$1.00 0% -\$1.34 84%	2 150 1 100	1 5	100% 0 100%	100%	100% 100%	4 20	260,760 26,227	1,000 1,000	17,368 100 tot	4 2	12,7 1,237 3,00 4,187	60,613 00 17,008
Liahtina Efficiency Liahtina Efficiency Liahtina Efficiency		LED Traffer Figure 2X2 LED Traffer Figure 2X8 LED Elector Wall Pack - to 25W	LED Traffer Facure LED Wall Pack Facure	63	5,336 4,903	Fluorescent Flature Fluorescent Flature HD Wall Pack Flature	73 5,336 122 5,336 104 4,933	20 \$30 20 \$45	\$54 \$223	\$186 \$04 \$27 \$4.0	7 16% 7 56%	8.7 3	7.3 317 0.4 419	\$0.095 \$0.005 \$0.006 \$0.000	0.1 0 0.1 A	11 \$0.00 10 \$0.00	-\$1.72 84% \$0.00 0%	22 3,000 1 36	22 3,0 1 3	00 100% 5 100%	100%	100% 100%	160	1,016,667	90,000	555,111 2,019	160 1,6	16,667 90,0 16,621 111	00 555,111 S 2.016
Lighting Efficiency Lighting Efficiency		LED Exertor Wall Pack - 50W - 50W LED Exertor Wall Pack - 50W - 50W LED Exertor Wall Pack - 61W - 150W	LED Wall Pack Fature LED Wall Pack Fature	48	4,903	HD Wall Pack Flature HD Wall Pack Flature	233 4,903 423 4,903	20 \$30	\$264 \$298	\$75 \$0.0 \$200 \$0.0	7 40%	1.1 0	0.7 900 1.4 1,567	\$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.002 \$0.000	0.2 0	10 \$0.00	\$0.00 0% \$0.00 0%	3 300 1 100	3 3	0 100% 0 100%	100% 100%	100%	0	290,127 167,774	9,000 5,000	22,567 20,616	0 21	0,127 9,00 7,774 5,00	20 22,567 20 20,616
Lighting Efficiency Lighting Efficiency		LED Parking Garage Wall Pack <= 25W LED Parking Garage Wall Pack <= 26W - 46W	LED Parking Garage Future LED Parking Garage Future	17	8,760 8,760	HD Wall Pack Flature HD Wall Pack Flature	92 8,760 210 8,760	20 \$15 20 \$30	\$198 \$234	\$77 \$0.0 \$139 \$0.0	F 19%	1.6 1	1.3 660 1.0 1,667	\$0.000 \$0.001 \$0.000 \$0.001	0.1 0 0.2 0	11 \$0.00 12 \$0.00	\$0.00 100% \$0.00 100%	1 1	1 1	100% 0 100%	100% 100%	100% 100%	0 2	707 15,708	15 300	77 1,386	2 1	207 15 1,708 30	0 1,396
Lighting Efficiency Lighting Efficiency Lighting Efficiency		LED Parking Garage Wall Pack - 61W - 150W LED Outdoor Canopy - 25W - 60W	LED Parking Garage Fixture LED	106	8,760 4,903	HD Wall Pack Fisture Metal Holide	421 8,760 202 4,903	20 \$60 20 \$60	\$294 \$206	\$319 \$0.0 \$146 \$0.0	7 16% 7 36%	1.6 1 2.5 1	1.9 2,762 1.6 760	\$0.018 \$0.001 \$0.063 \$0.003	0.2 0	12 \$0.00 10 \$0.00	\$0.00 100% \$0.00 0%	1 15	1 1	5 100% 0 100%	100% 100%	100% 100%	0	44,354	750 2,500	4,787 7,865	5 4 0 4	1,354 75 2,430 2,50	0 4,797 30 7,266
Lighting Efficiency Lighting Efficiency		LED Outdoor Canopy - 6169 - 150W Lighting Corerol System	LED Automated Lighting Controls Gustames	103 78,412	4,903 2,613	Metal Holide Manually Switched System	454 4,909 84,111 2,613	20 \$100 15 \$2,280		\$66 \$0.0 \$18,278 \$0.0		11.0 6	9.6 14,893	\$0.058 \$0.003 \$0.153 \$0.010	0.4 G	10 \$0.00 10 \$675.28	\$0.00 0% \$0.00 0%	2 250 1 1	1 1	100%	100%	100%	0	460,203 15,845	25,000	18,555	0 6	0,303 25,0 1,845 2,38	00 16,555 80 16,278
Lighting Efficiency		Custom Lighting & Recommissioning	Engineering Study	90.000		Existing Overit Lighting System Existing Overit Lighting	0 0	0 \$52,585 16 \$6,421		\$142,104 \$0.0 \$22,064 \$0.0		sceno so	2.9 73,176	90,000 90,000 \$0,000 \$0,005	0.0 0	10 \$0.00 1.7 \$0.00	\$0.00 100% \$0.00 68%	0 0	0 1	100%	100%	100%	0 1167	0 7 934 741	0	0 205,000	0	0 0	
Lighting Efficiency Lighting Efficiency		Curron Lighting Lighting Redesign Studies	Custom Lighting Solution Redesign Lighting Solution Study	+6000		System Existing Overlit Lighting System	-10000 4,558	\$12,038	\$0	\$17,949 \$0.0	7 62%	#08/0 #D	DIVID 0	FDMOI HDMOI		10 \$0.00	90.00 100%	10 10	10 1	0 100% 0 100%	100%	100%	0		120,381	179,488	0	0 120,1	081 179,486
Lighting Efficiency		Lighting Redesign Implementation LED High-Bay Luminaires with Fluoresceny Resistince. No.	Redesign Lighting Solution Installed LED High Bay 95-169W	81,354	7,834 5,335	Existing Overlit Lighting System	125,000 7,836 209 5,336	20 \$17,458 20 \$75		\$84,652 \$0.0 \$325 \$0.0			2.8 341,945 10.4 365	\$0.061 \$0.003 \$0.211 \$0.011	43.6 21	1.7 \$0.00	\$0.00 55% -\$1.94 54%	4 4	4 .	100%	100%	100%	127	1,664,423	69,834	346,606	127 1,4	0 0,8	34,606
Lighting Efficiency Lighting Efficiency		1900V LED High-Ray Luminaires with Fluorescent Baseline - 190 290W	0 - LED High Say 96-199W	263	5,336	High Stay Fluorescent Fluture	421 5,336	20 \$100	\$0	\$568 \$01		13.0 1	11.0 736	\$0.116 \$0.007	0.1 0	11 \$0.00	-\$4.00 B4%	0 0		100%	100%	100%	0	0	0	0	0	0 0	
Lighting Efficiency		LED High-Ray Luminaires with Fluorescent Baseline - 291 eldW LED High-Ray Luminaires with Fluorescent Baseline - 460	6 - LED High Ray 261-464W 6 - LED High Ray 465-425W	408	5,336 5,336	High Stay Fluorescent Future	633 5,336 988 5,336	20 \$125 20 \$150		\$962 \$0.0 \$1,543 \$0.0	7 12%	13.3 1 13.5 1	11.6 1,096 12.2 1,688	\$0.114 \$0.006 \$0.099 \$0.004	0.2 0		-98.00 84% -98.24 84%	0 0	0	100%	100%	100%	0	0	0	0	0	0 0	
Lighting Efficiency Lighting Efficiency		629W LED Awa Lighting - 141-199W	LED Hgn Bay 465-62501 LED Parking Area Future	170	4,903	750W MH Fature	850 4,909 1,080 4,909	20 \$200	\$0	\$7.07 \$0.0 \$7.09 \$0.0	7 27%	3.1 2	2.2 1,668 2.2 3,334 4.0 3,657	\$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.072 \$0.004	0.7 6	10 \$0.00	\$0.00 0%	1 125	1 1	5 100% 5 100%	100%	100%	0	496,204 401,000	25,000	93,361 156,166	0 4	6,204 25,0	00 90,361 60 100.00
Lighting Efficiency Lighting Efficiency		LED Ama Lighting - 200-550W LED Screw-in Lamps - 30 - 39W (70W HD replacement lamb) LED Screw-in Lamps - 45 - 48W (700W HD replacement	LED Parking Area Future LED High Say Replacement LED High Say Replacement	3/5 72	5,336	1000W MH Flature	107 5,336	20 \$250 8 \$30	\$8	\$126 \$0.0	7 24%	9.9 3	7.5 198	\$0.160 \$0.020	0.0 0	10 \$0.00	-91.03 84%	4 500	4 9	0 100%	100%	100%	16	100,674	91,250 15,000	62,805	16 10	2,609 21,2 0,674 15,0	00 62,806
Lighting Efficiency Lighting Efficiency		LSD Screw-in Lamps - 50 - 79W (175W HD replacement	t LED High Say Replacement	87 124	5,335 5,335 5,335	sa 100W HD Return	155 5,336 253 5,336	8 \$40 8 \$50	\$10 \$11	\$126 \$0.0 \$169 \$0.0	7 30%	5.1 3 3.6 2	2.5 264 2.6 688	\$0.110 \$0.014 \$0.073 \$0.009	0.1 G	11 \$0.00	41.99 84% 43.76 84% 46.17 84%	2 150 2 150	2 1	io 100% io 100%	100%	100%	17	58,493 110,457	4,000 7,500	18,842	17 11	0,457 7,50	00 16,842 00 25,281
Lighting Efficiency Lighting Efficiency		LED Scree-in Lamps - 80 - 119W (SSOR HD replacement LED Scree-in Lamps - 120 - 144W (SSOR HD	LED High Say Replacement	190	5,336 5,336	<250W HD Ridge <250W HD Ridge	357 5,336 458 5,336	8 \$75	\$10 \$14	\$221 \$0.0 \$252 \$0.0		3.5 1	2.5 945 2.1 1,223	\$0.063 \$0.008 \$0.061 \$0.007	0.2 0	12 \$0.00	-96.75 84%	2 250 2 250	2 2	io 100% io 100%	100%	100%	40 52		16,000	55,301 63,103	52 30	9,019 15,0 9,948 19,7	00 55,301 50 63,103
Lighting Efficiency		LED PLIS based CFL Replacement Isro	LED High Say Replacement LED Plus In Lamp	303 21	5,336 5,336	c=400W HD Rinure CFL tamo	568 5,335 46 5,335			\$252 \$01 \$20 \$01		27 1	1.9 1,410 1.4 196	\$0.063 \$0.007 \$0.061 \$0.006	0.9 G	12 \$0.00 10 \$0.00	-\$7.72 54% -\$0.76 54%	3 400 25 3.500	2 4 25 33	0 100% 00 100%	100% 100%	100%	95 80		30,000 24,500	100,964 69,608	95 60 80 51	9,807 30,0 0,172 24,5	00 100,964 00 69,608
Linhtina Efficiency Linhtina Efficiency Linhtina Efficiency		LED treefor Flaure vi- 25W (CFL baseline) LED treefor Flaure - 26-50W (CFL baseline)	LED Downlight Flature LED Downlight Flature	25 40	5,336 5,336	CR. figure CR. figure	60 5,000 84 5,000	20 \$25 20 \$35	\$0 \$0	\$88 \$04 \$132 \$04	7 28% 7 27%	6.4 d 8.4 d	4.6 204 6.2 203	\$0.123 \$0.006 \$0.150 \$0.008	0.0 G	10 \$0.00 10 \$0.00	-\$1.11 73% -\$1.28 73%	1 50 1 51	1 8	0 100% 1 100%	100% 100%	100% 100%	1 2	10,906 12,790	1,260	4,406 6,736	1 1	1,906 1,20 2,730 1,78	50 4,406 66 4,736
Linhting Efficiency Linhting Efficiency		LED Tube Tupe A4 foor TS LED Tube Tupe C 4 foor TS LED Tube Tupe B 4 foor TS	LED 4 Foot Tube installs CED 4 Foot Tube science Union CED 4 Foot Tube Visional Union	28 26	5,336 5,336 5,346	TS Puomecent Lamos TS Puomecent Lamos TS Duomecent Lamos	60 5,336 57 5,336 61 5,00	8 \$2 20 \$6	\$2 \$0 61	\$14 \$0.0 \$25 \$0.0 \$17 444	r 22% 7 20% 7 18%	1.2 0 2.3 1 1.4	0.9 171 1.8 163 1.2 17	\$0.018 \$0.002 \$0.001 \$0.002 \$0.017 \$1.000	0.0 G	10 \$0.00 10 \$0.00	-93.94 54% -93.99 54% -93.96 RAN	51 2,532 8 422 25 4 400	51 2,1 8 4 25	100% 10 100% 66 100%	100% 100%	100% 100% 100%	73 12 37	463,162 73,624 237,162	3,064 2,110 3,798	34,924 10,584 21,692	73 66 52 7 37 ~	2,162 5,06 1,624 2,11 7,162	94 34,924 10 10,594 88 94,000
Linhtina Efficiency Linhtina Efficiency Linhtina Efficiency Linhtina Efficiency		LED Linear Ambierz26W LED Linear Ambierz 36-90W	LED Ambient Future cx.26W LED Ambient Future 36-40W	32 57	5,336 5,336	Flourescent Ambient Floure Flourescent Ambient Floure	\$1 5,336 80 5,336	20 \$20 20 \$25	\$30 \$36	\$129 \$04 \$180 \$04	7 15% 7 14%	23.0 1 16.6 1	19.5 103	\$0.194 \$0.010 \$0.136 \$0.007	0.0 d	10 \$0.00 10 \$0.00	-91.90 54% -92.53 54%	29 1,140 26 1,410	29 1) 25 1)	40 100% 10 100%	100% 100%	100% 100%	20 44	125,565 277,392	22,800 36,250	147,341 254,479	20 12 44 25	5,545 22,8 7,292 26,2	00 147,341 50 254,478
Lighting Efficiency Lighting Efficiency Motor Efficiency Motor Efficiency		LED Linear Ambiers 36-60W LED Linear Ambiers 65-100W Recommissioning Study Allocation	LSD Ambiers Future 61-100W as identified in Recommissioning	92	5,336	Flourescent Ambient Future Existing Building	156 5,335	20 \$38 0 \$4,530	\$43	\$252 \$0.0 \$7,266 \$0.0	r 15% 7 62%	11.9 1 #DN/0 #D	10.1 209 DIVIDI 0	\$0.112 \$0.006 #DM01 #DM01	0.1 G	11 \$0.00	-\$3.56 84% \$3.00 0%	11 460	11 4	0 100% 4 100%	100%	100%	0 0	163,299	17,100	113,573 101,440	26 19 0	0 69,4	00 113,573 20 101,440
Motor Efficiency		Motor Efficiency New Natur Enhanced	NEMA Premium +1% Efficient	3074	61%	MEN Commission	3196 6196	20 540	£300			76 4	f0 N0	D. 417 D. 500			no Ter	4 4	1	100%	1006	100%				***		200 10	

Program	Sectric Measure Group (for programs with new 2000 measures only)	Siectric Measure Description	Efficient Product Description / E Rating	Efficient Product Consump (watts)	Efficient point Hours of Operation D (braily)	Staceline Product Description / Rating C	Staseline Sta Product His onsumptio Op	saline Man lans of a eration Life	Rebate ine Anoust (S	Average Baseline Product Co	Incremental Cost of st Efficient	Assumed Ro Energy Cost In (\$0.000.)	tebase as a Not screenessal	Increast1 Increast1 Cost Cost Payback Payback Period with Period w/	Annual s Customer Co kWh kW Savings	Rebated Life out/Cost cost. th Saved KWh.:	tied ine Custome Cust MW Savin layed (KW)	er Generator Peak KW N gs. Savings	ton-Energy O&M Savings (S)	Snargy Coincid OAM Factor Springs (S)	2019 Partic	ilpanes 2019 Units (*)	2020 Participants	2020 Units (1)	N70 (%	Installation Rate (Ni	Realization Rate (10)	2019 NET Gen.kW (kW)	2019 NET Gen KWA (KWA)	2019 Retuite Budget (SI	2019 Incremental Costs (S)	2020 NET Gen KW (kW)	2020 NET Gen ANTO (MIN)	2022 Reliate Budget (S)	2020 Incremental Costs (R)
Motor Efficiency		Upgrade Mitor	NEMA Premium Efficient librar	15,650	4,806 E	PACT Efficient Motor	15,950	4,906 2	0 9999	50	\$2,362	\$0.00	39%	21.9 13.2	1,640	50.643 50	192 0.3	63	\$0.00	\$0.00 78	% 40	54	40	54	100%	100%	100%	14	83,295	50,024	127,554	94	83,236	50,004	137,554
Motor Efficiency Motor Efficiency		Upgrade Mittor Enhanced Variable Frequency Drive	Macor Equipment coupled with an	5,447 7,699	5,243 E	PACT Efficient Motor Quipment without an	5,663	5,243 2 4,643 1	5 \$1,531	\$0 \$0	\$1,407 \$5,393	\$0.08 \$0.08	20%	19.4 10.6 4.1 2.9	17,385	\$0.438 \$0 \$0.088 \$0	192 0.2 106 3.7	62 31	\$0.00	\$0.00 78 \$0.00 78	% 9 % 400	13	400	13	100%	100%	100%	3,674	15,817	1,742,943	18,294 6,137,569	3,574	15,817	1,742,343	18,294 6,137,669
Motor Efficiency		CSMC	Motor with Voltage Compiler	4,849	4,849 h	Stror without Voltage Controller	5,717	4,878 2	0 \$345	\$0	\$1,188			26 26	4,376	\$0.079 \$0	0.9	67	\$0.00	\$0.00 78		- 4	- 4	4	100%	100%	100%	à	18,740	1,379	4,754	3	18,740	1,379	4,764
Motor Efficiency Motor Efficiency		VFD on Well Pump Study	VFD Well Pump Moor Study	60,222	2,653 T	Throstled Well Pump No Study	95,155	0 1	5 \$4,000 7 \$10,000	\$0 \$0	\$20,797	\$0.00 \$0.00	29% 50%	4.2 2.0 #DNO #DNO	66,143	\$0.091 \$0 #DM01 #D	006 24.9 V01 0.0	10.2	\$0.00	\$0.00 38 \$0.00 78	% 3 % 1	3 1	1	1	100%	100%	100% 100%	91	212,469	18,000	62,360 20,000	31 0	212,449	19,000	20,000
Motor Efficiency		Recommissioning Study	Efficient equipment as identified in a recommissioning study	0	0	Existing equipment	0		\$32,860	\$0	\$56,547	\$0.00	58%	NOVO NOVO	0	envor en	0.0 IDW	60	\$0.00	\$0.00 78	% 1	1	1	1	100%	100%	100%		0	32,980	54,547	0	0	32,980	54,547
Motor Efficiency Motor Efficiency		Custom	New Equipment Efficient non-vertical turbine	47,392 2,101	4,549 Eul	isting or New Inefficient	80,699 2,259	4,549 1	6 \$7,770	\$0	\$49,399	\$0.00	16%	36 30 36 1441	151,521	\$0.061 \$0	20.3	12.6	\$2,364.63	\$0.00 35 \$0.00 78	S. 3 N. 58	3 59	199	139	100%	100%	100%		42,004	29,310 6,228	10,490	28	100,669	29,910	140,196
		Tier 1 Constant to Constant 1-6 HP Pump	pump with an average HP of 3.57 and a PEI of 0.93 Efficient vertical turbine ourso					_	_	_		_	_			_	_				_	_	_		_		_					-			
Motor Efficiency	ļ	Tier 1 Constant to Constant 1-6 HP Pump	with an average HP of 3.57 and a PS1 of 0.89	2,862	4,278			_	10 \$107	\$0	\$179.83	\$0.07	60%	2.6 1.058	921.50	\$0.117 \$0	0.2	\$0.18	\$0.00	\$0.00 79	i. 19	19	46	46	100%	100%	100%	3	18,746	2,040	3,417		65,385	4340	8,272
Motor Efficiency		Tier 1 Constant to Constant 7.5-30 HP Pump	pump with an average HP of 160 and a PEI of 0.93	9,425	4,278		_	4279 2	0 \$177	\$0	\$297.51	\$0.07	59%	1.3 0.536	2024.82	\$0.068 \$0	0.7	\$0.59	\$0.00	\$0.00 78	i 101	101	242	240	100%	100%	100%	60	328,178	17,642	30,048	140	779,829	42,387	71,401
Motor Efficiency		Tier 1 Constant to Constant 7.5-30 HP Pump	pump with an average 60° or 160° and a PEI of 0.60° Efficient vertical subtine pump with an average 60° of 16.0° and a PEI of 0.60° Efficient non-vertical subtine pump with an average 60° of	12,840	4,278	1	13,806	4279 2	0 \$177	\$0	\$297.51	\$0.07	59%	1.0 0.399	4134.34	\$0.043 \$0	1.0	\$0.91	\$0.00	\$0.00 79	% 34	34	80	80	100%	100%	100%	28	150,501	6,006	10,115	4	354,119	14,132	23,800
Motor Efficiency		Tier 1 Constant to Constant 40-75 HP Pump	Efficient non-vertical surbine pump with an average HP of 54.3 and a PEI of 0.93	31,912	4278	1	34,314	4279 2	0 \$272	\$0	\$454.36	\$0.07	60%	0.6 0.239	10275.70	\$0.000 \$0	2.4	\$2.01	\$0.00	\$0.00 79	S 64	64	153	152	100%	100%	100%	129	304,116	17,400	29,079	306	1,672,277	41,000	69,063
Motor Efficiency		Tier 1 Constant to Constant 46-75-HP Pump		43,474	4278	1	46,746	4279 2	0 \$272	\$0	\$454.36	\$0.07	60%	0.4 0.176	13998.54	\$0.019 \$0	3.3	\$2.74	\$0.00	\$0.00 78	K 21	21	51	51	100%	100%	100%	58	314,762	5,710	9,542	140	764,374	13,866	23,172
Motor Efficiency		Tier 1 Constant to Constant 100-200 HP Pump	with an average HP of 56.3 and a PSI of 0.83 Efficient non-vertical turbine pump with an average HP of	77,761	4,278	1	83,614	4279 2	10 \$331	\$0	\$613.22	\$0.07	Ses	0.9 0.152	25039.01	\$0.013 \$0	001 5.9	\$4.91	\$0.00	\$0.00 79	i 17	17	40	40	100%	100%	100%	89	455,742	5,631	10,425	196	1,072,334	19,250	24,529
Motor Efficiency		Tier 1 Constant to Constant 100-200 HP Pump	Efficient vertical turbine pump with an average HP of 132, and a PSI of 033	105,933	4278	1	113,907	4279 2	10 \$331	\$0	\$613.22	\$0.07	54%	0.2 0.111	34110.53	\$0.010 \$0	000 8.0	\$6.00	\$0.00	\$0.00 78	s 6		13	13	100%	100%	100%	40	219,125	1,968	3,679	er .	474,772	4306	7,972
Motor Efficiency		Tar 1 Variables - Variable 1-5 AD Dures	PEI of 0.83 Efficient non-vertical turbine	1,666	4,278		1,696	4279 2	10 \$107	50	\$179.83	\$0.07	60%	2.5 0.992	983.12	\$0.109 \$0	006 0.2	\$0.19	\$0.00	\$0.00 79	S 11	- 11	26	26	100%	100%	100%	2	11,579	1,161	1,979	5	27,367	2792	4676
Motor Efficiency		To a transport transport of the forms	3.57 and a PEI of 0.47 Efficient vertical turbine pump	1,944	4278			4279 1		50	\$179.83	_	_	0.9 0.344		50.008 50	_		\$0.00	\$0.00 78	_				100%	100%	100%	2	12,126	430	719		27,292	966	1,618
		THE T VALUE OF THE PURP	PEI of 0.47 Efficient non-vertical surbine	4479	4278			4279 2		50	\$297.51			22 089		50.099 50			50.00	\$0.00 78		25	-	-	100%	100%	100%		49.294	4.416	7.498	-	88711	7349	12.200
Motor Efficiency		Tier 1 Variable to Variable 7.5-30 HP Pump	pump with an average HP of 16/0 and a PEI of 0.47 Efficient vertical turbine pump				7,009	42/8 3	0 \$177	30	3297.51	3007	58%	22 0.863	1941.26	30.096 30	305 0.4	\$0.96	\$0.00	\$0.00 //#	. 19		-6	-6	100%	100%	100%	•		4,616	7,438		88,711	7,949	13,388
Motor Efficiency	ļ	Tier 1 Variable to Variable 7.5-30 HP Pump	with an average HP of 16.0 and a PS1 of 0.47	8,722	4,278	1	11,136	4279 2	10 \$177	\$0	\$297.51	\$0.07	59%	0.4 0.158	10320.63	\$0.017 \$0	2.4	\$2.02	\$0.00	\$0.00 79		12	15	15	100%	100%	100%	24	132,599	2,120	3,5%	20	165,749	2,650	4.663
Motor Efficiency		Tier 1 Variable to Variable 40-75 HP Pump	Efficient non-vertical surbine pump with an average HP of 54.3 and a PEI of 0.47	22,376	4,278	_	_	4279 2		\$0	\$454.36	_	60%	1.0 0394	6234.36	_	1.5		\$0.00	\$0.00 79		12	29	29	100%	100%	100%	15	80,009	3,263	5,452	×	189,572	7,865	13,176
Motor Efficiency		Tier 1 Variable to Variable 43-75 HP Pump	Efficient vertical turbine pump with an average HP of St. 2 and a PSI of 0.47 Efficient non-vertical turbine	29,532	4,278	1	27,701	4279 2	0 \$272	\$0	\$454.36	\$0.07	60%	0.2 0.070	34944.82	\$0.008 \$0	82	\$6.05	\$0.00	\$0.00 78	S 4	4	10	10	100%	100%	100%	27	149,657	1,088	1,817		274,142	2719	4514
Motor Efficiency		Tier 1 Variable to Variable 100-200 HP Pullip	132, and a PEI of 0.47	54,290	4,278	1	57,831	4279 1	10 \$331	\$0	\$613.22	\$0.07	54%	0.6 0.250	15191.36	\$0.022 \$0	3.6	\$2.98	\$0.00	\$0.00 79	S 2	2			100%	100%	100%	9	41,766	994	1,940	24	130,119	2,650	4,906
Motor Efficiency	1	Tier 1 Variable to Variable 100-200 HP Pump	Efficient vertical turbine pump with an average HP of 132, and a PEI of 0.47 Efficient non-vertical turbine	71,962	4,278	1	91,866	4279 1	10 \$331	\$0	\$613.22	\$0.07	54%	0.1 0.046	85150.75	\$0.004 \$0	19.9	\$16.69	\$0.00	\$0.00 79	N 1	1	2	2	100%	100%	100%	17	91,168	221	613	22	182,336	663	1,226
Motor Efficiency	ĺ	Tier 1 Constant to Variable 1-5 HP Pump	Efficient non-vertical surbine pump with an average HP of	1,666	4,278	1	2,259	4279 2	10 \$591	\$0	\$1,477.09	\$0.07	40%	5.9 3519	2090.15	\$0.174 \$0	0.0	\$0.66	\$0.00	\$0.00 78	S 4	4	9	9	100%	100%	100%	a	14,519	2,362	5,908		22,667	5,316	13,294
Motor Efficiency		Tier 1 Constant to Variable 1-5 HP Pump	2.57 and a PEI of 0.47 Efficient vertical turbine pump with an average HP of 2.57 and a	1,944	4,278	_		4279 2		\$0	\$1,477.09	_	40%	6.1 2.601	4947.63	90.122 \$0		\$0.96	\$0.00	\$0.00 79	K 1	3	2	3	100%	100%	100%	2	15,571	1,772	4,421	2	15,571	1,772	4,431
Motor Efficiency		To a Common Months of Mark Down	PET of 0.47 Efficient non-vertical surbine	4,579	4278		10,134	4279 2	0 \$1,204	- 60	\$2,228.59	\$0.07	54%	2.0 0300	15309.95	50.020 50	26		\$0.00	\$0.00 79		10	-15	15	100%	100%	100%	20	162,647	12,045	22,286	6	244,271	9,07	23,429
Motor Efficiency		Total Communication & Communication	160 and a PSI of 0.47	8722	4278			4279 2		-	\$2,228.59		54%	14 0694	21700.00	20,055 20	100 51		\$0.00	\$0.00 78			5	5	100%	100%	100%	e e	256,144	13,269	24.514		116.429	602	11.10
	1	van i Lumanel 10 Variable 7.5-00 HP Pump	with an average HP of 19,0 and a PEI of 0.47 Efficient non-vertical surbine	22,376				4279 1	- #1,204	+0	AL	60.0°			21/10/10	F2.000	- 41	41.00	40.TT	2000 /E	- 2	.,	-		1000	400	100		827,083	49,123	80,373		100,000	****	1000
Motor Efficiency		Tier 1 Constant to Variable 40-75 HP Pump	pump with an average HP of 54.3 and a PEI of 0.47 Efficient vertical turbine pump		4,278				0 \$3,208	\$0	\$5,358.18	\$0.07	60%	1.4 0.562	51499.67	\$0.062 \$0	12.0	\$10.10	\$0.00	\$0.00 78	h 4	15	10	10	100%	100%	100%	151				101	551,388	20,060	53,580
Motor Efficiency		Tier 1 Constant to Variable 40-75 HP Pump	with an average HP of S4.3 and a PS1 of 0.47	29,532	4,278	1		4279 2		\$0	\$5,358.18	\$0.07	60%	1.0 0.399	73610.19	\$0.044 \$0	17.2	\$14.44	\$0.00	\$0.00 78	K 1	11	3	3	100%	100%	100%	159	867,283	35,290	58,940	4	236,532	9,825	16,075
Motor Efficiency		Tier 1 Constant to Variable 100-200 HP Pump	Efficient non-vertical surbine pump with an average HP of 132, and a PEI of 0.47	54,290	4,278	1	83,614	4279 2	0 \$6,758	\$0	\$11,441.67	\$0.07	59%	1.2 0.502	125490.30	\$0.054 \$0	29.3	\$24.60	\$0.00	\$0.00 79	K 1	2	3	3	100%	100%	100%	49	269,716	19,515	22,894	74	409,074	20,279	34,326
Motor Efficiency		Tier 1 Constant to Variable 100-200 HP Pump	Efficient vertical tarbine pump with an average HP of 132, and a PSI of 0.47	71,962	4278	1	113,907	4279 2	0 \$6,758	\$0	\$11,441.67	\$0.07	59%	0.9 0.351	179440.55	\$0.098 \$0	002 41.9	\$35.18	\$0.00	\$0.00 79	s 0	2	1	1	100%	100%	100%	70	384,311	13,515	22,894	×	182,121	6,758	11,442
Motor Efficiency		Tier 2 Constant to Constant 1-6 HP Pump	Efficient non-vertical surbine pump with an average HP of 3.57 and a PEI of 0.855	1,921	4,278	1	2,259	4279 2	10 \$197	\$0	\$449.27	\$0.07	46%	4.3 2.423	1401.19	\$0.141 \$0	0.3	\$0.27	\$0.00	\$0.00 78	N. 15	15	35	35	100%	100%	100%	4	22,503	2,963	4,794	10	52,507	6,891	15,718
Motor Efficiency		Tier 2 Constant to Constant 1-6 HP Pump	Efficient vertical turbine pump with an average HP of 3.57 and a PEt of 0.865	2,621	4,278	1	3,077	4279 2	n \$197	\$0	\$449.27	\$0.07	46%	3.2 1778	1908.89	\$0.100 \$0	006 0.4	\$0.37	\$0.00	\$0.00 78	s 5	5	12	12	100%	100%	100%	2	10,219	994	2,245	4	24,525	2342	5389
Motor Efficiency		Tier 2 Constant to Constant 7.5-30 HP Pump	Efficient non-vertical turbine pump with an average HP of	8,665	4,278	1	10,134	4279 2	10 \$642	\$0	\$739.03	\$0.07	60%	1.6 0837	6296.44	\$0.070 \$0	1.5	\$1.23	\$0.00	\$0.00 78	K 25	25	60	60	100%	100%	100%	21	160,267	11,041	18,676	74	403,640	26,498	400
Motor Efficiency		Tar 9 Constant to Constant 7 6-90 kB Rumo	160 and a PEtot 0866 Efficient vertical turbine pump with an average HP of 16,0 and a	11,804	4278		12,800	4279 2	10 Set2	50	\$729.03	\$0.07	60%	1.2 0.667	8563.99	50,052 50	000 2.0	\$1.68	\$0.00	\$0.00 79			20	20	100%	100%	100%	19	73.363	3,533	5.912	26	183,383	6.830	14781
Motor Efficiency			PEI-of 0.865 Efficient non-vertical turbine	29.239	4278	1	26216	4279 2	0 5653	-	\$1,129,77	_	SIN	0.7 0.298	August an	50.004 E0	102 5.0		\$0.00	\$0.00 78		-				4000	100%	67	364.632	10.460	17.960	100	866.000	21.796	42700
		Tel: 2 Constant to Constant 40-75-99 Pump	54.3 and a PE1of 0.855						_		40,0277			0.5 0.546	27380.38			\$K.17	40.00	200 /2		-		-	100%	100%	10011	-	300,000		17,000			20.00	4,74
Motor Efficiency		Tier 2 Constant to Constant 40-75 HP Pump	Efficient vertical turbine pump with an average HP of St.3 and a PET of 0.855 Efficient non-vertical turbine pump with an average MP of	20,968	4,278		_	4279 2	0 \$663	\$0	\$1,123.77	\$0.07	58%	0.5 0219	20006.00	\$0.023 \$0	901 6.8	\$5.68	\$0.00	\$0.00 78	s 5	,	13	13	100%	100%	100%	40	217,322	4,568	7,866	74	400,500	8,483	14,609
Motor Efficiency				71,490	4,278			4279 2	10 \$861	\$0	\$1,511.92	\$0.07	57%	0.4 0.169	\$1866.51	\$0.017 \$0	12.1	\$10.17	\$0.00	\$0.00 79	i. 4	4	10	10	100%	100%	100%	41	222,126	3,445	6,048	102	555,316	8,613	15,119
Motor Efficiency		Tier 2 Constant to Constant 100-200 HP Pump	TSC and a value to take Efficient vertice submp with an average HP of 122, and a PSC of 0.855 Efficient non-vertical subme pump with an average HP of ACT with a DSC of 0.855	97,390	4,278	1	113,907	4279 2	0 \$861	\$0	\$1,511.92	\$0.07	57%	0.3 0.124	70657.52	\$0.012 \$0	101 16.5	\$13.85	\$0.00	\$0.00 79	i 1	- 1	3	а	100%	100%	100%	14	75,650	861	1,512	e	226,961	2,594	4,536
Motor Efficiency				1,296	4278	1	1,696	4279 2	10 \$197	\$0	\$449.27	\$0.07	46%	3.5 1.977	1717.22	\$0.115 \$0	0.4	\$0.34	\$0.00	\$0.00 79	S 2	2	7	7	100%	100%	100%	1	5,516	591	1,947	2	12,870	1,379	2,144
Motor Efficiency		Tier 2 Variable to Variable 1-5 HP Pump	Efficient vertical tabline pump with an average HP of 3.57 and a PEI of 0.415 Different recovering hydrone	1,717	4278	1	2,606	4279 2	10 \$197	\$0	\$449.27	\$0.07	46%	1.6 0.892	3804.46	\$0.062 \$0	0.9	\$0.76	\$0.00	\$0.00 78	K 1	2	2	2	100%	100%	100%	1	8,147	294	898	1	8,147	294	204
Motor Efficiency		Tier 2 Variable to Variable 7.5-30 HP Pump	Efficient non-vertical turbine pump with an average HP of	5,809	4,278	1	7,009	4279 2	10 \$642	\$0	\$739.00	\$0.07	60%	1.9 0.790	5134.80	\$0.086 \$0	1.2	\$1.01	\$0.00	\$0.00 78	s 5	5	11	11	100%	100%	100%	6	27,468	2,208	3,695	11	60,674	4.89	8,129
Motor Efficiency		Tier 2 Variable to Variable 7.5-30 HP Pump	Efficient non-vertical subtine pump with an average HP of 160 and a PET of 0.415 Efficient vertical subtine pump with an average HP of 16.0 and a	7,701	4278	1	11,136	4279 2	0 \$642	\$0	\$739.03	\$0.07	60%	0.7 0.279	14687.05	\$0.090 \$0	3.4	\$2.00	\$0.00	\$0.00 79	s 2	2	4	4	100%	100%	100%	4	21,450	883	1,478	12	62,900	1,747	2,954
Motor Efficiency		Tar 9 Nacionary Nacional at N. LD Down	PELof 0.415 Efficient non-vertical surbine pump with an average HP of	19,669	4278		23,739	4279 2	0 5653	50	\$1,129,77	\$0.07	58%	0.9 0.365	17386.01	50,000 50	002 6.1	\$3.41	\$0.00	\$0.00 79	5 2		7	7	100%	100%	100%	10	55,844	1,968	3.971	24	130,302	4508	7,966
Motor Efficiency			54.3 and a PSI of 0.415 Efficient serical turbine pump with an assesse MP of 54.3 and a	26479	4278			4279 2	0 5653	-	44 400 77	_	SIN	0.3 0.128	40700.47	#0.040 #0	001 11.6		\$0.00	\$0.00 78						4000	100%	10	53.243	663	1.124	_	100.400	1306	2248
		Tail 2 Values to Values 45-75 NP Pullip	PETat 0.415						_		40,0277			0.3 0.08	48128.17	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		40.0	40.00					-	100%	100%	10011				1,104	-			2,346
Motor Efficiency		ner z variable so Variable 100-200 HP Pump	pump with an average HP of 132, and a PEI of 0.415 Efficient vertical turbine pump	47,628	4,278		_	4279 2	_	\$0	\$1,511.92	30.07	5/%	dh 6207	42364.SH	a	9.9	38.91	30.00	\$0.00 78	. 1	- 1	2	2	100%	100%	100%		45,359	mili	1,812	v	90,717	1/28	3,034
Motor Efficiency		Tier 2 Variable to Variable 100-200 HP Pump	PET of 0.415	63,541	4,278		_	4279 2	10 \$861	\$0	\$1,511.92	\$0.07	57%	0.2 0.072	121176.07	\$0.007 \$0	29.3		\$0.00	\$0.00 78	s 0	1	1	1	100%	100%	100%	24	129,739	861	1,512	24	129,739	861	1,512
Motor Efficiency		Tier 2 Constant to Variable 1-5 HP Pump	pump with an average HP of	1,666	4,278		_	4279 2	_	\$0		\$0.07	40%	5.9 2519	2090.15	\$0.174 \$0	0.8		\$0.00	\$0.00 78	% 1	- 1	2	2	100%	100%	100%	1	3,630	SIRI	1,427	1	7,259	1,181	2,964
Motor Efficiency		Tier 2 Constant to Variable 1-5 HP Pump	Efficient vertical turbine pump with an average HP of 3:57 and a PEI of 0:47	1,944	4,278	1	3,677	4279 2	10 \$591	\$0	\$1,477.09	\$0.07	40%	4.1 2.461	4847.63	\$0.122 \$0	1.1	\$0.96	\$0.00	\$0.00 78	s 0	- 1	- 1	1	100%	100%	100%	1	5,190	591	1,427	1	5,190	591	1,477
Motor Efficiency		Tier 2 Constant to Variable 7.5-30 HP Pump	pump with an average HP of 160 and a PEI of 0.47	4,579	4,278	1	10,134	4279 2	10 \$1,204	\$0	\$2,228.59	\$0.07	54%	2.0 0.906	15209.95	\$0.079 \$0	3.6	\$2.98	\$0.00	\$0.00 79	i 2	2	4	4	100%	100%	100%	4	32,569	2,409	4,627	12	65,139	411	8,914
Motor Efficiency		Ter 2 Constant to Variable 7.5-30 HP Pump	Efficient vertical turbine pump with an average HP of 16.0 and a PEI of 0.47 Efficient non-vertical turbine pump with an average HP of	8,722	4,278	1	13,806	4279 1	10 \$1,204	\$0	\$2,228.59	\$0.07	Sen	1.4 0694	21748.95	\$0.065 \$0	000 5.1	\$4.26	\$0.00	\$0.00 79	N 1	2	1	1	100%	100%	100%	9	46,572	2,409	4,467	4	23,296	1,204	2,229
Motor Efficiency		Tier 2 Constant to Variable 40-75 HP Pump	Efficient non-vertical surbine pump with an average HP of	22,376	4,278	1	34,314	4279 1	0 \$3,208	\$0	\$5,358.18	\$0.07	60%	1.4 0.562	\$1499.67	\$0.062 \$0	12.0	\$10.10	\$0.00	\$0.00 78	N 1	2	2	2	100%	100%	100%	20	110,278	6,416	10,716	20	110,278	6,416	10,716
Motor Efficiency	Ì	Ter 2 Constant to Variable 40-75 HP Pump	S4.3 and a PEI of 0.47 Efficient vertical turbine pump with an average HP of 54.3 and a	29,532	4,278	1	46,746	4279 2	10 \$3,208	\$0	\$5,358.18	\$0.07	60%	1.0 0.399	73610.19	\$0.044 \$0	17.2	\$14.44	\$0.00	\$0.00 78	s 0	2	1	1	100%	100%	100%	29	157,688	6,416	10,716	14	78,844	1208	5,358
Motor Efficiency	1	Ter 2 Constant to Variable 100-200 HP Pum	PSI of 0.47 Efficient non-vertical surbine pump with an average HP of 192, and a PSI of 0.47	54,280	4,278			4279 1		\$0	\$11,641.87	_	59%	1.2 0.502	125490.30	\$0.064 \$0	29.3	\$24.60	\$0.00	\$0.00 78	s 0	2	1	1	100%	100%	100%		268,716	19,515	22,894	×	134,358	6758	11,442
Motor Efficiency		Tar 9 Constant to Mariable 470 000 10 D		71,962	4278	1		4279 2		_			58%			\$0.008 \$0			\$0.00	\$0.00 79	_			-	100%	100%	100%	26	192,121	4,758	11,442				
Motor Efficiency Multi Family Building Efficiency		Multi Family Building Efficiency	132, and a PEI of 0.47 Efficient vertical turbine pump with an average HP of 132, and a PEI of 0.47	11,000	42/8	-	0,0001		- 44,788	+0	\$11,001.87		Jan.			90	- 41.9			ALIAN /8	- °		-	,	- NAVIN	nom	nova.		100,001	4,748	11,000	•		*	-
Multi Family Building Efficiency	Sheerinad	Provide new 1.5 gpm showerhead to replace existing 2.5 gpm showerhead in electric DHW heater	1.5 GPM Shows fead	103		LS GPM Showerhead					\$5.60			0.06		\$0.009 \$0				\$0.00 649		269	7	ω	100%	100%	100%	13	173,741	0	0	3.16	43,097	\$273	\$373
Multi Family Building Efficiency	Domerteed Heatheld	con showerhead in electric SHM heater Provide Energy Efficient Kitchen Aerator - 1.5 GPM to	1.5 GPM Showerhead	103		LS GPM Showerhead		1760 1	0 \$16.25	\$0	\$16.25		100%	0.15	601 :	\$0.027 \$0	_	0.06	\$39.46	\$0.00 641	_		2	26	100%	100%	100%		0			1.25	17,066	\$129	\$49
Multi Family Building Efficiency	Acres Notes	replace existing 2.2 gpm senator in home with electric DHAV heater Provide Energy Efficient Both Flaudet Aenator - 1.0 GBAHN	1.5 GPM Kitchen Faucet Aerator	24		2 GPM Kitchen Faucet Aerotor				\$0	\$2.06			0.17		\$0.029 \$0		0.01	\$6.40	\$0.00 124			5	a	100%	100%	100%		28,870	۰	0	6.70	4,928	\$135	\$135
Multi Family Building Efficiency	Acutes - Balones	replace existing 2.2 gpm sensor in home with electric DHM/ houser Denote Course Officiary Such Course	1.0 GPM Bathroom Faucet Aerator	7		GPM Bathroom Faucet Aerator		1,760 1		\$0	\$1.48	_	100%	0.11		\$0.000 \$0		0.01	\$4.75	\$0.00 124	_	_	3	25	100%	100%	100%	2	12,908	0	0	0.28	1,944	\$37	\$37
Multi Family Building Efficiency	/ Acutors - Balterian	replace existing 2.2 gpm sensor in home with electric DHAV beater	0.5 GPM Bathroom Faucet Aerator	2	8,760 2.21	GPM Bathroom Faucet Aerator	_	1,760 1	0 \$4.00	\$0	\$4.00	0.12	100%	0.21	103 :	\$0.029 \$0	_	0.02	\$6.73	\$0.00 124	N 14	110	10	93	100%	100%	100%	2	12,117	0	0	1.45	10,249	\$372	\$372
Multi Family Building Efficiency		Name State of State State State State	Add commercial insulation wasp. Ribertund Water Heater Tank	-		terral insulation on water.			9000	8	50.00		-		- 246	-			80-00				۰		***	1005	****	•	4,000	•		0.00	000	\$0	\$0
Multi Family Building Efficiency	Volum LEDIA	Regisce screw-in incardescents within tenant units with LEDs. Regisce screw-in incardescents in revenue war - ***	LED Rubs		642 A	verage E/SA Standard halosen A-Style Bulb verage E/SA Strevent		642 1	6 \$5.49		\$5.48	0.12	100%	1.58	29 :	\$0.187 \$0.			\$0.00 \$0.00	\$0.00 and		\$ 52,573 2,954	5,372	\$1,987 1,587	100%	100%	100%	211	1,682,676	0		205	1637658	\$290,394	\$280,394
Multi Family Building Efficiency Multi Family Building Efficiency	Common área LID	screw-in LEDs	LED Rubs	9	4340 %	haloses A-Shrie Bulb			SS-48		\$5.48	0.07	100%	0.29	257	\$0.021 \$0:	006	0.06	\$0.00	\$0.00 7et	355	2,994	167	1,597	100%	100%	100%	142	804,964 100,000			000	436960	\$8,698	\$0,690
Multi Family Building Efficiency		Maketie ellerinny propositated agestler 1610, 2010, ar 2610, whole haiding savings	-	10315						60,00	-		-			-		***	80-00			***	•	•		4006		***	*******	251,015		0.00	000	\$0	\$0
Multi Family Building Efficiency	Water Neuter Strikenis	Water Heater Settack	Suiding his water system with seback	19,355	8740 Bull	Ading hat water system without setback	13,912	1,760 1	\$0.00	\$0	\$0.00	0.12	MON/O		4,05	\$0.000 \$0	0.54	0.60	\$0.00	\$0.00 100	N 0	0	0	- 1	100%	100%	100%	٠	0		0	0.60	5,219.20	\$0	\$0
Multi Family Building Efficiency Multi Family Building Efficiency	Person Religi	Power skip Remar Kit WW LED	sier 1 Advanced Power Strip 9W LED	45	4.588 S	standard Power Strip EISA Standard Bulb	42	509 1	7 \$15.00 7 \$0.00	\$0 \$0	\$15.00	0.12	100% #05/0	180	25	90.216 \$0 \$0.000 \$0	an 0.01 00 0.03	0.01	\$0.00	\$0.00 821 \$0.00 811			790 21	200	100%	76% 55%	100%	•	0	0	0	49.34 0.32	362,019.00	\$100,000	\$100,900
Multi Family Building Efficiency Multi Family Building Efficiency	Review No. 1 (W.) All Review No. Show arkeast	Remar Kit 11W LED Remar Kit Showerhood	11W LED 1.5 GPM Showshead	11 103	909 I 8760 2	ESA Standard Bub LS GPM Showerhead	172	909 1 k760 1	7 \$0.00 0 \$0.00	\$0 \$0	\$0.00	0.12	IOMO IOMO		28 : 604 :	\$0.000 \$0. \$0.000 \$0.	00 034 00 037	0.00	\$0.00 \$39.46	\$000 an		0	21 0	200	100%	50% 30%	100%		0	0	0	0.40	49434 49249	50 50	90 90
Multi Family Building Efficiency	Restor to Salvenon Arrator	Remar Kit Kitchen Aemstr	1.5 GPM Kitchen Faucet Aerator 1.0 GPM Rathroom Faucet	26	8760 23 670 991	2 GPM Kitchen Faucet Aerotor GPM Sethoon Faucet	25 1	1,760 1 1,760 1	0 \$0.00	\$0	\$0.00	0.12	MONTH OF THE PERSON		-	\$0.000 \$0	000 001	0.01	\$6.40	\$0.00 126				2	100%	30%	100%			0	۰	0.01	6275	\$0	\$0 80
Multi Family Building Efficiency	remar on Galan Ermin F MK : Conten Bildenry : Lighting	Kenter Kit seatmoon Almator Custom Lighting Projects	Agrange LED Lighting	7	9,760 ±21	Aerator Low Efficient Option	42 :	1,000 1	0 \$0.00 7 \$17	\$0 \$2	\$2.00	0.12	81% 61%	414 162	23 : W :	90.000 \$0: 90.179 \$0:	000 0.01 011 0.00	0.01	\$4.75	\$0.00 124 -\$0.20 221		0	0 34	226	100%	100%	100%	•	0	0	0	2.39	38.88 32,367.21	\$0 \$5,401	\$0 \$6,877
Multi Family Building Efficiency Multi Family Building Efficiency	Ministración de Company Marian S. Ministracy Ministracy - Incident Marianto Ministracy - Incident Marianto Ministracy - Procedy State Minis	Prescriptive Lighting Equipment Prescriptive Cooling Equipment	LED Lighting Efficient Cooling Equipment	17 8,766	4,297 L 665 India	Low Efficient Option cient Cooling Equipment	45 ·	(297 1 665 2	0 \$15 0 \$361	\$6,334	\$23 \$2,354	0.07	90% 30%	249 0.91 32.08 21.26	121 : 890	\$0.125 \$0. \$0.865 \$0.	003 003 003 1.34	129	\$0.00	\$0.00 721 \$0.00 901		0	499	4,660	100%	100%	100% 100%	0	0	0	0	123.54 12.79	605/714.81 10,140.14	\$70,856 \$8,098	\$107,000
Multi Family Building Efficiency Multi Family Building Efficiency	F Souten English	Prescriptive Mators & Onlines Equipment Custom Electric	Efficient Moons & Drives Efficient Equipment	1,639	4,727 3,090 tr	hefficient Mators hefficient Equipment	1,964 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1,099 1	9 \$231 9 \$227	\$0 \$0	\$975 \$2,132	0.07	26% 11%	22.44 17.12 12.51 11.1	591 : 1,464 :	\$0.392 \$0. \$0.157 \$0.	012 08 047	0.10	\$0.00 \$0.00	\$0.00 781 \$0.00 251		0	1 1	11 12	100% 100%	100% 100%	100% 100%	•	0	0	0	1.12	6,721.04 18,297.93	\$2,463 \$2,660	\$10,880 \$25,216
Multi Family Building Efficiency	Contain Projects	Custom Combo	Efficient Equipment	1,476	5,162 P	neticiers Equipment	1,845	£162 1	9 \$104	\$0	\$640	0.12	12%	277 22	1,905	\$0.055 \$0	037	0.36	\$0.00	\$0.00 971			2	27	100%	100%	100%		0	0	0	9.87	55,964.62	\$2,861	\$23,262

Program	Sectric Measure Group (for programs with new 2000 measures only)	Sectric Measure Description	Efficient Product Description / Si Rating	Stiticient Product Consump (watte)	Efficient prior Hours of Operation	Staceline Product Description / Rating C	Stacetine Stacetine Product Hours of oncumptio Operation	Meacur • Relate Lifetime Amount (I)	Average Inc Baseline Product Cost II	Cost of Shergy C	d Rebate as a local field Part Incremental Part	crent'i Incrent' Cost Cost spheck Payback	Customer C	Rebated Rebated Cost / Cost cost / Cost	Customer 1	Decerator Peak kW Non-Energy Savings Savings	OAM Sterny OAM (R) Sterner (N)	Coincidence 2019 Factor (10)	neticipares 2019	nits 2000 Participants	2020 Units (*)	NTO fresalt. (% (%)	tion Realization	2019 NET Gen AW (AW)	2019 NET Gen NWS	2019 Reliate Budget	2019 Incremental 2	1 CCSC WA GOOD TEN CCSS	ET Gen 2022 Rabas Budget	base 2020 Incremental et Coats (S)
Multi Family Building Efficien	CV Comprese Projects	Carryover Projects	Efficient Equipment	27,677	4,600	heficient Equipment	24,597 4,697	19 \$7,991	50 :	\$17,929 0.12	45% Back	472 2	62 22,498	\$0.266 \$0.013	692	244 -511.0	\$0.00	48%		1	10	100% 100	s 100%				0	3678 367	47.54 \$79,910	10 \$179,260
Process Efficiency Process Efficiency		Process Efficiency	O Optimized System	1,027,147	4,022 OI	0 ld or less efficient systems or equipment	0 0	0 \$0 19 \$19,064	\$0 \$0 !	\$0 MNA \$141,025 \$0.07	14%	25 22	289,767	\$0.066 \$0.004	48.1	11.2 \$36,300	\$0.00 58 \$0.00	22%	68 6	1 68	68	100% 100	1 0% 1 100%	761	21,096,503	1,295,665	9,509,695	0 761 21,0	0 0	us 9,589,695
Process Efficiency Process Efficiency		Lighting	Optimized System Optimized System	129 8,003	5,042 4,696 OI	0 Ad or less efficient systems or	194 5,042 11,546 4,696	16 \$23 15 \$1,488	\$10 \$2	\$90 \$0.07 \$5,198 \$0.08	29%	4.6 3.4 4.2 3.0	278 16,639	\$0.083 \$0.006 \$0.089 \$0.006	0.1 2.5	20 \$0.00	-\$0.72 \$0.00	78%	19 18 63 5	00 19 9 63	19,500	100% 100 100% 100	% 100% % 100%	752 1,692	5,797,138 10,142,657	451,932 846,995	1,754,788	762 5,7 1,692 10,1	7,138 451,832 12,657 846,985	02 1,754,798 05 2,959,441
Process Efficiency		Implementation of ECO's found in studies	Past-Recommissioned Building	290,028	5,900 p		322,254 5,900	7 \$4,106	\$0	\$13,512 \$0.06		1.1 0.7	190,143	\$0.022 \$0.003	32.2	17.7 \$1,365		51%	1	- 1	1	100% 100	% 100%	18	203,579	4,106	13,512	19 20	(579 4,105	19,512
Process Efficiency Process Efficiency	-	Cooling Compressed Air and FSO Measures.	More efficient cooling equipment Optimized System	8,890 53,197	1,976	Non-Optimized System	10,366 1,676 59,896 5,896	19 \$975 17 \$3,390	\$7,017 \$2,901	\$2,275 \$0.08 \$9,751 \$0.07	42% 26%	13.3 5.9 3.6 2.4	2,918 29,501	\$0.004 \$0.017 \$0.006 \$0.005	1.5	1.4 \$0.00 5.1 \$0.00	\$0.00	90% 71%	20 8 13 1	3 20	843 72	100% 100 100% 100	% 100% % 100%	1,199	2,633,200 3,037,464	922,077 243,447	1,917,656	1,199 2,6	3,200 822,077 7,464 243,447	97 1,917,656 H7 700,319
Process Efficiency		Energy Design Assistance	More Efficient than Code Building Sehavior changes that reduce	147,974	4,659 8,760	Code-Compliant Building	211,391 4,659 3,034,892 9,760	20 \$20,092	\$0 :	\$114,762 \$0.07	28%	5.6 4.0	295,453	\$0.112 \$0.006	63.4	49.7 \$49.0	2 \$0.00	73%	9	9	9	100% 100	100%	447	2,846,976	297,825	1,050,772	447 2,8	6,676 297,625	05 1,050,772
Process Efficiency Process Efficiency		Behavioral Changes. Behavioral Changes.	Sehavior changes that reduce	-1,975,048	8,760	No change in behavior No change in behavior	2,016,584 8,760	1 90	\$0	\$0 \$0.00	HOMO!	0.0 0.0	-363,947	\$0.000 \$0.000	41.5	-40.0 \$0.00	\$0.00	90%	2	2	2	100% 100	s 100%	-80	-779,390	0	0	40 -77	1,330 0	- 0
Process Efficiency Process Efficiency		Phase 2 new customer contribution	0	0	0	0	0 0	0 \$0 0 \$46,133	\$0	\$6,000 \$0.07 \$0 \$0.07	9% 8	ONO 40NO	0	FORM FORM	0.0	00 \$0.00 00 \$0.00	\$0.00	100%	15 1	15	15	100% 100 100% 100	% 100% % 100%		0	0	90,000	0	0 0	90,000
Process Efficiency		Incentives to Trade Partners	0		0	0	0 0	0 \$2,061	\$0	\$0 \$0.07	#01/0 #	cevo scevo	0	FORCE FORCE	0.0	60 \$0.00	\$0.00	100%	16 1	1 14	16	100% 100	100%		0	32,977	0	0	0 32,977	7 0
Recommissioning		Recommissioning Implementation	Post-Recommissioned Building	290,028	5,900 p	te-Recommissioned Building	322,254 5,900	7 \$4,106	\$0	\$13,512 \$0.06	30%	1.1 0.7	190,149	\$0.022 \$0.003	32.2	17.7 \$1,366	90.00	51%	20 1	30	30	100% 100	% 100%	S1	6,107,379	123,146	405,363	531 6,9	7,379 123,149	N 405,363
Recommissioning Recommissioning		Recommissioning Studies BOC Program Amburable Savings	Study Cost and Rebate Energy Use After Class	219,343	8,760 g	0 Energy Usage Refore Class	0 0 322,254 8,760	0 \$8,053 5 \$218	\$0 \$0	\$12,108 \$0.06 \$646 \$0.06	6% s	0.4 0.2	25,498	90.012 \$0.000	2.9	16 \$0.00	\$0.00	51%	19 1	1 40	19	100% 100 100% 100	% 100% % 100%	30	0 518,704	302,111 6,036	494,317 12,369	30 51	0 322,111 ,704 6,036	1 484,317
Recommissioning		Retrigeration Recommissioning	Optimized Rehigeration Systems	0	0 50	sisting Rehigeration Systems - Not Tuned or Optimized	0 0	0 \$0	\$0	\$0 \$0.00	101/01 I	ovo rovo		POWOI HONOI	0.0	60 \$0.00	\$0.00	51%			0	100% 100	% 100%	۰	0	0	0	0	0 0	
Turn Key Services Turn Key Services	_	Turn Kev Services territorio - On site audi	0 Identification of opportunities	0	0	0	0 0	0 \$0 0 \$1,735	\$0 \$0	\$0 INX \$2,275 \$0.08	70% #	DNO PONO	0	FONO FONO	0.0	00 \$0.00 00 \$0.00	\$0.00	100%	145 1	5 545	145	100% 100	L 0%		0	251,575	229,875	0	0 0	75 229,875
Turn Key Services		Implementation	High Eff Project	29,500	4,292 4,138	Lower Efficient Product or System	39,541 4,389 107,120 6,138			\$13,712 \$0.08 \$12,244 \$0.06				\$0.134 \$0.008 \$0.041 \$0.006		5.6 \$22.0 6.3 \$0.00	1 \$0.00	55%	131 1	1 191	121	100% 100	100%	728	5,878,532	797,516	1,796,232	738 5,8	8,532 797,516 1,767 80,415	
Turn Key Services Electric Rate Savinos		Building Tune Up Implementation Electric Rate Savings	Measure.	96,408	6,138	Existing systems 0	0 0	7 \$2,681 0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$12,244 \$0.00 \$0 \$0.07	22% #05/01 #	1.6 1.3 00/0 (00/0	65,746	90.041 \$0.006 #DMG #DMG	10.7	63 \$0.00	\$3,596.12	100%	0 1	0 0	30	100% 100 100% 100	% 100% % 100%	190	2,111,767	80,415	967,310 0	190 2,1	1,767 80,415 0 0	0
Electric Rate Savings		The Electric Rate Savings Program is offered to any business customer who can reduce their electric loads during cortrol periods by at least 50 kW. In return for	Utility Load Cormol for cormol period	150,000	18	No Control	350,000 18	s so	so	\$0 \$0.11	#D6601	0.0 0.0	3,532	\$0.000 \$0.000	200.0	102.1 \$0.00	\$0.00	47%	45	45	45	100% 100	% 100%	4,593	170,174			4,580 17	(174 0	
Saver's Switch for Business	_	educing their electric loads, they receive a monthly discours on their demand charges Saver's Switch For Business						0 50	50	50 PNA	1000	ma ma		FDV0 FDV0	0.0	60 50.00	\$0.00	0%				06 01								
Saver's Switch for Business		Commercial AC Switch Single Stage - MN	Utility Load Cormol for cormol period with smart switch	0			4,562 1	15 \$0						\$0.000 \$0.000			\$0.00			10 747	2,240		% 100%	2,451	6,276	0	0		276 0	0
Saver's Switch for Business Residential Demand	_	Connectal AC Switch Must Stage - MN Residential Demand Response	Utility Load Cormol for cormol period with smart switch	0	0	No Control, No Switch	14,023 0	15 \$0	\$0	\$0 \$0.11	101/01	0.0 0.0	6	\$0.000 \$0.000	14023	2.449 \$0.00	\$0.00	16%	187 5	5 197	560	100% 100	100%	1,372	3,360	0	0	1,372 3	993 0	
Residential Demand Response	MN - Smart Thermostar - DR - Self Install	Residential Smart Thermostae	Utility Load Cormal for cormal period with Tier II or III thermostat	0	1 6 N	Existing standard manual or ion Utilized Tier I Thermostat	2,402 1	10 \$125	\$0	\$216 \$0.11	58% 1	1059.4 443.5	2	\$68.906 \$6.990	2.402	1344 \$0.00	\$0.00	47%		0	0	100% 100	100%			0	0	0		0
Residential Demand Residential Demand	MN-Smart Thermostat - DR - Direct install	Residential Smart Thermostat	Utility Load Cormal for cormal period with Tier II or III thermostat	0	1 6	Existing standard manual or ion Utibed Tier I Thermostat	2,402 1	10 \$225	\$0	\$226 \$0.11	100% 1	11087 0.0	2	\$125.866 \$12.587	2.402	1,244 \$0.00	\$0.00	47%	1,500 1,	00 6,250	4,250	100% 100	100%	1,866	2,927	307,500	207,500	7,776 10	197 1,404,250	50 1,406,250
Residential Demand	MN - Smart Thermostar - DR - SVOT	Residential Smart Thermostat	Utility Load Cormol for cormol period with Tier II or III thermouse.	0	1 6	Existing standard manual or ion Utilized Tier I Thermostat	2,402 1	10 \$75	\$0	\$0 \$0.11	MONION	0.0 -369.6	2	\$41,955 \$4.196	2.4	12 \$0.00	\$0.00	47%	13,500 13.	00 1,750	1,760	100% 100	% 100%	16,790	26,346	1,012,500	0	4,665 7	281,250	ه مد
Residential Demand Residential Demand Residential Demand	MN-Residential AC Switch	MN - Residential AC Switch	Utility Load Cormol for cormol period with smart neitch	0	0	No control, no switch	2,402 1	15 \$0	\$0	\$0 \$0.11	#DMO!	0.0 0.0	2	\$0.000 \$0.000	2.4	07 \$0.00	\$0.00	28%	20,000 20	00 10,000	10,000	100% 100	% 100%	14,967	39,031	0	0	7,493 11	515 0	0
Residential Demand Residential Demand	MN - Residential WHI Switch	MN - Residental WH Switch Navy bond Smar Thermore CC - AT A Gas Monton -	Utility Load Cormol for cormol period with smart switch. Average Single Family House	0	0	No control, no switch		15 50				0.0 0.0	3			62 \$0.00 63 \$0.00		4%	26 1	6235	25	100% 100	100%	5	91	0			in 0	- 0
Response Residential Demand	MV-Smart Thermostar - EE - Direct Install	Electric only & Combo	with EnergyStar Smart Thermomes Average Single Family House	2,707	40 A	with standard (hermostie		10 \$0	\$0	\$0 \$0.10	HOM/O	0.0	123	\$0.000 \$0.000		_		76%	•	6,236	4,235	100% 100	n. 100%	٠	0	0	۰			
Residential Demand Response Residential Demand	MN - Smart Thermostar - EE - Direct Install	Direct hetall Smart Thermoster EE - AC & Electric Healing	ng with EnergySter Smart Thermouna Average Single Family Shares	2,707	4,000 ^		3,008 4,250	10 \$0	\$0	\$0 \$0.11	MONTO	0.0 0.0	1,770	\$0.000 \$0.000		63 50.00	_	76%	•	15	15	100% 100	100%	٠	0	0	0		996 0	
Residential Demand Response Residential Demand	MN - Smart Thermostar - EE - Self Install	SYOT SE - AC & Gas Heating - Slectic Only Customer	with Energister Smart Thermoster Australia Stock Carolic C	2,707	442 A		3,008 442	10 \$50		\$215 \$0.10	22%	15.7 12.1	133	\$0.076 \$0.008	_	03 50.00		76%	•	1,585	1,585	100% 100	100%	٠	0	٥	0		,056 79,350	
Residential Demand Response Residential Demand	MN - Smart Thermoster - EE - Self Install	SYOT EE - AC & Gas Heating - Combo Customer	with EnergySter Smart Thermome	2,707	442 A	Wall Strangers Lines out the	3,008 442	10 \$19		\$81 \$0.10		59 45		\$0.141 \$0.014		63 \$0.00	_	76%	•	1,760	1,760	100% 100	100%	٠	0	0	0		,006 32,900	
Residential Demand Response Residential Demand	MN- Smart Thermostat - EE - Sed Install	SYOT ES - AC & Secric Heating	Average single Family House with EnergyStar Smart Thermomer	2,707	4,008 ^	Verage Single Family House with Standard Thermostat	3,008 4,250	10 \$60	\$0	\$215 \$0.11	22%	1.1 0.8	1,770	\$0.008 \$0.000	0.9	63 \$0.00	\$0.00	76%	•	15	15	100% 100	100%	٠	0	0	0	4 2	996 750	1,225
Residential Demand Residential Demand	Water Heater DR - Heat Pump Water Heater (CTA 2049) Water Heater DR - Grid Enabled Electric	command response capability on new heat pump water heater (CTA 2016) Demand response capability on grid enabled electric	Heat Pump Water Heater w/ DR Management Discolic Resistance Water	0	0	No management of water heater time of use No management of water	4,500 1 4,500 1	15 \$100 15 \$100	\$0 \$0	\$100 \$0.11 \$100 \$0.11	100%	263.0 0.0 263.0 0.0	2 2	\$29.961 \$1.991 \$29.961 \$1.964	45	49 50.00	\$0.00	100%		15	15	100% 100 100% 4W	% 100% % 100%		0	0	0	74	is 1,500 is 1,500	1,500
Residential Demand	Water Heater DR - Electric Resistance	teantance water heater (CTA 2045) Demand response capability on existing electric resistance water heater equipped with demand response annual control of the control of t	Heater of DR Management De Clacatic Resistance Water			No management of water	4,600 1	15 50		50 00-11	roug .	00 00		50,000 91	46	49 50.00	9000	100%		10	10	100% 100							7 0	
Response Home Energy Savings	Water Heater Grid Retroft (Non-CTA 2045)	neath device (DR switch or Nor-CTA 2015) Home Energy Savings Program	Heater w/ DR Management	•		hasser time of use	- '		-				+ +			200	-				-	100		Ľ	1 *		-	-		
Home Energy Savings Program		Retigeator Replacements	Top Moured Pressur w/ Auto Delicer Energy Star netrigerator 22.0 Cf	66	5,592 D	op Mounted Freeezer w/ Auto Seltout Religerator 22.0 Cf >	173 5,582	18 \$617	\$0	\$617 \$0.11	100%	9.4 0.0	595	\$1.006 \$0.058	0.1	G1 \$0.00	\$0.00	64%	548 6	S 548	665	100% 100	% 100%	51	465,164	411,732	42,527		144 411,732	72 422,527
Program Home Energy Savings Program Home Energy Savings		Freezer Replacement	22.0 Cl Energy Star standard freezer	g.	5,592 Ex	oldsing unit vintage > 15 years old	147 5,582	18 \$320	\$0	\$920 \$0.11	100%	57 0.0 0.4 0.0		\$0.629 \$0.035	0.1	01 \$0.00	\$0.00	54%	201 2	S 201	275	100% 100	100%	17	152,627	87,157	87,864 296	17 15	.627 B7,157	
Home Energy Savings		Retrigeness Recycling Freezer Recycling	Removal of second retrigeneor Removal of treaser	0	0		203 5,582	8 \$47 6 \$43	-	\$47 \$0.11 \$49 \$0.11		0.4 0.0	1,199	\$0.041 \$0.006 \$0.007 \$0.006		0.1 \$0.00 0.1 \$0.00			1	- 4		100% 100 100% 100	% 100% % 100%	- 1	6,184 2,521	236 85	236 85		184 235 521 85	
Home Energy Savings		Window Air Conditioner Replacement	Energy Star 10,000 Sturby 10.8 ESR Window AC Unit	MS	662	Standard 10,000 Bruily 9/8 EER Window AC Unit	917 662	9 \$409	\$0	\$409 \$0.11	100%			\$18.044 \$2.116	0.0	60 \$0.00	\$0.00		234 4		480	100% 100	% 100%	15	11,266	190,384	196,526		266 190,384	84 196,536
Home Energy Savings Program Home Energy Savings		Window Air Conditioner Recycling	Removal of Standard 10,000 Bruhr 9:8 EER Window AC Unit	0	662	Standard 10,000 Stuhr 9:8 EER Window AC Unit	917 662	5 563	\$0	\$63 \$0.11	100%	0.9 0.0	607	\$0.103 \$0.023	0.9	69 \$0.00	\$0.00	90%			٥	100% 100	100%	۰	۰	0		0	0 0	0
Home Energy Savings		EC Fan Mittor on New Residential Furnace without AC	ECM Furnace Fan Insulate the artic to R-68 & perform Bypass air sealing	301 30,685	2,783 490 at	Non-ECM Fan Existing home with average stic area of 823 sq. ft. and R-	504 2,783 22,770 490	18 \$525 20 \$34	\$0	\$525 \$0.11 \$24 \$0.11	100%	10.9 0.0 5.1 0.0	42	\$0.909 \$0.002 \$0.567 \$0.028	0.2	01 \$14.0 00 \$0.00	\$0.00	27%	82 1	B 82	139	100% 100	% 100% % 100%		85,737 5,409	65,343 2,748	72,863 2,807	0 5	737 65,343 109 2,748	E3 72,853 B 2,807
Program Home Energy Savings		Atic Insulation - Electrically Heated & Non-Cooled Home	perform Bypess air sealing Insulate the attic to R-66 & perform Bypess air sealing	31,626	1,201	17 insulation	32,770 1,361	20 \$1,524		\$1,624 \$0.11		96 00		\$1.056 \$0.053		60 \$0.00				4	_	100% 100	_		23,626	15,242	22,862		626 15,242	
Program Home Energy Savings		Pall Education - Emiliating Present & Non-Collect Police		31,822						\$1,624 \$0.11		_		\$1.027 \$0.061		_	\$0.00			0		100% 100				0				
Home Energy Savings	+	Attic Insulation - Electrically Heated & Coded Home Air Sealing - Gas Heated & Electrically Cooled Home	Insulate the attic to R-68 & perform Rypass air sealing Perform Rypass air sealing	30,624	490	Existing home with average etic area of \$22 eq. ft. and R- 17 insulation Existing home with average	22,995 490	10 \$77						\$1.027 \$0.001 \$2.573 \$0.257			_		_	9 85	119	100% 100	s 100%		3,876	8,941	9,123		D 0	
Home Energy Savings		Air Sealing - Electrically Heated & Non-Cooled Home	Perform Bypass air sealing alone with Artic Inscitation	30,490		Existing home with average home size of \$406 on it	31,626 1,261	10 \$890	\$0	\$880 \$0.11	100%	5.5 0.0	1,645	\$0.609 \$0.061	1.1	0.0 \$0.00	\$0.00	0%	4 !	4	15	100% 100	% 100%	۰	23,667	8,802	13,203		667 8,802	19,203
Home Energy Savings Home Energy Savings	_	Air Sealing - Electrically Hested & Cooled Home	Perform Sypass air sealing alone with Artic Insulation	31,080	1,761		31,822 1,751 32,770 490	10 \$880		\$880 \$0.11		5.4 0.0 10.0 0.0				01 \$0.00 02 \$0.00		10%		0 10	0	100% 100	100%	٠	2,850	0	0	0	0 0	0 2,865
Program Home Energy Savings		Wall Insulation - Gas Heared and Electrically Cooled Hom	ne Add Insulation to Walls (R-11 added) Add Insulation to Walls (R-11	32,580						\$100 \$0.11				\$1.105 \$0.065	_		_	100%				100% 100	100%	4		2,370	2,885			
Program Home Energy Savings		Wall Insulation - Electrically Heated and Non-Cooled Hom	added)	30,208	1,261	insulation value)	32,770 1,361			\$1,296 \$0.11		2.6 0.0		\$0.401 \$0.000		00 \$0.00	_	0%	5	5	15	100% 100	100%	۰	52,866	14,365	18,696	0 6	898 14,245	65 19,436
Program Home Energy Savings Program		Wall Insulation - Electrically Heated and Cooled Home	Add Insulation to Walls (R-11 added)	30,872	1,761	insulation value)	32,770 1,751	20 \$1,296		\$1,295 \$0.11		25 0.0		\$0.390 \$0.019		0.2 \$0.00		10%		0	0	100% 100	100%		0	0		0		
Home Energy Savings	+	LEDs - 2017 LEDs - 2018	Average LEO Bulb Average LEO Bulb	10	909	Horocen A-Strute Bulb Average EISA Standard		7 \$3 6 \$3		\$3 \$0.11 \$3 \$0.11		0.9 0.0		\$0.099 \$0.014 \$0.101 \$0.016		00 \$0.00 00 \$0.00		8%	0 1	0	0	100% 100 100% 100	% 100% % 100%		0	0	0	0	0 0	- 0
Home Energy Savings		LEDs - 2019	Average LED Bulb	10	909	Average EISA Standard Halosen A Stale Bulb	48 909	5 \$4		\$4 \$0.11		0.9 0.0	34	\$0.102 \$0.020	0.0	00 \$0.00			752 2,	00 752	2,400	100% 100	100%		88,965	8,413	8,413		965 8,413	8,413
Multi-Family Energy Savings Program Multi-Family Energy Savings		Multi-Family Energy Savings Program				The section of																								
Multi-Family Energy Savings Program Multi-Family Energy Savings		Retrigerator Replacement with new Energy Star Retrigerator	Top Moured Pressur w/ Auto Defrost Energy Star netrigerator 22:0 Cf	66		op Mourted Freezer w' Auto Seltout Refrigerator 22.0 Cf > 15 years				\$620 \$0.11	100%	7.9 0.0	595	\$0.874 \$0.049	0.1	0.1 \$0.00	\$0.00	64%	89 6	7 89	667	100% 100	100%	52	452,942		362,440		,942 362,443	
Multi-Family Energy Savings Program Multi-Family Energy Savings		Freezer Replacement with new Energy Stor Freezer	Energy Star standard freezer	sr.		uisting unit vintage > 15 years old	_	18 \$244	\$0	\$244 \$0.11	100%	4.4 0.0	508	\$0.480 \$0.027	0.1	Q1 \$0.00	\$0.00	54%	3 3		22	100% 100	100%	1	12,210	5,368	5,368		210 5,368	a 5,368
Multi-Family Energy Savings Program Multi-Family Energy Savings		Refrigerator Removal and Recycling	Removal of second refrigerator	0		mostly >15 years	203 5,592	8 \$23	\$0	\$30 \$0.11	100%	0.0	1,133	\$0.009 \$0.004	0.2	Q1 \$0.00	\$0.00	64%	1 1	- 1	11	100% 100	100%	2	13,606	363	363		606 363	363
Multi-Family Energy Savings Program Multi-Family Energy Savings		Freezer Removal and Recycling	Removal of heezer	0		Existing primary unit - age mostly >10 years	_	6 \$33	\$0	\$30 \$0.11	100%	0.0	1,166	\$0.009 \$0.006	0.2	Q1 \$0.00	\$0.00	64%	1 1	- 1	11	100% 100	100%	2	13,866	363	363		865 363	
		Window Air Conditioner Replacement with Energy Star 10,000 Brushr 10.8 EER Window AC Unit	Energy Star 10,000 Bouhr 10.8 EER Window AC Unit	865		Standard 10,000 Stuhr 9/8 EER Window AC Unit		9 \$409		\$409 \$0.11	100%	190.2 0.0	21	\$18.044 \$2.116	0.0	00 \$0.00	\$0.00	55%	53 4	4 53	414	100% 100	100%		9,717	169,504	169,504		717 169,504	
Multi-Family Energy Savings Program Multi-Family Energy Savings		Window Air Conditioner Removal and Recycling of Standard 10,000 Sturbr 9.8 EER Window AC Unit	Removal of Standard 10,000 Stuhr 9:8 EER Window AC Unit	0	0	Standard 10,000 Stuhr 9/8 EER Window AC Unit	917 662	5 \$33	\$0	\$30 \$0.11	100%	0.5 0.0	607	\$0.054 \$0.012	0.9	04 \$0.00	\$0.00	55%	•	0	0	100% 100	100%	۰	0	0	0	۰	0 0	0
Multi-Family Energy Savings Program Multi-Family Energy Savings		Value LED Bubs - 2017	Average LED Bulb	10	909	Average EISA Standard Halogen A-Style Bulb	48 509	7 \$6	\$0	\$5 \$0.11	100%	1.4 0.0	34	\$0.151 \$0.021	0.0	00 \$0.00	\$0.00	8%		0	0	100% 100	100%	۰	0	0	0	0	0 0	0
Multi-Family Energy Savings Program Multi-Family Energy Savings		Value LED Bubs - 2018	Average LED Bulb	10		Average EISA Standard Halogen A-Style Bulb	48 509	4 \$5	\$0	\$5 \$0.11	100%	1.4 0.0	34	\$0.151 \$0.025	0.0	00 \$0.00	\$0.00	8%		0	0	100% 100	100%	۰	0	0	0	0	0 0	0
Program		Value LED Bubs - 2019 Engrave Efficient Shownshoad	Average LED Bulb	10	909	Average EISA Standard Halogen A-Style Bulb	48 909	5 \$5	\$0	\$5 \$0.11	100%	1.6 0.0	36	\$0.151 \$0.090	0.0	0.0 \$0.00	\$0.00	8%	1,619 12	02 1,619	12,702	100% 100	100%	42	476,139	66,050	66,050	4 0	139 66,050	J 66,050
Energy Efficient Showerhea Energy Efficient Showerhea	d	Energy Efficient Showerhead Provide new 1.5 gpm showerhead to replace existing 2.5 spm showerhead in electric SHW heater	1.5 GPM Shownhead	87	8,760		146 8,760			\$3 \$0.11	100%	0.0 0.0	510	\$0.006 \$0.001	0.1	60 \$89.9		54%		0	0	100% 60	6 100%	٠	0	0	0	0	0 0	0
Energy Efficient Showerhea		Provide new 1.5 gpm showerhead for second shower to replace existing 2.5 gpm showerhead in electric DHW heater	1.5 GPM Showshead	59	8,760		98 8,760		\$0	\$3 \$0.11	100%	0.1 0.0	343	\$0.009 \$0.001	0.0	00 \$22.4	\$0.00	54%		0	0	100% 50	6 100%			٥	0	0		0
Energy Efficient Showerhea	d	Provide Energy Efficient Kitchen Aerator - 1.5 GPMso teplace existing 2.2 gpm aerator in home with electric DHs beater	W 1.5 GPM Kitchen Faucet Aerotor	18	8,760	2.2 GPM Kitchen Faucet Aerotor	26 8,760	10 \$2	\$0	\$2 \$0.11	100%	0.1 0.0	34	\$0.000 \$0.000	0.0	0.0 \$4.17	\$0.00	124%		0	0	100% 40	6 100%		0	0	0	0		0
Energy Efficient Showerhea	d	Provide Energy Efficient Suth Faucer Aerosor - 1.0 GPM to replace existing 2.2 gpm senator in home with electric DHI heater	N 1.0 GPM Rathroom Faucet Awards	6	8,760	2.2 GPM Bathroom Faucet Aerator	13 8,760	10 \$0	\$0	\$0 \$0.11	100%	0.0 0.0	64	\$0.007 \$0.001	0.0	00 \$4.10	\$0.00	124%		0	0	100% 40	s 100%	٠	0	0	0		0 0	0
Energy Efficient Showerhea	d	Provide Energy Efficient Stath Faucer Aerator - 1.0 GPM to second faucet to replace existing 2.2 gpm aerator in home with allowin TriAM houses.	or 1.0 GPM/Rathroom Faucet Awarter	6		2.2 GPM Bathroom Faucet Aerator		10 50	\$0	\$0 \$0.11	100%	0.0 0.0	64	\$0.007 \$0.001	0.0	0.0 \$4.10	\$0.00	124%		0	0	100% 30	6 100%	٠	0	0	0			0
Energy Efficient Showerhea		Provide new 1.5 gpm showerhead to replace existing 2.5 non-showerhead in electric PARN hazars	1.5 GPM Shownhead	17	8,760	2.5 GPM Showerhead	146 8,760	10 \$3						\$0.006 \$0.001		00 \$33.3			•	0	0	100% 60	6 100%	۰	0	0	0	0	0 0	0
Energy Efficient Showerhea	d	Provide new 1.5 gpm showerhead for second shower to replace existing 2.5 gpm showerhead in electric DHW beater	1.5 GPM Shownhead	59	8,760	2.5 GPM Showerhead	98 8,760	10 \$3	\$0	\$3 \$0.11	100%	0.1 0.0	363	\$0.009 \$0.001	0.0	00 \$22.4	90.00			۰	۰	100% 50	6 100%	٠	0	0	0	0	0 0	0
Energy Efficient Showerhea	d	Provide sinergy Efficient Klochen Aerstor - 1.5 GPMso replace existing 2.2 gpm sensor in home with electric DHs heater	W 1.5 GPMKitchen Faucet Aerator	18		2.2 GPM Kitchen Faucet Aerator	26 8,760	10 \$2	\$0	\$2 \$0.11	100%	0.1 0.0	34	\$0.001 \$0.000	0.0	0.0 \$4.17	\$0.00	124%		0	0	100% 40	6 100%			٥	0	0		0
Energy Efficient Showerhea	d	Provide Energy Efficient Sorth Faucet Aerosor - 0.5 GPM to teplace existing 2.2 gpm sensor in home with electric DHI houser	0 0.5 GPM Bathroom Faucet Austror	a	8,760	2.2 GPM Bathroom Faucet Aerator	13 8,760	10 \$2	\$0	\$2 \$0.11	100%	0.1 0.0	64	\$0.018 \$0.002	0.0	00 \$6.60	\$0.00	124%			0	100% 40	6 100%		0	0	0	0		
Energy Efficient Showerhea	d	Provide Energy Efficient Sorth Faucet Aerotor - 0.5 GPM to second faucet to replace existing 2.2 gpm sensor in home with electric DHW hoster	or 0.5 GPM Rathroom Faucet Awater	2		2.2 GPM Bathroom Faucet Aerator	13 8,760	10 \$2	\$0	\$2 \$0.11	100%	0.1 0.0	96	\$0.018 \$0.000	0.0	00 \$6.60	\$0.00	124%		0	0	100% 30	6 100%	۰	0	0	0			0
Energy Efficient Showerhea	d	Provide new 1.5 gpm showerhead to replace existing 2.5 gpm showerhead in electric SHW heater	1.5 GPM Showshead	87	8,760	2.5 GPM Showerhead	146 8,760	10 \$9		\$3 \$0.11				\$0.007 \$0.001		00 \$33.5		54%	427 1)	10 427	1,600	100% 60	6 100%	a	641,616	6,374	6,374		,916 6,374	
Energy Efficient Showerhea		Provide new 1.5 gpm showerhead for second shower to replace existing 2.5 gpm showerhead in electric DHW heater	1.5 GPM Showerhead	59		2.5 GPM Showwhead				\$3 \$0.11		0.1 0.0		\$0.010 \$0.001			\$0.00			10 320		100% 50		20	269,730	4,781	4,781		,730 4,781	
		Process a nergy laticient Rochen Aerator - 1.5 GPM to	W 1.5 GPM Kitchen Faucet America	18	8,760	2.2 GPMKitchen Faucet Aerotor	26 8,760	10 \$2	\$0	\$2 \$0.11	100%	0.1 0.0	74	\$0.002 \$0.002	0.0	00 \$4.17	\$0.00	124%	427 1)	10 427	1,920	100% 40	6 100%		61,867	3,091	3,091		867 3,091	3,091
Energy Efficient Showerhea	d	teasur				Person				_		_								_		_	_							

Program	Electric Measure Group (for programs with new 2000 measures only)	Sectric Measure Description	Efficient Product Description / Efficient Product Description / Ef	Efficient Product Concumptio (watts)	Efficient on House of Base Operation Descr	seine Product	Raseline Raseline Product Hours of	Measur a Rat	Arerage sate Baseline	Incremental Cost of	Assumed Rebate as hergy Cost Not	a Cost Cos Payback Paybo	mc1 Annual & or Customer Co	Rebated Rebated Lifetime cost /Cost	Customer Generator NW Savings Feak NW Savings (NW) (NW)	Non-Energy OLW O	argy Coincidence	2019 Participants	2019 Units Partici	2020 Units	N79	Installation Rate	Realization 201 Rate (19)	INST GROWN 2	OTO NET Gen KWG (KWG)	2019 Reliate 2 Budget (B)	2019 Incremental Costs (8)	2020 NET Gen KW (KW)	2020 NET Gen 201 MIN (MIN)	22 Rebate 2020 to Budget C	Incremental Costs (8)
	<u> </u>	Provide Energy Efficient Sorth Flaucet Aerosor - 0.5 GPM to		(Mana)																				11				11			
Energy Efficient Showerhead		Provide Energy Efficient Sorth Faucer Annator - 0.5 GPM to regisce existing 2.2 gpm sensor in home with electric DHM houser. Provide Energy Efficient Sorth Faucer Annator - 0.5 GPM for second-faucer to senions existing 0.5 genus annator in home.	Assault Assaul	3		Milathoon Fauori Aerator Milathoon Fauori									0.0 60		0.00 124%			1,600		40% 30%	100%	4	76,060	3,300 2,475	3,300 2,675	6			3,300
Energy Efficient Showerhead Freeny Feedback Residential		second faucet to replace existing 2.2 gpm sensor in home with electric DHW heater Energy Feedback Residential	0.5 GPM Ruthroom Faucet Awaron	9	8,760 2.2 GPM	Aerator	13 8,760	0 1	2 S0	\$2 \$0	\$0.11 100% #N/A #D5/0	0.1 0.0 MAX MAI	9 96 :	\$0.019 \$0.000 #DMOI #DMOI	0.0 0.0	\$5.90 S	0.00 124%	220	1,640 3	0 1,440	100%	20%	100%		42,764	2,6%	2,495		42,794	2.475	2,475
Energy Feedback Residential Energy Feedback Residential		Rollup: Online Group Savings Rollup: Existing Participans 2017 Savings	Treatment Treatment	1,215	8,426 4,108	Corerol Corerol	811 8,436 1,367 4,106	1 1	io \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0.11 HDM/01 \$0.11 HDM/01	0.0 0.0	9 19 1	\$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000	0.0 0.0 0.1 0.1	\$0.00 S	0.00 85%	26,220 0	26,220 26.	26,220	100%	100%	100%	55 0	528,606	0	0	0	538,606 0	0	0
Eneray Feedback Residential Eneray Feedback Residential Eneray Feedback Residential Eneray Feedback Residential Eneray Feedback Residential		Rollup: New Participant 2017 Savings Rollup: Existing Participant 2018 Savings	Treatment Treatment	1,073	4,156 4,120	Corerol Corerol	1,098 4,155 1,166 4,119	1 3	io \$0 io \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0.11 HDM/01 \$0.11 HDM/01	0.0 0.0	0 106 :	\$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000	0.0 0.0	\$0.00 S	0.00 95%	0	0 1	0	100%	100%	100%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Energy Feedback Residential Energy Feedback Residential Energy Feedback Residential		Rollup: Niew Participant 2018 Savings Rollup: Existing Participant 2019 Savings Rollup: Niew Participant 2019 Savings	Teatment Teatment	1,028	4,170 4,121	Control	1,053 4,169 1,106 4,120	1 1	io \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0.11 HD5/01	0.0 0.0	3 104	\$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000	0.0 60 0.1 0.1	\$0.00 S	0.00 95%	210,100	210,100 210	0 00 210,100	100%	100%	100%	11,208	47,329,913	0	0	11,208	0 47,329,913	0	0
Energy Feedback Residential		Kotapi Nasa Partiopare 2019 savings Behavioral Adjustment-Online Group Savings Behavioral Adjustments Dollars Existing Businings (617)	Teatment Teatment	639	8,436 4,138	Control	-541 8,436 -945 4,106			50	\$0.11 HONO \$0.11 HONO	00 00	3 -13	\$0.000 KDN/01	00 60	\$0.00 S		0	26,220	26,220	100%	100%	100%	-06	-269,071	0	0	-36	-358,071	0	0
Energy Feedback Residential Energy Feedback Residential		Gavings Behavioral Adjustments Rollup: New Perficipant 2017 Savings	Teatment	-715	4.156	Corerol			io 90					\$0.000 HDNU	0.0 0.0	\$0.00 \$	0.00 95%		0 1	0	100%	100%	100%		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Energy Feedback Residential Energy Feedback Residential		Behavioral Adjustments Rollup: Existing Participants 2018 Stations Behavioral Adjustments Rollup: New Participant 2018 Control	Treatment	-766	4,120	Corerol	-277 4,119 -202 4,169	0 1		50			-136		0.0 0.0		0.00 95%		0 1	0	100%	100%	100%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Energy Feedback Residential		Savinos Behavioral Adjustments Rollup: Existing Participants 2019 Savinos	Teamer	700	4,121	Corerol	-797 4,120	0 1	10 \$0	\$0	\$0.11 #05/00	0.0 0.0	-138	\$0.000 HDN/01	0.0 0.0	\$0.00 \$	0.00 95%	0	210,100	210,100	100%	100%	100%	-7,672	-21,586,608	0	0	-7,622	91,586,608	0	0
Energy Feedback Residential Efficient New Home		Efficient New Home Construction	Teatrant	449	4,164	Corerol	-666 4,163		io 50 io 50		\$0.11 #DN/0	0.0 0.0 #DN/0 #DN/		\$0.000 MDNO:	0.0 0.0		0.00 95%		20,000	20,000	100%	100%	100%	461	-1,499,272	0	0	-361	-1,699,272	0	0
Construction		Efficient New Home Construction	Energy Efficient Home Stated							_								-		-	_		_	•					-		
Efficient New Home Construction		Low Income Envelope Improvements - Combo Customers	Energy Efficient Home Based Upon REMikter model by House I. Rater with Average Size 1773 and Average 1279, Bater Than Code Energy Efficient Home Based	12,596		ce Home Based upon Local Code	_	20 \$	_	-		10.0 5.6	-				0.00 72%	14	25 1		100%	100%	100%	2	1,860	827	1,983	2			1,993
Efficient New Home Construction		10% to 15% improvement over local code - Combo Customers	Energy Efficient Home Based Upon REMRate model by House Rater with Assage Size Midb and Average 13, 116 Better Than Code Energy Efficient Home Based	43,896		ce Home Based upon Local Code	_	20 \$	_	-	-	15.1 12.		_		\$0.00 9	0.00 90%	112	200 1		100%	100%	100%	65	19,203	4,100	31,368	46	19,233		21,368
Efficient New Home Construction		15% to 20% improvement over local code - Combo Dustantiers	Energy Efficient Home Stated Upon RSMRate model by House Rater with Average Size 3834 and Average 17.4% Setter Than Code Energy Efficient Home Stated	25,432	239 Reference	ce Home Based upon Local Code	26,842 229	20 \$	S7 \$0	\$258	\$0.12 22%	15.7 12.	2 199 :	\$0.409 \$0.000	0.4 0.4	\$0.00 \$	0.00 90%	210	376 2	276	100%	100%	100%	153	£7,265	21,492	94,969	163	57,255	21,432 9	04,969
Efficient New Home Construction		20% to 25% improvement over local code - Combo Customers	Energy Efficient Home Stated Upon REMINISTE model by House Roser with Austrage Size 4639 and Average 21.3% Setter Than Code Energy Efficient Home Stated	22,868	564 Reference	ce Home Based upon Local Code	23,421 564	20 St	22 \$0	\$417	\$0.12 29%	11.3 8.0	312	\$0.391 \$0.020	0.6 0.5	\$0.00 \$	0.00 90%	80	144 8	544	100%	100%	100%	79	49,060	17,668	60,098	79	49,060	17,548 6	60,098
Efficient New Home Construction		25% to 30% improvement over local code - Combo Customers	Energy Efficient Home Stated Upon REMRate model by House Rater with Austage Size (211 and Average 35.9% Setter Than Code Energy Efficient Home Stated	45,217	349 Reference	ce Home Based upon Local Code	46,217 349	20 St	so so	\$600	\$0.12 25%	15.3 11.	4 349	\$0.458 \$0.023	1.0 1.0	\$0.00 \$	0.00 90%	22	40 2	40	100%	100%	100%	29	15,218	6,384	25,192	20	15,218	6394 2	25,192
Efficient New Home Construction		30% to 35% improvement over local code - Combo Customers	Energy Efficient Home Stated Upon REMRate model by House Rater with Austage Size 5613 and Average 32.7% Senter Than Code Energy Efficient Home Stated	6,876	2,660 Reference	ce Home Based upon Local Code	10,209 2,660	20 SI		\$4,054	\$0.12 19%	29 21	8,000	\$0.007 \$0.004	33 11	\$0.00 9	0.00 30%	22	40 2	40	100%	100%	100%	4	387,190	30,960	162,168	4	367,180	30,960 19	162,168
Efficient New Home Construction		35% and greater improvement over local code - Combo Customers	Energy Efficient Home Stated Upon REMikrate model by House Rater with Average Size 4562 and Average 35.8% Senter Than Code Energy Efficient Home Stated	4362	2,419 Reference	ce Home Based upon Local Code	5,893 2,419	20 S	50	-	\$0.12 20%		1 3,629				0.00 38%	۰	0 1		100%	100%	100%	٠	۰	0	0	0	۰		0
Efficient New Home Construction		10% to 15% improvement over local code - Electric Only Customers	Energy Efficient Home Stated Upon REMikrate model by House Rater with Awarage Size 3650 and Awarage 8:1% Setter Than Code Energy Efficient Home Stated	20,547		ce Home Based upon Local Code		20 St	00 S0	\$158	\$0.12 62%		254			\$0.00 9	0.00 90%	112	201 1	201	100%	100%	100%	B1	55,663	20,100	31,835	ar	55,663	20,100 3	21,826
Efficient New Home Construction		15% to 20% improvement over local code - Electric Only Customers	Upon REMRate model by House Rater with Average Size 3834 and Average 13.2% Better Than Code Energy Efficient Home Stated	21,509	565 Reference	ce Home Based upon Local Code	21,970 565	20 St	00 S0	\$259	\$0.12 39%	84 52	2 261 :	\$0.384 \$0.019	0.5 0.5		0.00 90%	400	717 4	717	100%	100%	100%	206	204,039	71,700	185,994	336	204,039	71,700 10	185,994
Efficient New Home Construction		20% to 25% improvement over local code - Electric Only Customers	Energy Efficient Home Stated Upon REMikins model by House Rater with Average Size 4009 and Average 17.5% Stetler Than Code Energy Efficient States States	20,709	413 Reference	ce Home Based upon Local Code	21,257 613	20 St	00 SO	\$419	\$0.12 24%	10.6 8.0	206	\$0.298 \$0.015	0.5 0.5	\$0.00 9	0.00 90%	123	220 %	220	100%	100%	100%	119	80,709	22,000	92,106	119	80,709	22,000 9	92,106
Efficient New Home Construction		25% to 30% improvement over local code - Electric Only Customers	Energy Efficient Home Stated Upon RSMRate model by House Rater with Austage Size SP11 and Average 21.3% Senter Than Code Energy Efficient Home Stated	45,217	349 Reference	ce Home Based upon Local Code	46,217 349	20 St	00 SO	\$600	\$0.12 16%	15.3 12:	9 349	\$0.287 \$0.014	1.0 1.0	\$0.00 9	0.00 90%	34	41 3	61	100%	100%	100%	60	23,208	6,100	38,402	40	23,208	6,100 3	36,432
Efficient New Home Construction		30% to 35% improvement over local code - Electric Only Customers	Energy Efficient Home Stated Upon REMRate model by House Rater with Average Size Six13 and Average 35.9% Sener Than Code	6,876	2,640 Reference	ce Home Based upon Local Code	10,209 2,660	20 Sr	00 \$0	\$4,054	\$0.12 2%	29 24	8,866	\$0.011 \$0.001	23 11	\$0.00 \$	0.00 30%	۰	0 1	0	100%	100%	100%	۰	۰	0	0	0	0	0	0
Efficient New Home Construction Efficient New Home			Energy Efficient Home Stated Upon REMRate model by House Rater with Austage Size 4362 and Average 32.7% Setter Than Code	4.202		ce Home Based upon Local Code									1.5 0.6		0.00 38%	۰	0 1	۰	100%	100%	100%	۰	۰	۰	0	0			0
Construction Efficient New Home		Energy Star Clothes Washer - Combo Customers w/ Discrisc DHW Energy Star Clothes Washer - Electric Only Customers w/	Energy Star Clothes Washer	270	296 Standar	and Clothes Washer and Clothes Washer	477 295 477 295	11 \$	10 9677			22 11			0.1 0.0		0.00 3%	189	345 19	1 345	100%	100%	100%		35 11,804	13	30 10,360	0	35 11,904		10,350
Construction Efficient New Home		Electric DHAV Energy Star Clothes Washer - Combo Customers w/ Gas.	Energy Star Cortes Washer	111		and Clothes Washer	132 285	11 1				0.9 0.6					0.00 3%	143	256 5	_	100%	100%	100%		1,767	832	2,496				2,496
Construction Efficient New Home		CHAV Energy Star Clothes Washer - Electric Only Customers w Gas DHW	Energy Star Clothes Washer	111	296 Standar	and Clothes Washer	132 295	11 \$				0.9 0.0				\$10.00 \$	0.00 3%	1	1 .	1	100%	100%	100%	0	7	10	10	0	7		10
Construction Efficient New Home Construction		Energy Star Rehigenator	Top Maumed Freezay w/ Auto Detroit Energy Star refrigerator 22:0 Cf	66	5,592 Top Mount Sebost S	red Freezer w Auto Standard rehigerator 22.0 Cf	74 5,582	18 \$							0.0 0.0	\$0.00 \$	0.00 64%	558	1,000 S	1,000	100%	100%	100%	5	45,000	15,000	26,040	5	45,000	15,000 2	26,040
Efficient New Home Construction		Energy Star Radon Fan	Energy Star Radon Fan- Radonaway RP160	17	8,760 Energy Radi	y Star Radon Fan - donaway RP145	48 8,760	10 \$	10 \$139	-\$4	\$0.12 -250%	41 4	4 273	\$0.007 \$0.004	0.0 0.0	\$0.00 9	0.00 100%	202	202 2	202	100%	100%	100%	7	60,262	2,020	400	7	60,262	2,000	404
Residential Heating Residential Heating		Residential Heating EC Fan littor on Remolt Residential Furnace with AC	SCMFurnace Fan	267	2,542 No	Non-ECM Fan	569 2,542	7 \$1	00 \$236	\$212	\$0.11 47%	43 21	539	\$0.186 \$0.027	0.2 61	-\$9.50 S	0.00 53%	50	50 5	50	100%	100%	100%	7	29,421	5,000	10,600	7	29,421	5,000 1	10,600
Residential Heating Residential Heating		EC Fan Mittor on Nemote Residential Furnace with AC	SCMFurnace Fan	260	2,536 No.	Non-ECM Fan	579 3,556 504 2,790	18 51	00 \$236	\$212	\$0.11 47% \$0.11 47%	35 15	672	\$0.149 \$0.008 \$0.177 \$0.040	02 01	414.06 9	0.00 71%	8,900	8,900 83	0 8,900	100%	100%	100%	1,310	6,529,258	890,000	1,896,800	1,210	6,529,258	890,000 1,6 100,000 0:	,886,800 212,000
Home Energy Squad		Home Energy Squad NEC Energy Squad Service 2017	SCAPPENSORPS:			SUPECUIPEI				-											100.0	100.0	100.0	-							
Home Energy Squad Home Energy Squad			weighted average Energy		arr weighted						40.40 OV	0.5		F1.000 F1.000		41106 9						4000									
Home Energy Squad			weighted average Energy Efficient measures by participant weighted average Energy	62		ed average Baseline sures by participant and average Baseline	104 965	7							0.0 0.0		0.87 10%	٠	0 1		100%	100%	100%	٠	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		NEC Energy Squad Service 2018	weighted average Energy Efficient measures by participant	60	955 weighted measu	ed average Baseline wes by participant	104 955	4	\$0	\$3	\$0.12 0%	0.5 0.1	s 40 :	\$0.000 \$0.000	0.0 0.0	\$0.07 \$	0.87 10%		0 1	0	100%	100%	100%	0 0	0 0 2218264	0	0 0 154,000	0 0			154,000
			weighted average. Energy Efficient measures by participant weighted average. Energy Efficient measures by participant	63 63 63	955 weighted measur 955 weighted measur	nd average Stateline sures by participant and average Stateline sures by participant	104 965	5	\$0 \$0	\$1 \$1	\$0.12 0% \$0.12 0%	0.5 0.1	s 40 :	\$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000		\$0.07 \$		0 0 2,199 0	0 (50,891 2,1						0 0 2,218,264 120		0 0 154,000 19	0 0 230 0	2,218,264	0 11	154,000
Home Energy Squad Home Energy Squad		NEC Energy Squad Service 2018 NEC Energy Squad Service 2019	weighted swrape. Energy Efficient measures by pericipate weighted swrape. Energy Efficient measures by pericipate. TV periphenia sumed off with Tieste (replacing power strip)		955 weighted means 955 weighted means 4,420 Power use while ag	ad average Baseline unes by participant and average Baseline unes by participant sed in "standby" mode quipment is unused	104 965 104 965 28 4,420	s s	\$0 \$0	\$3 \$3 \$20	\$0.12 0% \$0.12 0% \$0.12 0%	05 01 05 01 15 11	5 40 : 5 40 : 5 115 :	\$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000	0.0 0.0	\$0.07 \$ \$0.07 \$ \$0.00 \$	0.87 10%	2,199	0 (50,891 2,1	9 50,831	100%	100%	100%	290	2318364	0	154,000	230	2,218,264	0 11	154,000
Home Energy Squad		NEC Energy Squad Service 2018 NEC Energy Squad Service 2019	weighted average. Energy Efficient measures by participant weighted average. Energy Efficient measures by participant	2	955 weighted measurement of the second measu	nd average Stateline sures by participant and average Stateline sures by participant	104 965 104 965 28 4,420	s s	\$0 \$0 10 \$0	\$3 \$3 \$20 \$30	\$0.12 0% \$0.12 0% \$0.12 0%	0.5 0.5 0.5 0.1 1.5 1.1 1.0 1.6	5 40 : 5 40 : 5 115 :	\$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.1 0.1	\$0.07 \$ \$0.07 \$ \$0.00 \$ \$0.00 \$1	0.87 10% 0.87 10% 0.00 19%	2,199	0 (50,824 2,7 1 (17 :	9 50,831	100% 100% 100%	100%	100% 100% 100%	290	2,218,264	0	154,000	230	2,218,264	0 11	154,000
Home Energy Squad Home Energy Squad		NEC Energy Squad Service 2018 NEC Streepy Squad Service 2019 NEC - TV periphenia turned off with Timer Install Second Programmable Thermorate	weighted average. Energy Efficient measures by persicipant weighted average. Energy Efficient measures by persicipant. TV peripherals samed off with Timer (replacing power strip) 5 account? States of Acts strate by 1 F for cooling assures 3 ton AC, 10 SEER.	1,504	955 weighted measurement of the second measu	nd average Baseline unner by participant and average Baseline unes by participant teed in 'strandby' mode applicant is unused To and in samp temp to and on samp temp to average Baseline unes by participant	104 965 104 965 28 4,420 1,565 449	s s	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$3 \$3 \$20 \$30 \$30	\$0.12 0% \$0.12 0% \$0.12 0% \$0.12 0%	0.5 0.1 0.5 0.1 1.5 1.2 1.0 1.6	5 40 : 5 40 : 5 115 :	\$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.1 0.1 0.0 0.0	\$0.07 \$ \$0.07 \$ \$0.00 \$ \$0.00 \$2	0.87 10% 0.87 10% 0.00 19% 0.00 50%	2,199	0 1 50,820 2,7 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	0 9 50,831 1 17	100% 100% 100% 100% 100%	100% 100% 100%	100% 100% 100% 100%	290	2,218,264	0	154,000 19 519	230	2,218,264	0 11	154,000 19 518
Home Energy Squad Home Energy Squad Home Energy Squad		ACC Comp Squat Service 2018 ACC Comp Squat Service 2019 ACC Comp Squat Service 2019 ACC - Try peoplement turned off with Timer Bestell Secured Programmake Thermoster COSE Comp Squat Service 2017 COSE Comp Squat Service 2019 COSE Comp Squat Service 2019	weighted awange Cheepy Efficient measures by perfulper weighted awange Cheepy Efficient measures by perfulper Efficient measures by perfulper Efficient measures by perfulper Trans (position) power engly 1 for cooling measures 3 to not. 1 for cooling measures 3 to	2 1,504 83	955 weighted measurement 955 weighted measurement 955 weighted measurement 955 9	and average Stateline average Stateline average Stateline average Stateline to Cardon Variandoy mode quipment is unaverage to Card no settipo tempo di average Stateline average Stateline average	104 865 104 865 28 4,420 1,565 449 125 673 125 673	5 1 10 1 1 7 4 4 4	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$	\$3 \$3 \$20 \$30 \$0 \$0	\$0.12 0% \$0.12 0% \$0.12 0% \$0.12 0% \$0.12 0% \$0.12 0% \$0.12 0%	0.5 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1	5 40 : 5 40 : 5 40 : 5 115 : 5	\$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000	00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	\$0.67 \$ \$ \$0.00 \$ \$ \$0.00 \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	0.87 10% 0.87 10% 0.87 10% 0.00 19% 25.17 90% 0.00 11% 0.00 11%	2,199 0 1 0 0 2,499	0 i 50,821 2.1152 1 i 1 i 1 i 1 i 1 i 1 i 1 i 1 i 1 i 1	0 50,821 1 1 77 0 0 0 41,688	100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100%	100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100%	100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100%	230	2218284 120 709 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	154,000 19 518 0 0	220 0 1 0 0	2,216,364 150 709 0 0 1,815,592	0 11	154,000 19 518 0 0
Home Energy Squad		MCC Comp Spale Service 2018 MCC Comp Spale Service 2019 MCC The polyments toward of the Theore MCC Thy polyments toward of the Theore MCC Thy polyments Theoreman MCC Comp Spale Service 2017 MCC Comp Spale Service 2019 MCC Thy polyments toward off with Theore	weighted awange Cheepy Chicier measures by perfuger Weighted awange Cheepy Chicier measures by perfuger Chicier measures by perfuger Chicier measures by perfuger Trans (position) power entity I perfugerate measured or the Trans (position) power entity I for toology measures 3 too AC, I for toology measures 3 too AC, I for toology measures 3 too AC, I for the measures by perfugerate medigate measures by perfuger Medical measures by perfuger Medical measures to be profuger weighted measures Medical measures Medic	2 1,564 83 83 83	\$65 weighted means \$65 weighted means \$65 weighted means \$65 weighted means \$65 weighted \$65 wei	and average Stateline Lavet by participant and average Stateline have by participant said in 'transfly' made opplement is unused. OC and no semp temp and average Stateline lavet by participant and average Stateline lavet by participant said in 'transfly' node opplement is unused	104 665 104 665 28 4,420 1,665 449 125 673 125 673 28 4,420	5 1 10 1 7 4 4 5 1 1	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$	\$3 \$3 \$20 \$30 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$20	\$0.12 0% \$0.12 0% \$0.12 0% \$0.12 0% \$0.12 0% \$0.12 0% \$0.12 0% \$0.12 0% \$0.12 0% \$0.12 0%	0.5 0.1 0.5 0.1 1.5 1.4 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1	\$ 40 : \$ 115 : \$ 115 : \$ 115 : \$	\$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000	00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	\$0.07 \$ \$0.07 \$ \$0.00	0.87 10% 0.87 10% 0.00 19% 0.00 19% 0.00 11% 0.00 11% 0.00 11% 0.00 11%	2,199 0 1 0 0 2,499	0 1 50,601 2,506 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	0 50,891 1 17 0 0 0 41,698 1	100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100%	100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100%	100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100%	230	2216264 120 709 0 0 1,875,592	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	154,000 19 518 0 0 14,805	230	2,216,364 120 709 0 1,975,992	0 11	154,000 19 518 0 0 14,805
Home Energy Squad Nome Energy Squad Home Energy Squad		MC Cong Spait Series 2019 MC Tong Spait Series 2019 MC Tong Spait Series 2019 MC Ton Series 2019 MC Tong Spait Series 2019 MC MC Tong Spait Series 2019	Section of the sectio	2 1,504 83 83 83 2 1,504	\$65 weighted means \$65 weighted \$65 weighted \$65 weighted means \$65 weighted \$65 weighted means \$65 weighted	and average Essessine aware by participant of a desirage Essessine participant and a desirage Essessine such a superior and a superior such as a superior and participant and desirage Essessine and such as a superior desirage Essessine aware by participant de servings Essessine aware de servings Essessine de servings de serv	104 665 104 665 28 4,400 1,665 449 125 603 125 603 125 603 125 603 126 440	5 1 1 1 7 6 6 5 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$	\$3 \$3 \$3 \$30 \$30 \$30 \$30 \$50 \$50 \$50 \$50 \$50 \$50 \$50 \$50 \$50 \$5	\$0.12 O%	0.5 0.1 0.5 0.1 1.5 1.2 1.0 1.4 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 1.5 1.2 2.0 2.4	5 40 : 5 5 40 : 5 5 40 : 5 5 40 : 5 5 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6	\$0.000 \$0.000	00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	\$0.07 \$ \$ \$0.07 \$ \$ \$0.00 \$ \$ \$0.00 \$ \$ \$0.00 \$ \$ \$0.00 \$ \$ \$0.00 \$ \$ \$0.00 \$ \$ \$0.00 \$ \$ \$0.00 \$ \$	0.87 10% 0.87 10% 0.00 19% 0.00 19% 0.00 11% 0.00 11% 0.00 19% 0.00 19%	2,199 0 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 1 50,821 2,1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	0 0 50,821 1 17 0 0 0 41,688 1 1	100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100%	100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100%	100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100%	220 0 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 1 0	2318,364 120 709 0 0 1,875,592 129	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	154,000 19 518 0 0 14,805 22	220 0 1 0 0 0 205 0	2,216,364 120 709 0 0 1,875,692 138	0 11	154,000 19 518 0 0 14,805
Home Energy Squad		NCC Streng Space Street Street NCC Streng Space Street NCC Stree	weight and anough. County weight and anough and anough and weight and anough an	2 1,504 83 83 83 83 2 1,504	955 weight (1997) weight (1997	and average Essessine uses by participant of average Essessine such average Essessine such average Essessine production of the Control of the production of the participant of average Essessine such average Essessine such average such aver	104 965 104 965 28 4,420 1,565 449 125 973 125 973 125 973 125 973 126 4430 1,565 449	\$ 10 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$	\$3 \$3 \$20 \$20 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$20 \$20 \$20 \$20	\$0.12 O%	0.6 0.1 0.1 1.6 1.4 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1	5 40 : 5 40 : 5 175 : 5 175 : 6 41 : 7 41 : 7 41 : 7 15 115 : 7 115 115 115 : 7 115 115 115 : 7 115 115 115 115 : 7 115 115 115 115 115 115 115 115 115 11	\$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000	00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	\$0.07 \$ \$ \$0.07 \$ \$ \$0.07 \$ \$ \$0.00 \$ \$ \$0.00 \$ \$ \$0.00 \$ \$ \$0.00 \$ \$ \$0.00 \$ \$ \$0.00 \$ \$ \$0.00 \$ \$ \$0.00 \$ \$ \$0.00 \$ \$ \$0.00 \$ \$ \$0.00 \$ \$ \$0.00 \$ \$ \$0.00 \$ \$	0.87 10% 0.87 10% 0.80 10% 0.00 19% 0.00 19% 0.00 19% 0.00 19% 0.00 19% 0.00 19% 0.00 19% 0.00 19%	2,199 0 1 0 2,499 0 1 2,499 1 366	0 i 1 2.7 2.7 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	0 60 50 501 1 1 17 0 0 0 41,666 1 1 20 1 366	100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100%	100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100%	100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100%	220 0 1 1 0 0 0 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	2,218,364 120 708 0 0 1,875,502 128 821 83,807	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	154,000 19 519 0 0 14,805 22 185	200 0 1 0 0 0 205 0 1	2,718,364 120 709 0 0 1,875,592 139 821	0 11 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	154,000 19 518 0 0 0 14,805 22 16,237
Home Energy Squad		NEC Dropp Space Tennos 2014 NEC Dropp Space Tennos 2014 NEC Dropp Space Tennos 2015 NEC Dropp Space Tennos 2015 NEC Dropp Space Tennos 2017 NEC Dropp Space Tennos 2017 NEC Dropp Space Tennos 2017 NEC Dropp Space Tennos 2019 NEC Space Space Tennos	Section of the sectio	2 1,504 83 83 83 2 1,504	\$65 weight of season \$155 weight of season \$	at aways Rassile was hyperiopies and hyperiopies and hyperiopies and hyperiopies and hyperiopies and hyperiopies and hyperiopies and hyperiopies and was hyperiopies and was hyperiopies and was hyperiopies and hyperi	104 565 104 565 104 565 105 105 105 105 105 105 105 105 105 10	\$ 1 10 4 10 4 10 4 112 4 112 4 1	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$	\$3 \$30 \$20 \$00 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$20 \$20 \$20 \$20	\$0.12 ON \$0.	0.5 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	5 40 1 5 40 1 5 5 40 1 5 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6	\$3.000 \$3	00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	\$0.07 \$ \$0.00 \$ \$0.00 \$ \$0.00 \$ \$ \$0.00 \$ \$ \$ \$	0.87 10% 0.87 10% 0.00 12% 0.00 12% 0.00 11% 0.00 11% 0.00 11% 0.00 12% 0.00 10% 0.00 10%	2,199 1 0 1 0 2,499 1 1 366 306	0 i 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 50,001 1 17 0 0 6 41,000 1 1 1 20 1 206	100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100%	100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100%	100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100%	220 0 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 1 0	2318,364 120 709 0 0 1,875,592 129	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	154,000 19 518 0 0 14,805 22	220 0 1 0 0 0 205 0	2,718,364 120 709 0 0 1,875,592 139 821	0 11 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	154,000 19 518 0 0 14,805
Home Energy Squaid		NEC Comp Spead Review 2014 NEC COMP Spead Review 2017 NEC Spead Review 2014 NEC Speak Revie	unique annue ficing (Color memory protegor annue ficing a	2 1,504 83 83 83 83 2 1,504	955 weighted	at aurage Baseline von Aryperinjone von Aryperinjone der derege Baseline von Aryperinjone der Grenoring von Aryperinjone der Grenoring von Aryperinjone der der Verscher von Aryperinjone der der verscher von Aryperinjone der der verscher von Aryperinjone der verscher von Aryperinjone der verscher von Aryperinjone der verscher von Aryperinjone der verscher verscher von Aryperinjone der verscher verscher verscher der verscher verscher verscher der versche	104 865 104 105 105 106 105 105 105 105 105 105 105 105 105 105	5 1 10 1 7 4 10 112 112 112 117 112 117 117 117 117 117	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$	\$3 \$3 \$20 \$30 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$20 \$25 \$22	\$0.12 O% S0.12 O% S0.	0.6 0.1 0.1 1.6 1.1 1.0 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1	5 40 : 5 5 40 : 5 5 5 40 : 5 5 5 60 5 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6	\$0.000 \$0	00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	\$6.07 9 \$5.07 \$5.00 \$5.0	0.87 10% 0.87 10% 0.87 10% 0.00 19% 0.00 19% 0.00 11% 0.00 11% 0.00 11% 0.00 15% 0.00 15% 0.00 15% 0.00 15% 0.00 15%	2,199 1 0 1 0 2,499 1 1 366 306	0 i 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 60 50 501 1 1 17 0 0 0 41,666 1 1 20 1 366	100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100%	100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100%	100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100%	220 0 1 1 0 0 0 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	2,218,364 120 708 0 0 1,875,502 128 821 83,807	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	154,000 19 519 0 0 14,805 22 185	200 0 1 0 0 0 205 0 1	2,718,364 120 709 0 0 1,875,592 139 821	0 11 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	154,000 19 19 518 0 1 14,806 22 1611
Home Energy Squad		SEC Dang Space Smith Section 2014 SEC Dang Space Smith Section 2019 SEC Space S	wings a temp . Every . Section on more sets prefigure . Which can be set to prefigure . Which can be set to prefigure . Which can be set to prefigure . The section of the set to prefigure . The section of the section	2 1,504 83 83 83 83 2 1,504	156 167 168	of mergy financia. In mer	104 565 510 104 105 105 105 105 105 105 105 105 105 105	\$ 10 1 1 7 1 1 1 1 2 1 1 1 2 1 1 1 2 1 1 7 1 1 2 1 1 7 7 1 1 2 1 1 7 7 1 1 7 7 1 1 7 7 7 1 1 1 7 7 7 1 1 7 7 7 1 1 7 7 7 1 1 7 7 7 1 1 7 7 7 1 1 7 7 7 1 1 7 7 1 1 7 7 7 1 1 7 7 7 1 1 7 7 7 1 1 7 7 7 1 1 7 7 7 1 1 7 7 7 1 1 1 7 7 7 1 1 1 7 7 7 1 1 1 7 7 7 1 1 1 7 7 7 1 1 1 7 7 7 1	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$	\$3 \$3 \$20 \$00 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$20 \$20 \$20 \$20 \$20	\$0.12 ON \$0.	0.5 0.1 0.5 0.1 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5	5 40 1 5 40 1 5 5 40 1 5 5 40 1 5 5 40 1 5 5 5 40 1 5 5 5 5 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6	\$2000 \$2000	00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	\$6.00 \$ \$6.00 \$ \$6.00 \$ \$6.00 \$ \$ \$6.00 \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	0.87 10% 0.87 10% 0.87 10% 0.80 17% 0.00 17% 0.00 17% 0.00 17% 0.00 17% 0.00 17% 0.00 17% 0.00 100% 0.00 100%	2,199 1 0 1 0 2,499 1 1 366 306	0 i 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 50,001 1 17 0 0 6 41,000 1 1 1 20 1 206	100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100%	100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100%	100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100%	220 0 1 1 0 0 0 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	2,218,364 120 708 0 0 1,875,502 128 821 83,807	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	154,000 19 519 0 0 14,805 22 185	200 0 1 0 0 0 205 0 1	2,718,364 120 709 0 0 1,875,592 139 821	0 11 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	154,000 19 518 0 0 0 14,805 22 16,237
Nome Energy Squad Home Lighting Home Lighting Home Lighting Home Lighting		SEC Dang Space Smith Section 2019 SEC SEC DANG SPACE SPA	adjustments Eveny Citizen make and symptomic surgerian make and symptomic surgerian make and symptomic surgerian make and symptomic surgerian make and symptomic from including symmetry symmetry surgerian make and symmetry symmetry surgerian make and symmetry surgeri	2 1,504 83 83 83 83 2 1,504	66	and energy Basedie and Type Basedie and	104 565 104 105 105 105 105 105 105 105 105 105 105	5 1 10 1 1 7 1 10 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$	\$3 \$30 \$30 \$40 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$20 \$35 \$35 \$35 \$35 \$35 \$35 \$35 \$35 \$35 \$35	\$0.12 O% S0.12 O% S0.	0.6 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1	5	\$2,000 \$2	00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	\$6.07 \$ \$6.07 \$ \$6.00 \$ \$6.00 \$ \$1.00	0.87 10% 0.88 1 10% 0.00 19% 0.00 19% 0.00 11% 0.00 11% 0.00 11% 0.00 11% 0.00 15% 0.00 15% 0.00 15% 0.00 15% 0.00 15% 0.00 15% 0.00 15% 0.00 15% 0.00 15% 0.00 15% 0.00 15% 0.00 15% 0.00 15% 0.00 15% 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	2,199 1 0 1 0 2,499 1 1 366 306	0 i 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 50,001 1 17 0 0 6 41,000 1 1 1 20 1 206	100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100%	100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100%	100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100%	220 0 1 1 0 0 0 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	2,218,364 120 708 0 0 1,875,502 128 821 83,807	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	154,000 19 519 0 0 14,805 22 185	200 0 1 0 0 0 205 0 1	2,718,364 120 709 0 0 1,875,592 139 821	0 11 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	154,000 19 518 0 0 0 14,805 22 16,237
Home Energy Squad		NEC Comp. Speak Televis 2014 NEC Comp. Speak Televis 2017 NEC Speak Speak Televis 2017	single-terminal brings Silicen means for yieldigent songle and many Enright songle and many Enright Ty surprises bounded with the songle and songle and the songle and the songle and the songle and the songle and the songle and the songle and songle and	2 1,504 83 83 83 83 2 1,504	66 weight	and manage Standard and American Standard Amer	104 565 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 10	6 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$	\$3 \$30 \$30 \$40 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$20 \$35 \$35 \$35 \$35 \$35 \$35 \$35 \$35 \$35 \$35	20.52 O% 20.53 O% 20.53 O% 20.53 O% 20.54 O% 20.54 O% 20.54 O% 20.55 O% 20.	6.6 0.6 0.6 0.6 0.6 0.6 0.6 0.6 0.6 0.6	5	\$2,000 \$2	00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	\$6.00 \$ \$6.00 \$ \$ \$6.00 \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	0.87 10% 0.87 10% 0.87 10% 0.00 12% 0.00 12% 0.00 11% 0.00 11% 0.00 11% 0.00 11% 0.00 12% 0.00 10% 0.00 0.00% 0.00 0.00% 0.00% 0.00%	2,199 1 0 1 0 2,499 1 1 366 306	0 i 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 50,001 1 17 0 0 6 41,000 1 1 1 20 1 206	100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100%	100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100%	100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100%	220 0 1 1 0 0 0 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	2,218,364 120 708 0 0 1,875,502 128 821 83,807	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	154,000 19 519 0 0 14,805 22 185	200 0 1 0 0 0 205 0 1	2,718,364 120 709 0 0 1,875,592 139 821	0 11 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	154,000 19 518 0 0 0 14,805 22 16,237
Nome Energy Squad Home Lighting		NEC Comp Speed Review 2011 NEC Review 201	any interesting Congress of Co	2 1,504 83 83 83 83 2 1,504	66 100	and caregory transfers of the control of the contro	104 555 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100	6 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 5	\$3 \$3 \$3 \$3 \$3 \$3 \$3 \$3 \$3 \$3 \$3 \$3 \$3 \$	20.52 OS SEC.52	6.5 0.6 0.6 0.6 0.6 0.6 0.6 0.6 0.6 0.6 0.6	5 AO 1 15 AO 1	\$1,000 \$2,000 \$3	60 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 0	\$6.00 \$ \$6.00 \$ \$ \$6.00 \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	0.87 10% 0.83 10% 0.84 10% 0.00 19% 0.00 19% 0.00 19% 0.00 19% 0.00 19% 0.00 19% 0.00 19% 0.00 10% 0.00 10% 0.00 10% 0.00 10% 0.00 10% 0.00 0.00% 0.00% 0.00 0.00%	2,199 0 1 0 0 2,499 0 1 366 306 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	0 50,001 1 17 0 0 6 41,000 1 1 12 0 1 1 17 0 1 1 17 0 1 1 1 17 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100%	100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100%	100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100%	220 0 1 1 0 0 0 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	2,218,364 120 708 0 0 1,875,502 128 821 83,807	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	154,000 19 519 0 0 14,805 22 185	200 0 1 0 0 0 205 0 1	2,718,364 120 709 0 0 1,875,592 139 821	0 11 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	154,000 19 518 0 0 0 14,805 22 16,237
Nome Energy Squad Horne Lighting		NEC Comp. Speak Televis 2014 NEC CO	adjustments Errory Gilliam reason and symptomic Science and service and servic	2 1,504 83 83 83 83 2 1,504	100 100	and country the students of the country of the coun	104 565 104 1055 1056 1056 1056 1056 1056 1056 1056	5 10 1 1 10 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	\$0.00 \$0.00	\$3 \$3 \$3 \$3 \$3 \$3 \$3 \$3	\$6.02 OS. \$6.03 OS. \$6.03 OS. \$6.03 OS. \$6.02	6.5 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0	5 A0 11 15 A0 15 A	\$1,000 \$2,000 \$3	60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 6	\$6.00 \$ \$6.00 \$ \$ \$6.00 \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	0.87 10% 0.87 10% 0.87 10% 0.80 19% 0.80 19% 0.80 11% 0.80 11% 0.80 11% 0.80 11% 0.80 10% 0.80 10% 0.80 82.1% 0.80 82.1% 0.80 82.1% 0.80 82.1%	2,199 0 1 0 0 2,499 0 1 366 306 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	0 50,001 1 17 0 0 6 41,000 1 1 12 0 1 1 17 0 1 1 17 0 1 1 1 17 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100%	100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100%	100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100%	220 0 1 1 0 0 0 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	2,218,364 120 708 0 0 1,875,502 128 821 83,807	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	154,000 19 519 0 0 14,805 22 185	200 0 1 0 0 0 205 0 1	2,718,364 120 709 0 0 1,875,592 139 821	0 11 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	154,000 19 518 0 0 0 14,805 22 16,237
Home Energy Squad Home Lighting		SEC Dang Space Invites 2014 SEC Dang Space Invites 2017 SEC Space	experience long personnel long perso	2 1,504 83 83 83 83 2 1,504	100 100	and country the students of the country of the coun	194 565 193 4-600 28 4-600 28 4-600 29 4-600 195 693 195 693 29 4-600 29 1400 195 1400 195 1400 195 19	5 10 2 1 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1	50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 5	\$3 \$3 \$30 \$30 \$30 \$30 \$30 \$30 \$30 \$30 \$3	38.02 Os. 38.02	0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5	5 A0 115	\$1,000 \$1	60 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 0	\$6.07 \$9.00 \$1.00	0.07 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10%	2,199 0 1 0 0 2,499 0 1 366 306 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	0 50,001 1 17 0 0 6 41,000 1 1 12 0 1 1 17 0 1 1 17 0 1 1 1 17 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100%	100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100%	100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100%	220 0 1 1 0 0 0 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	2,218,364 120 708 0 0 1,875,502 128 821 83,807	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	154,000 19 519 0 0 14,805 22 185	200 0 1 0 0 0 205 0 1	2,718,364 120 709 0 0 1,875,592 139 821	0 11 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	154,000 199 518 0 0 0 14,805 22 161 16,207
Nome Energy Squad Horne Lighting		NEC Comp. Speak Televis 2014 NEC CO	amprimense Comp. Million of main and is, principally amprimense Comp. Million of main and is, principally amprimense Comp. Million of main and is a comp.	2 1,504 83 83 83 83 2 1,504	100 100	and manager planting and any approximate and approximate and approximate and any approximate and approxima	194 565 193 4-600 28 4-600 28 4-600 29 4-600 195 693 195 693 29 4-600 29 1400 195 1400 195 1400 195 19	5 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	\$0.000 \$0	\$3 \$3 \$30 \$30 \$30 \$30 \$30 \$30 \$30 \$30 \$3	\$0.07 OS. \$0.00	6.5 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1	6	\$1,000 \$1	60 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 0	\$6.07 \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	0.87 10% 0.87 10% 0.87 10% 0.80 19% 0.80 19% 0.80 11% 0.80 11% 0.80 11% 0.80 11% 0.80 10% 0.80 10% 0.80 82.1% 0.80 82.1% 0.80 82.1% 0.80 82.1%	2,199 0 1 0 0 2,499 0 1 366 306 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	0 50,001 1 17 0 0 6 41,000 1 1 12 0 1 1 17 0 1 1 17 0 1 1 1 17 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100%	100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100%	100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100%	220 0 1 1 0 0 0 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	2,218,364 120 708 0 0 1,875,502 128 821 83,807	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	154,000 19 519 0 0 14,805 22 185	200 0 1 0 0 0 205 0 1	2,718,364 120 709 0 0 1,875,592 139 821	0 11 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	154,000 199 518 0 0 0 14,805 22 161 16,207
Nome Energy Squad Home Lighting		NEC Comp Speed Review 2011 NEC Comp Speed Review 2017 NEC Speed Revie	emplacement from the control of the	2 1,504 83 83 83 83 2 1,504	160 160	and manage framework and a service of the control o	104 665 105 105 105 105 105 105 105 105 105 10	5 10 1 10 1 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 5	\$3 \$3 \$3 \$3 \$3 \$3 \$3 \$3 \$3 \$3 \$3 \$3 \$3 \$	\$0.07 OS. \$0.00	0.6 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	5 A0 115 115 115 115 115 115 115 115 115 11	\$1,000 \$1	60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 6	\$6.07 \$9.00 \$1.00	0.07 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10%	2,199 0 1 0 0 2,499 0 1 366 306 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	0 50,001 1 17 0 0 6 41,000 1 1 12 0 1 1 17 0 1 1 17 0 1 1 1 17 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100%	100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100%	100% 1	220 0 1 1 0 0 0 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	2,218,364 120 708 0 0 1,875,502 128 821 83,807	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	154,000 19 519 0 0 0 14,805 22 185 18,207	200 0 1 0 0 0 205 0 1	2,718,364 120 709 0 0 1,875,592 139 821	0 11 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	154,000 19 518 0 0 0 14,805 22 16,237
Home Energy Squad Home Lighting		SEC Dang Space Smith ST Dang Space Smith Space Smith ST Dang Space Smith ST Dang Space Smith ST Dang Space Smith ST Dang Space	experience long personnel long perso	2 1,504 83 83 83 83 2 1,504	100 100	and company to desirate and a service of the company of the compan	104 565 103 105 105 105 105 105 105 105 105 105 105	6 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	100 100	\$3 \$3 \$40 \$40 \$40 \$40 \$40 \$40 \$40 \$40 \$40 \$40	\$8.50 OS. 65.50	66 61 64 64 64 64 64 64 64 64 64 64 64 64 64	5	\$1,000 \$1	60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 6	\$6.07 9 \$6.07 9 \$6.00	0.87 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10%	2,190 0 1 1 0 0 2,490 0 1 365 306 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 1 177	0 50,821 1 1 7 1 7 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100%	100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100%	100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100%	220 0 0 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	2,218,364 120 708 0 0 1,875,502 128 821 83,807	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	114,000 19 618 0 0 0 14,606 162 162 162 162 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	220 0 1 1 0 0 206 0 1 1 52 37 6 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	2,76,244 100 100 100 11,675,600 100 100 100 100 100 100 100	0 11 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0	154,000 19 518 0 0 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 16,600 16,600 16,600 16,600 16,600 16,600 16,600 16,600 16,600
Home Energy Squad Home Lighting		Section Sectin Section Section Section Section Section Section Section Section	emplanes in the control of the contr	2 1,504 83 83 83 83 2 1,504	100 100	An except to state of the state	104 665 665 665 665 665 665 665 665 665 66	6 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	\$20	\$5.00 ON. \$5.00	0.0	5	\$1000 \$1000	60 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 0	Sec.	0.87 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10%	2100 0 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	0 00,881 1 17 17 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100%	100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100%	100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100%	200 0 1 1 1 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	2278264 1100 0 0 0 1485660 1386 801 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	114,000 19 518 0 0 0 14,805 14,815 14,818 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	220 0 1 1 0 0 0 205 0 1 1 205 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	2,715,264 100 700 0 0 1,675,662 641 641 641 6 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 11 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	154,000 19 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10
Home Energy Squad Home Lighting		SEC Drop Speed Names 2014 SEC SEC Drop Speed Names	experience long personnel long perso	2 1,504 83 83 83 83 2 1,504	100 100	and company the state of the st	104 565 103 105 105 105 105 105 105 105 105 105 105	5 6 7 7 6 7 7 7 7 7 7	100 100	13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 1	\$0.00 OS. \$0.00	6.6 6.6 6.6 6.6 6.6 6.6 6.6 6.6 6.6 6.6	6 40 115 115 115 115 115 115 115 115 115 11	\$1000 \$0.000 \$1.	60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 6	Section Sect	0.87 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10%	2.100 a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a	0 (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4)	0 00,841 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100%	100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100%	100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100%	200 0 1 1 1 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	2276364 100 0 0 1.575402 851 851 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	114,000 19 618 0 0 0 14,606 162 162 162 162 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	220 0 1 1 0 0 0 205 0 1 1 205 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	2,76,264 100 700 0 1,675,600 100 100 100 000 000 000 000	0 11 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	154,000 19 518 0 0 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 14,600 16,600 16,600 16,600 16,600 16,600 16,600 16,600 16,600 16,600
Home Energy Squad Home Lighting		Section Sectin Section Section Section Section Section Section Section Section	emplications from processing and pro	2 1,504 83 83 83 83 2 1,504	150 150	and company the state of the st	100 100	5 6 7 7 6 7 7 7 7 7 7	100 100	13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 1	80.0 On 80.0 O	6.6 6.6 6.6 6.6 6.6 6.6 6.6 6.6 6.6 6.6	6 40 115 115 115 115 115 115 115 115 115 11	\$1000 \$0.000 \$1.	0.0	Section Sect	0.87 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10%	2.100 a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a	0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	0 00,841 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100%	100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100%	100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100%	200 0 1 1 1 0 0 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	2278264 1100 0 0 0 1485660 1386 801 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	114,000 19 518 0 0 114,656 22 188 14,237 14,418 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	20 0 0 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	2,76,264 100 700 0 1,675,600 100 100 100 000 000 000 000	0 11 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	15.000 19 14 0 0 0 14.1466 15 15 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16
Nome Energy Squad Home Lighting		SEC Drop Speed Names 2014 SEC SEC Drop Speed Names	emplications from processing and pro	2 1,504 83 83 83 83 2 1,504	150 150	and company the state of the st	100 100	5 6 7 7 6 7 7 7 7 7 7	100 100	13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 1	80.0 On 80.0 O	6.6 6.6 6.6 6.6 6.6 6.6 6.6 6.6 6.6 6.6	6 40 115 115 115 115 115 115 115 115 115 11	\$1000 \$0.000 \$1.	0.0	Section Sect	0.87 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10%	2.100 a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a	0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	0 00,841 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100%	100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100%	100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100%	200 0 1 1 1 0 0 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	2278264 1100 0 0 0 1485660 1386 801 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	114,000 19 518 0 0 114,656 22 188 14,237 14,418 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	20 0 0 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	2,76,264 100 700 0 1,675,600 100 100 100 000 000 000 000	0 11 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	15.000 19 14 0 0 0 14.1466 15 15 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16

| | Share's Managar Property Co. | | Ethician Braham Passining / E | Divine Product Communication | Efficient Busine Product
 | Raseline Raseline

 | e Measur | Aserage | Incremental Assum | and Rebote as a
 | Increme! Increme!
Cost Cost | Annual Return
Customer Front / | ed Retained | occorer Cenerator
 | o-form Day | W Coloridana W | The Restriction of the Party States | 2020 | manua wa | Installation | Resission was
 | 1 NET CALL NW 201 | 9 NET Gen 201 | 19 Reduce 25 | III incremental ser | a NET Out VW 21 | 20 NET Gen 2020 R | abase 2020 Incremental |
|--|--|--|--|---
--
--

--	--	--
--	---	--
--	--	--
--	--	--
Program	Electric Measure Group (for programs with new 2000 measures only)	
 | n (wattic) (bruly

 | | | |
 | Cost Cost
Payback Payback
Period wis Period w/
whose text Behme text | 1 AWALLA PROPERTY | (SAME) | (444)
 | | | T9 Farticipants 2019 Units
(1) (1) | | | | Rate (19)
 | | | | | O NET Gen KW 20
(KW) | 29 NET Gen 2029 R
ANN Bud
(ANN) (\$ | |
| Whole Home Efficiency | | | Non - Quality Installation of 15
SEER 2.5 tons | 2,479 | Non-Quality installation 436 SEER (Baseline and M 25 tons Non-Quality installation
 |

 | | | \$461 \$0.1 |
 | 25.2 14.3 | | | 0.3 0.2
 | \$0.00 \$0.0 | | 1 10 | 0 1 | 2 100% | | 100%
 | | | 400 | 921
6,910 | 9 | 2,547 3,0 | |
| Whole Home Efficiency Whole Home Efficiency | | Installation of new AC 16 SEER 2.5 tons Provide Quality Installation of new AC 12 - 14.5 SEER 2.5 | Non - Quality Installation of 16
SEER 2.5 tons
Quality Installation of 13 - 14.5
SEER 2.5 tons | 2,360 | Non-Quality installation SEER planeline and M 25 tons Non-Quality installation 421 Ton AC 13 - 14.5 SEEI
 | 125 2,620 647

 | | | \$691 \$0.1
\$152 \$0.1 |
 | 26.9 15.2
7.1 -1.1 | 200 \$1.20
196 \$0.80 | | 0.4 0.3
 | | 00 90% | 0 5 | | 10 100%
5 100% | 100% | 100%
 | | 1,049 | 1,000 | 6,910 | 3 | 1,069 87 | |
| Whole Home Efficiency | | tons Provide Quality Installation of new AC 15 SEER 2.5 tons | SEER 2.5 tons Quality installation of 15 SEER 2.5 tons | 2,116 | 409 Non-Quality installation
Ton AC 15 SEER 2.51
 | 725 2,679 435
076

 | 16 \$175 | | | 1 166%
 | | | | 03 03
 | | 00 90% | | 0 | 2 100% | | 100%
 | 1 | 394 | 350 | 243 | 1 | 294 20 | |
| Whole Home Efficiency Whole Home Efficiency | | Provide Quality Installation of new AC 16 SEER 2.5 tons Energy Star Clothes Washer - Combo Customers of Gas | Quality installation of 16 SEER
2.5 sons
Energy Star Clothes Washer | 2,109 | 200 Non-Quality Installation
Ton AC 16 SSER 2 51
205 Standard Clothes War
 | f 2.5 2,360 425

 | | | |
 | 53 41 | | |
 | \$0.00 \$0.0 | | 1 10 | 1 | 10 100%
15 100% | | 100%
 | | 1,845 | 1,760 | 964 | 3 | 1945 17 | |
| Whole Home Efficiency | | | Top Maured Freezer w/ Auto
Defrost Energy Star refrigerator
22.0 Cf | 66 |
 |

 | | | |
 | | | | 0.0 60
 | \$0.00 \$0.0 | | 2 20 | 2 | 20 100% | |
 | | | 300 | 521 | | | 30 521 |
| Whole Home Efficiency | | Aftic Insulation in Gas Heated Homes With Cooling - Combo
Customer Will insulation in Gas Mosey Homes With Cooling - | Home with additional insulation | 3,890 | eac Home with R20 or less e
housings
 |

 | | | |
 | 40.7 34.7 | | |
 | \$0.00 \$0.0 | | 9 100 | | 100 100% | | 100%
 | | | 3,896 | 26,267 | | 6,404 2,8 | |
| Whole Home Efficiency
Whole Home Efficiency | | Combo Customer Air Sealing T2 - 25% - Gas Heated Homes With Cooling - Combo Customer | R-11 insulation Home with Tier 2 Air Sealing - Average 27% reduction | 7,797 | ego Sassine assumes R-0 :
cavities as existing in
ego Sasing Home Withou
Sasing
 | A2 8,000 490

 | 10 528 | 50 | \$160 \$0.1 | 1 17%
 | 16.2 11.8 | 104 \$0.26 | 68 \$0.027 | 03 03
02 02
 | \$0.00 \$0.0 | 00 100% | 11 150 | 4 | 120 100%
40 100% | 100% | 100%
 | 9 | 4,562 | 1,120 | 29,901
6,524 | 9 | 4562 1.1 | |
| Whole Home Efficiency Whole Home Efficiency | | Air Sealing T3 - 30% - Gas Heated Homes With Cooling -
Combo Customer | Home with Tier 2 Air Sealing -
average 42% reduction
New T-stat w/ Auto setup by 1.2 | 7,524 | 490 Existing Home Withou Sealing Seali |

 |
 | | \$131 \$0.1
\$8 \$0.1 | | 61 44
09 06
 | 293 \$0.00
75 \$0.00 | | 0.5 0.5 | \$0.00 \$0.0
\$0.00 \$0.0
 | | 4 40 | 4 | 70 100%
40 100% | | 100% | | 17,819
 | 1,360 | 9,194 | 27 | 17,819 1,3
3,285 9 | |
| Whole Home Efficiency | | Energy Efficient Showwhead in home with electric DHIV
(Descriptors) | New T-star w/ Auto setup by 1.2 F for cooling assume 3 ton AC, 10 SEER 1.5 GPM Showshead | 87 | 8,760 2.5 GPM Showether
 | d 146 8,76

 | 10 \$4 | \$0 | \$4 \$0.1 | 1 100%
 | 0.0 0.0 | 510 \$0.00 | 07 \$0.001 | 0.1 60
 | \$20.27 \$0.0 | 00 54% | 1 12 | 1 | 12 100% | 100% | 100%
 | 0 | 6,687 | 45 | 45 | 0 | 4,697 4 | 6 45 |
| Whole Home Efficiency
Whole Home Efficiency | | Energy Efficient Suthroom Aerator in home with electric
DIAW (Direct Install
Energy Efficient Kitchen Aerator in home with electric DIAW | 1.5 GPM Kitchen Faucet Aerator | 18 | 8,760 22 GPM Rother Fau
Annex
8,760 2.2 GPM Services Fa
 | SEE 26 8,79
SEE 13 8,79

 | 10 \$1 | | \$1 \$0.1
\$2 \$0.1 |
 | 0.1 0.0 | 74 \$0.00 | 14 \$0.001
31 \$0.003 | 0.0 60
 | \$4.17 \$0.0 | 00 124% | 2 18 | 2 1 | 18 100%
8 100% | | 100%
 | | 1,450 | 18 | 18 | 0 | 1,450 1
559 1 | |
| Whole Home Efficiency | | Cleact Install Freety Efficient Bathroom Aester in home with electric DHV (Direct Install) | Aerotor
6.5 GPM Rathroom Faucet
Aerotor | 3 | 8,760 2.2 GPM Bathson Fa
 | cet t3 8,79

 | 10 \$1 | \$0 | \$1 \$0.1 | 11 0%
 | 0.1 0.1 | | 00 S0.000 | 0.0 0.0
 | \$6.90 \$0.0 | 00 124% | 1 4 | 1 | 4 100% | 100% | 100%
 | | 394 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 206 4 | 4 |
| Whole Home Efficiency Whole Home Efficiency | | Water beater blankets (direct install) Average Value LED Blub - 2017 (Direct Install) | Add commercial insulation wrap
Rill around Water Heater Tank
Average Value LED Bulb | 40 | 8,760 No External Insulation or
house:
909 Average EGA Standa
 | water 49 2,792
nd 43 909

 | 7 \$23 | 50 | \$23 \$0.1 | 1 100%
 | 0.8 0.0 | 254 \$0.00 | R2 S0.014 | 0.0 0.0
 | \$0.00 \$0.0 | 00 100% | 2 25 | 2 | 25 100% | 100% | 100%
 | 1 | 6,936 | sar | 567 | 1 | 4,936 58 | 17 SH7 |
| Whole Home Efficiency | | Average Value LED Bub - 2018 (Direct Install) | Average Value LED Bulb | 10 | 909 Average ESA Stands
Missoner & State Sta
 | nd 43 909

 | 6 53 | 50 | \$3 \$0.1 |
 | 0.8 0.0 | 30 \$0.00 | | 0.0 60
 | \$0.00 \$0.0 | 00 8% | | 0 | 0 100% | 100% | 100%
 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 0 | 0 |
| Whole Home Efficiency Whole Home Efficiency | | Average Value LED Bulb - 2019 (Direct Install)
Mni-Split Heat Pump | Average Value LED Bulb
MGHP size 1.2 tons, 21.27
SEER, 10.50HSPF | 1,068 | 909 Awage DSA Stand
Halcoon A Stale But
1,216 MSHP size 1,2 tons, 14 to
9 1 1/500
 | nd 43 909
SER, 1,647 1,219

 | 5 \$3
18 \$200 | | \$3 \$0.1
\$612 \$0.1 |
 | 0.8 0.0
6.9 4.2 | 30 \$0.00
680 \$0.20 | | 0.0 0.0
 | \$0.00 \$0.0
\$0.00 \$0.0 | | 0 5 | 147 | 1,600 100%
5 100% | 100% | 100%
 | | | 1,000 | 4,400 | 2 | 52,952 4,4
3,709 1,6 | |
| Whole Home Efficiency | | s SD pirts/day-dehumidfler | ENERGY STAR Dehunidiler-
low capacity | 209 | 1,620 Standard efficiency
dehumidiller (Current Fi
Standard)
 | deral 519 1,63

 | | | \$50 \$0.1 |
 | 22 1.1 | | | 0.1 0.1
 | \$0.00 \$0.0 | 00 100% | 16 16 | 16 | 16 100% | 100% | 100%
 | | | 200 | 776 | 2 | 3,570 36 | |
| Whole Home Efficiency | | -60 pirasiday dehumidiller | ENERGY STAR Dehunidiler -
high capacity | 647 | Standardi
Standard efficiency
1,620 dehumicifier (Current Fo
Standard)
 |

 | | | \$48 \$0.1 |
 | 25 12 | | | 0.1 0.1
 | \$0.00 \$0.0 | | 13 13 | 13 | 13 100% | 100% | 100%
 | 2 | 2,534 | 326 | 621 | 2 | 2,534 32 | 26 631 |
| Whole Home Efficiency Whole Home Efficiency | Heat Pump Water Heater | Refrigerant Rased Cooling & Electric Resistance Heat (XII-
9) Galloni
Medium Draw Heat Pump Water Heater - | High Efficiency
Heat Pump Water Heater
High Efficiency | 4,021 | 285 Meinum Efficiency
Electric Water Heat
432 Meinum Efficiency
 | 4,993 909
F 4,993 1,10

 | 10 \$450 | \$969
\$969 | \$611 \$0.1
\$611 \$0.1 | n 7es.
 | 21 0.6 | 2,627 \$0.11
2,693 \$0.11 | 71 \$0.017 | 06 06
 | \$0.00 \$0.0 | 00 100% | | 0.0 | 0 100% | 100% | 100%
 | • | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 0 | 0 |
| Whole Home Efficiency Whole Home Efficiency | Heat Pump Water Heater Heat Pump Water Heater | Retriperant Resed Cooling & AGNP Hear (10-50 Gallon)
Medium Draw Heat Pump Water Heater -
Retrigerant Resed Cooling & Neural Gas Heat (10-50 | Heat Purp Water Heater High Efficiency Heat Purp Water Heater | 4009 | 632 Electric Water Heat
432 Minimum Efficiency
Electric Water Heat
 | 4,593 1,39

 | 10 \$450 | 2000 | \$611 \$0.7 | 1 76%
 | 15 04 | 4,187 \$0.10 | 27 S0.011 | 06 06
 | \$65.17 \$0.0 | 00 100% | 0 0 | 05 | 5 100% | 100% | 100%
 | • | | 0 | 0 | 2 | 22,855 2,3 | 150 1,057 |
| Whole Home Efficiency | Heat Pump Water Heater | Medium Crow Heat Pump Water Heater -
Noo-Refrigerant Rased Cooling & Discric Resistance Heat
100-60 Galteri | High Efficiency
Heat Pump Water Heater | 4,018 | 279 Mainum Efficiency
Electric Water Heat
 | 4,500 914

 | 10 \$450 | \$969 | \$611 \$0.1 | n Zes
 | 2.1 0.6 | 2,588 \$0.17 | 74 \$0.017 | 05 05
 | \$0.00 \$0.0 | 00 100% | | 0.0 | 0 100% | 100% | 100%
 | ٠ | 0 | 0 | 0 | • | 0 0 | |
| Whole Home Efficiency | Heat Pump Water Heater | Medium Draw Heat Pump Water Heater -
Non-Refrigerant Based Cooling & ASHP Heat (10-50
Gallon) | High Efficiency
Heat Pump Water Heater | 4,021 | 286 Meinum Ditceno
Electric Water Heat
 | 4,500 1,19

 | 10 \$450 | \$900 | \$611 \$0.1 | n 76%
 | 1.6 0.4 | 2,471 \$0.12 | 30 \$0.013 | 05 65
 | \$0.00 \$0.0 | 00 100% | | 0.0 | 0 100% | 100% | 100%
 | ٠ | 0 | ۰ | 0 | | 0 0 | 0 |
| Whole Home Efficiency | Heat Pump Water Heater | Medium Draw Heat Pump Water Heater -
Noo-Refrigerant Based Cooling & Natural Gas Heat (XC-60
Gallon)
Medium Draw Mary Dann Water Masser - | High Efficiency
Heat Pump Water Heater | 4,018 | 280 Minimum Difficiency
Electric Water Heat
 | 4,500 1,27

 | 10 \$450 | | \$611 \$0.1 |
 | 1.5 0.4 | 4,221 \$0.10 | D7 \$0.011 | 05 65
 | -\$65.17 \$0.0 | | | 0.0 | 0 100% | | 100%
 | ٠ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 0 | 0 |
| Whole Home Efficiency | Heat Pump Water Heater | Refrigerant Rased Cooling & Discric Resistance Heat (20-
50 Gallon) + CEANNOI Communications Plat
Medium Draw Heat Pump Water Heater - | High Efficiency
Heat Pump Water Heater | 4021 | 285 Minnum Discord
Electric Water Heat
 | 4,503 909

 | 10 \$550 | | \$611 \$0.1 |
 | 2.1 0.2 | 2,627 \$0.26 | | 0.6 0.6
 | \$0.00 \$0.0 | | 0 0 | 0.0 | 0 100% | 100% | 100%
 | ٠ | ۰ | ٥ | 0 | 0 | 0 0 | 0 |
| Whole Home Efficiency | Heat Pump Water Heater | Refrigerant Based Cooling & AGHP Heat (60-60 Gallan)
• CEANNSI Communications Post
Medium Draw Heat Pump Water Heater - | High Efficiency
Heat Pump Water Heater | | 402 Minnum Difficiency
Electric Water Heat
 | 4,993 1,10

 | | | \$611 \$0.1 |
 | 1.6 0.2 | 3,463 \$0.11 | | 0.6 0.6
 | \$0.00 \$0.0 | | | 0.0 | 0 100% | _ | 100%
 | ٠ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 0 | 0 |
| Whole Home Efficiency | Heat Pump Water Heater | Refrigerant Based Cooling & Natural Gas Heat (30-50
Gallon) - CEANNOS Communications Post Medium Press Mary Dumn Water Haster - | High Efficiency
Heat Pump Water Heater | 4,029 | 402 Minnum Difficiency
Electric Water Heat
 |

 | | | |
 | | 4,197 \$0.13 | | 0.6 0.6
 | | 00 100% | • • | 0.5 | S 100% | | 100%
 | ٠ | | 0 | 0 | 2 | 22,855 2,7 | |
| Whole Home Efficiency | Heat Pump Water Heater | Non-Retrigerant Based Cooling & Electric Resistance Heat
(30-50 Gallon) + CEA/WSI Communications Post
Medium Draw Heat Pump Water Heater - | High Efficiency
Heat Pump Water Heater | 4,018 | 379 Minnum Discence
Electric Water Heat
 | 4,500 914

 | 10 \$550 | _ | \$611 \$0.1 | _
 | _ | 2,588 \$0.2 | | 05 05
 | _ | 00 100% | 0 0 | 0.0 | 0 100% | | 100%
 | • | 0 | 0 | 0 | ۰ | 0 0 | |
| Whole Home Efficiency | Heat Pump Water Heater | Non-Retrigerant Based Cooling & ASHP Heat (\$0.60
Gallon) - CSANNSI Communications Post Medium Press Mary Dumn Water Haster - | High Efficiency
Heat Pump Water Heater | 4,021 | 286 Meinum Ditceno
Electric Water Heat
 | 4,500 1,19

 | 10 \$660 | \$969 | \$611 \$0.1 | 1 90%
 | 1.6 0.2 | 2,471 \$0.11 | 58 \$0.016 | 0.5 0.5
 | \$0.00 \$0.0 | 00 100% | | 0.0 | 0 100% | 100% | 100%
 | ٠ | 0 | 0 | 0 | ۰ | | 0 |
| Whole Home Efficiency | Heat Pump Water Heater | Non-Retrigerant Based Cooling & Natural Gas Heat (ID-50
Gallon)
• CS-AMMSI Communications Post | High Efficiency
Heat Pump Water Heater | 4,018 | 380 Minimum Efficiency
Electric Water Heat
 | 4,900 1,27

 | 10 \$550 | \$969 | \$611 \$0.1 | H 90%
 | 1.5 0.2 | 4,221 \$0.13 | 30 \$0.013 | 05 05
 | \$65.17 \$0.0 | 00 100% | | 0.0 | 0 100% | 100% | 100%
 | ٠ | 0 | ۰ | • | 0 | | 0 |
| Insulation Rebate
Insulation Rebate | | Insulation Rebate
Electric Heat Homes Without Cooling | Home with additional insulation | 5,806 | 1,361 Home with R20 or less e
 |

 | | | |
 | | | | 2.1 60
 | | | 17 29 | 17 | 23 100% | 100% | 100%
 | | | 6,824 | 47,770 | 0 | 65,238 6,8 | |
| Insulation Rebate
Insulation Rebate | | Electric Heat Homes With Cooling Gas Heat Homes With Cooling, Combo Customer | Home with additional insulation Home with additional insulation | 4,687
3,880 | 1,751 Home with R20 or less e
bendation
490 Home with R20 or less e
liquidation
 | issing 8,000 1,75
issing 4,000 490

 | 20 \$268
20 \$262 | | \$1,662 \$0.1
\$1,666 \$0.1 |
 | 6.7 5.5
22.5 19.1 | 9,299 \$0.12
59 \$4.90 | | 1.3 0.1
0.1 0.1
 | \$0.00 \$0.0
\$0.00 \$81.0 | | 25 35
254 350 | 25
254 | 35 100%
350 100% | 100% | 100%
 | | 97,851
22,415 | 10,070 | 58,857
689,132 | 6 | 97,851 10,1
22,415 102, | 070 56,857
,055 686,132 |
| Insulation Rebate Insulation Rebate | | Electric Heat Homes Without Cooling | R-11 insulation
R-11 insulation | 392 | 1,361 Sassine assumes R-0 i
cavilies as existing in
1,751 Sassine assumes R-0 i
 | nual 8,000 1,39
od 170

 | 20 \$276
20 \$300 | | \$3,605 \$0.1 |
 | | 9,594 \$0.00
15,179 \$0.00 | | 76 60
 | \$0.00 \$0.0
\$0.00 \$0.0 | | 62 85 | 42 | 85 100%
98 100% | 100% | 100%
 | | | 23,428
8,700 | 204,477 | | 890,361 23,4
480,356 8,7 | |
| Insulation Rebate | | Gas Heat Homes With Cooling Combo Customer | R-11 insulation | 3,692 | ego Saseline assumes R-O i
 | mail 4,000 490

 | 20 \$253 | \$0 | \$1,865 \$0.1 | 1 14%
 | 8.0 6.9 | 156 \$1.60 | 23 \$0.061 | 0.3 6.3
 | \$0.00 \$215 | 100% | 87 120 | 87 | 120 100% | 100% | 100%
 | 42 | 20,629 | 30,364 | 223,810 | 42 | 20,429 30,3 | 364 223,810 |
| Insulation Rebate
Insulation Rebate | | Electric Heat Homes Without Cooling
Electric Heat Homes With Cooling | Home with Tier 2 Air Sealing
Home with Tier 2 Air Sealing | 3,461
4,990 | 1,361 Existing Home Without Casalons 1,261 Existing Home Without Seasons 1,261 Existing Home Without Seasons
 | A2 8,000 1,79

 | 10 \$135 | \$0 | \$2,000 \$0.1 | 1 21%
 | 1.1 0.9 | 5,699 \$0.00
5,289 \$0.00 | 23 \$0.002
26 \$0.003 | 45 00
20 02
 | \$0.00 \$0.0
\$0.00 \$0.0 | 00 7% | 8 11 | 8 | 15 100% | 100% | 100%
 | | | 1,665 | 35,074
6,983 | | 63,509 1,4 | |
| Insulation Rebate
Insulation Rebate | | Gas Heat Homes With Cooling Combo Customer Gas Heat Homes with Cooling Electric-Only Customer | Home with Tier 2 Air Sealing Home with additional insulation | 3,791 | e90 Existing Home Without
Senting
e90 Home with R30 or less e
 |

 | 10 \$143
20 \$60 | | \$874 \$0.1 |
 | 4.0 3.3
#DN/0 #DN/0 | | 30 \$0.133
(0) #DN/0) | 0.2 0.2
 | \$0.00 \$208
\$0.00 \$81 | 8.54 100%
87 100% | 108 149 | 108 | 149 100%
10 100% | 100% | 100%
 | | 17,498 | 21,267 | 190,172 | 36 | 17,438 21,2
640 40 | |
| Insulation Rebate | | Gas Heat Homes with Cooling, Electric-Only Customer | R-11 insulation | 3,692 | eso Saseline assumes R-0 i
cavilies as existing in
 |

 | 20 \$35
10 \$25 | | |
 | | | | 0.9 0.9
 | \$0.00 \$215 | 100% | 3 3 | 3 | 3 100% | 100% | 100%
 | 1 | *** | 105 | 5,595 | 1 | 511 10 | 5,566 |
| Insulation Rebate Refrigerator Recycling | | | | |
 |

 | | | | | | | |
 | | | |
 | | | | | | |
 | | 4.004 | 100 | 44.007 | | 1,021 | 1,000 |
| Refrigerator Recycling
Refrigerator Recycling | | Refrinerator Recyclina | Home with Tier 2 Air Sealing | | Gentler - minting secondary unit
 | 800 cm ccm

 | | | |
 | MONO MONO | 107 BDM | | 02 02
 | \$0.00 \$200 | | | 5000 | | 100% | 100%
 | 1 | 1,621 | 325 | 11,367 | 3 | c.000.000 040 | |
| | | Refrinerator Renvollors Remove strigester from service and socycle Remove treaser from service and socycle | nemoval of netrigeasor semoval of freezer | 0 | O existing secondary unit mostly > 10 years of mostly > 10 years of mostly > 10 years of mostly > 10 years
 | OR 206 5,58

 | 9 \$35 | \$0 | \$0 \$0.5
\$0 \$0.5 | 2 MONOS
 | 0.0 0.3 | 963 \$0.00
1,166 \$0.00 | 37 \$0.004
30 \$0.006 | 0.2 0.1
 | \$0.00 \$0.0 | | 6,000 6,000
900 900 | 6,000
900 | 6,000 100%
900 100% | 100% | 100%
 | 715 6
130 1 | 1,521
1,529,680
1,534,437 | 325
210,000
31,600 | 11,367 | | 6,239,680 213,
1,134,637 21, | 000 0
500 0 |
| Refrigerator Recycling
Refrigerator Recycling | | Remove freezer from service and recycle Room AC Recycling Dehumioliter Recycling | | 0 0 0 | existing secondary with month's 10 years existing secondary with month's 10 years existing secondary with month's 10 years existing secondary | on 582 536

 | 6 \$35
 | \$0 | \$0 \$0.5
\$0 \$0.5 | 2 MONOS | 0.0 0.3
 | 963 \$0.00
1,166 \$0.00 | 37 \$0.004 | 0.2 0.1 | \$0.00 \$0.0
\$0.00 \$0.0
\$0.00 \$0.0
 | 00 54% | 6,000 6,000
900 900
90 90
110 110 | 6,000
900
90 | 6,000 100%
900 100%
90 100%
110 100% | 100%
100%
100%
100% | 100%
100%
100%
100% | 715 6
130 1
52 43 | 1,521
1,239,680 :
1,734,437
30,651
92,094
 | 225
210,000
21,500
0 | 0 0 0 | | 6,299,680 210,
1,134,687 31,
30,621 0
92,034 0 | 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 |
| | | Remove treaser from service and recycle Room AC Recycling Dehumidder Recycling Residential Coollina | nemoval of nehigeasor
semoval of treeper
nemoval of room ac | 0 | g Existing 10,000 BTUIN A
Air Conditioner
 | 00 206 5,58
2009 582 536
7 355 2,16

 | 6 \$35 | \$0
\$0
\$0 | \$0 \$0.5
\$0 \$0.5 | 2 #04/01
2 #04/01
2 #04/01
2 #04/01
 | 0.0 | 963 \$3.00
1,165 \$0.00
212 \$0.00
766 \$0.00 | 37 \$0.004
30 \$0.006
30 \$0.000
30 \$0.000 | 0.2 0.1
 | | 00 54%
00 90%
00 100% | 6,000 | 4,000
800
90
110 | 6,000 100%
900 100%
90 100%
110 100%
10 100% | 100%
100%
100%
100% | 100%
100%
100%
100%
100%
 | 40 | 92,094 | 225 210,000 21,000 0 0 0 2,000 | 0 0 0 0 4,606 | | | 0 0 |
| Refrigerator Recycling
Residential Cooling | | Remove freezer from service and secycle Rosen AC Recycling Detumidation Recycling Residential Coolina Installation of new AC 15 SESR 2.5 tons | nemoval of nehigeasor semoval of feecer nemoval of noon ac removal of otherwistfler Non - Quality translation of 15 SISSR 2.5 toru | 0
0
0
0
2,439 | 0 Existing defumiditie
 | 99 206 5,580
5000 582 536
r 355 2,18
of 13 2,883 432

 | 3 \$0
5 \$0
15 \$0 | \$0
\$0
\$0
\$1,067 | \$0 \$0.50
\$0 \$0.50
\$0 \$0.50
\$0 \$0.50
\$0 \$0.50
\$0 \$0.50
\$0 \$0.50 | 2 #DM01 2 #DM01 2 #DM01 2 #DM01 11 43%
 | 0.0 -0.3
0.0 -0.3
0.0 0.0
0.0 0.0
27.1 15.3
28.9 16.4 | 963 \$0.00
1,155 \$0.00
312 \$0.00
766 \$0.00 | 27 \$0.004
30 \$0.006
00 \$0.000
00 \$0.000 | 0.2 0.1
0.2 0.1
0.6 0.6
0.4 0.4
 | \$0.00 \$0.0
\$0.00 \$0.0
\$0.00 \$0.0 | 00 54%
00 90%
00 100% | 6,000 6,000
900 900
90 90
1190 1190
5 10 | 6,000
900
90
110
5 | 6,000 100%
800 100%
90 100%
110 100%
10 100%
24 100% | 100%
100%
100%
100%
100%
100% | 100%
 | 42 | 1,688 | 225
210,000
21,500
0 | 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 | | 20,621 0
92,034 0
1,688 2,0 | 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 |
| Refricerator Recycling Residential Cooling Residential Cooling Residential Cooling Residential Cooling | | Remove freezer from service and secycle Rosen AC Recycling Detumidation Recycling Residential Coolina Installation of new AC 15 SESR 2.5 tons | nemoval of nehigenator
semoval of theorer
nemoval of noom ac
removal of dehum-differ | 2,576 | Chaining 9,000 kT Link 5 Air Condition Chaining dehuminifie Non-Quality translation SEER (Reselve and M. 55 500) Non-Quality translation Non-Quality translation SEER (Reselve and M. 55 500)
 | 99 206 5,582
500m 582 536
7 355 2,16
313 2,683 432
313 432
313 432
313 432
313 432
313 432
313 432
313 432
313 432
 | 1 6 335
3 50
1 5 50
15 300
15 3000
15 3150
 | \$0
\$0
\$0
\$1,007
\$1,007 | \$0 \$0.55
\$0 \$0.55
\$0 \$0.55
\$0 \$0.55
\$0 \$0.55
\$0 \$0.55
\$0 \$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0.55
\$0. | 2 #2000
2 #2000
2 #2000
2 #2000
2 #2000
11 43%
11 43%
 | 0.0 0.3
0.0 0.3
0.0 0.0
0.0 0.0
27.1 15.3
28.9 18.4
13.8 -3.1 | 963 \$0.00
1,155 \$0.00
212 \$0.00
766 \$0.00
155 \$1.21
217 \$1.31 | 27 \$0.004
30 \$0.005
00 \$0.000
00 \$0.000
00 \$0.000
00 \$0.000
00 \$0.000
27 \$0.000 | 0.2 0.1 0.2 0.1 0.6 0.6 0.6 0.4 0.4 0.4 0.2 0.24112 0.3 0.33514 0.0 0.00000
 | \$0.00 \$0.0
\$0.00 \$0.0
\$0.00 \$0.0
\$0.00 \$0.0 | 00 64%
00 90%
00 100%
00 90%
00 90% | 6,000 6,000
600 900
90 90
110
5 10
12 24
37 37
5 % | 4,000
800
90
110
5
12
37
5 | 90 100%
110 100%
10 100%
10 100%
24 100%
37 100% | 100% | 100%
100%
100%
 | 2 8 0 | 1,688
5,695
2,967 | 225
293,000
21,000
0
0
2,000
7,000 | 0
0
0
0
0 | 49 | 20,621 0
92,034 0
1,688 2,6
5,696 7,3 | 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 |
| Refrinerator Recyclino Residential Cooling | | Remone News From service and recycle Riscone XC Recycling Minimum Exercising Rec. side on the SE SEED 2.5 to the Rec. side on the SEED 2.5 to the Rec. side of the SEED 2.5 to the Rec. side of the SEED 2.5 to the Rec. side of the AC 15 SEED | nemoul of mitigenetic seminoral of motigenetic seminoral of motion accession of common accession accession accession of the Society 2 Society 2 Society 2 Society 2 Society accession of the Society 2 Society accession of the Society 2 Society seminoral ord 15 SEER Outside years and 15 SEER Outside years accession of the SEER Outside years accessed to | 2,576
2,439
2,344 | Contents 9.000 BTUH 51 | 99 206 5,586 0000 592 536 0 395 2,683 0 113 0 123
0 123 0 12
 | 1 6 305 2 50 1 5 50 15 \$000 15 \$000 15 \$150 15 \$150 15 \$150
 | \$0
\$0
\$0
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$0
\$0 | \$0 \$0.7
\$0 \$0.7
\$0 \$0.7
\$0 \$0.7
\$0 \$0.7
\$461 \$0.7
\$107 \$0.7
\$117 \$0.7
\$117 \$0.7 | 12 HOMOS 22 HOMOS 22 HOMOS 22 HOMOS 23 HOMOS 24 HOMOS 24 HOMOS 25 HOMOS 26 HOMOS 26 HOMOS 27 HOMOS 27 HOMOS 28 | 0.0 -0.3
0.0 -0.3
0.0 0.0
0.0 0.0
0.0 0.0
27.1 15.3
28.9 18.4
13.8 -0.1
11.8 -0.3
12.5 -0.6
 | 963 \$0.00
1,156 \$0.00
312 \$0.00
706 \$0.00
156 \$1.00
98 \$1.50
98 \$1.50
98 \$1.50 | 27 \$0.004
30 \$0.005
30 \$0.005
30 \$0.000
30 \$0.000 | 0.2 0.1 0.2 0.1 0.6 0.6 0.6 0.4 0.4 0.4 0.4 0.24 0.2 0.24112 0.3 0.20514 0.0 0.00000 0.0 0.000000 0.0 0.000000 0.0 0.000000 | \$0.00 \$0.0
\$0.00 \$0.0
\$0.00 \$0.0
\$0.00 \$0.0
\$0.00 \$0.0
\$0.00 \$0.0 | 00 | 37 37
5 10
12 24
 | 37
5
12 | 90 100%
110 100%
10 100%
10 100%
24 100%
27 100%
10 100%
24 100% | 100%
100%
100% | 100%
100%
100%
100%
100% | 2 8 0 0 0 | 92,034
1,669
5,665
2,967
987
2,221 | 225
290,000
31,000
0
0
2,000
7,200
5,550
1,500 | 11,367
0
0
0
0
4,606
16,563
4,307
1,100
2,867
 | \$2
43
2
8
0
0 | 20,621 0
92,034 0
1,668 2,0
5,666 7,2
2,667 6,5
667 1,5
2,221 2,6 | 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 |
| Refrisorator Recycling Residential Cooling | | Bitance News You seakle and way/or
Brown C Requiring
The Academies Managing
The Academies Managing
The Academies Managing
The Academies of the AC 15 SECR 3 Seas
Managing of the AC 15 SECR 3 Seas
Threate Code procession of the AC 15 SECR 3 Seas
Threate Code procession of the AC 15 SECR 3 Seas
Threate Code procession of the AC 15 SECR 3 Seas
Threate Code procession of the AC 15 SECR 3 Seas
Threate Code procession of the AC 15 SECR 3 Seas
Threate Code procession of the AC 15 SECR 3 Seas
Managing of the AC 15 SECR 3 Seas
Mana | seminated of relationmental seminated of relationships and the seminated at some size. The seminated of some size and the seminated of shahmer sides of shahmer sides and the seminated of shahmer sides and shah | 2,576
2,439 | O Conting 9,000 BTUH 51 O Conting 9,000 BTUH 51 O Conting 4,000 BTUH 51 O Conting 5 minutes Non-Quality Fermination 525 Ptum 100 SEE (Baseline and 1) SEE (SEE (Baseline and 1) | GP 208 5.58 DOD 5.60 5.60 COD 5.60 2.50 AT 23 2.60 2.60 ASS 4.22 4.30 ASS 4.22 4.30 ASS 4.22 4.30 ASS 4.22 4.30 ASS 4.30 4.30 ASS <td>\$ 6 \$35 \$0 \$0 \$1 \$5 \$00 \$15 \$300 \$15 \$300 \$15 \$350 \$15 \$350 \$15 \$350 \$15 \$350 \$15 \$350 \$15 \$350 \$15 \$350 \$15 \$350 \$15 \$35000 \$15 \$35000 \$15</td> <td>\$0
\$0
\$1,667
\$1,667
\$0
\$0
\$0
\$1,667</td> <td>\$0 \$0.5
\$0 \$0.5
\$0 \$0.5
\$0 \$0.5
\$0.5
\$0.5
\$0.5
\$0.5
\$0.5
\$0.5
\$0.5</td> <td>102 #50600
102 #50600
102 #50600
103 #50600
104 #50600
104 #5060
104 #5060
105 #50600
105 #506</td> <td>0.0 -0.3
0.0 -0.3
0.0 0.0
0.0 0.0
0.0 0.0
27:1 15.3
28.9 18.4
13.8 -0.1
11.8 -0.3
12.5 -0.5
26.2 14.3</td> <td>963 \$0.00
1,155 \$0.00
312 \$0.00
706 \$0.00
155 \$1.20
217 \$1.36
90 \$1.50
95 \$1.50
96 \$1.50</td> <td>37 \$5.004
50 \$5.005
50 \$5.005
50
\$5.000
50 \$5.000
50 \$6.000
50 \$6.000
27 \$5.000
27 \$5.000
50 \$6.000
50 \$6.000</td> <td>0.2 0.1 0.2 0.1 0.0 0.6 0.6 0.6 0.6 0.6 0.6 0.6 0.6 0.0 0.0</td> <td>\$0.00 \$0.00
\$0.00 \$0.00
\$0.00 \$0.00
\$0.00 \$0.00
\$0.00 \$0.00
\$0.00 \$0.00
\$0.00 \$0.00
\$0.00 \$0.00</td> <td>00 64% 00 90% 00 1500% 00 90%</td> <td>37 37
5 10
12 24
868 1,736</td> <td>37
5
12</td> <td>60 100%
110 100%
10 100%
10 100%
24 100%
27 100%
10 100%
24 100%
1,726 100%</td> <td>100%
100%
100%</td> <td>100%
100%
100%
100%
100%
100%
100%</td> <td>43
2
8
0
0
0</td> <td>92,034
1,666
5,665
2,967
987
2,221
214,460</td> <td>325
293,000
31,000
0
0
2,000
7,000
5,550
1,500</td> <td>11,367
0
0
0
0
4,606
16,583
4,307
1,120</td> <td>\$2
43
2
8
0
0</td> <td>20,621 0
92,034 0
1,688 2,0
5,696 7,3
2,967 5,5</td> <td>0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0</td>
 | \$ 6 \$35 \$0 \$0 \$1 \$5 \$00 \$15 \$300 \$15 \$300 \$15 \$350 \$15 \$350 \$15 \$350 \$15 \$350 \$15 \$350 \$15 \$350 \$15 \$350 \$15 \$350 \$15 \$35000 \$15 \$35000 \$15 | \$0
\$0
\$1,667
\$1,667
\$0
\$0
\$0
\$1,667 | \$0 \$0.5
\$0 \$0.5
\$0 \$0.5
\$0 \$0.5
\$0.5
\$0.5
\$0.5
\$0.5
\$0.5
\$0.5
\$0.5 | 102 #50600
102 #50600
102 #50600
103 #50600
104 #50600
104 #5060
104 #5060
105 #50600
105 #506 | 0.0 -0.3
0.0 -0.3
0.0 0.0
0.0 0.0
0.0 0.0
27:1 15.3
28.9 18.4
13.8 -0.1
11.8 -0.3
12.5 -0.5
26.2 14.3
 | 963 \$0.00
1,155 \$0.00
312 \$0.00
706 \$0.00
155 \$1.20
217 \$1.36
90 \$1.50
95 \$1.50
96 \$1.50 | 37 \$5.004
50 \$5.005
50 \$5.005
50 \$5.000
50 \$5.000
50 \$6.000
50 \$6.000
27 \$5.000
27 \$5.000
50 \$6.000
50 \$6.000 | 0.2 0.1 0.2 0.1 0.0 0.6 0.6 0.6 0.6 0.6 0.6 0.6 0.6 0.0 0.0 | \$0.00 \$0.00
\$0.00 \$0.00
\$0.00 \$0.00
\$0.00 \$0.00
\$0.00 \$0.00
\$0.00 \$0.00
\$0.00 \$0.00
\$0.00 \$0.00 | 00 64% 00 90% 00 1500% 00 90% 00
90% 00 90% | 37 37
5 10
12 24
868 1,736 | 37
5
12 | 60 100%
110 100%
10 100%
10 100%
24 100%
27 100%
10 100%
24 100%
1,726 100% | 100%
100%
100% | 100%
100%
100%
100%
100%
100%
100% | 43
2
8
0
0
0 | 92,034
1,666
5,665
2,967
987
2,221
214,460 | 325
293,000
31,000
0
0
2,000
7,000
5,550
1,500
 | 11,367
0
0
0
0
4,606
16,583
4,307
1,120 | \$2
43
2
8
0
0 | 20,621 0
92,034 0
1,688 2,0
5,696 7,3
2,967 5,5 | 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 |
| Retrienentor Recordino Residential Coolina Residential Cooling | | Bitance News You seakle and way/or
Brown C Requiring
The Academies Managing
The Academies Managing
The Academies Managing
The Academies of the AC 15 SECR 3 Seas
Managing of the AC 15 SECR 3 Seas
Threate Code procession of the AC 15 SECR 3 Seas
Threate Code procession of the AC 15 SECR 3 Seas
Threate Code procession of the AC 15 SECR 3 Seas
Threate Code procession of the AC 15 SECR 3 Seas
Threate Code procession of the AC 15 SECR 3 Seas
Threate Code procession of the AC 15 SECR 3 Seas
Managing of the AC 15 SECR 3 Seas
Mana | mence of valepassor amount of teach of the control | 2,576
2,439
2,344
2,479
2,390 | O Control 90000 Filtra 19 D Easting 90000 Filtra 19 D Easting 90000 Filtra 19 Easting 900000 Filtra 19 Easting 90000 Filtra 19 Easting 900000 Filtra 19 Easting 90000 Filtra 19 Easting 900000 Filtra 19 Easting 90000 Filtra 19 Easting 9000 | 99 206 5.58 5007 580 530 500 500 530 7 305 210 31 31 32 31 32 31 32 31 32 31 32 31 32 31 32 31 32 31 32 31 32 31 32 31 32 31 32 31 32 31 32 31 33 31 3

 | \$ 4 \$35 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$1 \$5 \$000 \$15 \$1500 \$15 \$2000 \$15 \$2000 \$15 \$2500 \$15 \$2500 \$15 \$200 | \$0
\$0
\$0
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$0
\$0
\$0
\$1,067
\$1,067
 | \$0 \$0.55 \$0 \$0.55 \$0 \$0.55 \$0 \$0.55 \$0 \$0.55 \$0 \$0.55 | 02 #DN00 02 #DN00 02 #DN00 03 #DN00 03 #DN00 04 #DN000 04 #DN0000 04 #DN00000 04 #DN000000 04 #DN000000 04 #DN0000000 04 #DN000000000000000000000000000000000000 | 0.0 -0.3
0.0 -0.3
0.0 0.0
0.0 0.0
27.1 15.3
28.9 15.4
11.8 -0.3
11.5 -0.5
26.2 14.3
26.9 15.2 | 963 \$0.00 1.165 \$0.00 786 \$0.00 786 \$0.00 105 \$1.00 105 \$1.00 105 \$1.00 105 \$1.00 105 \$1.00 105 \$1.00 105 \$1.00 106 \$1.00 106 \$1.00 106 \$1.00
 | 27 \$0.004 30.005 00 \$0.005 00 \$0.005 00 \$0.005 00 \$0.005 00 \$0.000 00 \$0.005 | 02 01 02 01 02 01 02 01 02 01 02 01 02 02 01 02 02 02 02 02 02 02 02 02 02 02 02 02 | \$0.00 \$0.00
\$0.00 \$0.0
\$0.00 \$0.0
\$0.00 \$0.0
\$0.00 \$0.0
\$0.00 \$0.0
\$0.00 \$0.0
\$0.00 \$0.0
\$0.00 \$0.0 | 00 64% 00 90%
00 90% 00 | 37 37
5 50
12 24
868 1,726
2,389 4,778 | 37
5
12
868
2,389 | 50 100%
110 100%
10 100%
10 100%
24 100%
27 100%
10 100%
1,726 100%
4,778 100% | 100%
100%
100%
100%
100% | 100%
100%
100%
100%
100%
100%
100%
100% | 43 2 8 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1,667 1 | 92,004 1,668 5,665 2,967 987 2,225 214,660 1 | 325
229,000
31,500
0
0
2,300
7,200
5,550
1,500
3,47,500
347,500 | 11,367 0 0 0 0 0 4,606 16,563 4,327 1,170 2,607 29,645
 | 52
43
2
8
0
0
0
0
430
1,657 | 20,801 0 92,004 0 1,668 2,0 5,666 7,0 1,967 5,5 987 1,5 2,221 3,8 254,660 347,006 1,627 | 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 |
| Refrisorator Recycling Residential Cooling | | Bitance News You seakle and way/or
Brown C Requiring
The Academies Managing
The Academies Managing
The Academies Managing
The Academies of the AC 15 SECR 3 Seas
Managing of the AC 15 SECR 3 Seas
Threate Code procession of the AC 15 SECR 3 Seas
Threate Code procession of the AC 15 SECR 3 Seas
Threate Code procession of the AC 15 SECR 3 Seas
Threate Code procession of the AC 15 SECR 3 Seas
Threate Code procession of the AC 15 SECR 3 Seas
Threate Code procession of the AC 15 SECR 3 Seas
Managing of the AC 15 SECR 3 Seas
Mana | memoral of information memoral of some ac- so | 2,576
2,439
2,344 | 0 | 99 206 5.58 5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00

 | 6 335 3 50 1 5 | \$0 \$0 \$0 \$1,057 \$1,057 \$0 \$1,057 \$0 \$1,057 \$0 \$1,057 \$0 \$1,057 \$0 \$1,057
\$1,057 | \$0 \$0.00 \$0. | 2 | 0.0 -0.3
0.0 -0.3
0.0 0.0
0.0 0.0
0.0 0.0
27:1 15.3
28.9 18.4
13.8 -0.1
11.8 -0.3
12.5 -0.5
26.2 14.3
 | 963 \$0.00 1.165 \$0.00 786 \$0.00 786 \$0.00 105 \$1.00 105 \$1.00 105 \$1.00 105 \$1.00 105 \$1.00 105 \$1.00 105 \$1.00 106 \$1.00 106 \$1.00 106 \$1.00 | 27 \$0.004 100 | 0.2 0.1 0.2 0.1 0.0 0.6 0.6 0.6 0.6 0.6 0.6 0.6 0.6 0.0 0.0 | \$0.00 \$0.00
\$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00
\$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00
\$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00
\$0.00 | 00
 | 37 37 5 10 12 24 668 1,726 4,727 4,727 4,727 668 1,726 | 37
5
12
868
2,389
4,727
868 | 60 100%
110 100%
10 100%
10 100%
24 100%
27 100%
10 100%
24 100%
1,726 100% | 100%
100%
100% | 100%
100%
100%
100%
100%
100%
100%
100% | 43 2 8 0 0 0 0 0 0 433 1,657 1 1,409 1 | 92,004 1,668 5,666 2,967 967 2,221 244,660 1,377,066 1 | 225
290,000
31,000
0
0
2,000
7,200
5,550
1,500 | 11,367
0
0
0
0
4,606
16,563
4,307
1,100
2,867
 | \$2
43
2
8
0
0
0
0
433
1,667
1,609 | 20,829 0 02,034 0 1,668 2,0 1,666 2,3 3,967 5,5 3221 3,6 2,221 3,6 2,1247,066 1,62 3,14,60 367,1 1,277,066 1,62 3,16,160 706,360 706,361 | 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 |
| Rationaria Cooling Residential Cooling | | Microsoft has the National Academy of Microsoft has the National Microsoft has been dependent on the National Microsoft has been dependent on the National Microsoft has been dependent of market 19 MISS 1 State 1 St | mence of valepassor amount of teach of the control | 2,576
2,439
2,344
2,479
2,380
2,218
2,166
2,109 | Compare 100.00 Fibra 100.00 Fi | 99 208 5.58 258 258 258 258 258 258 258 258 258 2

 | \$ 4 \$35 \$0 \$ 50 \$ 50 \$ 50 \$ 50 \$ 50 \$ 50 \$ | \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$1,057 \$1,057 \$2,057 \$2 \$1,057 \$2 \$1,057 \$3,05 | \$0 \$0.00
\$0.00 \$0. | 2 | 00 | 15.5 \$1.00
\$1.00 \$ | 27 \$5.004
30 \$5.005
50 \$5.005 | 02 01 02 01 02 01 04 04 04 04 04 04 04 04 02 02 02 02 02 02 02 02 02 02 02 02 02 | \$0.00 | 00 64% 00 95% 00 | 27 27
5 50
12 24
668 1,726
2,580 4,778
4,727 4,727 | 37
5
12
868
2,389
4,727
868 | 50 100% 100% 1100 100% 1100 100% 1100 100% 1100 100% 1 | 100%
100%
100%
100%
100%
100%
100% | 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100%
 | 43 2 8 0 0 0 0 0 0 433 1,657 1 1,409 1 | 1,668 1,667 667 2,221 164,660 154,667 165,666 154,667 165,666 154,667 165,667 | 325 225,000 211,500 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 | 11,307 0 0 0 0 4,606 4,606 4,607 11,500 2,307 2,307 2,007 6,001 2,007 6,001 6, | \$2
43
2
8
0
0
0
0
433
1,667
1,609 | 20,621 0 0 92,034 0 1 1,668 2,03 1 1,668 2,03 1 1,666 2,2 3,067 5,5 3,067 1,5 2,2 3,1 3,1 3,1 3,1 3,1 3,1 3,1 3,1 3,1 3,1
 | 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 |
| Reforeaster Recycline Residential Cooling | | Minor has the feet of the control of | memoral of uniquenate memoral of uniquenate memoral of uniquenate common to uniquenate of uniquenate | 2,036
2,039
2,344
2,079
2,360
2,718
2,166
2,109 | Comparison Com | 99 208 5.58 258 258 258 258 258 258 258 258 258 2

 | 4 255 25 | \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$ | \$0 \$0.00
\$0.00 \$0. | 2 #5009 20 #5009 2 #50 | 00 43
00 63
00 03
00 00
00 00
00 00
00 153
289 164
118 43
118 43
155 35
163 163
171 163
171 163
171 171 171
171 | NEX 100 | 27 \$0.004 20 \$0.005
20 \$0.005 20 \$0. | 02 01 01 02 | \$0.00 | 00 04% 05% 05% 05% 05% 05% 05% 05% 05% 05% 05 | 37 37 5 10 12 24 668 1,726 4,727 4,727 4,727 668 1,726 | 37
5
12
868
2,389
4,727
868 | 50 100% 1100 | 100%
100%
100%
100%
100%
100%
100%
100% | 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100%
 | 43 2 8 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 | 92,004 1,668 5,666 3,667 2225 2225 224,660 1 1,217,066 1 1,217,066 1 1,217,066 1 1,217,066 1 1,217,066 1 1,217,066 1 1 | 325 225,000 221,500 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 | 11,307 0 0 0 0 4,406 4,406 4,507 11,100 2,307 1,100 2,307 1,100 2,307 1,100 2,07 1,100 2 | \$2
43
8
0
0
0
0
0
1,657
1,699
486
1,296
0 | 20237 C 20237 | 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 |
| Rationaria Cooling Residential Cooling | | Microsoft has the National Academy of Microsoft has the National Microsoft has been dependent on the National Microsoft has been dependent on the National Microsoft has been dependent of market 19 MISS 1 State 1 St | memoral of integration of management of the process of the control of the control of the control of the control of interest of the control of the cont | 2,576
2,439
2,344
2,479
2,380
2,218
2,166
2,109 | ### Common called Delta ### Co | 99 208 5.58 258 258 258 258 258 258 258 258 258 2

 | 6 256 25 | \$0
\$0
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$0
\$0
\$0
\$1,067
\$0
\$0
\$1,067
\$0
\$1,067
\$0
\$1,067
\$0
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067 | \$0 \$0.7 \$0.7 \$0.0 \$0.0 \$0.0 \$0.0 \$0.0 \$0
 | 2 #5009 20 #5009 2 #50 | 00 43
60 63
60 63
60 00
60 00
60 00
71 153
289 154
118 43
118 43
118 43
125 35
152 153
153 153 | NEX 100 | 27 92-064 39 92-064 39 92-065 30 92-065
30 92-065 30 92-065 30 92-065 30 92-065 30 92-065 30 92-065 30 92- | 02 01 01 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 | \$6.00 \$0.00 | 00 64% 00 95% 00 | 37 37 5 10 12 24 668 1,726 4,727 4,727 4,727 668 1,726 | 37
5
12
868
2,389
4,727
868 | 50 100% 100% 1100 100% 1100 100% 1100 100% 1100 100% 1 | 100%
100%
100%
100%
100%
100%
100%
100% | 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100%
 | 43 2 4 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 | 92,004 1,668 5,666 1,067 987 1,067 987 1,077 1,0 | 325 225,000 211,500 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 | 11,307 0 0 0 0 4,606 4,606 4,607 11,500 2,307 2,307 2,007 6,001 2,007 6,001 6, | \$2
43
2
8
0
0
0
0
433
1,667
1,609 | 20,621 0 0 92,034 0 1 1,668 2,03 1 1,668 2,03 1 1,666 2,2 3,067 5,5 3,067 1,5 2,2 3,1 3,1 3,1 3,1 3,1 3,1 3,1 3,1 3,1 3,1
 | 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 |
| Beforesearce Recording Recording Cooling Recordinat Cooling Recordinat Cooling Residential Cooling | | Minor has the feet of the control of | memoral of uniquenate memoral of uniquenate memoral of uniquenate common to uniquenate of uniquenate | 2,376 2,459 2,344 2,479 2,380 2,216 2,166 2,109 2,409 2,344 | ### Common called Delta ### Co | 60 29 34 545 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 5

 | 6 256 25 | \$0
\$0
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$0
\$0
\$0
\$1,067
\$0
\$0
\$1,067
\$0
\$1,067
\$0
\$1,067
\$0
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067
\$1,067 | \$0 \$0.7 \$0.7 \$0.0 \$0.0 \$0.0 \$0.0 \$0.0 \$0
 | 2 #50x0 2 #50x0 2 #50x0 2 #50x0 2 #50x0 2 #50x0 11 #50x | 00 43
60 63
60 63
60 00
60 00
60 00
71 153
289 154
118 43
118 43
118 43
125 35
152 153
153 153 | 162 162 162 163 | 27 \$2.004
30 \$5.005
30 \$5.005
30
\$5.000
35.000
35.000
35.000
35.000
35.000
35.000
35.000
35.000
35.000
35.000
35.000
35.000
35.000
35.000
35.000
35.000
35.000
35.000
35.000
35.000
35.000
35.000
35.000
35.000
35.000
35.000
35.000
35.000
35.000
35.000
35.000
35.000
35.000
35.000
35.000
35.000
35.000
35.000
35.000
35.000
35.000
35.000
35.000
35.000
35.000
35.000
35.000
35.000
35.000
35.000
35.000
35.000
35.000
35.000
35.000
35.000
35.000
35.000
35.000
35.000
35.000
35.000
35.000
35.000
35.000
35.000
35.000
35.000
35.000
35.000
35.000
35.000
35.000
35.000
35.000
35.000
35.000
35.000
35.000
35.000
35.000
35.000
35.000
35.000
35.000
35.000
35.000
35.000
35.000
35.000
35.000
35.000
35.000
35.000
35.000
35.000
35.000
35.000
35.000
35.000
35.000
35.000
35.000
35.000
35.000
35.000
35.000
35.000
35.000
35.000
35.000
35.000
35.000
35.000
35.000
35.000
35.000
35.000
35.000
35.000
35.000
35.000
35.000
35.000
35.000
35.000
35.000
35.000
35.000
35.000
35.000
35.000
35.000
35.000
35.000
35.000
35.000
35.000
35.000
35.000
35.000
35.000
35.000
35.000
35.000
35.000
35.000
35.000
35.000
35.000
35.000
35.000
35.000
35.000
35.000
35.000
35.000
35.000
35.000
35.000
35.000
35.000
35.000
35.000
35.000
35.000
35.000
35.000
35.000
35.000
35.000
35.000
35.000
35.000
35.000
35.000
35.000
35.000
35.000
35.000
35.000
35.000
35.000
35.000
35.000
35.000
35.000
35.000
35.000
35.000
35.000
35.000
35.000
35.000
35.000
35.000
35.000
35.000
35.000
35.000
35.000
35.000
35.000
35.000
35.000
35.000
35.000
35.000
35.000
35.000
35.000
35.000
35.000
35.000
35.000
35.000
35.000
35.000
35.000
35.000
35.000
35.000
35.000
35.000
35.000
35.000
35.000
35.000
35.000
35.000
35.000
35.000
35.000
35.000
35.000
35.000
35.000
35.000
35.000
35.000
35.000
35.000
35.000
35.000
35.000
35.000
35.000
35.000
35.000
35.000
35 | 02 01 01 02 02 02 02 02 02 02 02 02 02 02 02 02 | \$0.00 | 000 00% | 37 37 5 10 12 24 668 1,726 4,727 4,727 4,727 668 1,726 | 37
5
12
868
2,389
4,727
868 | 60 100% 100% 1100 100% 1100 100% 1100%
1100% 110 | 100%
100%
100%
100%
100%
100%
100%
100% | 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% | 43 2 4 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 | 92,004 1,668 5,666 1,067 987 1,067 987 1,077 1,0 | 305 225,000 31,000 0 0 1,000 0 2,000 2,000 2,000 3,600 3,600 3,600 3,7,000 47,000 47,000 200,600 200,600 200,000 200 | 11,367 0 0 0 0 4,866 14,863 14,863 2,867 2,867 2,867 2,867 2,867 467 914
 | 52
2
8
0
0
0
0
533
1,667
1,609
686
1,200
0 | 50829 C 50829 | 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 |
| Reforeaser Recycline Residential Cooling | | Minimum has the numerous organic has been been been been been been been bee | Second of displaces are second of displaces are second of many and a sec | 2,576 2,459 2,344 2,479 2,380 2,218 2,166 2,109 2,409 2,409 2,344 2,556 | 10 Comp. claim Part | 20

 | 6 356 6 356 7 7 7 8 7 7 8 7 7 8 7 7 8 7 7 8 7 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8
 | \$0
\$0
\$1,007
\$1,007
\$0
\$0
\$1,007
\$0
\$1,007
\$0
\$0
\$1,007
\$0
\$0
\$0
\$0
\$0
\$0
\$0
\$0
\$0
\$0
\$0
\$0
\$0 | \$0 \$0.7 \$0.7 \$0.7 \$0.7 \$0.7 \$0.7 \$0.7 \$0 | 20 | 60 43
60 63
60 63
60 80
60 80
60 80
82.5 83
28.9 84
41
43.4 41
11.8 63
25.2 14.3
26.2 14.3
26.2 14.3
27.3 15.2
28.3 15.2
28.3 15.2
28.3 15.2
28.3 15.2
28.3 15.2
28.3 15.3
28.3 15.3 15.3
28.3 15.3 15.3 15.3 15.3 15.3 15.3 15.3 15 | 100 100 100 100 100 100
 100 | 27 Statistics 20 Statistics 20 Statistics 20 Statistics 21 Statistics 22 Statistics 23 Statistics 23 Statistics 24 Statistics 25 | 02 01 01 02 01 01 02 01 01 01 01 01 01 01 01 01 01 01 01 01 | \$6.00 \$5.10 \$5.00 | 00 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 | 37 37 5 10 12 24 668 1,726 4,727 4,727 4,727 668 1,726 |
37 5 5 12 Milk 2,000 Milk 2,000 Milk 2,000 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 | 60 100% 100% 1100 100% 1100 100% 110 | 100%
100%
100%
100%
100%
100%
100%
100% | 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% | 60 2 8 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 | 92,004 1,668 6,666 6,666 902 2,225 254,660 1,317,266 1,317,266 1,417 106 99 83 | 305 225,000 31,000 0 0 1,000 0 2,000 2,000 2,000 3,600 3,600 3,600 3,7,000 47,000 47,000 200,600 200,600 200,000 200 | 11,367 0 0 0 0 4,866 14,863 14,863 2,867 2,867 2,867 2,867 2,867 467 914
 | 52
2
8
0
0
0
0
533
1,667
1,609
686
1,200
0 | 50829 C 50829 | 0 6 0 10 6 0 10 6 0 10 6 0 10 6 0 10 6 0 10 7 10 7 10 7 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 |
| Reforease Recycline Residential Cooling | | Minimum has the name of only in the control of the | Second of originate and a second originate and a seco | 2,078 2,039 2,344 2,344 2,345 2,340 2,340 2,116 2,109 2,438 2,344 2,551 2,039 2,344 2,551 2,039 2,344 | 10 Comp. claim Part | 20

 | 6 356 6 36 7 7 8 7 9 7 9 7 10 7 1 |
\$0
\$0
\$1,047
\$1,047
\$0
\$0
\$0
\$1,047
\$0
\$1,047
\$0
\$1,044
\$1,047
\$0
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044
\$1,044 | \$0 \$0.00 \$0. | 22 #50,007 20 #50,007 20 #50,007 21 #50,007 | 60 43 60 43 60 43 60 60 60 60 60 60 75 14 63 75 14 63 75 14 63 75 14 64 75 14
64 75 14 64 75 | 100 | 27 \$2.000 1 | 02 01 01 04 04 04 04 04 04 04 04 04 04 04 04 04 | \$0.00
\$0.00 | 00 cets 00 cet | 37 27
5 30
12 24
168 1726
4.727 4.727
4.727 4.729
1 1 1
1 1 1
1 1 1 | 37 5 5 12 Milk 2,000 Milk 2,000 Milk 2,000 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 | 80 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 10 | 100%
100%
100%
100%
100%
100%
100%
100% | 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% | 40 2 2 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 | 92.004 1.668 1.666 1.667 1.668 1.667 1.668 1.667 | 326 279,000 271,000
271,000 27 | 11,367 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 | 62 63 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 | 20207 0 0020 | 0 0 0 0 14460 00 14460 00 14460 00 150 150 150 150 150 150 150 150 150 1 |
| Bafforeaster Recordino Bafforeaster Recordino Residential Cooling | | Minimum has the name of only in the control of the | emand of inspired and an inspired of inspired and an inspired an inspired and an inspired an i | 2,078 2,039 2,344 2,369 2,344 2,360 2,318 2,166 2,109 2,459 2,344 2,556 2,439 2,344 2,439 2,439 | 10 Control School Control | 20

 | 6 936 3 5 5 4 5 5 5 6 7 5 7 7 6 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 | \$0. \$0. \$1.067 \$1.067 \$2.067 \$0. \$0. \$0. \$0. \$0. \$0. \$0. \$0. \$0. \$0. | \$0 \$0.00
\$0.00 \$0. | | 60 43 60 43 60 63 60 | 100
 | 27 \$3.000 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 | 0.3 | \$0.00 | 000 67%, 100 | 37 27
5 10
12 24
168 1526
2,380 4727
4,727 4,727
868 17,26
2,380 4,728
1 1
1 1
1 1
1 1
7 156 | 37 6 12 16 16 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17
 | 80 100% 100 100% 100 100% 100 100% 100 100% 100 100% 100 100% 100 100% 100 100% 100 100% 100% | 100%
100%
100%
100%
100%
100%
100%
100% | 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% | 40 2 2 4 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 | 9.004 1.609 | 326 279,000 31,000 31,000 31,000 31,000 31,000 31,000 30 31,000 30 31,00 | 11,307 0 0 0 0 0 14,389 14,389 15,309 2,307 15,309 2,307
2,307 2,3 | 62 | 100207 | 0 0 0 0 14460 00 14460 00 14460 00 150 150 150 150 150 150 150 150 150 1 |
| Barforeauer Recordion Barforeauer Recordion Recolorental Cooling Recolorental Cooling Resolvental Cooling | | International to the transcription of the control o | Second of originate and a second originate and a seco | 2,016 2,039 2,344 2,079 2,360 2,318 2,160 2,318 2,160 2,244 2,609 2,609 | 100 | OP 20 3.00 4.00 10 3.00 3.00 3.00 3.00 3.00 3.00 3.00 3.00 3.00 3.00 4.00 <td> 6 356 6 56 7 7 8 7 8 7 9 7 9 7 9 7 10</td> <td>\$0.000 \$0.000
\$0.000 \$0</td> <td>\$0 \$0.00 \$0.</td> <td> </td> <td>60 63 60 63</td> <td> 100 100</td> <td>27 \$3-0-0-1 20
\$3-0-0-1 20 \$3-0-0-1 20 \$3-0-0-1 20 \$3-0-0-1 20 \$3-0-0-1 20 \$3-0-0-1 20 \$3-0-0-1 20 \$3-0-0-1 20 \$3-0-0-1 20 \$3-0-0-1 20 \$3-</td> <td>0.3 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5</td> <td>\$0.00 \$0.00</td> <td>00 50% 00 50%</td> <td>37 37 57 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5</td> <td>37 6 12 16 12 16 16 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17</td> <td>50 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 10</td> <td>100%
100%
100%
100%
100%
100%
100%
100%</td> <td>100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100%</td> <td>2 8 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0</td> <td>\$1,000 1,000</td> <td>28 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20</td> <td>9 4 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6</td> <td>62</td> <td> Major C C C C C C C C C </td> <td> 1</td> | 6 356 6 56 7 7 8 7 8 7 9 7 9 7 9 7 10 | \$0.000
\$0.000 \$0 | \$0 \$0.00 \$0. | | 60 63 | 100
100 | 27 \$3-0-0-1 20 \$3- | 0.3 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 | \$0.00 | 00 50%
 | 37 37 57 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 | 37 6 12 16 12 16 16 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 | 50 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 10 | 100%
100%
100%
100%
100%
100%
100%
100% | 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% | 2 8 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 | \$1,000 1,000 | 28 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20
 | 9 4 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 | 62 | Major C C C C C C C C C | 1 |
| Barforeauer Recordino Barforeauer Recordino Recolorinal Cooling Recolorinal Cooling Recolorinal Cooling Resolorinal Cooling | | International to the Control of C | Secretary of including an according to the control of including an according t | 2004 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 | 10 | 20

 | 9 986 | 50
50
50
50
50
50
50
50
50
50
50
50
50
5
 | \$1 \$0.00 \$0. | | 00 03 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 0 | 150 150
 150 | 27 \$2-0.04 30 \$3-0.00 | 0.3 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 | \$5000\$ | 000 6 e/s. 1007 1007s 100 1007s | 27 27 27 27 27 27 27 27 | 37 6 12 6 12 6 12 6 12 6 12 6 12 6 12 6 1 | 80 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 1
 | 920% 190% 190% 190% 190% 190% 190% 190% 19 | 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% | 43 | 1,688 | 38 200 2 | 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
 | 62 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 | 9.000 | |
| Reforeaster Recording Residential Cooling | | Minimum has the numerous original production of the National Science of the Na | Secretary of including and an article of including and an article of including and an article of including and article of including an article of incl | 2,000 | 20 | 1

 | 1 | 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 5
 | \$1 \$0.00 \$0. | | 60 63 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 | Mail
 | 27 \$5.064 20 \$5.064 20 \$5.060 20 \$5. | 0.3 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 | \$50.00 \$5 | 000 67% 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 10
 | 27 27 27 27 27 27 27 27 | 37
6
12
868
22,000
4,727
868
2,200
1
1
1
1
1
1
7
2
2
4
4
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1 | 80 100m 150 | 100%
100%
100%
100%
100%
100%
100%
100% | 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% | 43 | 93.594 1,609 5,506 3,607 3,607 3,229 32-4,600 1,1217,0360 1,1217,0 | 28 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 | 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
 | 00 et 2 | Major C C C C C C C C C | 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 |
| Bafforease Recording Bafforease Recording Residential Cooling | | International to the transport organic information and the transport of th | Secretary of including and an artist of including and an artist of including and an artist of including and artist of including an artist | 2,004 2,009 | 20 |

 | 8 986 | \$0.00
 | \$1 \$0.00 \$1. | | 60 63 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 | Mail
 | 27 Sh. 30-4 20 Sh. 30-6 20 Sh. | 63 | Section Sect | 000 67% 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 10 | 27 27 27 27 27 27 27 27 | 37
6
12
868
22,000
4,727
868
2,200
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
7
2
2
4
4
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1 | 80 100h 110 100h 110 100h 120 100h 121 100h 122 100h 123 100h 124 100h 124 100h 124 100h 125 100h 126 100h 127 100h 127 100h 12 100h 12 100h 13 100h 14 100h 15 100h 16 100h 17 100h 18 100h 18 100h 18 100h 18 100h 19 100h | 100%
100%
100%
100%
100%
100%
100%
100% | 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100%
 | 43 | 93.594 1,609 5,506 3,607 3,607 3,229 32-4,600 1,1217,0360 1,1217,0 | 38 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 20 | 11,307 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 | 00 et 2 | 2020 1 | 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 |
| Beforesearce Recording Residential Cooling | | Internal hands for the control output (Internal hands for the control output (Internal hands) Internal hands for the control output (Internal hands) Internal hands for the CHEST STORE (Internal hands for the CHEST STORE (Internal hands) Internal hands for the CHEST STORE (| Secretary of including and an article of including and an article of including and an article of including and article of including an article of incl | 2,004 2,009 | 20 | 1

 | 4 90 90 2 90 90 90 90 90 90 90 90 90 90 90 90 90 | \$0.00
\$0.00 | \$1 \$0.00 \$1. | | 60 63 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60
 | Mail | 27 Shidol 28 Shi | 0.3 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 | \$50.00 \$5 | 000 64% 100 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100
 | 27 27 27 27 27 27 27 27 | 37
6
12
868
22,000
4,727
868
2,200
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
7
2
2
4
4
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1 | 80 100m 150 | 100%
100%
100%
100%
100%
100%
100%
100% | 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% | 43 | 93.594 1,609 5,506 3,607 3,607 3,229 32-4,600 1,1217,0360 1,1217,0 | 38 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 20 | 11,307 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
 | 00 et 2 | 2020 1 | 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 |
| Beforeseater Recording Rec | | International Teach of the Commission of the Com | menor of integration and an integration of integra | 20% 20% 20% 20% 20% 20% 20% 20% 20% 20% | 100 | 1

 | 1 100 | 20 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 | 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10
 | | | March Marc |
 | 1 | Section Sect | 000 6 etc. 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 10 | 27 27 27 27 27 27 27 27 | 27 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 | 80 1996 170 170 170 170 170 170 170 170 170 170 170 170 170 170 170 170 | 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% | 1900 1900 1900 1900 1900 1900 1900 1900
 | 6 4 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 | 1.688 | 38 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 20 | 11,307 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 | 00 et 2 | MART | |
| Beforeagene Recording Residential Cooling Resi | | Internal transfer for the control organical formation of the control organical formation of the control organical formation or | Secretary of including an according to the control of including an according t | 2,004 2,009 | 20 Entre of State 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1 |

 | 1 90 90 90 90 90 90 90 | 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 2 | 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10
 | | 1 | 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10
 | | 6.2 6.1 6.1 6.2 6.1 6.2 6.1 6.1 6.1 6.1 6.1 6.1 6.1 6.1 6.1 6.1 | 100 |
 | 27 27 27 28 28 28 28 28 | 27 5 5 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 | 100 | 190% 190% 190% 190% 190% 190% 190% 190% | 1900 19 | 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 | 1.588 | 38 38 38 38 38 38 38 38 38 38 38 38 38 3 | 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
 | 2 | March Marc | |
| Beforeseater Recording Rec | | International Teach of the Commission of the Com | menor of integration and an integration of integra | 20% 20% 20% 20% 20% 20% 20% 20% 20% 20% | 20 December 1 December 2 December 3 | 1

 | 1 | 20 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 | 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10
 | | 1 | 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 | | | | |
 | 62 | Section Sect | | 27 27 27 27 27 27 27 27 | 27 5 5 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 | 80 1996 170 170 170 170 170 170 170 170 170 170 170 170 170 170 170 170 | 190% 190% 190% 190% 190% 190% 190% 190% |
 | 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 | 1.588 | 38 200000 200000 200000 200000 200000 200000 200000 200000 200000 200000 200000 200000 200000 2000000 200000 200000 200000 200000 200000 200000 2000000 2000000 2000000 2000000 20000000 20000000 200000000 | 1 1 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 | 2 | March Marc | |

Program	Shectric Measure Group (for programs with new 2000 measures only)	Electric Measure Description	Efficient Product Description / Rating	Efficient Product Consumpti	Efficient ion Hours of Operation (Newly)	Baseline Product Description / Rating C	Stateline Sta Product Har consumptio Com	seline Meas uns of a eration Lifetin	ur Rebate ne Amount (S)	Arerage I Baseline Product Cost	Cost of Enery	uned Rebate as y Cast Incremen	a Cost Payback	Increase A Cost Co Payback	Annual Rational Ratio	bated Lifeti 1/Cost cost /i Stared	med ime Customer Cust AW Saving	, Generator Peak NW 1 ps. Savinos	Non-Energy C&W Savinos (S)	Energy Coins	cidence 2019 Particip	nes 2019 Units	2020 Participants	2020 Units	NYO Institution	tation Real	Stuation 2019 NET Go Rate (NW)	. kW 2019 NE	Y Gen 201	9 Reduce 20 Indget (S)	Costs (8)	2020 NET Own NW	2020 NET Gen AMIN (AMIN)	2029 Reliane Budget (\$)	2020 Incommental Costs (S)
		Provide new 1.5 gpm showerhead to replace existing 2.5 gpm showerhead in home with Unknown DHW heater -		47																										0			(MMN)	0	
School Education Kits		gom showerhead in home with Linknown DHW heater - 2018 Provide Energy Efficient Köchen Aerstor - 1.5 GPMss service availation 3.2 cmm server in home with Unionse	1.5 GPM Showerhead	10		2.5 GPM Showerhead	146 6						0.0		_	_	_			\$0.00					_		_	-		0		0		0	0
School Education Kits		replace existing 2.2 gpm sensor in home with Unknown DHW heater - 2018 Provide Energy Efficient Earth Faucet Aerosor - 1.0 GPM to	1.5 GPM Kitchen Faucet Aerator	- 18		2.2 GPMKitchen Faucet Aerotor						_	0.1			_	_			\$0.00 1											0			0	0
School Education Kits		replace existing 2.2 gpm sensor in home with Unknown DHW heater - 2018	1.0 GPM Bushroom Faucet Awaror High-efficiency LED Sotting 12 at		8,760	2.2 GPM Bathroom Faucet Aerator Incandescent light bulb	13 1	100 10																			100% 0			99,300	89,300	0	519,599		89,320
School Education Kits School Education Kits		9 Wart LED Bubs - 2019 11 Wart LED Bubs - 2019	9W1 High-efficiency LED lighting (2 at 11W)	22	909	Incandescent light bulb	106	909 5	\$10	\$0	\$10 \$	1.11 100%	1.1	0.0	76 90	0.126 \$0.0	BS 0.1	60	\$0.00	\$0.00	8% 2,800	14,000	2,800	14,000	100%		100% 57	641,		134,680	134,680	9	641,657	134,680	134,680
School Education Kits		Provide new 1.5 gpm showerhead to replace existing 2.5 gpm showerhead in home with Unknown DHW heater - 2019	1.5 GPM Showerhead	17		2.5 GPM Showehead	146 6		\$2	\$0	\$3 S	0.11 100%	0.0	0.0	S10 S0	0.007 \$0.0	0.1	60	\$23.27	\$0.00	54% 2,800	1,680	2,800	1,680	100%	9% 1	100% 24	327,	645	5,746	5,746	26	227,646	5746	5,746
School Education Kits		Provide Energy Efficient Kochen Assaur - 1.5 GPM to Provide Energy Efficient Kochen Assaur - 1.5 GPM to septace existing 2.2 gpm senator in home with Unknown DHW heater - 2019	1.5 GPM Kitchen Faucet Aerotor	18	8,760	2.2 GPM Kitchen Faucet Aerotor	26 6	1,760 10	\$1	\$0	\$1 \$	0.11 100%	0.1	0.0	74 50	0.017 \$0.0	0.0	60	\$4.17	\$0.00 1	24% 2,800	1,680	2,800	1,680	100%	0% 1	100% 6	40,0	100	2,166	2,166	6	40,600	2,166	2,166
School Education Kits		DHW heart -2019 Provide Energy Efficient Both Faucet Aector -1.0 GPM to teplace existing 2.2 gpm sensor in home with Unlerown DHW heart -2019	1.0 GPMRethroom Faucet Awaror	6		2.2 GPM Bathroom Faucet Aerator			\$1	\$0	\$1 \$	0.11 100%	0.0	0.0	64 50	0.008 \$0.0	0.0	60	\$4.19	\$0.00 1	24% 2,800	1,680	2,800	1,680	100%	9% 1	100% 4	29,3	M61	863	963	4	29,361	863	863
School Education Kits	9 Wat LEDs	8 Watt LED Bulbs - 2020 Electric Only kit	High-efficiency LED lighting (2 at 9W) Libra-efficiency I Ch Debtoo (2 at	- 11	909	hoandecove light bulb		909 5	56	\$0	\$6 \$	1.12 100%	0.9	0.0	60 50	0.103 \$0.0	0.1	60	\$0.00	\$0.00	8% 0		7,500	15,000	100%	DK 1	100% 0			0	0		556,713	96,700	96,700
School Education Kits Self Direct	11 Was LEDs	Self Direct	1100	22	909	hoandecers light bub	108		\$10	30	210 2	100	1.1	0.0	A 30	0.126 \$0.0	25 0.1	60	\$0.00	9.00	es •		7300	15,000	100%		100% 0	- '					EEF,754	160,000	144,360
Self Direct		Awage Paject I I Home Fnerry Squad	New Equipment	828,135	2,876	Old or less efficient systems or equipment	1,150,184 2	2,676 17	\$179,612	\$0	\$503,145 \$	108 20%	6.9	4.5 5	906,909 50	0.187 \$0.0	011 302.0	217.2	\$0.00	\$0.00	53% 0	0	0	0	100% 1	10% 1	100% 0			0	0		0	0	
LI Home Energy Squad		Total Energy Squad Service 2017	Weighted Average of 2017 LI Sigued Services	63	965	Existing Home	104	965 7	\$0	\$0	50 S	0.11 #D600	0.0	0.0	29 50	0.000 \$0.0	0.0	60	\$0.00	\$0.00 1	11% 0	0	0	0	100% 1	10% 1	100% 0			0	0	0	0	0	0
LI Home Energy Squad LI Home Energy Squad		Total Energy Squad Service 2018 Total Energy Squad Service 2019	Sound Services Weighted Average of 2019 L1	63	965	Existing Home Existing Home	104	965 6 965 5	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$ \$0 \$	0.11 MONIO	0.0		29 SO 29 SO	0.000 \$0.0			\$0.00	\$0.00 1 \$0.00 1	19% 0	31,942	1,900	31,962	100% 1		100% 0 100% 152	1,374	(942	0	0	152	1,374,962	0	0
Energy Star Retail Products		Energy Star Retail Products Platform Pilot	000000000000000000000000000000000000000																																
Platform Pilot Energy Star Retail Products		Freezers B	ENERGY STAR ®	50	5,592	Industry Standard	56 S	5,582 11	\$15	\$0	S0 S	0.11 MONIO	0.0	-6.4	31 90	0.480 \$0.0	0.0	60	\$0.00	\$0.00	55% 2000	2000	3,999	3,999	100% 1	10% 1	100% 13	136,	425	50,005	0	19	136,425	59,985	0
Energy Star Retail Products Platform Pilot		Freezers A	ENERGY STAR v6+5%	48	5,592	Industry Standard	96 I	5,582 11	\$20	\$0	\$0 S	0.11 #D6/0	0.0	4.0	45 90	0.441 \$0.0	0.0	60	\$0.00	\$0.00	55% 40	40	40	40	100% 1	10% 1	100% 0	1,9	29	800	0	0	1,979	800	0
Energy Star Retail Products Platform Pilot Energy Star Retail Products Platform Pilot		Room Air Conditioners	ENERGY STAR @	848	662	Industry Standard	922	662 9	\$10	\$0	\$50 \$	1.10 20%	10.0	8.0	49 50	0.205 \$0.0	0.1	0.1	\$0.00	\$0.00 \$	13569	13569	13,569	13,569	100% 1	10% 1	100% 986	721,	960 1	135,690	679,450	986	721,960	135,690	679,450
Energy Star Retail Products Platform Pilot		Retrigerators A	ENERGY STAR Most Efficient 2019	83	5,592	Industry Standard	96 1	5,592 14	\$15	\$0	\$20 S	3.11 75%	2.4	0.6	75 90	0.200 \$0.0	0.0	60	\$0.00	\$0.00	54% 12994	12984	12,894	12,984	100% 1	10%	100% 122	1,063	:272 1	194,760	259,880	102	1,062,272	194,760	259,680
Pianom Piot Energy Star Retail Products Platform Pible Energy Star Retail Products		Ciones Washer A	ENERGY STAR Most Efficient 2019	1,199	296		2,098		\$20		_	_	6.1	_	_	_	_			_	3% 7546	_				_	100% 223	_		150,900	905,520	223	2,196,747	150,900	905,520
Energy Star Retail Products Platform Pilot		Electric Clothes Dryers A	ENERGY STAR Most Efficient 2019	1,965	283		2,717		\$40		_	_	32	_	_	_	_				2% 18	18		18		_	100% 0	_		720	1,360	0	4,182	720	1,360
Energy Star Retail Products Platform Pilot		Gas Clothes Dryers A	ENERGY STAR 6	121	293				\$6		_	_	30.2	_	_	_	_				2% %	75		0		_	100% 0	_		359	1,929		0	0	
Energy Star Retail Products Platform Pilot		Sound Rans A	DNERGY STAR 6 + 50%	3	8,760		10 6	_	\$15				0.0							\$0.00 5		339		0			100% 3	_	_	5,082	0		0	0	
Energy Star Retail Products Platform Pilot		Air Cleaners A	ENERGY STAR 6	54	5,840	Industry Standard	м 1	5,840 9	\$20	\$0	556 5	36%	2.4	1.5	214 90	0.094 \$0.0	0.0	60	\$0.00	\$0.00 5	00% 291	291	0	0	100% 1	10%	100% 12	67.5	103	5,816	16,265		0	0	
Energy Information Systems		Energy Information Systems Energy Information System	New Energy Information System	0	0	No ES	0		\$8,720	\$0		108 30%		#DIVIO	0 80	DAVOI NON	0.0 IOW	60	\$0.00	\$0.00	0% 6	6	5	6	100% 1		100% 0			48,600	162,000	- 0	0	49,600	162,000
Energy Information Systems		Behavioral and Operational Measures	Efficient behavior/operations	853,900 -683,136	8,760 8,760		875,815 8 -700,652 8		\$1,752	\$0 \$0		106 HONO	0.0		191,804 S0 -153,443 S0		21.9			\$0.00 g		20	20	20	100% 1	10%	100% 241	4,107		35,033	0		4,107,142	26,033	
Energy Information Systems Energy Information Systems		Behavioral and Operational Measures Adjustment Retrocommissioning Measures	Optimized Building Systems	1,280,626	8,760 5,900				_			-	0.0				000 -17.5 002 6.4	35		\$11,365.86		20 52	20	20 52	100% 1	10% 1		-3,281		0 34,138	42,691			34,138	42,691
Heating Efficiency Heating Efficiency Heating Efficiency		Heating Efficiency EC Fan May on New Commercial Furnace	ECMFurnos Fan	466	4,321	Non-ECM Fan	813 4	C321 18	\$100	\$236	\$212 S	.11 42%	12	0.6	1763 50	0.067 \$0.0	000 0.4	63	\$0.00	-99.82	57% 4	60	45	60	100% 1	10%	100% 15	115.	es .	6,000	12,720	-	115,475	6,000	12,720
Heating Efficiency		EC Fan Mittor on Skieting Commercial Furnace	SCM Furnace Fan	541	4,519 1,848	Non-ECM Fan Non-condensing standard	857 6	1,519 7	\$100 \$71	\$0 \$1,179	\$212 \$.11 42%	1.4	0.7	1,426 \$0	0.000 \$0.0	010 0.3	62	\$0.00	-\$3.02 1	10% 10	10	10	10	100% 1	10%	100% 2	15,1	£7 109	1,000	2,120	2	15,567	1,000	2,100
Heating Efficiency Thermostat Optimization		Thermostat Optimization Program	Infrared heater		1,848	forced-air unit heaser	1,560 1	1,646 15	3/1	\$1,179	3167 3	1.11 40%	6.7	0.4	2,108 90	0.034 30-0	AU 1.1	- 13	30.00	\$0.00 5	00% 9	- 11	,	- 11	100% 1	10%	100% 14	20,0	ES .	78D	1,885		2,309	780	1,656
Program Thermostat Optimization Program		material optimization rogium	Anny Step Conty, Name	2,300		Annuga Gingle Camby House				-			***						50.00		-							-							
Thermostat Optimization		and from the confedence former ACA GAS	with Energy liter Street Description Street Assessment Assessment Street	2,202	440	Annua Sinja Saniyikan				544								4464	50.00		-	2,200						-		50,603	65324				
Program Thermostat Optimization			Demons Asseptings Contributes with Consultant Contri	2,202	444	Annual Control Control				550	200 2	201	44					4.002	50.00			24						20.0			2.604			۰	
Program Commercial Refrigeration		Commercial Refrigeration Efficiency	Demons			with Charles of Thursday																													
Efficiency Commercial Refrigeration		Efficiency Continuer or European Call Chapting	After Tune-Up	567	8,790	Selon Tune-Up	642 (1,760 1	\$25	\$0	225 S	100%	0.8	0.0	292 50	0.064 \$0.0	0.0	60	\$0.00	\$0.00	sas. 75	630	75	630	100% 1	10% 1	100% 30	266.	362	15,750	15,750	20	265,362	15,750	15,750
Emiciency		Cold drawn arranged to containing	A gallons per minute restroom faucet aeronor		8,760			1,760 10	\$7	50		1.11 100%				0.000 \$0.0		60	\$67.73		n 2	21		21			100% 0	40,		541	141		43,452	541	541
Efficiency		Cirk Assess Victor of Communication Communication	faucet aerator 1.5 gallons per minute klothen faucet aerator		4200	22 passe per micer taxon	139 6	2760 10	57	50	27 S	1.11 100%	0.1	0.0		0.017 \$0.0			\$8.44	\$0.00				6		10%		2,0		34	34		2,081	34	34
Commercial Refrigeration Efficiency Commercial Refrigeration Efficiency		CAN Dr. Dina Sonary, significant basis	faucet aerator 1.26 gallons per minute sprayer	208	8,760	160 ratios no minas annas		1,760 5	\$45	50	\$45 \$	1.11 100%	0.7	0.0		0.099 \$0.0		60	\$13.97			4		4			100% 0	1,9		190	180		1,947	180	160
		I EO Betrimment Corn I intrins - Bustoff Science Dane	LED Sorew State	20	5,124	brandscarrerms base		5,124 5	\$24	50	S24 S		0.6	0.0		0.049 \$0.0		61	\$0.00		E% 12		12	66			100% 9	61,5		2,346	2,346		51,038	236	2,346
Commercial Petriparation		GCM Mayor - Markey Tamo Nimby Cana	ECMMaur	24	8,672	Staded Pole Motor		8,672 15		\$0	\$141 \$		6.1			0.097 \$0.0			\$0.00		m 18	154	19	154			100% 8	68.2		4,160	21,669		68,296	6,160	21,669
0 110 (1)		GCM Marrow - Low Toron Distribut Cana	ECMMour	28	8,672	Staded Pole Motor		1,672 15		\$0	\$141 \$		4.3			0.082 \$0.0		0.1	\$0.00		ms 12	99	12	98			100% 6	91,2		3,820	13,790		51,268	3,920	13,790
		ECM Motors - Medium Temp Walk-in, Evap fan <= 15°	ECMMour	44	8,585	Staded Pole Motor		k,585 15		\$0		107 20%	5.1			0.000 \$0.0		0.1	\$0.00		ms 43	363	40	363			100% 36	200.		25,410	97,661	×	200,246	25,410	97,601
Commercial Refrigeration		Diameter ECM Materix - Low Temp Walk-in, Evap fan <= 15° Diameter	ECMMour	62	8,585	Shaded Pole Motor	161 6	k,585 15	\$70	\$0	5269 5	107 20%	4.3	3.2	996 SO	0.075 \$0.0	0.1	0.1	\$0.00	\$0.00	ms 20	167	20	167	100% 1	10%	100% 19	167,	294	11,690	44,925	19	167,284	11,680	44,925
Efficiency		Diameter ECM Motors - Medium Temp Walk-in, Evap fan > 15° Diameter	ECMMour	44	8,586	Shaded Pole Motor	138 6	1,585 15	\$20	\$0	S269 S	107 20%	66	4.9	606 50	0.116 \$0.0	008 0.1	0.1	\$0.00	\$0.00	es 0				100% 1	10% 1	100% 0								
Commercial Refrigeration		ECM Motors - Low Temp Walk-in, Evap fan > 15° Diameter	ECMMour	80	8,585	Shaded Pole Motor	163 6	k,585 15	\$70	\$0	S269 S	107 20%	5.6	42	714 90	0.098 \$0.0	0.1	0.1	\$0.00	\$0.00				۰	100% 1	10% 1	100% 0								
Commercial Refrigeration		And-Sweat-Heater Controls, Medium Temperature Case	Anti-Sweat Heater Controls	12	8,760	Anti-Gweat Heaters running constantly	121 6	1,760 12	\$60	\$0	\$300 \$	107 20%	4.7	3.8	965 90	0.063 \$0.0	005 0.1	0.1	\$0.00	\$0.00	ms 22	187	22	187	100% 1	10% 1	100% 20	191,	207	11,220	54,100	20	191,207	11,220	56,100
Commercial Refrigeration		Anti-Swear Heater Controls, Low Temperature Case	Anti-Sweat Heater Controls	24	8,760		238 6	1,760 12	\$60	\$0	\$300 \$	107 20%	2.4	1.9	1,873 50	0.092 \$0.0	000 0.2	62	\$0.00	\$0.00	es i	44		68	100% 1	10%	100% 14	136,	297	4,090	20,400	14	136,397	4,090	20,400
Commercial Refrigeration Efficiency Commercial		No Hear Case Doors (Cooler)	No Heat Case Doors		8,760			1,760 10	\$100	\$0	\$276 \$	107 30%	2.9	2.5		0.094 \$0.0	0.1	61	\$0.00	\$0.00	19	162	19	162		10%	100% 21	194,	060	16,200	44,550	21	184,050	16,200	44,550
Commercial Refrigeration		No Hear Case Doors (Freezer)	No Heat Case Doors		8,760			1,760 10	\$150	\$0	\$800 S	107 19%	6.7	4.7	2,082 50	0.072 \$0.0	0.2	63	\$0.00	\$0.00	18% 7	54	,	54		10%	100% 14	124,	808	8,400	44,800	14	124,808	8,400	44,800
Commercial Refrigeration		Evaporative Motor Fan Controller (EMFC) (Cooler)	Evaporative motor fan control on commercial medium temp walk-	4	3,262			1,262 15	\$36	\$0	\$361 \$	10%	12.1	10.9	402 50	0.081 \$0.0	0.1	61	\$0.00	\$0.00	2% 4	34	4	24		10%	100% 2	15,7	96	1,190	11,961	2	15,736	1,190	11,961
Commercial Refrigeration Efficiency		Evaporative Motor Fan Controller (EFMC) (Freezer)	in Evaporative motor fan control on low temp walk-in	5	1,717	in. No motor fan controls on commercial low temp walk-in.	161 1	1,717 16	\$36	\$0	\$361 \$	10%	19.6	17.6	268 50	0.130 \$0.0	0.2	60	\$0.00	\$0.00	as 1		,		100% 1	10%	100% 0	2,2	99	290	2,812		2,209	280	2,812
Commercial Refrigeration		Night Curtains for Reach-in Cases (per linear foot)	Night Curtains on Cases	100	1,825	Open Reach-in Cases	120 2	2,820 4	\$20	\$0	542 S	107 48%	7.6	2.9	131 90	0.153 \$0.0	0.0	60	49.16	\$0.00	n 26	296	25	296	100% 1	10%	100% 0	41,1	24	5,820	12,492		41,524	5,920	12,432
Commercial Refrigeration		Medium samp Enclosed Reach in Case (per linear fact)	Medium-temp Reach-in Cases with Doors	21	8,760	Medium-temp Open Reach-in Cases	132 6	1,760 15	\$70	\$0	\$1,011 \$	107 7%	15.6	14.5	970 SO	0.072 \$0.0	0.1	61	\$0.00	\$0.00	nes 0	0			100% 1	10%	100% 0						0	0	
Commercial Refrigeration		Rietrofit of open multi-deck cooler cases with solid glass doors (per linear foot of case)	Closed Case with Doors	12	8,760		21 6	1,760 12	\$50	\$0	\$237 \$	107 21%	2.6	2.0	515 50	0.097 \$0.0	0.1	0.1	\$0.00	\$58.09	12	106	12	106	100% 1	10%	100% 7	93	DE .	5,260	24,902	2	57,876	5,250	24,902
Commercial Refrigeration		Retrofit of open multi-slock freezer cases with solid glass doors (per linear fact of case)	Closed Case with Doors	29	8,760	Open Case with No Doors	216 6	1,760 12	\$75	\$0	\$343 S	107 22%	2.0	1.5	1,558 90	0.049 \$0.0	0.2	62	\$0.00	\$71.65	12	106	12	106	100% 1	10%	100% 20	176,	160	7,875	36,046	20	175,160	7,875	36,046
Commercial Refrigeration Efficiency		LED Rehigerated Case Lighting	LED Snip lighting	51	8,760	Tit or T12 Fluorescenz	139 6	1,760 20	\$45	\$0	\$164 \$	107 27%	3.2	2.3	771 90	0.058 \$0.0	0.1	61	\$0.00	\$0.00	101	206	40	236	100% 1	10%	100% 32	277,	400	15,120	55,000	22	277,499	15,120	55,020
Commercial Refrigeration		Demand Consoled Versitation - Electric Only or Combo	Commercial librition ventilation hoods with Demand Controlled Ventilation with 8.66 HP Moor	11,766	3,307	Commercial kitchen verbilation hoods without Demand Controlled Verbilation with 8:85 HP Mater	19,597	3,967 20	\$865	\$0	\$16,019 \$	107 5%	2.9	37 :	25,896 \$0	0.000 \$0.0	102 7.8	42	\$0.00	\$2,380.02					100% 1	10%	100% 0								
Efficiency Commercial Refrigeration				50.000	2.000	Controlled Ventilation with 8x85 HP Mater Existing systems and practices.		1,000 10	\$862	\$0	\$11,126 \$		8.4	77	10,000	0.043 \$0.0		23	\$0.00	\$0.00						10%									0
Efficiency Peak Partner Rewards		Mic Custe Measure Peak Partner Rewards	Efficiency systems and practices	10,369	3,000	**************************************	3	10	5862	#0	united 8	- W	44		90	300		23		*****	- *				.wm 1	- '		1 '		1				0	0
Peak Partner Rewards	Peak Partner Rewards-New	Customer reducion of their electrical band by an agreed upon amount when the electric grid experiences peak demand periods.	Participation in program			No participation in program	885,294	4 1	\$29,418	\$0	\$0 \$1	6.25 PONIO	0.0	-0.3	5,312 \$5	6360 \$63	360 865.3	961.9	\$0.00	\$0.00			15	15	100% 1	10%	100% 0			•	۰	14,279	79,676	436,369	
Peak Partner Rewards	Peak Partner Rewards Existing	Customer reduction of their electrical load by an agreed upon amount when the electric grid experiences peak demand nations	Participation in program			No participation in program	885,294	4 1	\$20,418	\$0	\$0 \$1	6.25 KDN/0	0.0	-0.3	5,312 \$5	5360 \$53	350 865.3	961.9	\$0.00	\$0.00				۰	100% 1	10%	100% 0						0	٥	
Water Heater Rebate		Water Heater Rebate	The Paris																																
Water Heater Rebate	Heat Pump Water Heater	Retrigerant Based Cooling & Electric Resistance Heat (16- 50 Guillan)	High Efficiency Heat Pump Water Heater	4,021	385	Minimum Efficiency Electric Water Heater		909 10	\$400	\$969	\$611 \$		2.1	0.7		0.152 \$0.0			\$0.00		sax g	•	2	2			100% 0	•		•	•	1	5,735	800	1,223
Water Heater Rebate	Heat Pump Water Heater	Retigerant Resed Cooling & ASHP Heat (30-50 Gallon) Medium Draw Heat Purcs Wires Heater	High Efficiency Heat Pump Water Heater	4,029	402	Minimum Efficiency Electric Water Heater		1,107 10	\$400	\$900	\$611 \$	1.11 66%	1.6	0.6	3,463 50	0.116 \$0.0	0.6	- 64	\$0.00			۰	1	1			100% 0			۰	•	1	3,791	400	611
Water Heater Rebate	Heat Pump Water Heater	Retrigener Resed Cooling & Natural Gas Heat (30-50 Gallon)	High Efficiency Heat Pump Water Heater	4,029	402	Minimum Efficiency Electric Water Heater		1,265 10	\$400	\$900	\$611 \$	1.11 65%	1.5	0.5	4,187 \$0	0.096 \$0.0	040 040	64	465.17	\$0.00			27	27		10%	100% 0			•	۰	9	123,417	10,800	16,509
Water Heater Rebate	Heat Pump Water Heater	Non-Religerar Based Cooling & Discric Resistance Hear (20-SG Gallon)	High Efficiency Heat Pump Water Heater	4,018	379	Minimum Efficiency Electric Water Heater		954 10	\$400	9000	\$611 \$	0.11 66%	2.1	0.7	2,588 90	0.155 \$0.0	0.5	65	\$0.00	\$0.00		۰	1	1		10%	100% o			۰	•	1	2,825	400	411
Water Heater Rebate	Heat Pump Water Heater	Innues Literates Multip Strater Heater - Non-Rehigerant Based Cooling & ASHP Heat (20-50 Gallon)	High Efficiency Heat Pump Water Heater	4,021	206	Minimum Efficiency Electric Water Heater		1,116 10	\$400	9000	\$611 S		1.6	0.6		0.115 \$0.0		46	\$0.00			۰	100	1			100% 0			۰	•	1	3,789	400	611
Water Heater Rebate	Heat Pump Water Heater	Medium Draw Heat Pump Water Heater - Non-Rehigerant Based Cooling & Natural Gas Heat (\$0.50 Gallon)	High Efficiency Heat Pump Water Heater	4,018	200	Minimum Efficiency Electric Water Heater	4,500 1	1,277 10	\$400	\$900	\$611 \$					0.095 \$0.0		46	465.17			0	1	1			100% 0			•	۰	1	4,608	400	611
Water Heater Rebate	Heat Pump Water Heater	Madium Draw Heat Pump Water Heater - Refrigerant Based Cooling & Sectric Resistance Heat (16- 50 Gallon) + CEARMSI Communications Port	High Efficiency Heat Pump Water Heater	4,021	285	Minimum Efficiency Electric Water Heater	4,590	909 10	\$500	9000	\$611 S	3.11 82%	2.1	0.4	2,627 90	0.190 \$0.0	0.0	64	\$0.00	\$0.00		۰	2	2	100% 1	10%	100% 0			•	•	1	5,736	1,000	1,223
Water Heater Rebate	Heat Pump Water Heater	Medium DrawHeat Pump Water Heater - Refrigerant Resed Cooling & ASHP Heat (30-50 Gallon)	High Efficiency Heat Purso Water Heater	4,029	402	Minimum Efficiency Electric Water Heater	4,590 1	1,107 10	\$500	9000	S611 S	1.11 82%	1.6	0.3	2,462 \$0	0.144 \$0.0	0.6	- 44	\$0.00	\$0.00		۰	4	1	100% 1	10%	100% 0						3,791	500	611
	_	+ CEA/ANG/ Communications Port Medium Draw Heat Pump Water Heater -	The Parison			Marine Pitrice																													
	Heat Pump Water Heater	Galleri	High Efficiency Heat Pump Water Heater	4,029	402	Electric Water Heater	4,593 1	1,265 10	\$500	\$969	\$611 \$	1.11 82%	1.5	0.0	4,187 \$0	0.119 \$0.0	042 0.6	- 04	465.17	\$0.00			27	27	100% 1	10%	100% 0			0	0	17	123,417	19,500	16,509
Water Heater Rebate	HEAT PURP HAND PRICE	+ CEARNSI Communications Port																																	

Program	Stectric Measure Group (for programs with new 2000 measures only)	Slectric Measure Description	Efficient Product Description / Rating	Sificient Product Consumptio (watte)	Efficient on Hours of Operation (hrs/jr)	State-line Product Description / Rating	Stateline Product Consumptio n (watte)	Baseline Hours of Operation (brafy)	Measur a R Lifetime An (years)	tebare il count (S) Pro	Asserage I Baseline Indust Cost (\$)	Incremental Aus Cost of Siner Efficient (S)	gyCost Incred	ne as a C Lof Pay meetal Peri of (19) Bake	tenti Incressi del Casi deck Payback ad wio Period of te first Bahasa fore	Annual Customer kWh K Savings	Rebated Cost/Cost (Mh Saved (SAVA)	Rebated Lifetime Cost cost /Cost MW Si (Mh Saved (F	comer General svings Savings (M) (M)	or W Non-Energ s Savings	y OAM Savings (Coincide sce Factor (19	2019 Participans (1)	s 2019 Units (*)	2020 Participants (1)	sess Units (1	NTS (19	Installation Rate (NI	Restration Rate (N)	2019 NET Gen. 6'	W 2019 NET Gas. KWIS (KWIS)	2019 Reliate Budget (S)	2019 Incremental Costs (S)	2020 NET Gen KW (KW)	2020 NET Gen MITS (MITS)	2022 Reliate Budget (\$)	2020 Incremental Costs (S)
Water Heater Rebate	Heat Pump Water Heater	Medium Draw Heat Pump Water Heater - Nor-Rehigerard Based Cooling & Discric Resistance Hea (30-50 Gallon) + CEA ANSI Communications Port	ar High Efficiency Heat Pump Water Heater	4,018	279	Minimum Efficiency Electric Water Heater	4,500	954	10	\$500	\$000	\$611 \$	0.11 60	os.	21 04	2,588	\$0.183	\$0.019	ns es	\$0.0	90.00	· max	٠		1		100%	100%	100%		۰	۰		1	2,825	500	611
Water Heater Rebate	Heat Pump Water Heater	Medium Draw Heat Pump Water Heater - Non-Rehigerant Based Cooling & ASHP Heat (20:50 Gallon) + CEANNG! Communications Pot	High Efficiency Heat Pump Water Heater	4,021	200	Mainum Efficiency Electric Water Heater	4,500	1,116	10	\$500	9000	\$611 \$	0.11 80	on.	16 03	2,671	\$0.144	\$0.014	is as	\$0.0	90.00	· max	•		1		100%	100%	100%	٠		٥	۰		3,789	500	411
Water Heater Rebate	Heat Pump Water Heater	Medium Draw Heat Pump Water Heater - Non-Rehigerant Based Cooling & Natural Gast Heat (80-5 Gallon) + CEAHNG! Communications Port	High Efficiency Heat Pump Water Heater	4,018	380	Mainum Efficiency Electric Water Heater	4,500	1,277	10	\$500	\$000	\$611 \$	0.11 60	os.	15 03	4,221	\$0.118	\$0.012	ns es	465	17 \$0.00	100	٠		1		100%	100%	100%		۰	۰		1	4,608	500	611

Program	Natural Gas Measure Group for programs with new 2020 measures only)	Natural Gas Measure Description	High Efficiency Product Description / Rating	Efficient Product Consumptio	Stateline Product Description / Rating	Baseline Life Product Produ Consumptio (sear	of Rebate act Amount	Average Baseline	Incremental A Cost of En	numed Retar	te as a Increme's of Cost Perio	Increme! Cost d Payback	Annual Re Customer con Drb con	Reto based Lifetime r./Cust /Cu	ted cost Non-Fuel st O&M	Electric or Natural Gas O&M Savings	Participants U. 2019 2	ins Particip	ants Units	NTG (%)	Installation R Rate	Resization Rate (%)	2019 : NET Del (Dtl.)	2019 Rebate 2 Budget (S)	2019 Incremental Cost (\$)	2020 NET Drin (Drin)	2020 Rebate 20 Budget (S)	00 incremental Cost (S)
		Business				n Gear	14)	Product Cost	Product	Attack Co	od wo xees	Retore	Savings DES	Saved Dit	nd Sawings	Savings					040	(%)	(cm)	(3)	(3)	(201)	ο)	(3)
Business New Construction Business New Construction		Business New Construction Average EDA Project - 2017	More Efficient than Code Building	5,026	Code-Compliant Building	7,180 20	\$12,353	50	\$105,174	\$0.67 1:	2% 5.6	5.0	2,154 \$	5.735 \$0.2	87 \$0.000	\$0.000	0	0 0	0	100%	100%	100%	0	0	0	0	0	0
Business New Construction Business New Construction Business New Construction		Average EDA Project - 2018 Average EDA Project - 2019 Average EEB Project - 2017	More Efficient than Code Building More Efficient than Code Building More Efficient than Code Building	3,528	Code-Complant Building Code-Complant Building Code-Complant Building Code-Complant Building Code-Complant Building	5,041 20 5,369 20 2,217 20 1,514 20	\$8,672 \$9,238	\$0 \$0 \$0	\$73,839 \$78,658	\$0.67 11 \$0.67 11	2% 5.6 2% 5.6 5% 3.3 5% 3.3	5.0 5.0 2.5 2.5	1,512 S 1,611 S	5.735 \$0.2 5.735 \$0.2	87 \$0.000 87 \$0.000	\$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000	9	9 9	9	100%	100%	100%	0 14,498 0 0	0 83,145 0	707,920 0 0	14,498	83,145	707,920
Business New Construction Business New Construction	=	Average EEB Project - 2018	More Efficient than Code Building	3,528 3,759 1,552 1,060 1,292	Code-Complaint Building	5,041 25 5,369 25 2,217 25 1,514 25 1,846 25	\$8,672 \$9,236 \$4,676 \$3,193	50	\$73,839 \$78,658 \$18,850 \$12,872 \$15,607	\$0.67 11 \$0.67 11 \$0.67 2 \$0.67 2 \$0.67 2	2% 5.6 2% 5.6 5% 3.3 5% 3.3	25	2,154 S 1,512 S 1,611 S 665 S 454 S	5.735 \$0.2 5.735 \$0.2 7.029 \$0.3 7.029 \$0.3	87 \$0.000 87 \$0.000 51 \$0.000 51 \$0.000 51 \$0.000	\$0.000	0	0 0	0	100% 100% 100% 100%	100% 100% 100% 100%	100% 100% 100% 100%	0	0	0	0	0 83,145 0 0 67,796	0 0 707,920 0 0 251,155
Commercial Efficiency		Aurage EEB Project - 2019 Commercial Efficiency	New Equipment	23,930	Less Efficient Product/Systems	24,666 15	-		\$10,000			22				\$0.000 F0.000		0	0				40.830	430.700	231,123	60.800	10000	
Commercial Efficiency Commercial Efficiency		Custom Gas Project Phase 2 Customer Contribution Behavioral Changes	0	23,938 0 19,771 -13,181	0	0 0	\$100,000 \$100,000 \$0 \$0	90 90 90	\$100,000 \$0 \$0	\$1.67 S \$1.67 10 \$1.67 KD \$1.67 KD	PS 2.4 20% #DFV/01 IV/01 0.0 IV/01 0.0	2.2 #DIV/01 0.0 0.0	950 S 0 et 1,041 S -694 S	3.200 \$0.2 00//01 #DR 0.000 \$0.0 0.000 \$0.0	13 \$5,657.315 101 \$0.000 00 \$0.000 00 \$0.000	\$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000	1 1	1 1	1	100% 100% 100% 100%	100% 100% 100% 100%	100% 100% 100% 100%	40,839 0 1,041 -694	130,703 100,000 0	1,428,083 100,000 0	40,839 0 1,041 -694	130,703 100,000 0	1,428,083 100,000 0 0
Commercial Efficiency Commercial Efficiency Cooling Efficiency Cooling Efficiency		Behavioral Changes	Behavior changes that reduce energy use Behavior changes that reduce energy use		No change in behavior No change in behavior	0 0 20,812 1 -13,875 1											1	1 1	1	100%	100%			-	-			
Cooling Efficiency		COUNTS ETTICIENCY ETV Install on RTU-MILL for reduced heating-load Custom Efficiency	72% Sensible Difectiveness Heat Recovery on 11193 CFM GA (Heating Mode)	874	No heat recovery on 11193 CFM GA		\$11,193		\$38,413			_	1,989 \$	_			3	3 3	3	100%	100%	100%	5,968	33,579	115,238	5,968	33,579	115,230
Custom Efficiency	_	Custom Efficiency Custom Efficiency Gas	High Efficiency Product/system	22,566	Less Efficient Producti Systems	23,462 15	\$4,477	\$52,436	\$68,955	\$0.67 6	% 6.5 7% #DR/01	6.1	865 \$	5.000 \$0.2	57 \$2,887.568 1/01 \$0.000	\$0.000	19	19 11	19	100%	100%	100%	17,011	85,055 18,304	1,310,138	17,011	85,055 18,304	1,210,138
Efficiency Controls Efficiency Controls		Efficiency Controls	New Diolai Controls System	10.000	Non Digital or Obsolete Digital System	11,071 15	\$3,400			\$0.67 13	2% 60	ROTTO			28 \$1.776.400		•		ô	100.4	100.00		16.062	127.293	992,266	16.062		
Efficiency Controls		Efficiency Controls - Study Allocation	Study Alexation	0	0	0 0	\$3,718	\$2		\$0.67 G	5% #DN/01	#DIV/0	1,071 S	7.925 903 00/07 #Dit	10 \$0.000	\$0.000	2	2 2	15	100%	100%	100%	0	7,436	11,357	0	7,436	992,266 11,357
Food Service Food Service		Food Service Connection Oven	Consider Case Controller Case Controller Case Relatance Case - Howard Ready Red Case Larget Desire Larget Desire High Efficiency Calendards broke EMERIC STAR qualified case EMERIC STAR qualified case EMERIC STAR qualified case EMERIC STAR qualified case	70	Dext Oses Para Dock Oses Open Flame Relaxant Oses Dock Oses Serviced Efficiency Usit Standard Relaxant Using Serviced Chartocide Serviced Chartocide Serviced Chartocide Consolidation of the Serviced Chartocide Cha	174 11	\$500	\$7,870	\$2,060	\$0.67 2	4% 2.3	1.7	104 \$	4.805 \$0.4	37 \$0.000	\$0.000	10	18 11	18	100%	100%	100%	1,873	9,000	37,080	1,873	9,000	37,080
Food Service Food Service Food Service		Conveyor Oven Combi-Oven	Combination Oven	70 209 62 71 331 99 105 73 17 1,551 19	Steamer	174 11 454 11 204 11 125 11 502 11 142 11 244 11 148 11 1,689 11 25 16	\$500 \$750 \$1,000 \$500 \$500 \$500 \$500 \$500 \$500 \$500	\$7,870 \$25,000 \$20,828 \$15,500 \$18,530 \$1,630 \$1,2,587 \$1,800 \$5,002 \$2,317 \$4,960 \$6,900	\$2,000 \$7,000 \$4,272 \$2,665 \$2,977 \$4,272 \$4,413 \$2,173 \$1,006 \$2,413 \$53 \$333	200 2 2 2 2 0 1 1 2 0	4% 23 1% 44 2% 35 9% 56 7% 20 2% 11.4 4% 47 4% 33 5% 49 1% 06	39 27 45 17 107 41 29 42 18 -11	185 \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	4.805 \$0.4 4.054 \$0.3 7.045 \$0.6 9.030 \$0.8 2.931 \$0.2 5.790 \$0.5 5.543 \$0.5 5.543 \$0.5 6.357 \$0.5 6.357 \$0.5 4.469 \$0.2	37 \$0,000 59 \$0,000 40 \$0,000 50 \$0,000 50 \$0,000 50 \$0,000 50 \$0,000 51 \$0,000 51 \$0,000 52 \$0,000 52 \$0,000 53 \$0,000 54 \$0,000 55 \$0,000 56 \$0,000 57 \$0,000 57 \$0,000 58 \$0,000 59 \$0,000 50 \$0,000	\$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000	4	4 4	4	100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100%	100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100%	100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100%	568	9,000 2,250 4,000 1,500 1,750 1,200 300 150 800 1,567 1,341	27,080 21,240 17,088 10,660 8,201 29,904 8,826 2,173 1,006 9,652 533 2,654	568	9,000 2,250 4,000 2,000 1,500 1,750 1,200 150 800 1,567 1,341 4,325	37,080 21,240 17,088 10,080 8,921 29,904 8,826 2,173 1,006 9,652 533 2,664 34,719
Food Service Food Service Food Service Food Service Food Service	=	Commonte Oven Rolating Rack Oven Commercial Gas Pryer	Robating Rack Others	331	Open Plante Hotelante Chien Deck Ohen Filmelant Filmelante Link	502 11	\$500	\$10,500	\$2,977	\$0.67	7% 2.0	1.7	171 \$	2.931 \$0.2	50.000 55 \$0.000	\$0.000	3	3	3	100%	100%	100%	512	1,500	8,931	512 500	1,500	8,931
Food Service Food Service		Commisca usa vyse Upript Broller High Efficiency Charbroller High Efficiency Salarmander Broller Plasta Gooler	Upright Broker	105	Standard Radiant Broiler Standard Charbonier	214 11	\$500	\$12,587	\$4,413	\$0.67 1-	4% 4.7	4.1	108 S	5.543 \$0.5	04 \$0.000 61 \$0.000	\$0.000	2	2 2	2	100%	100%	100%	216	1,200	8,826	216	1,200	8,826
Food Service Food Service Food Service		High Efficiency Salamander Broiler Pasts Cooker	High Efficiency Salamander Broiler Pasta Cooker	17	Standard Salamander Broiler Gas Range	41 11 1,689 11	\$150 \$200	\$5,002 \$2,317	\$1,006 \$2,413	\$0.67 11 \$0.67 6	5% 4.9 1% 2.0	4.2 1.8	24 S	6.357 \$0.5 1.448 \$0.1	78 \$0.000 32 \$0.000	\$0.000	1 4	1 1	1	100%	100%	100%	24 552	150	1,006 9,652	24 552	150	1,006 9,652
Food Service Food Service Food Service		Commercial Dishwasher - Under Counter, Gast Only or Combo Customer Commercial Dishwasher - Door Type, Gast Only or Combo Customer	ENERGY STAR qualified unit ENERGY STAR qualified unit		Consentional unit as defined by ENERGY STAR Consentional unit as defined by ENERGY STAR	25 10 118 15	\$157 \$ \$168	\$4,960 \$6,900	\$53 \$333	\$1.67 25 \$1.67 3	0.6 0% 0.6	-1.1 0.3	6 Si 30 S	15.839 \$2.5 4.469 \$0.2	84 \$20,684 98 \$162,959	\$19.417 \$27.302	10 8	10 10 8 8	10 8	100% 100%	100% 100%	100%	24 552 61 300	1,567	533 2,654	552 61 300	1,567	533 2,664
Food Service Mell David Buildon Efficiency		Demand Controlled Ventilation - Gas Only or Combo Customer	Commercial kitchen vertilation hoods with Demand Controlled Ventilation with 6.65 HP Motor	4,267 es	rolal kitchen ventilation hoods with Demand Controlled Ventilation with 8.65	516 4,634 20	\$2,163	\$0	\$17,360	\$0.67 13	2% 4.0	3.5	366 S	5.907 \$0.2	95 \$0.000	\$1,171.381	2	2 2	2	100%	100%	100%	732	4,325	34,719	732	4,325	34,719
Multi Family Building Efficiency Multi Family Building Efficiency	Showerhead	Multi Family Building Efficiency Provide new 1.5 gpm showerhead to replace existing 2.5 gpm showerhead in returning	1.5 GPM Shownhead	3.9	2.5 GPM Shownhead	6.4 10	55.00	50	85.00	\$0.67 10	0% 0.1	0.0	2.57 \$	217 503	12 \$39.46	50.00	737 1	192 52	1140	100%	100%	100%	4,614	50	50	2.900	\$6,436	\$6,436
Multi Family Building Efficiency	Shownhead - Handheld	cas DMW unit home Provide new 1.5 gpm showshead to replace existing 2.5 gpm showshead in natural rest DMM unit home.	1.5 GPM Showman	29	2.5 CPM Shownhead	6.4 10		20		_	0% 0.3	0.0			13 \$39.46			111	256	100%		100%	_	\$0	ş0 \$0	659		\$4,162
Multi Family Building Efficiency	Aerators - Kitchen	case universely controlled Kitchen Aerator - 1.5 GPM to replace existing 2.2 gpm sension in home with network res PMM hander.	1.5 GPM Kitchen Faucet Aerator	0.9	2.2 GPM Kitchen Faucet Aerator	1.3 10	\$2.66	\$0	\$2.66	\$8.67 10	0% 0.3		0.42 \$	5.86 \$0.6	19 \$5.40	\$0.00		140 53		100%	100%	100%	767	\$0	\$0	400	\$3,359	\$3,359
Multi Family Building Efficiency	Aerators - Bathroom	provide Energy Linicians soon Fauces Autroor - 1.0 G-fet to replace existing 2.2 gpm sensitor in home with natural gas DHW heater	1.0 GPM Bathroom Faucet Aerator	0.3	2.2 GPM Bathroom Faucet Aerator	0.6 10		\$0			0% 0.2				84.75			105 10	217			100%		\$0	\$0		\$321	\$321
Multi Family Building Efficiency Multi Family Building Efficiency	Aerators - Bathroom Water Meater Stocket	Provide Energy Efficient Bath Faucer Annator - 0.5 GPM to replace existing 2.2 gpm sension in home with restoral rose DMM hanter Water Meeter Blocket on Cost Water Heater	0.5 GPM Bathroom Faucet Aerator Add commercial Institution area PD around Winter Market Tank	0.1	2.2 GPM Eastroom Faucet Assalor No Education on early heater	0.6 10 2.6 Z	\$4.00 \$0.00	\$0	\$4.00	\$8.67 10 \$8.62 (D)	0% 0.4	0.0	0.44 \$	9.12 \$0.0	H \$6.73	\$0.00	303 7	27 71	1,560	100%	100%	100%	323	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	0	\$5,240 \$0	\$6,240 \$0
Muli Family Building Efficiency Muli Family Building Efficiency Muli Family Building Efficiency	Performance Buildings Water House Softway	Milledo efficiency archivity biolina either 1975, 29%, as 29% whole halides assissed. Water Market Softwark	Austrace Performance Building Building had under workern with methods	804 I	American salelina muliforniti, bullifora alian Directi instali mesawan combini Bullifora bri water matem without saltan's	64 00 00 648 4 7	55.000 51.00	60	5543 55.00	945 40 947 40	500 548 5000 0.0	0.0	34.00 B	nm 500	H 50-00	50-00 51-00	*	5 0	- 4	400% 100%	600% 500%	600% 600%	3.236 A	500.400 60	5100,000 50	976	50 60	50 60
Multi Family Building Efficiency	Renter Kit Schoonhead Renter Kit Sathroom Aerator	Renter Nt Showerhead Runter Nt Wichen Assator	wasterstein Chor in arbition limitions rate or A Ut-disclines fast of crark 1.5 GPM Stotenhead 1.5 GPM Kitchen Paucet Agrator	29 09	soor selt leakens rate of 0.00 clostices fast of crack 2.5 GPM Shoserhead 2.2 GPM Nichen Fascet Agretor	5.4 10 1.3 10	50.00 50.00	50 50	50.00 50.00	90.67 (D) 90.67 (D)	MOI 0.0	90	2.57 S 0.42 S	000 500 000 600	539.46 0 \$39.46	50.00 50.00	0	. 00 2 45	20	100% 100%	20% 20%	100%	0	50 50	50 50	64 85 12	\$0 \$0	50 50
Multi Family Building Efficiency Multi Family Building Efficiency Multi Family Building Efficiency	Sunter Nº Mitchen Assute Sunter Nº Window Film	Burder Mit Bellevoorn dermine Barder Mit Windows Eilen	O.GDM Ballerous Decret Assiste Windows Window Ellin	00	2.2 CSM Baltenov Energy Sensor I Introduct Window	0.6 10	50 M	65	50.00 50.00	01.67 4FN	NOT 0.0	00	A31 9	non 600	n 6176	60.00			- 66 - 600	600% 600%	50% 100%	60% 60%	0	en en	60		es es	65 65
Mali Family Building Efficiency Mali Family Building Efficiency Mali Family Building Efficiency	Ass - realing Efficiency - Prescriptive Custom Projects Custom Projects	Prescriptive Healing Ecoloment Custom Gas Custom Control	Efficient Heading Englement Efficient Englement Efficient Englement	2,002 100,0 162,7	Inefficient Heating Equipment Inefficient Equipment Inefficient Equipment	2,505 10 125.0 193 203.4 401	5564 0 \$168.15 0 \$125.44	\$719 50	\$2,272 \$1,854.57 \$2,646.22	9.67 9 9.67 9	70 26 % 86	7.6 6.6	102.28 5 25.00 5	672 503 672 503	50.00 5 50.00	\$0.00 \$0.00	0	1 10	74 21 27	100% 100%	100% 100%	100%	0	50	50 50	7,569 531	\$72.795 \$3.570 \$8.000	\$168,107 \$30,368 \$72,612
Multi Family Building Efficiency Process Efficiency	Carryouer Projects	Process Efficiency	Efficient Equipment	502.3	Inefficient Equipment	627.9 191	0 \$15.283.10	6 50	\$33,096.56	9.07 4	9% 30.4	16.4	125.50 51	21.71 56.4	11 50.00	\$2.00	0	2	4	100%	100%	100%	0	50	50	502	\$61,133	\$132.386
Process Efficiency Process Efficiency	-	Custom Contractal Healing	New System New System Ceptimized fluiding Systems Behavior changes that reduce energy use Behavior changes that reduce energy use Behavior changes that reduce energy use High Efficiency Building	40,630 743 11,790 19,771 -13,181 3,746	Old System Old System Clid System Existing Building System - Not Tuned or Optimized No change in behavior No change in behavior Code Land Efficiency Building	47,711 4 784 10 13,100 7 20,812 1 -13,875 1 5,351 20 0 0	\$24,697	\$0 \$1,450	\$243,302 \$1,690	\$0.67 11 \$0.67 3	0% 3.6 1% 4.9	3.2 3.4	7,081 \$ 41 \$	3.488 \$0.9 12.671 \$1.2	61 \$5,124.214 93 \$14.941 73 \$4,528.077 90 \$1,000 97 \$0,000 101 \$0,000	\$0.000	24 9	9 9	24 9	1 1	1 1	1	169,950 371	592,723 4,702	5,839,241 15,208	169,950 371	592,723 4,702	5,839,241 15,208 39,095 0 0 146,741 42,000
Process Difficiency Process Difficiency Discount Difficiency		Recommissioning Behavioral Changes	Optimized Building Systems Behavior changes that reduce energy use	11,790 19,771	Existing Building System - Not Tuned or Optimized No change in behavior	13,100 7 20,812 1	\$24,697 \$522 \$3,424 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$8,524	\$0 \$1,450 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$243,302 \$1,690 \$9,774 \$0 \$0 \$73,370	9.67 3 9.67 3 9.67 2 9.67 10 9.67 10 9.67 11	0% 3.6 1% 4.9 5% 0.6 N/01 0.0 N/01 0.0 3% 5.3	32 34 04 00 00 45	7,081 S 41 S 1,310 S 1,041 S -094 S 1,605 S	3.488 \$0.5 12.671 \$1.2 2.614 \$0.3 0.000 \$0.0 0.000 \$0.0 5.933 \$0.2	73 \$4,528.077 00 \$0.000	\$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000	4 4	: :	4	1 1	1 1	1	371 5,240 4,162 -2,775 3211	582,723 4,702 13,638 0 0 19,049	5,839,241 15,206 39,085 0 0 146,741	371 5,340 4,162 -2,775 3211	592,723 4,702 13,698 0 19,049	39,095 0
Process Efficiency Process Efficiency	=	Recommissioning Behavioral Changes Behavioral Changes Energy Design Assistance Finesp Castign recommissioner	Behavior changes that reduce energy use High Efficiency Building	-13,101 3,746	No change in behavior Code Level Efficiency Building	-13,875 1 5,351 20	\$0 \$9,524	8	\$0 \$73,370	\$0.67 HO \$0.67 1	N/01 0.0 3% 5.3	4.6	-694 S 1,605 S	0.000 \$0.0 5.933 \$0.2	97 \$0.000	\$0.000	4 2	4 4	4 2	0	1	1	-2,775 3211	19,049	146,741	-2,775 3211	19,049	146,741
Process Efficiency Recommissioning		Phase 2 customer contribution Recommissionina			0		\$0	\$0				MDEV/O					26 :	18 21	28	1	1	1	0	0	42,000			
Recommissioning Recommissioning	-	Recommissioning Implementation Recommissioning Studies	Post-Recommissioned Building Study Cost and Rebate	11,790 0 12,931	Pre-Recommissioned Building 0	13,100 7 0 0 13,100 5	\$2,740 \$5,697 \$348	\$0 \$0	\$9,774 \$10,420 \$554	\$0.67 20 \$0.67 50 \$0.67 40	9% 0.6 5% #DR//01	0.4 #DIV/01 0.2	1,310 \$ 0 #8	2.091 50.2 001/01 #DIN 1.459 50.2	99 \$4,528.077 101 \$0.000 92 \$0.000	\$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000	19	14 14 19 11	14 19	1 1	1	1	18,341	38,353 108,236 3,964	136,833 197,986 8,868	18,341	38,353 108,236 3,964	136,633 197,986 8,868
Recommissioning Turn Key Services		BOC Program Attributable Savings Turn Key Services	Study Cost and Rebate After BCIC Training	12,931	Before BCC Training	13,100 5	\$248	50	\$554	\$0.67 4	5% 0.4	0.2	170 \$	1.459 \$0.2			16	16 16	16	1	1	1	2,718	3,964	0,050	2,718		
Turn Key Services		Identification - On site audit	Perform Study + Low Cost No Cost	0	0	0 0	\$435	so so			8% #DF//01	MON/O		OR//07 #DIN		\$0.000	60	00 60	60	1	1	1	0	26,100	33,300	0	26,100	33,300
Turn Key Services Turn Key Services		Implementation Building Tune-up Implementation	High Eff Project Implemented Recommissioning measures	5,000 6,892	Less Efficient System Existing systems	5,532 13 7,657 7	\$10,999	50 50	\$19,313 \$5,967	\$9.12 5 \$0.67 11	7% 4.0 8% 0.9	1.7	532 \$1 766 \$	1.417 \$0.2	44 \$0.000 02 \$0.000	\$0.000 \$0.000	8 2	8 8 2 2	8 2	1 1	1	1	4,254 1,531	87,989 2,170	154,505 11,974	4,254 1,531	25,100 87,989 2,170	33,300 154,505 11,974
RESIDENTIAL Home Energy Springs Program		RESIDENTIAL Mora Engry Swings Program																					4.919					
Home Energy Savings Program Home Energy Savings Program Home Energy Savings Program		Home Energy Savings Program Asic Insulation and Bypass Ar Sealing - Gas Healed & Non-Cooled Home Asic Insulation and Bypass Ar Sealing - Gas Healed & Non-Cooled Home	Insulate the attic to R-46 & perform Dypass air sealing Insulate the attic to R-46 & perform Bureaus air sealing	64	Existing home with average artic area of 823 sq. ft. and R-17 insulation	70 20	\$1,524	50	\$1,524	\$9.12 10	26.5	0.0	6 52	41.502 \$12. 17.764 \$11.	175 \$0.000 188 \$0.000	\$0.000	77 1	06 7	106	1	1	1	669	161,560	161,560	669 719	161,560	161,560
Home Energy Statings Program Home Energy Statings Program																												
Home Energy States Program	_	Air Sealing - Gaz Heated & Non-Cooled Home Air Sealing - Gaz Heated & Electrically Cooled Home	Perform Bypass air sealing along with Attic Insulation Derform Bypass air sealing along with Attic Insulation	57	Existing home with average home size of 1406 sq. fr. Existing home with average home size of 1406 sq. fr. Existing home with average home size of 1406 sq. fr.	64 10 64 10	\$880 \$880	\$0 \$0	\$1,501 \$880 \$803	\$9.12 10 \$9.12 10	00% 26.1 00% 15.3	0.0	6 51	39.221 \$13.1 27.082 \$12.1	222 \$0.000 208 \$0.000	\$0.000	77 1	05 77	106		1	1	719 670 721	171,064 93,300 91,502	93,300	721	93,300	171,064 93,300 91,502
Home Energy Sasings Program Home Energy Sasings Program Home Energy Sasings Program		Air Sealing - Gaz Heated & Non-Cooled Home Air Sealing - Gaz Heated & Electrically Cooled Home Wall Insulation - Gaz Heated and Non-Cooled Home Wall Insulation - Gaz Heated and Electrically Cooled Home	Perform Bypass air sealing along with Artic Insulation Perform Bypass air sealing along with Artic Insulation IR-11 insulation IR-11 insulation	57 57 56 56	Existing home with average are: sea of 623 as, it. and in-17 installation. Existing home with average home size of 1455 as, it. Existing home with average home size of 1455 as, it. Existing home with average artic area of 823 as, it. and R-17 insulation. Existing home with average artic area of 823 as, it. and R-17 insulation.	64 10 64 10 70 20 70 20	\$1,00 \$800 \$1,295 \$1,192	2 2 2 2	\$1,501 \$880 \$803 \$1,295 \$1,192	\$9.12 16 \$9.12 16 \$9.12 16 \$9.12 16 \$9.12 16	00% 26.1 00% 15.3 00% 13.9 00% 10.1 00% 9.3	0.0 0.0 0.0	6 S1 6 S1 14 S1 14 S1	39.221 \$13.1 27.082 \$12.1 91.649 \$4.5 94.357 \$4.2	822 \$0.000 708 \$0.000 82 \$0.000 18 \$0.000	\$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000	71 1	06 77 14 77 12 21 18 11	106 114 32 18	1 1	1	1 1 1 1 1 1	719 670 721 452 254	93,300 91,592 41,441 21,456	93,300 91,592 41,441 21,456	721 452 254	91,592 41,441 21,456	171,054 93,300 91,592 41,441 21,455
		Air Sealing- Cean Heater & Nos-Cooled Horse Air Sealing- Cean Heater & Electrically Cooled Horse Whit Insulation - Gear Heater & Electrically Cooled Horse Whit Insulation - Gear Heater and Electrically Cooled Horse Tank-Type Water Heater - High Deav Pattern (20-50 Gallon) Heat 64% Solet (57)	Perform Bypass are sealing slong with Alic Insulation Perform Bypass are sealing slong with Alic Insulation R-11 Insulation R-11 Insulation High Efficiency Teach Types Water Heater 6450 Efficiency Teach Types Water Heater	64 57 57 56 56 25	Learning domine wern swintiges ance asses or each age, it and 64-17 missistees. Existing homes with inversign homes also of 14-05 asp, it. Existing homes with swintiges homes also of 14-05 asp, it. Existing homes with swintiges also areas of 620 asp, it. and R-17 insulation. Existing home with swintiges also areas of 620 asp, it. and R-17 insulation. Minimum INTricency Tank-Prype Water Measter 82'S INTRICENT Bollet 82'S EXISTINGER BOLLET 83'S EXISTINGER BOLLET 84'S EXISTINGER BOLLET 85'S EXISTINGER B	64 10 64 10 70 20 70 20 28 13 130 20	\$880 \$803 \$1,295 \$1,192 \$1,598 \$3,000	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$1,501 \$880 \$803 \$1,295 \$1,192 \$1,598 \$3,000	\$9.12 10 \$9.12 10 \$9.12 10 \$9.12 10 \$9.12 10 \$9.12 10 \$9.12 10	20% 26.1 20% 15.3 20% 13.9 20% 10.1 20% 9.3 20% 66.7 20% 106.3	00 00 00 00 00	6 \$1 6 \$1 14 \$1 14 \$1 2,628 \$6 3 \$9	39.221 \$13: 27.082 \$12: 21.649 \$4.5 14.357 \$4.2 08.210 \$46: 69.338 \$48.	222 \$0.000 708 \$0.000 82 \$0.000 18 \$0.000 785 \$0.000 967 \$0.000	\$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000	71 1 77 1 27 1 11 142 2 41	06 77 14 7 12 2 18 11 05 14	114 106 114 32 18 205 63	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	719 670 721 452 254 539 195	171,064 93,300 91,592 41,441 21,456 327,643 189,000	91,592 41,441 21,456 327,643 189,000	721 452 254 539 195	93,300 91,592 41,441 21,456 327,643 189,000	171,064 93,300 91,592 41,441 21,456 327,643 189,000
Home Energy Savings Program Home Energy Savings Program Home Energy Savings Program Home Energy Savings Program Energy Efficient Showerhead		Also insulation and Repose Art Serving, Care Insulated Execution Contact Force Art Evandation and Regimes - Care Serving, Care Insulated Execution Contact Force Art Sealing, Care Insulated Allows-Contact Force Art Sealing, Care Insulated Allows-Contact Force Insulation - Care Insulated and Force Contact Force Intelligence Contact Force Intelligence Contact Insulated and Force Contact Force Intelligence Contact Force Intell	Intellate the effic. In H-6 & perform thypease are resolving the performance of the perf	57 57 56 56 25 127 89	Existing harms with neurogal selfs: sens of 822 seg. It. and 8-17 resistation. Disting home with neurogal selfs uses of 822 seg. It. and 8-17 resistation. Existing home with neurogal home size of 4565 seg. It. Existing home with neurogal homes size of 4565 seg. It. Existing home with neurogal homes size of 4565 seg. It. Existing homes with neurogal homes size of 4565 seg. It. Existing homes with neurogal size need 621 seg. It will never like the Existing homes with neurogal size need 621 seg. It will never like the Marinest Efficiency Shart Types When Homes 60% Efficient Furnacion.	64 10 64 10 70 20 70 20 28 13 130 20 106 18	51,534 51,501 5800 5800 51,235 51,132 51,132 51,538 51,538 51,635	8888888	\$1,534 \$1,501 \$880 \$803 \$1,295 \$1,192 \$1,588 \$3,000 \$4,635	\$2.12 10 \$2.12 10 \$2.12 10 \$2.12 10 \$2.12 10 \$2.12 10 \$2.12 10 \$2.12 10 \$2.12 10	00% 26.5 00% 26.1 00% 15.3 00% 13.9 00% 10.1 00% 9.3 10% 66.7 10% 106.3 00% 30.5	00 00 00 00 00 00 00		41.502 \$12. 37.704 \$11. 39.221 \$13. 27.082 \$12. 27.082 \$12. 69.350 \$45. 69.330 \$46. 69.330 \$46. 78.145 \$15.	905 \$0,000 922 \$0,000 923 \$0,000 924 \$0,000 925 \$0,000 926 \$0,000 937 \$0,000 9467 \$0,000 9453 \$0,000	\$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000	77 71 71 72 72 73 74 74 74 74 74 74 74 74 74 74 74 74 74	14 7: 05 7: 14 7: 12 2: 18 1: 05 14 13 4: 12 3:	106 114 32 18 205 63 42	1 1 1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	719 670 721 452 254 539 195 700	171,064 93,300 91,532 41,441 21,456 327,643 189,000 194,070	171,054 20,300 91,592 41,441 21,456 327,643 189,000 194,670	719 670 721 452 254 539 195 700	101,560 171,064 93,300 91,592 41,441 21,455 327,643 188,000 194,630	161,560 171,064 93,300 91,592 41,441 21,456 327,643 189,000 194,670
Home Energy Salenge Hoppen Home Energy Sealings Program Home Energy Sealings Program Home Energy Sealings Program Energy Efficient Showerhead Energy Efficient Showerhead		Ar Sealing-Case Interest & Rose-Casel Home Ar Sealing-Case Interest & Rose-Casel Home Are Sealing-Case Interest & Rose-Casel Home Sealing-Case Interest & Rose-Casel Home Sealing-Case Interest and Education Code Interes Seal Interest. Sealing-Casel Interest (2005 Cades) Sealing-Case	1.5 GPM Showerhead	25 127 89	2.5 GPM Showerhead	64 10 64 10 70 20 28 13 130 20 106 18	ş3	\$0	sı	\$9.12 10	10% 0.1	0.0	2 \$	1.424 \$0.1	42 \$33.370	\$0.000	77 1 1 27 1 1 1 1 1 1 2 2 2 4 1 2 3 7 0 0	06 77 14 77 12 22 18 11 05 14 13 4 12 30 0	106 114 32 18 205 63 42 0	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	719 670 721 452 254 539 195 700	171,064 93,300 91,592 41,441 21,456 327,643 189,000 194,670	92,300 91,592 41,441 21,456 327,643 182,000 194,670	570 721 452 254 539 195 700	91,592 91,592 41,441 21,455 327,643 189,000 194,670	171,064 93,300 91,592 41,441 21,455 327,643 189,000 194,670
Home Unitry Stategy Hoppen Home Energy Stategy Hoppen Home Energy Stategy Program Home Energy Stategy Program Energy Efficient Showshead Energy Efficient Showshead Energy Efficient Showshead Energy Efficient Showshead		As Sealing, Cash Heard & Hose Cooled Home As Sealing, Cash Heard & Excessiby Cooled Home tool Insulation. Cash Heard and Hise Cooled Home tool Insulation. Cash Heard and Hise Cooled Home The Cooled Home Th	1.5 GPM Shoverhead 1.5 GPM Shoverhead	57 57 56 56 56 25 127 89	2.5 GPM Showerhead 2.5 GPM Showerhead	64 16 64 16 170 28 12 130 28 12 130 28 15 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16	s s s	\$0 \$0	s s	\$9.12 10 \$9.12 10	00% 0.1 00% 0.1	0.0	2 5	1.424 \$0.1 2.118 \$0.2	42 \$33.370 12 \$22.436	\$0.000	77 1 77 1 77 1 77 1 77 1 77 1 77 1 77	06 77 14 77 12 22 22 18 11 05 14 13 42 12 30 0 0	106 114 32 18 2 255 63 42 0	1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	719 670 721 452 254 539 195 700	17,054 93,300 91,592 41,441 21,495 327,643 189,000 194,670	92,300 91,502 41,441 21,456 327,643 189,000 194,670	570 721 452 254 539 195 700	90,300 91,592 41,441 21,456 327,643 189,000 194,670	171,054 93,300 94,592 41,441 21,455 307,643 189,000 194,670
Fore Longy Sanisgi Frigorie Fore Dongy Sanisgi Frigorie Fore Congy Sanisgi Frigorie Fore Congy Sanisgi Frigorie Fore Congy Sanisgi Frigorie Energy Efficient Donomhand		As Souling, Can Hawed & How Could street As Souling, Can Hawed & How Could street How Sharp, Can Hawed & How Could street His Sharp, Can Hawed Souling Souline Had Sharken. Gas Hawed and Executing Could street Had Sharken. Gas Hawed and Executing Could street Ready Spatial Souline Street Had Sharp Sharp Sharp, Could Sharp Region Among Mill & B is 16 (19) Frontin and 15 gas interested in case desired 2.5 gas in howesthand in Problem and 15 gas in themselved for sound themse to implice asking 2.5 gas in howesthand in Problem and 15 gas in themselved the sound themselved to sound themselved to sound themselved to sound themselved asking 2.5 gas in howesthand in Problem and 15 gas in themselved the sound themselved to sound themselved	1.5 GPM Showshead 1.5 GPM Showshead 1.5 GPM Nichen Faucet Aerator	25 127 89 3 2	2.5 GPM Showshead 2.5 GPM Showshead 2.5 GPM Klohen Faucet Aerator	10 4 10 64 10 64 10 70 20 20 13 13 130 20 106 18 15 10 6 18 15 10 6 18 15 10 6 18 15 10 6 10 6 10 6 10 6 10 6 10 6 10 6 1	53 53 53 54 55	\$0 \$0 \$0	23 23	\$9.12 10 \$9.12 10 \$9.12 10	00% 0.1 00% 0.1 00% 0.2	0.0	2 S	1.424 \$0.1 2.118 \$0.2 4.766 \$0.4	42 \$33.370 12 \$22.436 77 \$4.173	\$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000	777 177 177 177 177 177 177 177 177 177	06 77 14 77 12 22 25 16 11 105 14 13 4 12 35 0 0 0	106 114 32 18 205 63 42 0	1 1 1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	719 670 721 462 254 453 9195 700	93,300 91,592 91,592 41,441 21,495 327,643 183,000 194,670	91,592 41,441 21,456 227,643 199,000 194,670	721 452 254 539 185 700	93,500 91,502 41,441 21,456 227,643 198,000 194,670	171,064 \$2,300 91,502 41,441 21,455 327,643 180,000 194,670 0
Hose Longy Sangh Propers Hose Longy Sangh Propers Hose Longy Sangh Propers Hose Long Sangh Propers Hose Long Sangh Propers Energy Efficient Downshead		As Sealings on Memorial Seal Conclusion Frame As Indianates. On the model of an office Count in the Seal Indianates. On the seal and the Count in the Seal Indianates. On the seal and the Count in the Seal Indianates. On the seal and the Seal Indianates Seal Indianates. On the Seal Indianates. On the Seal Indianates Seal Indianates. On the Seal Indianates. On the Seal Indianates Seal Indianates. On the Seal Indianates. On the Seal Indianates Seal Indianates. On the Seal Indianates. On the Seal Indianates Seal Indianates. On the Seal Indianates. On the Seal Indianates Seal Indianates. On the Seal Indianates. On the Seal Indianates. Seal Indianates. On the Seal Indianates. Seal Indianates.	1.5 GPM Shoverhead 1.5 GPM Shoverhead	25 127 89	2.5 GPM Showerhead 2.5 GPM Showerhead	64 16 64 16 70 70 22 170 22 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110	s s s	\$0 \$0	22 23 23	\$9.12 10 \$9.12 10 \$9.12 10 \$9.12 10	00% 0.1 00% 0.1 00% 0.2 00% 0.1	00 00 00	2 5	1.424 \$0.1 2.118 \$0.2 4.766 \$0.4 1.758 \$0.1	42 \$33.370 12 \$22.436 77 \$4.173 76 \$4.187	\$0.000	777 177 177 177 177 177 177 177 177 177	06 77 14 79 12 21 12 21 13 11 105 14 13 42 12 30 0 0 0	106 114 32 18 2 205 63 42 0	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	719 670 721 462 264 539 985 700	93,300 91,502 91,502 41,441 21,455 327,643 189,000 194,070	93,300 91,592 44,441 21,456 327,643 199,000 194,670	721 452 254 539 195 700	90,300 91,502 41,441 21,405 227,643 189,000 194,670	171,054 23,300 91,592 41,441 21,455 327,643 189,000 194,670
Forth Cody Leading Integral Forth Cody Leading Integral Forth Cody Cody Cody Forth Cody		CINETY PERIOD AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AN	1.5 GPM Desented 1.5 GPM Revented 1.5 GPM State hands Ander 1.0 GPM State hands Ander 1.0 GPM State hands Ander 1.0 GPM State and Anders 1.0 GPM State and Anders 1.5 GPM State and Anders	25 127 89 3 2 1 0	2.5 GPM Zhoverhead 2.5 GPM Zhoverhead 2.2 GPM Zhoverhead 2.2 GPM State Fuscal America 2.2 GPM State Fuscal America 2.2 GPM State Fuscal America 2.5 GPM Score-head	1 10 1 10 1 10 5 10	53 53 53 53 53 53 53 53 53 53 53 53 53 5	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	53 52 50 53 50 53	\$0.12 10 \$0.12 10 \$0.12 10 \$0.12 10 \$0.12 10 \$0.12 10	00% 0.1 00% 0.1 00% 0.2 00% 0.1 00% 0.1	00 00 00 00 00	2 \$ 1 \$ 0 \$ 0 \$ 0 \$ 0 \$ 2 \$ \$	1.424 \$0.1 2.118 \$0.2 4.766 \$0.4 1.758 \$0.1 1.758 \$0.1	42 \$33.370 12 \$22.436 77 \$4.173 76 \$4.187 76 \$4.187 47 \$33.370	\$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000	0 0 0 0 0 0		106 114 12 18 1 205 63 42 0	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	719 670 721 462 462 462 462 462 462 462 462 462 462	171,064 23,300 91,502 41,441 21,456 327,643 180,000 194,670 0	93,300 91,592 44,441 21,455 327,643 199,000 194,670	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	171,054 20,300 91,502 41,441 21,455 207,643 189,000 194,670
Form Cody Scales program Form Scales Scales Program Form Scales Scales Scales Form Scales Scales Form Scales Scales Form S		Circle Vyr. Circletten. Schröder Trades. Finds are of 25 gar showerhard in regime entire 2.5 gar showerhard in marker and finds of the property of the proper	1.5 GMD Decembraid 1.5 GMD Decembraid 1.5 GMD Michael Bruss Alextur 1.5 GMD Michael Bruss Alextur 1.5 GMD Michael Bruss Alextur 1.5 GMD Alexturon Facus Alextur 1.5 GMD Decembraid 1.5 GMD Decembraid 1.5 GMD Decembraid	25 127 89 3 2 1 0 0	25 GPM Downhead 25 GPM Downhead 22 GPM Niches Fluori Arester 22 GPM Niches Fluori Arester 23 GPM Niches Fluori Annoter 23 GPM Niches Fluori Annoter 25 GPM Niches Fluori Annoter 25 GPM Niches Fluori Annoter 25 GPM Downhead	1 10	\$3 \$3 \$3 \$3 \$3 \$3 \$3 \$3 \$3 \$3 \$3 \$3 \$3 \$	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 2	\$0.12 10 \$0.12 10 \$0.12 10 \$0.12 10 \$0.12 10 \$0.12 10 \$0.12 10	00% 0.1 00% 0.5 00% 0.2 00% 0.1 00% 0.1 00% 0.1	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	2 \$ 1 \$ 0 \$ 0 \$ 0 \$ 2 \$ 1 \$	1.424 \$0.1 2.118 \$0.2 4.766 \$0.4 1.758 \$0.1 1.758 \$0.1 1.475 \$0.1	42 \$33.370 12 \$22.436 77 \$4.173 76 \$4.187 47 \$33.370 19 \$22.436	\$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	719 670 721 452 254 539 700 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	171,064 23,300 91,502 41,441 21,456 327,643 183,000 194,670	93,300 91,502 44,441 24,455 327,643 109,000 104,670	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	171,054 20,300 91,502 41,441 21,455 307,643 189,000 0 0 0
Next aday study or program Next aday study or program Next Gorag Stage Program Next Gorag Stage Program Next Gorag Stage Program Next Gorag Stage Stage Next Stage Stage Stage Stage Next Stage Stage Stage Stage Stage Next Stage S		Circle Vyr. Circletten. Schröder Trades. Finds are of 25 gar showerhard in regime entire 2.5 gar showerhard in marker and finds of the property of the proper	1.5 GMD Decembraid 1.5 GMD Decembraid 1.5 GMD Michael Bruss Alextur 1.5 GMD Michael Bruss Alextur 1.5 GMD Michael Bruss Alextur 1.5 GMD Alexturon Facus Alextur 1.5 GMD Decembraid 1.5 GMD Decembraid 1.5 GMD Decembraid	25 127 89 3 2 1 0 0 3 2	21. GPM Downhad 2.5 GPM Downhad 2.5 GPM Downhad 2.5 GPM Shirthina Flucial Areite 3.5 GPM Shirthina Flucial Areite 3.5 GPM Shirthina Flucial Areite 1.5 GPM Shirthina Areite 1.5 GPM Shirthina 2.5 GPM Shirthina 2.5 GPM Shirthina 2.5 GPM Areiter	1 10 1 10 1 10 5 10	53 53 53 53 53 53 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$	20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 2	\$2,12 10 \$2,12 10 \$2,12 10 \$2,12 10 \$2,12 10 \$2,12 10 \$2,12 10 \$2,12 10	00% 0.1 00% 0.1 00% 0.2 00% 0.2 00% 0.1 00% 0.1 0.1 00% 0.1	00 00 00 00 00 00	2 \$ 1 \$ 0 \$ 5 0 \$	1.424 \$0.1 2.118 \$0.2 4.766 \$0.4 1.758 \$0.1 1.758 \$0.1 1.475 \$0.1 2.193 \$0.2	42 \$33.370 112 \$22.436 77 \$4.173 76 \$4.187 76 \$4.187 47 \$33.370 119 \$22.436 96 \$4.173	\$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	719 670 7719	177,084 03,300 01,502 141,441 21,466 227,643 180,000 00 00 00 00 00	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	171,064 93,300 91,502 9
The could be compared to the country of the country		Circle Vyr. Circletten. Schröder Trades. Finds are of 25 gar showerhard in regime entire 2.5 gar showerhard in marker and finds of the property of the proper	1.5 GMD Decembraid 1.5 GMD Decembraid 1.5 GMD Michael Bruss Alextur 1.5 GMD Michael Bruss Alextur 1.5 GMD Michael Bruss Alextur 1.5 GMD Alexturon Facus Alextur 1.5 GMD Decembraid 1.5 GMD Decembraid 1.5 GMD Decembraid	25 127 60 3 2 1 0 0 3 2	25 GPM Downhead 25 GPM Downhead 22 GPM Niches Fluori Arester 22 GPM Niches Fluori Arester 23 GPM Niches Fluori Annoter 23 GPM Niches Fluori Annoter 25 GPM Niches Fluori Annoter 25 GPM Niches Fluori Annoter 25 GPM Downhead	1 10 1 10 1 10 5 10	\$3 \$3 \$3 \$3 \$3 \$3 \$3 \$3 \$3 \$3 \$3 \$3 \$3 \$	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	22 23 24 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25	\$2,12 10 \$2,12 10 \$2,12 10 \$2,12 10 \$2,12 10 \$2,12 10 \$2,12 10 \$2,12 10 \$2,12 10 \$2,12 10	00% 0.1 00% 0.1 00% 0.2 00% 0.3 00% 0.1 00% 0.1 00% 0.1 00% 0.1	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	2 S 1 S 0 S 0 S 2 S 1 S 0 S 0 S 0 S 0 S 0 S 0 S 0 S 0 S 0	1.424 \$0.1 2.118 \$0.2 4.766 \$0.4 1.758 \$0.1 1.758 \$0.1 1.475 \$0.1 2.193 \$0.2 4.957 \$0.4	42 \$33.370 112 \$22.436 77 \$4.173 76 \$4.107 76 \$4.107 47 \$33.370 119 \$22.436 96 \$4.173 20 \$5.931	\$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0				719 670 719 670 721 721 722 724 722 724 725 720 720 720 720 720 720 720 720 720 720	177,084 03,300 91,502 41,441 21,465 207,643 307,643 189,000 0 0	93,302 94,444 227,463 198,000 198,670 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	171,064 93,300 91,502 9
Nets aday darky rights Nets aday sharp rights Nets Gong Sang Plage Here Gong Sang Plage Here Gong Sang Plage Here Gong Sang Sang Here Gong Sang Sang Here Gong Sang Here Gong Sang Here Gong Sang Here Gong He		Circle Vyr. Circletten. Schröder Trades. Finds are of 25 gar showerhard in regime entire 2.5 gar showerhard in marker and finds of the property of the proper	1.5 GMD Decembraid 1.5 GMD Decembraid 1.5 GMD Michael Bruss Alextur 1.5 GMD Michael Bruss Alextur 1.5 GMD Michael Bruss Alextur 1.5 GMD Alexturon Facus Alextur 1.5 GMD Decembraid 1.5 GMD Decembraid 1.5 GMD Decembraid	25 127 89 3 2 1 0 0 3 2	15 GMI Downhad 13 GMI Downhad 13 GMI Downhad 13 GMI Downhad 13 GMI Batham Facel Amer 13 GMI Batham Facel Amer 13 GMI Batham Facel Amer 15 GMI Brownhad 15 GMI Downhad 15 GMI Downhad 12 GMI Michae Facel Amer 12 GMI Michae Facel Amer 12 GMI Michae Facel Amer	1 10 1 10 1 10 5 10	53 53 53 53 53 53 53 53 53 53 53 53 53 5	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$	22 22 23 24 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25	\$0.12 10 \$0.12 10 \$0.12 10 \$0.12 10 \$0.12 10 \$0.12 10 \$0.12 10 \$0.12 10 \$0.12 10 \$0.12 10	00% 0.1 00% 0.1 00% 0.2 00% 0.2 00% 0.1 00% 0.1 0.1 00% 0.1	00 00 00 00 00 00	2 S 1 S 0 S 0 S 2 S 1 S 0 S 0 S 0 S 0 S 0 S 0 S 0 S 0 S 0	1.424 \$0.1 2.118 \$0.2 4.766 \$0.4 1.758 \$0.1 1.758 \$0.1 1.475 \$0.1 2.193 \$0.2	42 \$33.370 112 \$22.436 77 \$4.173 76 \$4.187 76 \$4.187 47 \$33.370 119 \$22.436 96 \$4.173 20 \$5.931 20 \$5.931	\$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		42 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0				719 GFO CFO CFO CFO CFO CFO CFO CFO CFO CFO C	177,084 03,300 91,502 41,441 21,465 207,643 207,643 189,000 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
The State of State of Pages and Pages of State of Pages of State of Pages of State o		Circle Vyr. Circletten. Schröder Trades. Finds are of 25 gar showerhard in regime entire 2.5 gar showerhard in marker and finds of the property of the proper	1.5 GMD Decembraid 1.5 GMD Decembraid 1.5 GMD Michael Bruss Alextur 1.5 GMD Michael Bruss Alextur 1.5 GMD Michael Bruss Alextur 1.5 GMD Alexturon Facus Alextur 1.5 GMD Decembraid 1.5 GMD Decembraid 1.5 GMD Decembraid	25 127 127 127 127 127 127 127 127 127 127	3.5 GW Dominated 3.5 GW Dominated 3.2 GW Dominated 3.3 GW Dominated 3.3 GW Dominated 3.3 GW Dominated	1 102 1 152 1 162 5 162 4 162 1 162 1 162 5 162 4 162 1 162	50 53 53 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 55	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$	20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 2	\$20.12 16 \$20.12 16	00% 0.1 00% 0.1 00% 0.2 00% 0.1 00% 0.1 00% 0.1 00% 0.1 00% 0.1 00% 0.2 00% 0.2	00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	2 S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S	1.424 \$0.1 2.118 \$0.2 4.765 \$0.4 1.758 \$0.1 1.758 \$0.1 1.475 \$0.1 1.475 \$0.1 4.200 \$0.4 4.200 \$0.4 4.200 \$0.4	42 \$33,370 112 \$22,436 77 \$4,173 76 \$4,187 77 \$4,187 47 \$33,370 119 \$22,436 66 \$4,173 20 \$5,931 20 \$5,931 20 \$5,931 21 \$33,370 22 \$32,436	\$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		42 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0			1	700 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
THE CASE CASE OF THE CASE OF T		Circle Vyr. Circletten. Schröder Trades. Finds are of 25 gar showerhard in regime entire 2.5 gar showerhard in marker and finds of the property of the proper	1.5 GMD Decembraid 1.5 GMD Decembraid 1.5 GMD Michael Bruss Alextur 1.5 GMD Michael Bruss Alextur 1.5 GMD Michael Bruss Alextur 1.5 GMD Alexturon Facus Alextur 1.5 GMD Decembraid 1.5 GMD Decembraid 1.5 GMD Decembraid	25 127 89 3 2 1 0 0 0 3 2 1 1 0 0 0 3 2 1 1	15 GM Downhad 13 GM Downhad 13 GM Downhad 13 GM Sharton Food Pearl 13 GM Sharton Food Amar 13 GM Sharton Food Amar 13 GM Sharton Food Amar 13 GM Downhad 13 GM Downhad 13 GM Downhad 13 GM Downhad 13 GM Sharton Food Amar 13 GM Downhad 13 GM Downhad 13 GM Downhad	1 102 1 152 1 162 5 162 4 162 1 162 1 162 5 162 4 162 1 162	50 53 53 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 55	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$	33 32 32 33 33 34 34 35 35 36 36 36 36 36 36 36 36 36 36 36 36 36	\$20.12 16 \$20.12 16	00% 0.1 00% 0.1 00% 0.2 00% 0.1 0.2 00% 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1	00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	2 S 1 S 0 S 0 S 2 S 1 S 0 S 2 S 1 S 0 S 0 S 0 S 0 S 0 S 0 S 0 S 0 S 0 S 0	1.424 \$0.1 1.424 \$0.1 4.756 \$0.4 1.758 \$0.1 1.758 \$0.1 1.475 \$0.1 1.525	42 \$33,330 12 \$22,436 77 \$4,173 76 \$4,187 77 \$4,187 47 \$33,337 19 \$22,436 66 \$4,173 20 \$5,931 20 \$5,931 21 \$22,436 22 \$4,173	\$2,000 \$2,000 \$2,000 \$2,000 \$2,000 \$2,000 \$2,000 \$2,000 \$2,000 \$2,000 \$2,000 \$2,000 \$2,000	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		42 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	1	0	1	700 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 46,746 35,029 22,669	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
The State of State of Pages and The State of State of Pages and The State of State o		Circle Vyr. Circletten. Schröder Trades. Finds are of 25 gar showerhard in regime entire 2.5 gar showerhard in marker and finds of the property of the proper	1.5 GMD Decembraid 1.5 GMD Decembraid 1.5 GMD Michael Bruss Alextur 1.5 GMD Michael Bruss Alextur 1.5 GMD Michael Bruss Alextur 1.5 GMD Alexturon Facus Alextur 1.5 GMD Decembraid 1.5 GMD Decembraid 1.5 GMD Decembraid	25 127 89 3 2 1 0 0 0 3 2 1 1 0 0 0 3 2 1 1	3.5 GW Standard 3.5 GW Standard 3.2 GW Standard 3.2 GW Standard near control of the standard control o	1 100 1 100 1 100 5 100 1 100 1 100 1 100 5 100 1 100 1 100 1 100	50 53 53 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 55	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$	3 3 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	\$20.12 16 \$20.12 16	00% 0.1 00% 0.1 00% 0.2 00% 0.1 0.2 00% 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1	00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	2 S 1 S 0 S 0 S 2 S 1 S 0 S 2 S 1 S 0 S 0 S 2 S 1 S 0 S 0 S 2 S 0 S 0 S 0 S 0 S 0 S 0 S 0 S 0 S 0 S 0	1.424 \$0.1 \$0.2118 \$0.2 \$0.4756 \$0.4 \$0.50 \$0.4 \$0.1,758 \$0.1 \$0.1,758 \$0.1 \$0.475 \$0.1 \$0.4057 \$0.4 \$0.4050 \$0.4 \$0.40	42 \$33,330 77 \$4,173 76 \$4,167 77 \$4,167 47 \$33,330 19 \$22,436 66 \$4,173 20 \$5,931 20 \$5,931 20 \$5,931 21 \$24,436 44 \$5,931 44 \$5,931 44 \$5,931	\$2,000 \$2,000 \$0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		42 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	1		1	700 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
Will Comp. Design Project Intel Comp. Design Project Intel Comp. Design Project Intel Comp. Design Project Desi		Control Land Control C	LEGIN Demokrati LEGIN Demokrati LEGIN Demokrati LEGIN Shahm Read Fester LEGIN Shahm Read Fester LEGIN Shahm Read Fester LEGIN Shahm Read Fester LEGIN Shahman Read Fester LEGIN Demokrati LEGIN Demokrati LEGIN Demokrati LEGIN Demokrati LEGIN Shahman Read Fester LEGIN Shahman Read Fester LEGIN Shahman Read Fester LEGIN Demokrati LEGIN Shahman Read Fester	25 127 127 127 127 127 127 127 127 127 127	15 GM Downhad 13 GM Downhad 13 GM Downhad 13 GM Sharton Food Pearl 13 GM Sharton Food Amar 13 GM Sharton Food Amar 13 GM Sharton Food Amar 13 GM Downhad 13 GM Downhad 13 GM Downhad 13 GM Downhad 13 GM Sharton Food Amar 13 GM Downhad 13 GM Downhad 13 GM Downhad	1 102 1 152 1 162 5 162 4 162 1 162 1 162 5 162 4 162 1 162	50 53 53 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 55	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$	3 3 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	\$20.12 16 \$20.12 16	00% 0.1 00% 0.1 00% 0.2 00% 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1	00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	2 S 1 S 0 S 0 S 2 S 1 S 0 S 2 S 1 S 0 S 0 S 2 S 1 S 0 S 0 S 2 S 0 S 0 S 0 S 0 S 0 S 0 S 0 S 0 S 0 S 0	1.424 \$0.1 1.424 \$0.1 4.756 \$0.4 1.758 \$0.1 1.758 \$0.1 1.475 \$0.1 1.525	42 \$33,330 77 \$4,173 76 \$4,167 77 \$4,167 47 \$33,330 19 \$22,436 66 \$4,173 20 \$5,931 20 \$5,931 20 \$5,931 21 \$24,436 44 \$5,931 44 \$5,931 44 \$5,931	\$2,000 \$2,000 \$2,000 \$2,000 \$2,000 \$2,000 \$2,000 \$2,000 \$2,000 \$2,000 \$2,000 \$2,000 \$2,000	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	42 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	1	0	1	700 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 46,746 35,029 22,669	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
Will Comp. Design Project Intel Comp. Design Project Intel Comp. Design Project Intel Comp. Design Project Desi		Control Land Control C	LEGIN Demokrati LEGIN Demokrati LEGIN Demokrati LEGIN Shahm Read Fester LEGIN Shahm Read Fester LEGIN Shahm Read Fester LEGIN Shahm Read Fester LEGIN Shahman Read Fester LEGIN Demokrati LEGIN Demokrati LEGIN Demokrati LEGIN Demokrati LEGIN Shahman Read Fester LEGIN Shahman Read Fester LEGIN Shahman Read Fester LEGIN Demokrati LEGIN Shahman Read Fester	25 127 127 127 127 127 127 127 127 127 127	3.5 DW Dominated 3.5 DW Dominated 3.2 DW Dominated 3.3 DW	1 160 1 160	50 53 53 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 55	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	\$2.12 10 \$2.	20% 0.1 0.1 0.0 0.1 0.0 0.1 0.0 0.1 0.1 0.1	00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	2 S 1 S 0 S 0 S 2 S 1 S 0 S 2 S 1 S 0 S 0 S 0 S 0 S 0 S 0 S 0 S 0 S 0 S 0	1.424 \$0.1 1.424 \$0.1 1.424 \$0.1 1.758 \$0.1 1.758 \$0.1 1.758 \$0.1 1.758 \$0.1 1.758 \$0.2 1.475 \$0.2 1.475 \$0.3	42 533.30 712 522.436 77 54.173 76 54.187 76 54.187 77 54.187 78 54.187 79 54.187 70 54.187 70 54.187 70 54.187 70 54.187 70 55.001 70 55.001	\$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	42 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	1	0	1	700 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
West Comp. Design Project. West Comp. Design Project. West Comp. Design Project. Design Des		Control Land Control C	LEGIN Demokrati LEGIN Demokrati LEGIN Demokrati LEGIN Shahm Read Fester LEGIN Shahm Read Fester LEGIN Shahm Read Fester LEGIN Shahm Read Fester LEGIN Shahman Read Fester LEGIN Demokrati LEGIN Demokrati LEGIN Demokrati LEGIN Demokrati LEGIN Shahman Read Fester LEGIN Shahman Read Fester LEGIN Shahman Read Fester LEGIN Demokrati LEGIN Shahman Read Fester	25 127 127 127 127 127 127 127 127 127 127	3.5 DW Dominated 3.5 DW Dominated 3.2 DW Dominated 3.3 DW	1 160 1 160	50 53 53 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 55	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	\$2.12 10 \$2.	20% 0.1 0.1 0.0 0.1 0.0 0.1 0.0 0.1 0.1 0.1	00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	2 S 1 S 0 S 0 S 2 S 1 S 0 S 2 S 1 S 0 S 0 S 0 S 0 S 0 S 0 S 0 S 0 S 0 S 0	1.424 \$0.1 1.424 \$0.1 1.424 \$0.1 1.758 \$0.1 1.758 \$0.1 1.758 \$0.1 1.758 \$0.1 1.758 \$0.2 1.475 \$0.2 1.475 \$0.3	42 533.30 712 522.436 77 54.173 76 54.187 76 54.187 77 54.187 78 54.187 79 54.187 70 54.187 70 54.187 70 54.187 70 54.187 70 55.001 70 55.001	\$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	42 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	1	0	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	7000 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
West Comp. Design Project. West Comp. Design Project. West Comp. Design Project. Design Des		Control Land Control C	LEGIN Demokrati LEGIN Demokrati LEGIN Demokrati LEGIN Shahm Read Fester LEGIN Shahm Read Fester LEGIN Shahm Read Fester LEGIN Shahm Read Fester LEGIN Shahman Read Fester LEGIN Demokrati LEGIN Demokrati LEGIN Demokrati LEGIN Demokrati LEGIN Shahman Read Fester LEGIN Shahman Read Fester LEGIN Shahman Read Fester LEGIN Demokrati LEGIN Shahman Read Fester	25 127 127 127 127 127 127 127 127 127 127	3.5 DW Dominated 3.5 DW Dominated 3.2 DW Dominated 3.3 DW	1 160 1 160	50 53 53 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 55	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	\$2.12 10 \$2.	20% 0.1 0.1 0.0 0.1 0.0 0.1 0.0 0.1 0.1 0.1	00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	2 S 1 S 0 S 0 S 2 S 1 S 0 S 2 S 1 S 0 S 0 S 0 S 0 S 0 S 0 S 0 S 0 S 0 S 0	1.424 \$0.1 1.424 \$0.1 1.424 \$0.1 1.758 \$0.1 1.758 \$0.1 1.758 \$0.1 1.758 \$0.1 1.758 \$0.2 1.475 \$0.2 1.475 \$0.3	42 533.30 712 522.436 77 54.173 76 54.187 76 54.187 77 54.187 78 54.187 79 52.436 60 54.173 60 55.031 60 55.031	\$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	42 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	0	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	7000 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
West Comp. Design Project. West Comp. Design Project. West Comp. Design Project. Design Des		Control Land Control C	LEGIN Demokrati LEGIN Demokrati LEGIN Demokrati LEGIN Shahm Read Fester LEGIN Shahm Read Fester LEGIN Shahm Read Fester LEGIN Shahm Read Fester LEGIN Shahman Read Fester LEGIN Demokrati LEGIN Demokrati LEGIN Demokrati LEGIN Demokrati LEGIN Shahman Read Fester LEGIN Shahman Read Fester LEGIN Shahman Read Fester LEGIN Demokrati LEGIN Shahman Read Fester	25 127 127 127 127 127 127 127 127 127 127	3.5 DW Dominated 3.5 DW Dominated 3.2 DW Dominated 3.3 DW	1 160 1 160	50 53 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	\$2.12 10 \$2.	20% 0.1 0.1 0.0 0.1 0.0 0.1 0.0 0.1 0.1 0.1	00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	2 S 1 S 0 S 0 S 2 S 1 S 0 S 2 S 1 S 0 S 0 S 0 S 0 S 0 S 0 S 0 S 0 S 0 S 0	1.424 \$0.1 1.424 \$0.1 1.424 \$0.1 1.758 \$0.1 1.758 \$0.1 1.758 \$0.1 1.758 \$0.1 1.758 \$0.2 1.475 \$0.2 1.475 \$0.3	42 533.30 712 522.436 77 54.173 76 54.187 76 54.187 77 54.187 78 54.187 79 52.436 60 54.173 60 55.031 60 55.031	\$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	42 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	0	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	7000 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
West Comp. Design Project. West Comp. Design Project. West Comp. Design Project. Design Des		Control Land Control C	LEGIN Demokrati LEGIN Demokrati LEGIN Demokrati LEGIN Shahm Read Fester LEGIN Shahm Read Fester LEGIN Shahm Read Fester LEGIN Shahm Read Fester LEGIN Shahman Read Fester LEGIN Demokrati LEGIN Demokrati LEGIN Demokrati LEGIN Demokrati LEGIN Shahman Read Fester LEGIN Shahman Read Fester LEGIN Shahman Read Fester LEGIN Demokrati LEGIN Shahman Read Fester	25 127 127 127 127 127 127 127 127 127 127	3.5 DW Dominated 3.5 DW Dominated 3.2 DW Dominated 3.3 DW	1 160 1 160	50 53 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	\$2.12 10 \$2.	20% 0.1 0.1 0.0 0.1 0.0 0.1 0.0 0.1 0.1 0.1	00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	2 S 1 S 0 S 0 S 2 S 1 S 0 S 2 S 1 S 0 S 0 S 0 S 0 S 0 S 0 S 0 S 0 S 0 S 0	1.424 \$0.1 1.424 \$0.1 1.424 \$0.1 1.758 \$0.1 1.758 \$0.1 1.758 \$0.1 1.758 \$0.1 1.758 \$0.2 1.475 \$0.2 1.475 \$0.3	42 533.30 712 522.436 77 54.173 76 54.187 76 54.187 77 54.187 78 54.187 79 52.436 60 54.173 60 55.031 60 55.031	\$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	42 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	0	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	7000 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
West Comp. Design Project. West Comp. Design Project. West Comp. Design Project. Design Des		Control Land Control C	1.5 GMD Decembraid 1.5 GMD Decembraid 1.5 GMD Michael Bruss Alextur 1.5 GMD Michael Bruss Alextur 1.5 GMD Michael Bruss Alextur 1.5 GMD Alexturon Facus Alextur 1.5 GMD Decembraid 1.5 GMD Decembraid 1.5 GMD Decembraid	25 127 127 127 127 127 127 127 127 127 127	3.5 DW Dominated 3.5 DW Dominated 3.2 DW Dominated 3.3 DW	1 160 1 160	50 53 53 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 55	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	\$2.12 10 \$2.	00% 0.1 00% 0.1 00% 0.2 00% 0.1 0.2 00% 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1	00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	2 S 1 S 0 S 0 S 2 S 1 S 0 S 2 S 1 S 0 S 0 S 0 S 0 S 0 S 0 S 0 S 0 S 0 S 0	1.424 \$0.1 \$0.2118 \$0.2 \$0.4756 \$0.4 \$0.50 \$0.4 \$0.1,758 \$0.1 \$0.1,758 \$0.1 \$0.475 \$0.1 \$0.4057 \$0.4 \$0.4050 \$0.4 \$0.40	42 533.30 712 522.436 77 54.173 76 54.187 76 54.187 77 54.187 78 54.187 79 52.436 60 54.173 60 55.031 60 55.031	\$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	42 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	0	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	7000 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
Will Comp. Design Project Intel Comp. Design Project Intel Comp. Design Project Intel Comp. Design Project Desi		Control of the Contro	1.500 Nominal 1.	25 127 127 127 127 127 127 127 127 127 127	3.5 GW Sommond 3.5 GW Sommond 3.2 GW	1 102 1 152 1 162 5 162 4 162 1 162 1 162 5 162 4 162 1 162	50 53 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	\$9.12 10 10 20 12 10	20% 0.1 0.1 0.0 0.1 0.0 0.1 0.0 0.1 0.1 0.1	00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	2 S 1 S 0 S 0 S 2 S 1 S 0 S 2 S 1 S 0 S 0 S 0 S 0 S 0 S 0 S 0 S 0 S 0 S 0	1.424 \$0.1 1.424 \$0.1 1.424 \$0.1 1.758 \$0.1 1.758 \$0.1 1.758 \$0.1 1.758 \$0.1 1.758 \$0.2 1.475 \$0.2 1.475 \$0.3	42 533.30 712 522.436 77 54.173 76 54.187 76 54.187 77 54.187 78 54.187 79 52.436 60 54.173 60 55.031 60 55.031	\$2,000 \$2,000 \$0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	42 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	0	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	700 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
Will Cody Deep Project Will Cody Deep Project Will Cody Deep Project Deep Deep Deep Project Deep		Contact Action 1. See the content of	1.500 Nominal 1.	25 127 127 127 127 127 127 127 127 127 127	3.1 GW Dambard 3.1 GW Shankard 3.1 GW Shankard 3.2 GW Shankard 3.2 GW Shankard 3.3 GW Shankard 3.2 GW Shankard 3.3 GW Shankard	1 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15	53 53 53 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 5	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$	53 53 50 50 50 50 51 51 52 52 52 52 52 52 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50	\$20 1	00% 0.1 00% 0.2 0.1 00% 0.2 0.2 00% 0.2 0.0 0.2 00% 0.1 0.1 0.0 0.0	00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	2 5 1 5 0 5 0 5 5 0 5 0 5 5 0 5 0 5 5 0 5 0 5 5 0 5 0 5 5 0	1.424 \$0.1 1.424 \$0.1 1.758 \$0.1	42 533330 42 532330 43 532330 44 173 77 64 187 76 54 187 76 54 187 76 54 187 76 54 187 77 55 54 187 77 55 54 187 77 55 54 187 78 54 187 78 54 187 78 54 187 78 54 187 78 54 187 78 54 187 78 54 187 78 54 187 78 54 187 78 55	\$0.000 \$0.0000 \$0.00000 \$0.0000 \$0.0000 \$0.0000 \$0.0000 \$0.00000 \$0.00000 \$0.00000 \$0.00000 \$0.00000 \$0.00000 \$0.00000 \$0.00000 \$0.00000 \$0.00000 \$0.000000 \$0.00000 \$0.00000 \$0.000000 \$0.00000 \$0.00000 \$0.00000000	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	42 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	0	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
THE CASE CASE OF PAPER IN THE CASE CASE OF PAPER IN THE CASE OF PAPER IN		Control of the Contro	1.500 Nominal 1.	25 127 127 127 127 127 127 127 127 127 127	3.5 PM Streamed 3.5 PM Streamed 3.2 PM Streamed 3.3 PM Streame	1 1 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$	\$3 \$3 \$2 \$0 \$0 \$3 \$2 \$2 \$3 \$3 \$3 \$3 \$2 \$3 \$3 \$3 \$3 \$3 \$3 \$3 \$3 \$3 \$3 \$3 \$3 \$3	\$20 \$1	00% 0.1 0.1 0.0 0.0 0.1 0.0 0.0	00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	2 S 1 S 2 S 3 S 3 S 3 S 3 S 3 S 3 S 3 S 3 S 3	11.434 20.2018 30.2018	42 533.335 12 522.436 13 522.436 14 17 522.436 15 54.187 16 54.187 17 54.187 17 54.187 17 55.331 10 5	\$1,000 \$1	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	42 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	0	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	700 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 22,669 23,669 24,765 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
Will Cody Deep Project Will Cody Deep Project Will Cody Deep Project Deep Product Standard Deep Stan		Control of the Contro	1.500 Nominal 1.	25 127 127 127 127 127 127 127 127 127 127	3.1 GW Dambard 3.1 GW Standard 3.2 GW Standard 3.2 GW Standard 3.2 GW Standard 3.2 GW Standard 3.3 GW Standard 3.3 GW Standard 3.3 GW Standard 3.2 GW Standard 3.3 GW Standard	1 1 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15	5 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$	53 53 50 50 50 51 52 52 52 53 53 53 53 53 53 53 53 53 53 53 53 53	\$\\ \text{\$\frac{1}{2}\) \$\\ \text{\$\frac{1}{2}\) \$\\ \text{\$\frac{1}{2}\) \$\\ \text{\$\frac{1}{2}\] \$\\ \text{\$\frac{1}\] \$\\ \text{\$\frac{1}{2}\] \$\\ \text{\$\frac{1}{2}\] \$\\ \text{\$\frac{1}{2}\] \$\\ \text{\$\frac{1}{2}\] \$\\ \text{\$\frac{1}{2}\]	00% 0.1 00% 0.1 0.1 00% 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.00% 0.1 0.2 0.00% 0.1 0.1 00% 0.1 0.00% 0.1 0.00% 0.1 0.00% 0.1 0.00% 0.1 0.00% 0.2 0.2 0.00% 0.2 0.2 0.00% 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2	00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	2 S 1 S 2 S 3 S 3 S 3 S 3 S 3 S 3 S 3 S 3 S 3	11.434 20.2018 30.2018	42 533.330 12 522.496 12 522.496 12 522.496 12 54.181 12 54.181 12 54.181 12 54.181 13 53.330 13 55.331 13 55.331 13 55.331 13 55.331 14 55.331 15 55.331 16 55.331 17 55.331 18	\$1,000 \$1	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	42 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	0	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	700 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
THE CASE CASE OF PAPER IN THE CASE CASE OF PAPER IN THE CASE OF PAPER IN		Control of the Contro	1.500 Nominal 1.	25 127 127 127 127 127 127 127 127 127 127	3.5 PM Streamed 3.5 PM Streamed 3.2 PM Streamed 3.3 PM Streame	1 1 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15	5 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12		53 53 50 50 50 51 51 52 52 53 53 53 53 53 53 53 53 53 53 53 53 53	\$\frac{1}{2}\$ \text{\$\frac{1}{2}\$}\$ \$\	0004 0.1 0.005 0.1 0.005 0.1 0.1 0.005 0.1 0.005 0.1 0.005 0.1 0.005 0.1 0.005 0.1 0.005 0.1 0.005 0.1 0.005 0.1 0.005 0.1 0.005 0.1 0.005 0.1 0.1 0.005 0.1 0.1 0.005 0.1 0.1 0.005 0.1 0.1 0.005 0.1 0.1 0.005 0.1 0.1 0.005 0.1 0.1 0.005 0.1 0.1 0.005 0.1 0.1 0.005 0.1 0.1 0.005 0.1 0.1 0.005 0.1 0.1 0.005 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.005 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1	00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	2 S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S	14.44	42 53130 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	\$1,000 \$1	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	42 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	0	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	700 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
THE CASE CASE OF THE CASE OF T		Control of the Contro	1.500 Nominal 1.	25 127 127 127 127 127 127 127 127 127 127	3.5 GW Demonsted 3.5 GW Demonsted 3.2 GW Demonsted 3.3 GW	1 1 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 5	53 53 50 50 50 50 51 51 51 51 52 52 53 54 55 55 56 56 56 56 56 56 56 56 56 56 56	\$\\ \$\text{\$\tex{\$\text{\$\etinx{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\etinx{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\etinx{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\texit{\$\text{\$\text{\$\texit{\$\texitint{\$\text{\$\texit{\$\text{\$\texit{\$\text{\$\texit{\$\texitt{\$\texit	200, 000, 011, 012, 012, 012, 012, 012,	00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	2 S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S	11.424 20.1218 20.2218	42 13130 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	\$0.000 \$0.0000 \$0.00000 \$0.0000 \$0.0000 \$0.0000 \$0.00000 \$0.00000 \$0.00000 \$0.00000 \$0.00000 \$0.00000 \$0.00000 \$0.00000 \$0.00000 \$0.00000 \$0.00000 \$0.000000 \$0.00000000	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	42 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	0	# # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # #	700 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
The Comp Design Comp Project Interface See Design Project Interface See Design Project Design Pr		The control of the co	1.500 Nominal 1.	25 127 127 127 127 127 127 127 127 127 127	3.5 GW Standard 3.5 GW Standard 3.5 GW Standard 3.5 GW Standard 1.5 GW Standar	1 1 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 5	13 13 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15	\$20 1	20% 0.0% 0.1% 0.1% 0.1% 0.1% 0.1% 0.1% 0.	00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	2 S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S	11.424 20.12.118 20.2021 20.12.118 20.2021 20.12.118 20.2021 20.12.118 20.2021 20.12.118 20.2021 20.12.118 20.2021 20.12.118 20.2021 20.12.118 20.2021 20.12.118 20.2021 20.12.118 20.2021 20.12.118 20.2021 20.12.118 20.2021 20.12.118 20.2021 20.12.118 20.2021 20.12.118 20.2021 20.12.118 20.2021 20.12.118 20.2021 20.20	42 131375 72 122-05 020 73 74 1417 75 74 1418 74 75 1418 75 75 1418 75 76 1418 75 77 141	\$1,000 \$1	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	4200 1000	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	0	# # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # #	700 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
THE CASE CASE OF THE CASE OF T		Control of the Contro	1.500 Nominal 1.	25 127 127 127 127 127 127 127 127 127 127	3.5 GW Demanded 3.5 GW Demanded 3.2 GW Demanded 3.3 GW Demanded 3.3 GW Demanded 3.2 GW Demanded 3.3 GW Demande	1 1 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15	0 53 53 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 55	50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 5	10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1	\$\\ \text{\$\\ \alpha\} \$\\	20% 0.00% 0.1 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0	00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	2 S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S	11.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1	42 131375 717 122-05 24167 718 44167 719 424167 710 4416	\$1,000 \$1	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	42 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	0	# # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # #	700 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
The Control Co		The control of the co	1.500 Nominal 1.	25 127 127 127 127 127 127 127 127 127 127	3.5 GW Standard 3.5 GW Standard 3.5 GW Standard 3.5 GW Standard 1.5 GW Standar	1 1 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100	13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 1	\$\\ \$\text{\$\etinx{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\etinx{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\etitx{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\e	20% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0	00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	2 S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S	11.14.14.1 20.12.15 2	42 131375 72 122-05 26 77 78 144177 78 144177 78 151370 79 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17	\$1,000 \$1,	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	62 14,000 00 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	0	# # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # #	700 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
The Control Co		The control of the co	1.500 Nominal 1.	25 127 127 127 127 127 127 127 127 127 127	3.5 GW Standard 3.5 GW Standard 3.5 GW Standard 3.5 GW Standard 1.5 GW Standar	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		51 52 52 52 52 52 52 52 52 52 52 52 52 52	\$\\ \$\text{\$\tex{\$\text{\$\etinx{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\etinx{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\etinx{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\texit{\$\text{\$\text{\$\texititw{\$\text{\$\text{\$\texitt{\$\text{\$\texit{\$\t	20% 0.0% 0.1 1.2 1.2 1.2 1.2 1.2 1.2 1.2 1.2 1.2 1	00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	2 S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S	11.144 20.1218	42 131375 72 121245 73 131376 74 14187 75 14187 76 14187 77 14187 77 14187 78 14187	\$1,000 \$1,	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	62 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	0	# # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # #	700 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1,1,2219 1,2226 1,2226 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
The Control Co		Control of the Contro	1.500 Nominal 1.	25 127 127 127 127 127 127 127 127 127 127	3.5 CM Demonster 3.5 CM Tomanised 3.2 CM Vill Tomanised 3.3 CM Vil	1 1 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15		50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 5	10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1	Description	20% 0.0% 0.1 1.2 1.2 1.2 1.2 1.2 1.2 1.2 1.2 1.2 1	00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	2 S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S	11.144 20.121 19 20.121 19 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20	42 133376 12 124477 13 144171 14 14171 15 144171 15 144171 15 144171 16 1441	\$1,000 \$1,	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	0	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	700 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 10 143,2371 1,7728 1,7728 1,1272 1,1228	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
The Control Co		Control of the Contro	1.500 Nominal 1.	25 127 127 127 127 127 127 127 127 127 127	3.5 GPV Boundard 3.5 GPV Boundard 3.5 GPV Boundard 3.1 GPV Boundard 3.1 GPV Boundard 3.1 GPV Boundard 3.1 GPV Boundard 3.2 GPV Boundard 3.2 GPV Boundard 3.3 GPV Boundard 3.2 GPV Boundard 3.3 GP	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1	\$\\ \$\text{\$\tex{\$\text{\$\etinx{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\etinx{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\etinx{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\etitx{\$\text{\$\texititit{\$\text{\$\texit{\$\text{\$\text{\$\texit{\$\e	20% 0.0% 0.1 1.2 1.2 1.2 1.2 1.2 1.2 1.2 1.2 1.2 1	00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	2 S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S	11.144	42 133376 12 122-08 0 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 1	\$1,000 \$1,	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	62 62 63 64 64 64 64 64 64 64	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	0	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	700 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
The Control Co		Control of the Contro	1.500 Nominal 1.	25 127 127 127 127 127 127 127 127 127 127	3.5 GW Dominated 3.5 GW Dominated 3.2 GW Dominated 3.3 GW	1 1 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1	\$\\ \$\text{\$\tex{\$\text{\$\etinx{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\etinx{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\etinx{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\etitx{\$\text{\$\texititit{\$\text{\$\texit{\$\text{\$\text{\$\texit{\$\e	20% 0.0% 0.1 1.2 1.2 1.2 1.2 1.2 1.2 1.2 1.2 1.2 1	00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	2 S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S	11.144	42 133376 12 122-08 0 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 1	\$1,000 \$1,	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	62 62 63 64 64 64 64 64 64 64	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	0	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	700 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 10 143,2371 1,7728 1,7728 1,1272 1,1228	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
The Control Co		Control of the Contro	1.50 M Seminard 1.50 M	25 127 127 127 127 127 127 127 127 127 127	3.5 GW Dambard 3.5 GW Shankard 3.2 GW Shankard 3.2 GW Shankard Near Near 1.2 GW Shankard Near Near 1.2 GW Shankard Near Near 1.2 GW Shankard Near Near 1.3 GW Shankard Near Near 1.3 GW Shankard 3.5 GW Shankard 3.2 GW Shankard Near 1.2 GW Shankard 3.2 GW Shankard Near 1.2 GW Shankard 3.2	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 5	10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1	Description	20% 0.0% 0.1 1.2 1.2 1.2 1.2 1.2 1.2 1.2 1.2 1.2 1	00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	2	1.1.4.14 20.1.11 20.11 20.1.11 20.1.11 20.1.11 20.1.11 20.1.11 20.1.11 20.1.11 20.1.11	42 13337 12 12 12 12 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13	\$1,000 \$1,	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	62 62 63 64 64 64 64 64 64 64	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	0	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	700 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
The Control Co		Control of the Contro	1.50 M Seminard 1.50 M	20 2 2 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	3.5 GW Dambard 3.5 GW Shankard 3.2 GW Shankard 3.2 GW Shankard Near Near 1.2 GW Shankard Near Near 1.2 GW Shankard Near Near 1.2 GW Shankard Near Near 1.3 GW Shankard Near Near 1.3 GW Shankard 3.5 GW Shankard 3.2 GW Shanka	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 5	10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1	10	20% 0.0% 0.1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	2	1.1.4.14 20.1.11 20.11 20.1.11 20.1.11 20.1.11 20.1.11 20.1.11 20.1.11 20.1.11 20.1.11	42 13337 12 12 12 12 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13	\$1,000 \$1,	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	6 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	420 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6		0		700 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
West Comp. Design Project. West Comp. Design Project. West Comp. Design Project. Doug Blows Rounded. Doug Blow		Control of the Contro	1.50 M Seminard 1.50 M	20 2 2 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	3.5 GW Dambard 3.5 GW Shankard 3.2 GW Shankard 3.2 GW Shankard Near Near 1.2 GW Shankard Near Near 1.2 GW Shankard Near Near 1.2 GW Shankard Near Near 1.3 GW Shankard Near Near 1.3 GW Shankard 3.5 GW Shankard 3.2 GW Shanka	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 5	10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1	10	20% 0.0% 0.1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	2	1.1.424 20:10.1016 1.1.1016 1.	42 13337 12 12 12 12 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13	\$1,000 \$1,	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	6 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	420 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6		0		700 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
West Comp. Design Project. West Comp. Design Project. West Comp. Design Project. Doug Blows Rounded. Doug Blow		Control of the Contro	1.50 M Seminard 1.50 M	20 2 2 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	3.5 GW Dambard 3.5 GW Shankard 3.2 GW Shankard 3.2 GW Shankard Near Near 1.2 GW Shankard Near Near 1.2 GW Shankard Near Near 1.2 GW Shankard Near Near 1.3 GW Shankard Near Near 1.3 GW Shankard 3.5 GW Shankard 3.2 GW Shanka	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 5	10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1	10	20% 0.0% 0.1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	2	1.1.424 20:10.1016 1.1.1016 1.	42 13337 12 12 12 12 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13	\$1,000 \$1,	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	6 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	420 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6		0		700 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
Will Code St.		Control of the Contro	1.500 Nominal 1.	25 127 127 127 127 127 127 127 127 127 127	3.5 GW Dominated 3.5 GW Dominated 3.2 GW Dominated 3.3 GW	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 5	10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1	\$\\ \$\text{\$\tex{\$\text{\$\etinx{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\etinx{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\etinx{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\etitx{\$\text{\$\texititit{\$\text{\$\texit{\$\text{\$\text{\$\texit{\$\e	20% 0.0% 0.1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	2	11.144	42 13337 12 12 12 12 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13	\$1,000 \$1,	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	420 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6		0		700 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0

Program	Natural Gas Measure Group (for programs with new 2000 measures only)	Natural Gas Measure Description	High Efficiency/Product Description / Rating	Efficient Product Consumptio	Baseline Product Description / Rating	Baseline Product Consumptio	Life of Rebo	te Average es Stassilo es Product C	e Cost of s Efficient S	Assumed Ratinergy Cost Incr (\$10th)	tate as a incremi'i 16 of Cost Period remental wio Rebase	Increme! Cost Payback Period w/	Annual Rebase Dustomer cost./Cu Dish Deh Sav	Retated d Lifetime cos st /Cust	t Non-Fuel OAM Savings	Electric or latural Gas Part OAM : Savings	cipants Units 019 2019	Participants 2020	Units 2020	NTG Bra	ratation Real Rate R	ization 2 tate NE	zone z IT Den Den)	2019 Robaso : Budget (S)	2019 Incremental Cost (S)	2020 NET Drin (Din)	2020 Rebase 2020 Inc Budget C	ncremental Cost (\$)
Home Energy Squad Home Energy Squad		NEC Energy Squad Service 2017	weighted swerage. Energy Efficient Gas measures by participant	9	weighted average Esseline Gas measures by participant	10	10					Retore 0.5	2 \$0.00	\$2000 \$0,000	\$7.949			0	0	1	1	1	0	0		0		
Home Energy Squad		NEC: Energy Squad Service 2017 NEC: Energy Squad Service 2018 NEC: Energy Squad Service 2018 NEC: Energy Squad Service 2019 Weatherstep 1 additional door Seated Second Service versions Energy Serviceshalls Theoremated	weighted searage Energy Efficient Gas measures by participant weighted searage Energy Efficient Gas measures by participant weighted searage Energy Efficient Gas measures by participant Weatherstip 1 additional Door to achieve lessage rate or 0.16 drivinear feet of cra-	9	weighted average Baseline Gas measures by participant weighted average Baseline Gas measures by participant weighted average Baseline Gas measures by participant Additional Dion with leakage rate of OLG climitimes feet of crack	10	10	\$0 \$0 \$0	\$13 \$13 \$13 \$10	59.12 59.12 59.12 59.12	0% 0.5 0% 0.5 0% 0.5 0% 0.6	05 05 05	2 \$0.00 2 \$0.00 2 \$0.00 2 \$0.00	\$0,000 \$0,000 \$0,000 \$0,000	\$7.949 \$8.094 \$8.189 \$0.000 \$0.000	\$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000	187 10,580 10 47	2,187 10	0 10,580 47	1	1	1 20	0 0,133 81	0	0 0 140,972 470	0 20,133 81	0 14	0 0 40,972 470 384
Home Energy Squad Home Energy Squad Whole Home Efficiency		Whole Home Efficiency	Install second T-stat and Auto setback thermostat by 2.6 F for heating	44	Existing non-programmable thermostat	46	10 Si	50	\$22	59.12	0% 0.9	0.9	3 \$0.00	\$0,000	\$0.000	\$0.000	4 17	4	17	i	i	i	45	ő	384	40		384
Whole Home Efficiency		Attic Insulation - Gaz Heated Homez Without Cooling	Home with additional insulation	49	Home with R20 or less existing Insulation	60	20 \$21			\$9.12	16% 17.7	14.8		51.309		\$0.000	2 10	2	10	1	1	1	108	2,828	17,444	108		17,444
Whole Home Efficiency Whole Home Efficiency		Attic Insulation - Gaz Heat Homes With Cooling Combo Customer Attic Insulation - Gaz Heat Homes With Cooling Gaz Only Customer	Home with additional insulation Home with additional insulation	51 48	Home with R20 or less existing Insulation Home with R20 or less existing Insulation	60	20 521	3 \$0 4 \$0	\$1,703 \$1,560	\$9.12 \$9.12	15% 21.0 19% 14.6	17.9 11.8	9 \$28.4 12 \$25.11	2 \$1.421 3 \$1.255	\$0.000	\$0.000	20 80 2 10	20 2	80 10	1	1	1	711 117	20,210 2,943	136,274 15,601	711 117	20,210 13 2,943 15	36,274 15,601
Whole Home Efficiency		Wall Insulation - Gaz Heat Homes Without Cooling	R-11 insulation	23	Daseline assumes R-0 in wall cavifies as existing level	60	20 \$21				11% 8.0	7.1	37 \$7.73		\$0.000	\$0.000	2 10	2	10	1	1		371	2,872	27,041	371		27,041
Whole Home Efficiency Whole Home Efficiency		Wall Insulation - Gaz Heat Homes With Cooling Combo Customer Wall Insulation - Gaz Heat Homes With Cooling Gaz Only Customer	R-11 insulation R-11 insulation	36 31	Baseline assumes R-O in wall casities as existing level Baseline assumes R-O in wall casities as existing level	60	20 \$21 20 \$21	9 50	\$1,616 \$2,066	\$9.12 \$9.12	14% 7.5 14% 7.9	6.5	24 \$9.27 29 \$10.40	\$0.464 8 \$0.520	\$0.000	\$0.000 \$0.000	25 100 2 10	25 2	100	1	1 1	1 2	2,364 287	21,923 2,987	161,591 20,659	2,364 287	21,923 167 2,987 20	61,591 20,659
Whole Home Efficiency Whole Home Efficiency		Air Sealing 72 - 25% reduction - Gaz Heat Homez Without Cooling Air Sealing 72 - 25% reduction - Gaz Heat Homez With Cooling Combo Customer		45		60	10 \$15	0 50	\$874	\$9.12	17% 6.3	5.2	15 \$9.89 15 \$8.04	\$0.989	\$0.000	\$0.000	2 10	2	10	1	1		152	1,500	8,736 14,211	152	1,500 8,	8,736
Whole Home Efficiency			Home with Tier 2 Air Sealing - Average 27% reduction Home with Tier 2 Air Sealing - Average 27% reduction Home with Tier 3 Air Sealing - warrange 42% reduction	45	Existing Home Without Air Sealing Existing Home Without Air Sealing Existing Home Without Air Sealing	60	10 \$11	2 50 0 50	\$711	59.12 59.12	17% 5.1 21% 5.1 15% 4.4	4.1 4.1	15 \$0.09 15 \$9.89 34 \$5.87	\$0.989	\$0.000	\$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000	2 10	2 2	20 10		1		303 152 341	2,440 1,500 2,000	7,105	303 152 341	1,500 7, 2,000 19	7,105 17,105
Whole Home Efficiency Whole Home Efficiency Whole Home Efficiency		An Geniller 2 - 25th reduction - Class Has Frees Will Cooling Gen Gel Quatters And Sealing 1 - 25th Annice Cooling Has Frees Will Cooling Geniller Cooling Has Cooling Geniller 1 - 25th Annice Cooling Has Cooling Geniller 1 - 25th Annice Cooling Has Cooling Geniller 1 - 25th Annice Coolin	Prime with the Art Solitory - Andrope Arts Sections Home with the 2-Re Solitory - Anney 27% sections Home with the 2-Re Solitory - Anney 27% sections Home with the 2-Re Solitory - Anney 27% sections Home with the 2-Re Solitory - anney 47% sections Hopf Stiffners; the 3-Re Solitory - anney 47% sections Hopf Stiffners; the 3-Re Solitory - anney 47% sections Hopf Stiffners; the 3-Re Solitory - anney Hopf Stiffners - a	45 45 26 26 26 27 17 17 17 89 88 87 127 118 110	Existing Values Willhood, All Sading Chairmy Values Willhood, All Sading Chairmy Values Willhood, All Sading Existing Values Willhood, All Sading Existing Values Willhood, All Sading Existing Values Villed, All Sading Motions Efficiency Value - I you Whate Values Motions Efficiency Values - I you Whate Values All Sading Values - I was also with a support of the Motions Efficiency Values - I you Whate Values All Sading Values - I was also with a support of the Values All Sading Values - I was also with a support of the Values All Sading Values - I was also with a support of the Values - I was a	60 60 60 28 26	10 \$11 10 \$11 10 \$21 10 \$21 10 \$21 10 \$21 13 \$11 13 \$11 20 \$22 18 \$7 18 \$32 20 \$22 20 \$22 20 \$22 20 \$22 20 \$22 30 \$41 10 \$41 10 \$41 10 \$41	n 50	\$1,362 \$1,231 \$1,231	\$9.12 \$9.12 \$9.12	17% 5.1 21% 5.1 15% 4.0 16% 4.0 27% 15.7 80% 4.4 32% 11.4 51% 5.2 10% 4.8	3.4	34 \$5.30 34 \$5.87	\$0.531	\$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000	\$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000	5 20 2 10 2 10 12 50 2 10 5 5	12	50 10	1	1	1 1	341	2,000 9,035 2,000 500	13,625 61,556 12,311	1,703	2,440 14 1,500 7, 2,000 13 9,036 61 2,000 12 500 1,	14,211 7,105 13,625 11,536 11,536 12,311 0 862 0 862 0 3,682 10,005 2,006 2,006
Whole Home Efficiency Whole Home Efficiency		Tank-Type Water Heater - High Draw Pattern (20-50 Gellon) Tank-Type Water Heater - Medium Draw Pattern (20-50 Gellon)	High Efficiency Tank-Type Water Heater High Efficiency Tank-Type Water Heater	25 23	Minimum Efficiency Tank-Type Water Heater Minimum Efficiency Tank-Type Water Heater	28 26	13 \$10 13 \$10	0 \$950 0 \$870		\$9.12 \$9.12	27% 15.7 80% 4.4	11.5 0.9 7.7	3 \$38.00 3 \$31.71	4 \$2.927 F3.44F	\$0.000	\$0.000 \$0.000	5 5	5 0	5 0	1	1	1 1	13 0	500	12,311 1,878 0	13	500 1,	.,876 0
Whole Home Efficiency Whole Home Efficiency		Tankless Water Heater - High Draw Pattern Tankless Water Heater - Medium Draw Pattern	High Efficiency Tankless Water Heater High Efficiency Tankless Water Heater	17	Minimum Efficiency Tank-Type Water Heater Minimum Efficiency Tank-Type Water Heater	26 28	20 S2: 20 S2: 18 S7: 18 S44 20 S1: 20 S3: 10 S4: 10 S1: 10 S1: 10 S2: 10 S2: 10 S2: 10 S3: 10 S3: 10 S3: 10 S3:	5 \$1,07 5 \$975	1 5002 5542	\$9.12 \$9.12 \$9.12	32% 11.4 51% 5.2	2.5	8 \$33.0 11 \$24.1 17 \$4.20	3 \$1.652 0 \$1.210 50.233	\$0.000	\$0.000	0 0	0	0	1	1	1	0	0 275	0 0	0	275 8 0 350 3, 6,500 19 850 2, 250 2,	0 2 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5
Whole Home Efficiency Whole Home Efficiency		95% Efficient Furnace in Existing Home 97% Efficient Furnace in Existing Home	96% Difficient Furnace 97% Difficient Furnace	88	80% Difficient Furnace 80% Difficient Furnace	106	10 53	5 \$1,03 5 \$1,03	0 \$950 0 \$1.048	59.12	34% 5.9	39	10 510.2	4 \$1,016	\$0.000	\$0.000	5 20	5	20		1		255	6,500 850 250	19,005	355	6,500 19 850 2	9,005
Whole Home Efficiency			64% Diticient Boiler	127 118	80% Efficient Furnace 82% Efficient Boller 82% Efficient Boller	130	20 \$12	5 \$1,03 5 \$685 0 \$685 0 \$685	0 \$1,048 \$1,446 \$2,379	\$9.12	41% 6.1 9% 51.2 13% 21.1	39 36 468 18.4 14.2 0.3 1.8	19 \$22.5 3 \$40.3 12 \$24.2	3 \$1.212	\$0.000	\$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000	0 2	0	2 0	1	1	1	6	250	2,096 2,891 0	6	250 2	1,891
Whole Home Efficiency Whole Home Efficiency		2015 ETRICAN Existent Boile Programmable Thermostate (install and Program) Energy Size Circles Washer - Combo Customers of Case DMV Energy Etrican Excentantal (Direct Install) Energy Etrican Excentantal (Direct Install) Energy Etrican Existence Answers (Circles Install) Exemp Etrican Existence Answers (Circles Install) Existence Existence Answers (Circles Install Instal	50%. Efficient Baller 80%. Efficient Baller for hauting 10%. Efficient Baller for hauting 10%. Efficient Dailer for hauting 1.5 CMP Carbon Walter 1.5 CMP Carbon Walter 1.5 CMP Carbon Market 1.5 CMP C	110 87	82% Efficient Boller Existing non-programmable thermostat	130 93	20 \$40 10 \$1	0 \$685	\$3,001 \$24	\$9.12 \$9.12 \$9.12	13% 16.4 31% 0.5 31% 2.6 100% 0.1 100% 0.1 100% 0.3 100% 0.2 100% 0.2 0.0 0.0	14.2	20 \$19.86 6 \$1.38	50.994 50.138	\$0.000 \$0.000 \$7.705	\$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000	0 0	10	40	1	1	1 :	221	0 306 116	978	0 221	306 9	0 978
Whole Home Efficiency Whole Home Efficiency		Energy Star Clothes Washer - Combo Customers of Gas DHW Energy Efficient Showerhead (Direct Install) The Company Comp	Energy Star Clothes Washer 1.5 GPM Showsrhead	3	Existing con-programmable harmonists Sindard Clothes Washer 2.5 GPM Showshad 2.2 GPM Showshad 2.2 GPM Showshad 2.2 GPM Starton Paucel Areator 2.2 GPM Starton Paucel Areator 2.2 GPM Starton on Section Showshad No Editeral Insulation on water heater	5	10 S 10 S 10 S 10 S	\$677	\$23 \$4	\$9.12 \$9.12	33% 2.6 100% 0.1	0.0	0 \$66.9 2 \$1.72	2 \$6.088 50.172	\$7.705	\$0.000	4 15 22 88 33 132 22 88	22	88		1		192	330	347 330 132 176	192	206 5 116 2 230 2 132 1 176 1 42 1,250 1	978 347 330 132 176 42 1,250
Whole Home Efficiency Whole Home Efficiency		Energy Efficient Michael Aenator (Direct Install) Energy Efficient Michael Aenator (Direct Install) Energy Efficient Bathroom Aenator (Direct Install)	1.0 GPM Bathroon Faucat Agrator 0.5 GPM Bathroon Faucat Agrator		2.2 GPM Bethroom Faunat Aerator 2.2 GPM Bethroom Faunat Aerator		10 \$		52 52 52 525	59.12 59.12 59.12 59.12 59.12	100% 0.3	0.0	2 \$1.72 0 \$3.17 0 \$7.32 0 \$3.87 1 \$23.0	\$0.172 \$0.318 \$0.732 \$0.388 \$ \$3.549	\$4.187	\$0.000	22 88 7 28	22	88 132 88 28		1	:	24	330 132 176 42 1,250	176	24	176 1	176
Whole Home Efficiency Whole Home Efficiency			Add commercial Insulation wrap RB around Water Heater Tank selback WH selpoint to 120 F	2 2	No External insulation on waiter heater Existing WH at subpoint of 130 F	3	10 \$2 7 \$2 8 \$1	5 50	\$25 \$0	\$9.12 s	100% 2.5 IDIVIDI 0.0	0.0 -4.1	1 \$23.0 0 \$37.2	5 53.549 9 54.662	\$33,370 \$4,173 \$4,187 \$5,931 \$0,000 \$0,000	\$0.000	12 50	12	50		1	1	54	1,250	42 1,250 0	54	1,250 1,	i,250
Insulation Rebate Insulation Rebate		Insulation Rebate Gas Heat Homes Without Cooling		49	Home with R20 or less existing insulation	60	20 521	3 50	\$1,744	60 to	16% 17.7	14.8	11 \$26.1	5 \$1.309	50.000	\$0.000	39 45	0 39	0 45	-	1	1 .	406	12,728	78,500	406	12,726 76	70,500
Insulation Rebate Insulation Rebate		Gas Hase Shows Without Cooling Gas Hase Shows Without Cooling Gas Hase Shows With Change Carefus Gas Hase Shows Without Cooling Gas Hase Shows Without Cooling Gas Hase Shows Without Cooling Gas Hase Shows With Cooling Gas Good Casterier Gas Hase Shows With Cooling Gas Good Casterier Gas Hase Shows With Cooling Gas Good Casterier Gas Hase Shows With Cooling Gas Gas Gasterier Gas Hase Shows With Cooling Gas Gas Gasterier Gas Hase Shows With Cooling Gas Good Casterier Shows Shows Gas Gas Gas Good Casterier Gas Hase Shows With Cooling Gas Good Cool Gas	Horse with Additional installation Flores with additional installation flores with additional installation flores and the control of the control flores with Tax 2 At Ending Horse with Tax 2 At Ending Horse with Tax 2 At Ending Horse with Tax 2 At Ending	51 48	Florm with PLO2 or last eating featulation Home with PLO2 or last eating featulation Home with PLO2 or last eating featulation Florm with PLO2 or last eating featulation Establish searches R-O is will castline as eating lived Establish places Wilmos A-M Sealing Estating from Sealing Estati	60 60	20 S21 20 S21 20 S21 20 S21 20 S21 10 S1- 10 S1-	2 50 4 50	\$1,965 \$1,801 \$2,704 \$1,865 \$2,384 \$1,114 \$874	\$9.12 \$9.12 \$9.12 \$9.12 \$9.12 \$9.12	15% 22.5 16% 15.6	19.1 13.1 7.1 6.9 7.4 4.7	9 \$32.71 12 \$25.11 37 \$7.73 24 \$10.71 29 \$10.40 22 \$6.38 23 \$6.23	3 \$1.640 3 \$1.255	\$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000	\$6.451 \$8.507 \$0.000 \$17.148 \$20.818 \$0.000 \$11.788	194 225 71 83	194 71	225 83	1 1	1	1 2	2,001 973	65,607 24,431 31,590 57,945 15,531 3,863 10,267	442,371 149,459 297,448 427,103 123,993 30,070 62,902	2,001 973 4,086 5,413 1,492 605 1,647	65,607 445 24,431 14 31,590 29 57,945 42 15,531 12 3,863 30 10,267 62	10,200 10,402 107,448 107,103 102,903 10,000 12,902 10,005
Insulation Rebate Insulation Rebate Insulation Behate		Gas Heat Homes Without Cooling Gas Heat Homes With Cooling, Combo Customer	R-11 insulation R-11 insulation	23 36	Esseine assumes R-0 in wall cavities as existing level Esseine assumes R-0 in wall cavities as existing level	60 60 60 60	20 \$21 20 \$25	7 50 3 50	\$2,704 \$1,865	59.12 59.12	11% 8.0 14% 8.0	7.1 6.9	37 \$7.73 24 \$10.71	\$0.387 5 \$0.535	\$0.000 \$0.000	\$0.000 \$17.148	194 225 71 83 95 110 197 229 45 52	95 197	225 83 110 229 52 27	1	1	1 4	K,086 5,413	31,590 57,945	297,448 427,103	4,006 5,413	31,590 29 57,945 42	07,448 27,103
Insulation Rebate Insulation Rebate Insulation Rebate		Gas Fees Fromer with Cooling, Gas Only Customer Gas Heat Homes Without Cooling Continue Cooling	R-11 insulation Home with Tier 2 Air Sealing	30	sassene assumes R-0 in wall cavities as existing level Existing Home Without Air Sealing	60	20 \$21 20 \$21 20 \$21 20 \$21 20 \$21 10 \$1- 10 \$1-	3 50	\$2,384 \$1,114	59.12 59.12	15% 22.5 16% 15.6 11% 8.0 14% 8.0 12% 8.4 12% 5.4 16% 4.0	7.4 4.7	29 \$6.38	3 \$1.640 3 \$1.255 1 \$0.387 5 \$0.535 8 \$0.520 0 \$0.628 4 \$0.623	\$0.000	\$0.000	40 52 23 27	45 23	52 27	1	1	1 1	2,001 973 K,086 5,413 1,492 605	15,531	123,993 30,070	1,492 605	15,531 12 3,863 30	2,863 2,070
Insulation Rebate		Gas Heat Homes With Cooling, Conto Customer Gas Heat Homes With Cooling, Gas Only Customer	Home with Tier 2 Air Seeing	37	Existing Home Without Air Sealing	60	10 \$1-	3 50	5910	59.12 59.12	16% 4.1	3.4	23 \$6.12	\$0.612	\$0.000	\$11.949	47 55	47	55	-	1	1 1	1,282	7,048	50,025	1,282	7,848 50	0,025
School Education Kits		School Education Kits Provide new 1.5 gen showshead to replace existing 2.5 gen showshead in home with Liebrarum DRM header. 2017.	1.5 GPM Shownhead	3	Federal Maximum Standard flow rate 2.5 GPM	5	10 \$	\$0	\$3	\$9.12	100% 0.1	0.0	2 \$1.48	\$0.148	\$33.370	\$0.000	0 0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
School Education Kits		Provide new 1.5 gpm zhowerhead to replace existing 2.5 gpm zhowerhead in home with 1 historium TMW heater - 2017 Provide Deergy Efficient Kilchea Anator - 1.5 GPM to replace existing 2.2 gpm arrator in home with Librico	1.5 GPM Kitchen Faucet Aerator	1	Federal Maximum Standard flow rate 2.2 GPM	1	10 \$				100% 0.2	0.0	0 \$3.86		\$4.173			0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0		0
School Education Kits		Provide Energy Efficient Bath Faucet Aerator - 1.0 GFM to replace existing 2.2	1.0 GPM Bathroom Faucet Aerator	0	Federal Maximum Standard flow rate 2.2 GPM	1	10 \$1				100% 0.1	0.0	0 \$1.77	\$0.177	\$4.187	\$0.000	0 0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0		0
School Education Kits		gar autoire in home with Universe 100 Manier - 2007. Therefore our £ Sign markens for register sealing 2-Signs shows head in home head in home head in home head in home processing 2-Signs show the sealing 2-Signs show the sealing 2-Signs show the sealing 2-Signs show the sealing 2-Signs show the Signs sh	1.5 GPM Showerhead 1.5 GPM Kitchen Faucet Aerator	3	Federal Maximum Standard flow rate 2.5 GPM	5	10 S				100% 0.1	0.0	2 \$1.52				0 0		0	1	0	1	0		0	0		0
School Education Kits School Education Kits		Provide Energy Efficient Bath Faucet Aenstor - 1.0 GPM to replace existing 2.2 Provide Energy Efficient Bath Faucet Aenstor - 1.0 GPM to replace existing 2.2	1.5 GPM Richen Faucet Aerator 1.0 GPM Bathroom Faucet Aerator	1 0	Federal Maximum Standard flow rate 2.2 GPM Federal Maximum Standard flow rate 2.2 GPM	1	10 S 10 Si				100% 0.2	0.0	0 \$3.97		\$4.173 \$4.187		0 0			1			0					
School Education Kits	•	com senstor in home with Unknown DHW heater - 2018 Provide new 1.5 gpm showerhead to replace existing 2.5 gpm showerhead in home with Unknown DMM houses, 2019.	1.5 GPM Shownhead	3	Federal Maximum Standard flow rate 2.5 GPM	5	10 \$				100% 0.1	0.0	2 \$1.57		\$33.370		667 12,320		12,320	1			3,387	42,137	42,137	9,387		62,137
School Education Kits		Provide Energy Efficient Kitchen Aerator - 1.5 GPM to replace existing 2.2 gpm serator in home with Unknown DHW heater - 2019	1.5 GPM Kitchen Faucet Aerator	1	Federal Maximum Standard flow rate 2.2 GPM	1	10 \$	\$0	\$1		100% 0.2	0.0			\$4.173	\$0.000	667 12,320		12,320	1	0		1,163	15,006	15,006	1,163		15,886
School Education Kits		Provide Energy Efficient Bath Faucet Aerator - 1.0 GPM to replace existing 2.2 ppm senator in home with Unknown DHW heater - 2019	1.0 GPM Bathroom Faucet Aerator	0	Federal Maximum Standard flow rate 2.2 GPM	1	10 \$	\$0	\$1	\$9.12	100% 0.1	0.0	0 \$1.88	\$0.188	\$4.187	\$0.000 4	667 12,320	4,667	12,320	1	0	1 1	841	6,327	6,327	841	6,327 6,	6,327
Water Heater Rebate Water Heater Rebate		Water Heater Rebate Tank-Type Water Heater - High Down Pattern (20-50 Gallon)	High Efficiency Tank-Type Water Heater	25	Minimum Efficiency Tank-Type Water Heater	28	13 57	s \$950	\$376	59.12	19% 15.7	12.6	3 \$26.6	8 \$2.049	\$0.000	\$0.000	721 721	721	721	1	1	1 1	1,095	54,075	270,839	1,895	54,075 271	70,639
Water Haster Rebate Water Haster Rebate Water Haster Rebate		Tank-Type Water Heater - Medium Draw Pattern (30-50 Gallon) Tankkezz Water Heater - High Draw Pattern Tankkezz Water Heater - Medium Draw Pattern	High Efficiency Tank-Type Water Heater High Efficiency Tankless Water Heater High Efficiency Tankless Water Heater	23 17	Minimum Efficiency Tank-Type Water Heater Minimum Efficiency Tank-Type Water Heater Minimum Efficiency Tank-Type Water Heater	26 26 28	13 \$7 20 \$25 20 \$25	5 \$870 0 \$1,07 0 \$975	\$125 1 \$862 5542	\$9.12 \$9.12 \$9.12	50% 4.4 12% 11.4 18% 5.2	1.9 10.0 4.3	3 \$22.25 8 \$12.0 11 \$8.79	4 \$1.712 2 \$0.601 50.440	\$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000	\$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000	853 263 82 82 5 5	253 82 5	263 82 5	1	1	1 1	827 683 57	19,725 20,500 1,250	32,986 70,677 2,710	683 57	19,725 32 20,500 70 1,250 2,	12,986 70,677 2,710
Water Heater Rebate		BUSINESS	righ Efficiency directs water reason 0	0	o o	0	0 \$	\$ \$0.5	50	\$9.12 el	IDINIOI IDINIOI	MDRV/DI	0 #DIV	90,440 F #DIV/0	\$0.000	\$0.000	0 0	0	0	- 1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0 2	0
Water Heater Rebate Self Direct Self Direct		Self Direct Average Project	New Equipment	12,721	Old or less efficient systems or equipment	17,655	17 \$39,	171 50	\$140,656	\$0.67	27% 3.5	2.6	4,934 \$8.00	\$0.471	\$0.000	\$0.000	0 0	0	0	1	1	1	0	0	٥	0	0	0
Heating Efficiency Heating Efficiency		Heating Efficiency Heat Water Soller - Nos Condensing -Plan A	85% Diticient Boller	1,810	80% Discient Boller	1,924	20 \$20	4 \$8,412	2 \$4,792	\$0.67	20% 4.9	39	113 \$0.43	50.422	\$0.000	\$0.000	13 15	13	15	1	1	1 1	1,697	14,300	71,885	1,697	14,308 71	1,885
Heating Efficiency Heating Efficiency Heating Efficiency Heating Efficiency		Not Water Boller - Condensing - Plan B Low Pressure Steam Boller - Total High Pressure Steam Boller - Total	92% Efficient Boller 84% Efficient Boller 82% Efficient Boller	764 3,340	70% Ditcient Boller 80% Ditcient Boller 80% Ditcient Boller	914 3,507	20 \$6,4	86 S0 00 \$18.75	\$14,837 27 \$16,500 E3 \$16,500	\$0.67 \$0.67	44% 13.1 30% 11.4	7.4	131 \$49.69 167 \$29.90 125 \$39.9	8 \$2.483 6 \$1.497	\$0.000	\$0.000	9 13	9 4	10	1	1	1 2	2,351	116,783	267,067 99,000	2,351	116,783 26 30,000 99	167,067 182,000 16,500 19,032
Heating Efficiency Heating Efficiency Heating Efficiency		High Pressure Steam Boller - Total Commercial Water Heaters - Total 90% Efficient Purraces	82% Efficient Soler 90% Efficient Storage or 95% Efficient Tankless Water Heater 90% Efficient Furnaces	3,340 484	80% Efficient Soler 80% Efficient Storage Water Heater 78% Eff Furnace	3,466 597	15 \$9	3 \$7,65	7 \$4,528	\$0.67	30% 15.2 21% 6.8	10.6 5.4	113 \$8.37	\$0.558	\$0.000 -\$313.043	\$0.000	1 1	33	1 55	1	1 1	1 6	125 5,198	5,000 51,887	15,500 249,032	125 6,198	5,000 16 51,887 24	5,500 49,032
Heating Efficiency Heating Efficiency		90% Efficient Purraces 92% Efficient Purraces	90% Difficient Furnaces 92% Difficient Furnaces	76 76	78% Df Furnace 78% Df Furnace	90	18 \$10 18 \$20			\$0.67	8% 123 15% 11.3	11.3	12 \$8.50 14 \$14.5	\$0.472 5 \$0.810	\$0.000	\$0.000	1 1	14	20	1	1	1 :	12 274	4,000	1,254	12 274		1,254
Heating Efficiency Heating Efficiency		94% Efficient Furnices 90% Efficient Furnices	94% Difficient Furnaces 92% Difficient Furnaces	76	78% Dif Furnice 78% Dif Furnice	92	16 S21	0 \$1,00	6 \$1,429 6 \$1,517	\$0.67	17% 10.5	8.7	16 \$15.9 18 \$17.0	2 50.886	\$0.000	\$0.000	21 25	21	25	1	1	1 1	292	6,250 27,000	35,737 136,536	392 1 500	6,250 25 27,000 130	35,737 36,536
Heating Efficiency		Non-Condensing Power Vent (83% efficiency)	Non-condensing power vent unit heater	272	Non-condensing standard forced-air unit heater						37% 2.6	1.6	10 \$8.25	\$0.413			3 5	3	5	1	1	1	51	422	1,135	51	422 1,	1,135
Heating Efficiency Heating Efficiency		Condensing (>90% efficiency) Infrared	Condensing power sent unit heater Infrared heater	251 247	Non-condensing standard forced-air unit heater Non-condensing standard forced-air unit heater	283 283	20 \$77 15 \$1	B \$1,171 5 \$1,171	9 \$1,407 9 \$270	\$0.67 \$0.67	55% 5.2 43% 0.9	2.3 0.5	31 \$24.7 35 \$3.26	5 \$1,239 1 \$0,218	\$0.000	\$0.000	7 10 9 11	7 9	10	1	1 1	1 :	314 387	7,776 1,265	14,009	314 387	7,778 14 1,265 2,	14,069 2,974
Heating Efficiency		Custom Boller - Total	Various	33,226	Various		10 50,0	25 \$222,5	37 \$55,410	\$0.67	16% 3.7	3.1	1,725 \$5.00		\$0.000	\$0.000	2 2	2	2	1	1	1 3	1,450	17,250	110,819	3,450		10,819
Heating Efficiency		Boiler Tune up - Total	Boller Tune-up - 2% additive improvement in efficiency, Boller now at 80% efficiency for non-condensing 0.8% additive improvement in efficiency, Boller now at 80% wenape armusé correins efficiency for condensins. 80% Efficient Boller	5,401 bo	siler Poorly functioning at 78% efficiency for non-condensing, 98.2% for	cor 5,538	2 50	3 50	\$250	\$0.07	25% 0.2	0.2	138 \$0.45	\$0.227	\$0.000	\$0.000	213 438	213	438	1	1	1 60	0,237	27,375	109,500	60,237		09,500
Heating Efficiency Heating Efficiency		Outdoor Air Reset - Total Stack Dampers - Total	82% Difficient Boller 81% Difficient Boller	1,044	80% Efficient existing boller 80% Efficient existing boller	1,083	20 \$21 12 \$1	0 50 8 50	\$1,004	\$0.67 \$0.67	20% 3.0	2.4	39 \$5.11 134 \$0.95	\$0.256	\$0.000	\$0.000	18 18 7 10	18 7	18	1	1 1	1 1	704 1,343	1,600	18,081	704 1,343	3,600 18 1,283 12	10,001
Heating Efficiency		Modulating Burners - Total	83% Difficient Boller	5,595	80% Efficient existing boller		20 \$3,0		\$11,619		26% 6.4		210 \$14.6				9 12	9	12	1	1	1 2	2,518	36,810	139,433	2,518	36,810 130	39,433
Heating Efficiency Heating Efficiency		Turbulations - Totals D2 Trien Control - Totals	62% Difficient Boller 62% Difficient Boller	2,005 20,018	80% Efficient existing boller 80% Efficient existing boller	2,080 20,518	20 \$20 20 \$1,0	9 50 46 50	\$1,031 \$4,185	\$0.67 \$0.67	23% 1.6 25% 1.0	1.2 0.7	75 \$3.17 500 \$2.09			\$0.000	1 2	1 1	1	1	1 1	1 1	150 500	478 1,046	2,062 4,185	150 500	478 2, 1,046 4,	2,062 4,185
Heating Efficiency Heating Efficiency Heating Efficiency Heating Efficiency Heating Efficiency		Steam Traps - Total Pipe Insulation - Total Heating System Optimization Study - Total	New Seam Traps 100 ft of pipe with new insulation involved to commended measures	1,596 10 21,177	Disting Boller, malfunctioning steam traps 100 ft of pipe with no or old insulation	1,640 93 21,680	5 \$5 13 \$1,3 7 \$10	50 46 50 94 50	\$263 \$2,715 \$14,933	91.67 91.67	19% 0.7 46% 3.8 67% 2.5	20	64 \$1.13 83 \$15.13 702 \$14.33	50.226 0 \$1.163 0 \$2.047	\$0.000 \$0.000	\$0.000 \$0.000	20 210 87 87	20 87	310 87	1	1	1 7	3,/17 7,182 1,405	15,500 108,587 20,128	81,601 236,181 29,866	13,717 7,182 1,405	15,500 81 108,587 23 20,138 20	91,601 36,181 29,866
		Recommissioning Study Allocation	Efficient equipment as identified in a recommissioning study	0	Existing equipment	0	0 \$5,5	97 \$0	\$15,379	\$0.67	36% #00/01	NOV/OI	0 #Dft//	E NDIVIOR	\$0.000	\$0.000	ī î	1	1	i	1	1	0	5,507	15,379	0		
Heating Efficiency		Ozone Washer Extractor	New ozone Isundry system(Venturi Injection or Bubble Diffusion) is added on to new or existing commercial weathing machine using hot water heated with natural gas.	* 55 w	or existing commercial washing machine using hot water heated with natu	ral: 287	10 \$2,0	52 \$0	\$9,777	\$0.67	27% 3.1	2.3	233 \$11.4	1 \$1.140	\$1,113.998	\$0.000	15 39	18	39	1	1	1 9	2,071	103,414	301,310	9,071	103,414 30	01,310
RESIDENTIAL LI Home Energy Squad		RESIDENTIAL LI Home Energy Squad																0	0									
Li Home Energy Squad Li Home Energy Squad		Total LI Energy Squad Service 2017 Total LI Energy Squad Service 2018	Weighted Average of 2017 U Squad Services Weighted Average of 2018 U Squad Services	14	Disting Home Disting Home	15	10 Si	\$0 \$0			DIVIDI 0.0	0.0				\$0.000 \$0.000	0 0	0	0	1	1 1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
Li Home Energy Squad Energy Star Retail Products Energy Star Retail Products		Total Li Energy Squad Service 2019 Energy Star Retail Products	Weighted Average of 2019 LI Squad Services 0	14	Existing Home 0	15	10 Si	\$0	\$0 \$0	\$9.12 st \$0.67 st	EDIVIDI EDIVIDI	0.0 #DfV/0	2 \$0.00 0 #DN/	\$0,000 #DIV/0	\$6.999	\$0.000	500 6,211	1,500	6,211	1	1	1 9	0	0	0	9,839	0	0
		BUSINESS	DESCY CORE	•	industry Standard	•	15 E4		5344	DD-43	10% 534	43.7	4 500-0	5 DAM	50-000	50-000				•	•	•	*	2414	44,443	0	•	0
Energy Information Systems Energy Information Systems		Energy Information Systems Energy Information Systems	New Energy Information System	0	No EIS	0	5 \$1,0	80 \$0	\$3,600	\$0.67	30% #Dfvl01	MDR//OI	0 #000	#DIV/O	\$0.000	\$0.000	3 3	3	3	-		1	0	3,240	10,800	0	3,240 10	10,800
Energy Information Systems		Behavioral and Operational Measures Behavioral and Operational Measures	Efficient behavior/operations Efficient behavior/operations	48,707 -38,965	Less efficient behavior/operations Less efficient behavior/operations	40,951 -39,161	1 \$1,2 1 \$1	24 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0.67 st \$0.67 st	DIVIDI 0.0	-10.1 0.0	245 \$5.00 -196 \$0.00	\$5,000 \$0,000	-\$2,000.000 \$1,600.000	\$0.000	5 5	5	5	1	1	1 1	1,224 -979	6,119	0	1,224	6,119	0
Energy Information Systems PESIDENTIAL	+	Retrocommissioning Measures RESIDENTIAL	Optimized Building Systems	48,689	Non-optimized Building Systems	40,951	7 \$1,3	10 \$0	\$1,955	\$0.67	67% 0.1	0.0	262 \$5.00	\$0.714	\$4,528.077	11,229.410	5 17	5	17	-	-	1 4	1,323	21,616	32,254	4,323	21,616 32	4,254
Thermostat Optimization		Thermostat Optimization																										=
Thermostat Optimization		instal Dang Connectification (Aurorator ACLEAS	Annual Graph Family Hanne with Group Glove Grant Theoretical	80.3160	Assegs Engle Family House with Elevated Thermooks	87,3000	40 50	\$50	5200	59.42	16% 3.4	24	2 \$2.65	50.716	\$0.000	\$0.000	2,782		2,780	4	4	4 44	0,476	87,006	557,734	۰	•	0
Thermostel Optimization		Install Energy. Size certified arrest thermosite's GAS CRUX BUSINESS	Average Single Family Librare with Energy Star Smart Thermodel	80.3160	Average Single Family Abuse with Standard Thermostal	82,3000	40 55	550	5200	944	25% 3.4	24	2 \$2.45	\$0.716	\$0,000	50.000	229 229	222	225	4	4	1 2	2,650	18,020	25,662	0	۰	0
graner.				_																	_	_	_					_
BUSINESS Commercial Refrigeration Efficiency		Commercial Refrigeration Efficiency																										401
Commercial Refrigeration Efficiency Commercial Refrigeration Efficiency Commercial Refrigeration Efficiency		Commercial Refrigeration Efficiency Faucet Aerator (Reinfoors), gas water healing Faucet Aerator (Richer), gas water healing Faucet Aerator (Richer), gas water healing	.5 gallons per minute nestroom bucet senator 1.5 gallons per minute kitchen bucet senator	3	2.2 gallons per minute faucet 2.2 gallons per minute faucet	5	10 5	50	\$7	\$9.12	100% 0.3	0.0	2 \$4.12	\$0.412	\$8.436	\$0.000	1 3	- 1	3	1	1	1 1	339 5	281 20	201 20	5	20	20
Commercial Refrigeration Efficiency Commercial Refrigeration Efficiency Commercial Refrigeration Efficiency Commercial Refrigeration Efficiency Commercial Refrigeration Efficiency		Faucet Aerator (Reintoon), gas water healing Faucet Aerator (Richen), gas water healing CHW Phi-Rinse Sprayer - gas water healing Retrott of open multi-duck cooler cases with solid glass doors (per linear foot of case	.5 gallons per minute nestroom bucet aerator 1.5 gallons per minute allothen bacet aerator 1.10 gallons per minute allothen bacet aerator 1.10 gallons per minute greyver Closed Class with Doors Closed Class with Doors	3 3 8 1	2.2 gallons per reissule faucet 2.2 gallons per reissule faucet 1.60 gallons per reinsule sprayer Open Case with No Doors Open Case with No Doors	5 9 8	10 S 5 S4 12 S5 12 C	\$0 5 \$0 5 \$0 5 \$0	57 545 5351 5351	50.12 50.12 50.12 50.12 50.12	100% 0.3 100% 1.4 19% 4.3 49% 2.1	00 00 34	2 \$4.12 2 \$23.6 7 \$7.46 6 \$9.07	\$0.412 5 \$4.737 50.622 50.744	\$8,436 \$13,971 \$0,000 \$0,000	\$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000	11 42 1 3 1 3 19 75 19 75	1 1 19	3 3 75		1 1 1	1 1 1	5 6 503	281 20 135 3,750 5,625	201 20 135 19,540 11,589	5 6 503 620	20 135 1 3,750 19 5,625 11	20 135 3,549 1589
Commercial Radrigeration Efficiency		Faucal Farrice (Bestroor), gas water heating Faucal Farrice (Dobren), gas water heating CHAY Pro-Strees Expressor - gas water heating Heat of cape made and expressor of the second of t	.5 gallons per minula nestroom faucati aerator. 1.5 gallons par minula kitchen brucet aerator. 1.25 gallons par minula sitchen brucet aerator. 1.25 gallons per minula grosper. Closed Case with Dorns Commercial kitchen verifiation hoods with Demark Controlled Verifiation with 6.65	3 3 8 1 2 4,267 ee	Open Case with No Doors Open Case with No Doors	5 9 8 50 50 516 4,634	10 \$1 5 \$4 12 \$5 12 \$7 20 \$2,1	\$0 5 \$0 5 \$0 5 \$0 6 \$0	\$7 \$45 \$351 \$355 \$17,360	\$9.12 \$9.12 \$9.12 \$9.12 \$9.12	100% 0.3 100% 1.4 19% 4.3 49% 2.1 12% 3.8	0.0 0.0 3.4 1.1	2 \$4.12 2 \$23.60 7 \$7.46 8 \$20.07 366 \$5.90	\$0.756	\$8.436 \$13.971 \$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000	\$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000	11 42 1 3 1 3 19 75 19 75 0 0		3 3 75 75 0	1 1 1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	5 6 503 620 0	281 20 135 3,750 5,625 0	201 20 135 19,549 11,589 0	339 5 6 503 620 0	20 135 1 3,750 19 5,625 11 0	20 135 9,549 1,589 0
Commercial Refrigeration Efficiency		Placet Renter (Plantocor), gas water healing Placet Renter (Chine), gas water healing CHO! The Ross (Sprayer - gas water healing CHO! The Ross (Sprayer - gas water healing Renterfort of gene made duck closed crosses with hold glass doors (per lesser foot of case Renterfort of gene made duck Tessaur cases with hold glass doors (per lesser foot of case Demand Controlled Wintshort - Case Chily or Control Customer RESIDENTIAL	Closed Case with Doors Closed Case with Doors Commercial Kirchen veribition hoods with Demand Controlled Verifiation with 8.65	3 8 1 2 4,267 en	Open Case with No Doors Open Case with No Doors	5 9 8 10 10 516 4,634	10 \$1 5 \$4 12 \$2 12 \$7 20 \$2,1	50 5 50 5 50 5 50 63 50	\$7 \$45 \$351 \$155 \$17,360	\$0.07 \$0.12 \$0.12 \$0.12 \$0.12 \$0.12	100% 0.3 100% 1.4 19% 4.3 49% 2.1 12% 3.8	00 00 34 1.1 3.4	8 \$9.07	\$0.756	\$8.436 \$13.971 \$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000	\$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000 \$1,171.381	11 42 1 3 1 3 19 75 19 75 0 0	1 1 19 19 0	3 3 75 75 0	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1 1 1	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	5 6 500 620 0	281 20 135 3,750 5,625 0	201 20 135 12,549 11,589 0	5 6 500 620 0	20 135 1 3,750 19 5,625 11	20 135 9,549 1,589 0
Communical Bindingunition Efficiency Communical Bindingunities (Efficiency Communical Bindingunities) (Efficiency Communical Bindingunities) (Efficiency Communical Bindingunities) (Efficiency MESIGENTIAL Bindingunities) (Efficiency RESIGENTIAL Bindingunities) (Efficiency RESIGENTIAL)	MN - Smart Thermostat - EE - Divect Install MN - Smart Thermostat - EE - Divect Install	Faucal Farrice (Bestroor), gas water heating Faucal Farrice (Dobren), gas water heating CHAY Pro-Strees Expressor - gas water heating Heat of cape made and expressor of the second of t	Closed Case with Doors Closed Case with Doors Commercial Kirchen veribition hoods with Demand Controlled Verifiation with 8.65	3 3 8 1 1 2 4,267 an	Open Case with No Doors Open Case with No Doors	5 9 8 10 516 4,634	10 5: 5 54 12 53 12 57 20 \$2,1 10 5:	\$0 \$ \$0 \$ \$0 \$ \$0 \$ \$0 \$ \$0 \$ \$0 \$ \$0 \$	\$7 \$7 \$45 \$261 \$155 \$17,360	\$0.67 \$0.12 \$0.12 \$0.12 \$0.12 \$0.12 \$0.12 \$0.12	100% 0.3 100% 1.4 19% 4.3 49% 2.1 12% 3.8	00 00 34 1.1 3.4	8 \$9.07	\$0.756	\$0,000 \$0,000 \$0,000 \$0,000 \$0,000	\$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000 \$1,171.381	11 42 1 3 1 3 19 75 19 75 0 0		42 3 3 75 75 0 0	1 1 1 1 1 1 1	5 5 5 5 5 5 7	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	5 6 503 620 0	281 20 135 3.750 5.625 0	281 20 135 12,549 11,529 0	336 5 6 503 620 0	20 135 1 3,750 19 5,625 11 0	20 135 9,549 1,589 0
Commercial Ruhingeration Efficiency	MN - Smart Thermostat - EE - Direct Install MN - Smart Thermostat - EE - Object Install MN - Smart Thermostat - EE - Self Install MN - Smart Thermostat - EE - Self Install	Placet Renter (Plantocor), gas water healing Placet Renter (Chine), gas water healing CHO! The Ross (Sprayer - gas water healing CHO! The Ross (Sprayer - gas water healing Renterfort of gene made duck closed crosses with hold glass doors (per lesser foot of case Renterfort of gene made duck Tessaur cases with hold glass doors (per lesser foot of case Demand Controlled Wintshort - Case Chily or Control Customer RESIDENTIAL	Closed Case with Doors Closed Case with Doors Commercial Kirchen veribition hoods with Demand Controlled Verifiation with 8.65	3 3 8 1 1 2 4,267 ee	Open Case with No Doors Open Case with No Doors	11 5 9 8 10 10 10 5 16 4,034	10 2: 5 54 12 55 12 57 20 52,1 10 5: 10 5: 10 5: 10 5: 10 5:	50 5 50 5 50 5 50 6	50 50	\$2.12 st \$2.12 st	100% 0.3 100% 1.4 19% 4.3 100% 2.5 12% 3.8 100W0 0.0 100W0 0.0 100W0 0.0 100W0 0.0 100W0 0.0 100W0 0.0	00	8 \$0.07 366 \$5.90 7 \$0.00 7 \$0.46	\$0.796 \$0.295 \$0.200 \$0.000	\$0.000 \$0.000	\$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000 \$0.000	11 42 1 3 1 3 15 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5		42 3 3 75 75 0 0 1,750 400	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	339 5 6 5 533 620 0	281 20 135 2,750 5,625 0	201 20 125 12,549 11,589 0	209 5 6 500 620 0 0 22,698 5,298 12,222 2,794	20 135 1 1 2.750 19 5.625 11 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	20 135 9,549 11,589 0 0 0 0 0 H,780

DEEMED SAVINGS TECHNICAL ASSUMPTIONS

Product: Multifamily Building Efficiency

Description:

Multifamily buildings that are electric customers and either Xcel Energy or CenterPoint Energy natural gas customers can receive an energy assessment and direct-install measures they are eligible for based on the assessment at no-cost. Those customers will also be eligible to participate in larger, capital-intensive projects that will offer them increasing rebates for higher-levels of whole building savings achieved.

Program References:

Measure "Direct Install - CFL"	Refer to Product "MN Home Energy Squad" formulas (Customer kW, Customer kWh, Customer PCkW, etc.) and values
Measure Direct Install - CFL	(hours and wattages) for the "Replace incandescent lamps with CFLs" measure.
Measure "Direct Install - LED"	Refer to Product "MN Lighting Efficiency" formulas for (Customer kW, Customer kWh, Customer PCkW, etc.) and values
Measure Direct Install - LED	(hours and wattages) for the "Replace incandescent lamps with LEDs" measure.
Measure "Direct Install - LED Exit Sign"	Refer to Product "MN-Lighting-Efficiency" formulas for (Customer kW, Customer kWh, Customer PCkW, etc.) and values
Wedsure Direct Install - LED Exit Sign	(hours and wattages) for the "Exit sign retrofit and replacement" measure.
Measures "Showerhead", "Aerator	Refer to Product "MN Energy Efficient Showerhead" analyses for assumptions on water temperatures and flows and
Kitchen", and "Aerator Bathroom"	energy savings calculations. Savings values are adjusted for multi-family water usage in Table 2.
	Refer to Product "MN Home Energy Squad" formulas (Customer kW, Customer kWh, Customer PCkW, etc.) and values
Measure "Water Heater Blanket"	(Efficiencies, Temperatures, R-Values) for the "Install Water Heater Blanket" measure. Savings have been adjusted for
	average multi-family water heater size.
Measures "Renter Kits 9W LED" and	Refer to Product "MN Home Lighting" formulas for (Customer kW, Customer kWh, Customer PCkW, etc.) and values
"Renter Kits 11W LED"	(hours and wattages)
Measure "Weatherstripping"	Refer to Product "MN Home Energy Squad" formulas and values.

Performance Building Measure Description:

This is a custom measure for buildings including electric and gas measures. Customers will be encouraged to pursue energy efficiency opportunities identified through the energy assessment process with the Implementer. These opportunities don't have to be existing prescriptive measures, but they must be identified as energy-saving measures. Xcel Energy & CenterPoint (as needed) will review and approve proposed opportunities and savings levels before projects begin at Customer buildings. The rebate amounts paid to the Customers will depend upon the savings tier reached, which is dependent upon the amount of whole-building savings (BTU) achieved.

Equations:

Equations.	
Water Heater Blanket Electrical Energy	= (HLF-before_MF - HLF-with-blanket_MF) x 8760 / HE_Elec / 3412 = 527 kWh
Savings (Customer kWh)	(
Water Heater Blanket Electrical	= (HLF before_MF - HLF with blanket_MF) x 8760 / HE_Elec / 3412 / Hr Operation = 0.060 kW
Demand Savings (Customer kW)	= (TEL BOOTC_WILL THE WILL BLAIRE C_WILL) X OTOO / TIE_ELOC / OT12 / TIL OPCIAGOIT = 0.000 KW
Water Heater Blanket Gas Savings	= (HLF before_MF - HLF with blanket_MF) x 8760 / HE_Gas / 1,000,000 = 2.1 Dth
(Customer Dth)	-(HE) before_wii - HE - with blanket_wii / x 0 00 / HE_ 000 / 100 000 - 2.1 bith
Water Heater Setback kWh Savings	= Specific_Heat * Density * Gal_Person * People * Households * 365 * (Tset -Tin) * Savings_Factor/ Eff / 3412
Water Heater Setback kW Savings	= kWh_Savings / 8760
Water Heater Setback PCkW Savings	= kW_Savings * CF
Water Heater Setback Dth Savings	= Specific_Heat * Density * Gal_Person * People * Households * 365 * (Tset -Tin) * Savings_Factor/ Eff / 1,000,000
Power Strip kWh Savings	= kWh_Base * SF
Power Strip kW Savings	= kWh_Savings / HOU
Power Strip PCkW Savings	= kW_Savings * CF
Renter Kit Window Film Dth Savings	=1 .08 * 24 * HDD65 * CorrF * (CFM50 / NHEAT) / (AFUE * 1,000,000)

Variables:

	Heat Loss Factor of water heater based on a water heater tank without fiberglass
	insulation and constant water temperature of 125 F and a room temperature of 60 F. This-
227	has been adjusted by the ratio of the multi-family (2-4) units average tank size from RECS-
221	2009 data for Minnesota's region to the average size using all homes in the region. This
	ratio is 40.45/42.21 for Minnesota. This accounts for the fact that multi-family homes have
	smaller water heaters.
	Heat Loss Factor of water heater with an added 2.5" fiberglass insulation on a water heater
	tank with 2" fiberglass insulation and constant water temperature of 125 F and a room-
422	temperature of 60 F. This has been adjusted by the ratio of the multi-family (2-4) units-
132	average tank size from RECS 2009 data for Minnesota's region to the average size using
	all homes in the region. This ratio is 40.45/42.21 for Minnesota. This accounts for the fact
	that multi-family homes have smaller water heaters.
1	Specific heat of water (Reference 3)
8.34	Lbs per gallon of water (Reference 3)
18.7	Daily Hot Water Usage per Person (Reference 3)
2.17	Number of households in the multi-family building
Customer Input	Number of households served by the water heater(s)
Customer Input	Temperature of the water heater tank before setback
51.3	Average groundwater temperature for the Twin Cities (Reference 3)
4%	Average of 3-5% savings (Reference 3)
Table 3	Federal minium Energy Factor for 40 gal tank (Reference 3)
Table 4	Peak coincidence factor (Reference 3)
Table 4	Life of measure (Reference 3)
365	Annual average consumption of baseline power strip (Reference 3)
19%	Savings Factor (Reference 3)
6,588	Hours of use (Reference 3)
7,651	HDD65 for Twin Cities (Reference 3)
0.7	Correction factor (Reference 3)
	18.7 2.17 Customer Input 51.3 4% Table 3 Table 4 Table 4 365 19% 6,588 7,651

DEEMED SAVINGS TECHNICAL ASSUMPTIONS

CFM50	10	Assumed
NHEAT	13	Assumed 3 story building in well shielded area (Reference 3)
AFUE	80%	Efficiency of gas heating system (Reference 3)

Table 1 - Typical Lighting Hour Usage by Common Area Space Type

Common-Area Space Type	Hours of Use	Coincidence Factor
Hallway	7,884	0.86
Stairway	7,884	0.86
Lobby/Atrium	7,884	0.86
Management Office	3,317	0.70
Laundry Room	4,154	0.72
Community/Event Room	2,431	0.72
Fitness Area	2,366	0.72
Storage Area	3,441	0.72
Mechanical Rooms	2,692	0.72
Safety or Code Required	8,760	1.00
Pool/Spa Area	4,468	0.72
Parking Lot/Exterior	4.903	0.00

Table 2	Showerhead	Kitchen Aerator	1.0 GPM Bathroom Aerator	0.5 GPM Bathroom Aerator
GPY_DHW_Savings	3,383	547	407	577
Total Water Savings/Year - Gallons	4,656	637	560	794
O&M Savings	\$39.46	\$5.40	\$4.75	\$6.73

Table 3	Eff
Electric Water Heater	0.92
Gas Water Heater	0.59

Table 4	Water Heater Setback	Power Strip	Renter Kit Window Film
CF	100%	83%	n/a
Measure Lifetime	2	7	1

References:

1. "Minnesota Multifamily Rental Characterization Study", Prepared for Minnesota Department of Commerce, Division of Energy Resources, Prepared by Energy 2. Residential Energy Consumption Survey, 2009, US Energy Information Administration.

3. Minnesota TRM v3.0, http://mn.gov/commerce-stat/pdfs/mn-trm-v3.0.pdf

Product: Peak Partner Rewards

Description:

Program for business customers that reduce their electrical load by an agreed upon amount when the electric grid experiences peak demand periods.

Algorithms:

7.090111111101	
Gross kW saved at Customer	= kW_Commitment
Gross Generator kW	= kW_Commitment x Coincidence_Factor
Gross Annual kWh Saved at Customer	= kW Commitment x Control Hours

Variables:

Variables:		
kW_Commitment	Customer Input	Customer's average electrical load reduction during summer months
Coincidence_Factor	100%	Percentage of Customer_kW savings that will coincide with peak summer kW savings
Control_Hours	6	Estimated number of control hours called per year
Lifetime	1	Average contract duration

Verified during M&V: Inputs:

kW_Commitment	Yes
Control_Hours	Yes

References:
Control hours based on MN NSP Interruption history for last 5-years

Changes from Recent Filing: Not Applicable

Program: Residential Demand Response (Saver's Switch - Residential - MN)

Description:

Prescriptive rebates will be offered to residential customers who install a Smart Thermostat on their air conditioning and allow utilty access for demand response. Residential electric, gas, and combo customers can receive a rebate for installing an Energy Star certified smart thermostat.

Algorithms:

STDR Electrical Demand Savings (Customer kW)	= I_Qty_Prop_Equip * Eq.kW_Savings_STDR
STDR Electrical Energy Savings (Customer kWh)	= I_Qty_Prop_Equip * Eq.kWh_Savings_STDR
STDR Peak Coincident kW at the Customer (PC_KW_CUST)	= I_Qty_Prop_Equip * Eq.PC_kW_Customer_STDR
Eq.kW_Savings_STDR	= tons/EER * 12
ENERGY STAR Smart Thermostat	= Cooling kW * (ES_Reduction_Cooling)
ENERGY STAR Smart Thermostat	= Cooling kW * (ES_Reduction_Cooling) * Cooling Hours
ENERGY STAR Smart Thermostat	= Cooling kW * (ES_Reduction_Cooling) * EnergyStar_CF
ENERGY STAR Smart Thermostat Gas	= Baseline Dth * (ES_Reduction_Heating)
Water Heater Electrical Demand Savings	= I_Qty_Prop_Equip * Eq.kW_Savings_WH
Electrical Energy Savings (Customer	= I_Qty_Prop_Equip * Eq.kWh_Savings_WH
Peak Coincident kW at the Customer	= I_Qty_Prop_Equip * Eq.PC_kW_Customer_WH

Variable ID	Value	Description
I_Qty_Prop_Equip	Customer Input	Quantity of smart thermostats installed.
tons	2.28	Capacity of average residential AC Unit in tons.
EER	11.39	Energy Efficiency Ratio (EER) of average residential AC Unit.
Eq.kWh_Savings_STDR	2	kWh savings per year per average residential AC Unit with a smart thermostat (Reference 2 & 4).
Eq.PC_kW_Customer_STDR	1.134	Peak Coincident kW savings per average residential AC Unit with a smart thermostat (Reference 1).
Life_ResST	10	Length of time the smart thermostat will be operational.
NTG_DR	100%	Net-to-Gross factor for Smart Thermostats will be 100% as customers would not voluntary dispatch control events in the absence of this program
ES_Reduction_Heating	8%	Energy Star Connected Thermostat criteria for annual heating equipment runtime reduction (Reference 7)
ES_Reduction_Cooling	10%	Energy Star Connected Thermostat criteria for annual cooling equipment runtime reduction (Reference 7)
Cooling kW	3.008	Forecasted High Efficiency Thermostat demand from 'Home Energy Squad - MN'
Cooling Hours	442	Forecasted High Efficiency Thermostat hours from 'Home Energy Squad - MN'
Baseline Dth	87.3	Forecasted High Efficiency Thermostat gas use from 'Home Energy Squad - MN'
Heating kW	5.374	Average kW for electric heating
Heating Hours	3,808	Annual heating hours
EnergyStar_CF	76%	Coindicence Factor for High Efficiency Thermostat from 'Home Energy Squad - MN'
Measure Life	10	Measure life for programmable thermostat (Reference 8)
Incremental Cost	\$200.00	Incremental cost for ENERGY STAR smart thermostat (Reference 8)
Eq.kW_Savings_WH	4.500	Average size of residential water heater.
Eq.kWh_Savings_WH	3	kWh savings per year per average residential WH Unit with a smart switch.
Eq.PC_kW_Customer_WH	0.200	Peak Coincident kW savings per average residential WH Unit with a smart switch (Reference 3).

Inputs:

Provided by Customer:	Verified during M&V:
Number of units with smart (Tier I orll) thermostats installed.	Yes
Will you allow DR	Yes
Are you a Combo or Electric Customer	Yes
Single Family Home	Yes
Central AC	Yes
Gas or Electric Resistance Heat	Yes

|--|

Assumptions:

Demand Response savings are calculated at system peaking conditions of 95 degree Fahrenheit dry bulb.

All EE components use the State's TRM estimates for energy with no demand savings

All DR energy and demand savings utilized Nexant Study

Single family home with central AC and gas or electric resistance heat.

Thermostat is a certified Energy Star product and meets all the criteria listed in Energy Star Product Specification for Connected Thermostat Products, Version 1.0, Rev Jan 2017.

Limit one per household.

- References:
 (1) Nexant, 2017. Evaluation of 2016 Smart Thermostat Pilot.
- (2) Xcel Energy, January 2016. Saver's Switch Control History.
- (3) Minnesota Technical Reference Manual Ver. 2.0
- (4) Xcel Energy, January 2016. Typical MN Residential Smart Switch Load Relief 2011-2015.
- (5) Xcel Energy, 2017-19 DSM Filing.
- (6) 2015 Residential Energy Consumption Survey (RECS) via US Energy Information Administration
- (7) ENERGY STAR Connected Thermostat Key Product Criteria, Version 1.0, Rev. Jan 2017 -

https://www.energystar.gov/products/heating_cooling/smart_thermostats/key_product_criteria

(8) State of Minnesota Technical reference Manual For Energy Conservation Improvement Programs, Version 2.2 FINAL, May 2, 2018. http://mn.gov/commerce-stat/pdfs/mn-trm-v2.2.pdf

Product: MN - Water Heating

Description:

Residential natural gas customers receive a cash rebate for purchasing high-efficiency natural gas water heating equipment. Residential electric customers with standard electric water heaters can receive a rebate for replacing it with a heat pump water heater.

Equations:

Hot_Water_Energy (Tank-type)	= Qty x Hot_Water_Demand x Water_Heater_Delta_T x Days_Per_Year x Water_Density x Proposed_Tank_Size / Std_Tank_Size
Hot_Water_Energy (Tankless)	= Qty x Hot_Water_Demand x Water_Heater_Delta_T x Days_Per_Year x Water_Density
Water_Heater_Delta_T	= Water_Heater_Temperature - City_Mains_Temperature

Gas Equations:

Cas Equations.	
Customer_Dth	= Baseline_Dth - Proposed_Dth
Baseline_Dth	= Hot_Water_Energy / Baseline_Eff_Gas / 1,000,000
Proposed_Dth	= Hot_Water_Energy / Proposed_Eff / 1,000,000
Baseline Efficiency Gas-Fired Storage WH	= coef1 - (coef2 x Proposed_Tank_Size)

Electric Equations:

Electric Equations:	
Customer kWh	= Baseline_kWh - Proposed kWh + Cooling_Benefit - Heating_Penalty
Baseline_kWh	= Hot_Water_Energy / Baseline_Eff_Electric / 3,412
Proposed_kWh	= Hot_Water_Energy / Proposed_Eff / 3,412
Baseline Efficiency Electric-Resistance Storage WH	= coef1 - (coef2 x Proposed_Tank_Size)
Customer kWh	= Baseline_kW - Proposed_kW
Baseline_kW	= Standard_Water_Heater_kW + Cooling_Benefit / Cooling_Hours
Proposed_kW	= Standard_Water_Heater_kW - (Baseline_kWh - Proposed_kWh) / 8760
Customer_PCkW	= Customer_kW x Coincidence_Factor

Variable ID	Value	Description	
11 . 14	040	Averese sellene	

Hot_Water_Demand	64.3	Average gallons per day of hot water use. (Reference 1)
Water_Heater_Temperature	135	Water heater setpoint temperature °F. (Reference 1)
City_Mains_Temperature	51.9	Water temperature of city water entering the water heater °F. (Reference 2)
Conversion from Btu to Dth	1,000,000	1 Dth = 1,000,000 Btuh
Conversion from Btu to Therm	100,000	1 Therm = 100,000 Btuh
Conversion from Btu to kWh	3,412	1 kWh = 3,412 Btuh
Specific Heat of Water	1	Btu/lb/°F
Water_Density	8.33	lb/gal H20
Days_Per_Year	365	Days per Year
Heating_Penalty	See Table 1	Heating penalty due to heat pump water heater operating during heating season.
Cooling_Benefit	See Table 1	Cooling savings due to heat pump water heater operating during cooling season.
Standard_Water_Heater_kW	4.5	Assumed kW for a typical electric resistance water heater.
Cooling_Hours	663	Number of hours in a TMY3 year above 77°F.
Coincidence_Factor	100%	We are using the average water heater savings over the summer hours.
Proposed_Tank_Size	Customer Input	Storage capacity for tank type water heaters.
Type of Proposed Water Heater	Customer Input	Type of proposed water heater. (i.e. Storage, Tankless, Heat Pump)
Home Heating and Cooling Type for HP Water Heaters	Customer Input	Source for the home's heating and cooling. See Table 1.
Proposed_Eff	Customer Input	Uniform Efficiency Factor for proposed water heater.
Qty	Customer Input	Equipment Quantity
Measure Life	See Table 2	Lifetime of water heaters. (Reference 3)
Incremental Costs	See Table 2	Incremental cost of efficient technology over baseline technology.
NTG	See Table 2	Net to Gross
coef1	See Table 3	Code-based formula coefficients to determine baseline energy use
coef2	See Table 3	Code-based formula coefficients to determine baseline energy use
Std_Tank_Size	45.0	Reference tank volume storage capacity based on historical program participation.
Water Heater Self-Installation Rate	52%	Percent of Water Heaters that self-installed after retail purchase (Reference 9)

Table 1 - Secondary Cooling and Heating Benefits (References 6, 7)

Heating Type	Cooling Type	Cooling_Benefit kWh	Heating_Penalty kWh	O&M \$	
Natural Gas	Refrigerant Based	61.5	0	\$ (65.17)	ĺ

Electric Resistance	Refrigerant Based	61.5	1,634	\$ -
Heat Pump	Refrigerant Based	61.5	724	\$ -
Natural Gas	Non-Refrigerant Based	0.0	0	\$ (65.17)
Electric Resistance	Non-Refrigerant Based	0.0	1,634	\$ -
Heat Pump	Non-Refrigerant Based	0.0	724	\$ -

Table 2 - Incremental Cost, Lifetime, NTG - References 3, 10

Water Heater Type	Size	Draw Pattern	Basel	ine Cost	Incremental Cost	Lifetime	NTG
High Efficiency Tank-Type Water Heater	Volume <= 40 Gallon	MEDIUM	\$	906.99	\$ 126.88	13	90%
High Efficiency Tank-Type Water Heater	Volume <= 40 Gallon	HIGH	\$	833.02	\$ 260.86	13	90%
High Efficiency Tank-Type Water Heater	Volume > 40 Gallon	MEDIUM	\$	714.09	\$ 119.30	13	90%
High Efficiency Tank-Type Water Heater	Volume > 40 Gallon	HIGH	\$	958.42	\$ 384.34	13	90%
High Efficiency Tankless Water Heater	N/A	MEDIUM	\$	975.06	\$ 541.99	20	90%
High Efficiency Tankless Water Heater	N/A	HIGH	\$	1,071.37	\$ 861.92	20	90%
Air Source Heat Pump Water Heater	N/A	NA	\$	958.62	\$ 611.45	10	100%

Table 3 - Baseline Efficiency Coefficients Reference 8 (>= 20gal & <= 55 gal)

Draw Pattern	Gas (Storag	ge)	Elec (Storage)		
Diaw Falletti	coef1	coef2	coef1	coef2	
Medium	0.6483	0.0017	0.9307	0.0002	
High	0.6920	0.0013	0.9349	0.0001	

References:

- 1. Energy Conservation Program for Consumer Products: Test Procedure for Water Heaters; United States Department of Energy; http://www.gpo.gov/fdsys/pkg/FR-1998-05-11/pdf/98-12296.pdf
- 2. Denver Water's 2006 Treated Water Quality Summary Report;
- 3. Energy Star Residential Water Heaters -Final Criterial Analysis, April 2008.

http://www.energystar.gov/ia/partners/prod_development/new_specs/downloads/water_heaters/WaterHeaterAnalysis_Final.pdf

- 4. Not Used
- 5. US Department of Energy; Residential Heat Pump Water Heaters;

http://energy.gov/eere/femp/covered-product-category-residential-heat-pump-water-heaters

- 6. US Department of Energy; Residential Air Conditioners and Heat Pumps; http://www1.eere.energy.gov/buildings/appliance_standards/product.aspx/productid/75
- 7. US Department of Energy; Residential Furnace Standards. https://www1.eere.energy.gov/buildings/appliance_standards/product.aspx/productid/72#standards
- 8.US Department of Energy, Residental Water Heater Standards 10 CFR 430.32(d):
- 9. EnergyStar http://aceee.org/sites/default/files/files/pdf/conferences/hwf/2016/Ryan_Session1C_HWF16_2.22.16_0.pdf
- 10. Equipment Manufacturer Retail Price Information Request (Q4 2017)
- 11. NREL National Residential Efficiency Measure Database, https://remdb.nrel.gov/measures.php?gld=6&ctld=270

Product: Whole Home Efficiency

Description:

Algorithms:

Residential natural gas and electric combo customers receive a cash rebate for implementing multiple energy efficiency improvements. Customers must have at least 20 LEDs (new or installed), and complete attic insulation and bypass sealing, and air sealing and weatherization measures to receive rebate

Algorithms:	
Measure "Direct Install - LED"	Refer to Product "Home Lighting & Recycling - MN" formulas for Customer kW, Customer kWh, Customer PCkW, etc. for the "Replace incandescent lamps with LEDs" measure.
Measures "Attic Insulation", "Air Sealing", and "Wall Insulation"	Refer to Program "Insulation Rebates - MN" formulas for Customer kW, Customer kWh, Customer PCkW, etc., and other energy savings calculations for the "Attic Insulation", "Air Sealing", and "Wall Insulation" measures
Measures "Showerhead", "Aerator Kitchen", and "Aerator Bathroom"	Refer to Product "Energy Efficient Showerhead - MN" formulas for Customer kW, Customer kWh, Customer PCkW, and other energy savings calculations.
Measure "Water Heater Blanket"	Refer to Product "Home Energy Squad - MN" formulas for Customer kW, Customer kWh, Customer PCkW, Customer Dth, etc. and other energy savings calcualtions for the "Install Water Heater Blanket" measure.
Measure "Install and Program New Thermostat"	Refer to Product "Home Energy Squad - MN" formulas for Customer kW, Customer kWh, Customer PCkW, Customer Dth, etc. and other energy savings calcualtions for the "Install and Program New Thermostat" measures.
Measures "EC Fan Motor", "New Gas Boiler" and "New Gas Furnace"	Refer to Product "MN Heating System Rebate" formulas for Customer kW, Customer kWh, Customer PCkW, Customer Dth, etc. and other energy savings calcualtions for the "EC Fan Motor", "New Gas Boiler" and "New Gas Furnace" measures.
Measures "Installation of new AC", "Installation of Mini-Split Heat Pump" and "Quality Installation of new AC"	Refer to Product "MN Residential Cooling" formulas for Customer kW, Customer kWh, Customer PCkW, etc. and other energy savings calcualtions for the "Installation of new AC", "Installation of Mini-Split Heat Pump" and "Quality Installation of new AC" measures.
Measures "Energy Star Clothes Washer"	Refer to Product "Energy Star New Homes - MN" formulas for Customer kW, Customer kWh, Customer PCkW, Customer Dth, etc. and other energy savings calcualtions for the "Energy Star Clothes Washer" measures
Measure "Energy Star Refrigerator"	Refer to Program "Refrigerator Recycling - MN" formulas for Customer kW, Customer kWh, Customer PCkW, etc. for the "Energy Star Refrigerator" measure.
Measures "Storage Water Heater" and "Tankless Water Heater"	Refer to Program "MN Water Heater Rebate" formulas for Customer Dth, etc. for the "Storage Water Heater" and "Tankless Water Heater" measures.
Measure "Heat Pump Water Heater"	Refer to Program "MN Water Heater Rebate" formulas for Customer kW, etc. for the "Heat Pump Water Heater" measure.
Measure "Direct Install - LED"	Refer to Product "Home Lighting & Recycling - MN" formulas for values of hours, efficient wattages by Lumens, baseline wattages, etc. for the "Replace incandescent lamps with LEDs" measure.
Measures "Attic Insulation", "Air Sealing", and "Wall Insulation"	Refer to Program "Insulation Rebates - MN" for variable assumptions used in energy savings calculations for the "Attic Insulation", "Air Sealing", and "Wall Insulation" measures
Measures "Showerhead", "Aerator Kitchen", and "Aerator Bathroom"	Refer to Product "Energy Efficient Showerhead - MN" for variable assumptions used in energy savings calculations, (Hours, Coincidence Factor, etc.).
Measure "Water Heater Blanket"	Refer to Product "Home Energy Squad - MN" values of efficiencies, temperatures, R-Values, hours, etc. used in the energy savings calcualtions for the "Install Water Heater Blanket" measures.
Measure "Install and Program New Thermostat"	Refer to Product "Home Energy Squad - MN" for values and assumptions used in the energy savings calculations for the "Install and Program New Thermostat" measures.
Measures "EC Fan Motor", "New Gas Boiler" and "New Gas Furnace"	Refer to Product "MN Heating System Rebate" for values and assumptions used in the energy savings calcualtions for the "EC Fan Motor", "New Gas Boiler" and "New Gas Furnace" measures.
Measures "Installation of new AC", "Installation of Mini-Split Heat Pump" and "Quality Installation of new AC"	Refer to Product "MN Residential Cooling" for values and assumptions used in the energy savings calcualtions for the "Installation of new AC", "Installation of Mini-Split Heat Pump" and "Quality Installation of new AC" measures.
Measures "Energy Star Clothes Washer"	Refer to Product "Energy Star New Homes - MN" for values and assumptions used in the energy savings calcualtions for the "Energy Star Clothes Washer" measures
Measure "Energy Star Refrigerator"	Refer to Program "Refrigerator Recycling - MN" for values and assumptions used in the energy savings calculations for the "Energy Star Refrigerator" measure.
Measures "Storage Water Heater" and "Tankless Water Heater"	Refer to Program "MN Water Heater Rebate" for the values and assumptions used in the energy savings calculations for the "Storage Water Heater" and "Tankless Water Heater" measures.
Measure "Heat Pump Water Heater"	Refer to Program "MN Water Heater Rebate" for the values and assumptions used in the energy savings calculations for the "Heat Pump Water Heater" measure.
Measures "Attic Insulation", "Air Sealing", and "Wall Insulation"	Refer to Program "Insulation Rebates - MN" for Measure Life and other assumptions used in the savings calculations for the "Attic Insulation", "Air Sealing", and "Wall Insulation" measures
Measures "Showerhead", "Aerator Kitchen", and "Aerator Bathroom"	Refer to Product "Energy Efficient Showerhead - MN" for Measure Life and Non-Energy O&M assumptions (water savings and water rates) used in savings calculations.
Measure "Water Heater Blanket"	Refer to Product "Home Energy Squad - MN" values of measure life used in the savings calcualtions

"New Gas Furnace"

new AC"

Measure "Install and Program New Thermostat"

Measures "EC Fan Motor", "New Gas Boiler" and

Measures "Installation of new AC", "Installation of

Mini-Split Heat Pump" and "Quality Installation of

for the "Install Water Heater Blanket" measures.

calculations for the "Install and Program New Thermostat" measures.

Refer to Product "Home Energy Squad - MN" for measure life assumptions used in the savings

Refer to Product "MN Heating System Rebate" for measure life, incremental cost, etc. used in the savings calcualtions for the "EC Fan Motor", "New Gas Boiler" and "New Gas Furnace" measures.

Refer to Product "MN Residential Cooling" for measure life, incremental cost, etc. used in the savings calcualtions for the "Installation of new AC", "Installation of Mini-Split Heat Pump" and "Quality Installation of new AC" measures.

Moseuroe "Enorgy Star Clothoe Wacher"	Refer to Product "Energy Star New Homes - MN" for measure life, Non-Energy O&M (water savings and water rates), and incremental cost for the "Energy Star Clothes Washer" measures
IMpacific "Engray Star Retrigerator"	Refer to Program "Refrigerator Recycling - MN" for measure life and incremental cost for the "Energy Star Refrigerator" measure.
	Refer to Program "MN Water Heater Rebate" for measure life and incremental cost for the "Heat Pump Water Heater" measure.

Algorithms (cont):

Measures "Storage Water Heater" and "Tankless	Refer to Program "MN Water Heater Rebate" for measure life and incremental cost for the "Storage
Water Heater"	Water Heater" and "Tankless Water Heater" measures.
LED Electric Energy Savings (Customer kWh)	= Number_of_Bulbs x (kW_Base - kW_EE) x LED_Hours
LED Electric Demand Savings (Customer kW)	= Number_of_Bulbs x (kW_Base - kW_EE)

Variables:

Number of Bulbs	Provided by	= Quantity of newly installed LED bulbs provided by the vendor. Quantity will be		
111111111111111111111111111111111111111	Vendor	per wattage size lamp provided.		
LED Hours	909	= average annual hours per lamp within home for LED lamps. Source is the		
_	303	Home Lighting & Recycling - MN Program.		
kW_EE	Provided by	= Actual kW for the installed LED bulbs provided by vendor		
Cost_per_Lamp	\$2.75	LED lamp costs provided by the direct install vendor for this measure.		
LW Pass	Provided by	= Wattage for the incandecent bulb removed by the vendor will used to determine		
kW_Base	Vendor	the baseline wattages for each newly installed efficient bulb.		
OFMED B. II	Provided by	Air leakage rate at 50 pascals maintained pressure, measured in cubic feet per		
CFM50_Baseline	Vendor	minute. Vendor provided		
	Provided by	Air leakage rate at 50 pascals maintained pressure, measured in cubic feet per		
CFM50_Proposed	Vendor	minute. Vendor provided		
		Deemed average difference between normal operation and cooling setback		
	1.20	temperature in degrees F based on information provided by the customer during		
Cooling_Delta_T		the interview.		
g_zena_:		Deemed average difference between normal operation and heating setback		
	2.64	temperature in degrees F based on information provided by the customer during		
Heating_Delta_T	2.04	the interview.		
	= Coincidence Factor, the probability that peak demand savings will coincide with peak utility syste			
CF	demand. Refer to source programs.			
Measure Life		as referenced in the source programs.		
Measure Life		be as reference in the source program for water heaters, furnaces, EC Motors,		
Incremental Cost	Boilers, Clothes Washers, Refrigerators, Air Conditioning, Mini-Split Heat Pumps.			
	Provided by	I		
Incremental Cost Attic insulation & bypass sealing	Customer	customer cost is an actual invoice amount.		
Incremental Cost Air sealing & weather-stripping	Provided by	customer cost is an actual invoice amount.		
<u> </u>	Customer			
Incremental Cost Wall insulation	Provided by	customer cost is an actual invoice amount.		
	Customer	The Direct Leafell Visit Indiana control and indiana directal life and a		
Incremental Cost Showerhead	\$3.75	The Direct Install Vendor's cost to provide and install the measure		
Incremental Cost Aerator Kitchen	\$2.00	The Direct Install Vendor's cost to provide and install the measure		
Incremental Cost Aerator Bathroom	\$1.00	The Direct Install Vendor's cost to provide and install the measure		
Incremental Cost Water Heater Blanket	\$25.00	The Direct Install Vendor's cost to provide and install the measure		

Type of Measures Implemented

Quantity of existing high efficacy bulbs (CFLs or LEDs) in the home

Quantity of installed LEDs in each wattage size (Required Completion for program participation)

Tons, SEER_Eff, EER_Eff of AC

Attic insulation and bypass sealing was completed (Completion Required)

Attic-sf area:Insulated attic space square feet (Completion Required)

R-Value of Existing Attic Insulation (pre-project)

R-Value of Total Final Attic Insulation (Post-project - combined existing plus new insulation)

Air sealing and weather stripping was completed

Blower Door Test results for home; test in and test out CFM50 values.

Number of Stories in the home

Home's total conditioned square footage above grade

Wall insulation was completed Wall-sf area: Sq Ft wall insulated

BTUH (Rated furnace or boiler Input BTUH)

EFFh (Efficiency for higher efficiency furnace or boiler)
EF_Eff (Energy Factor of the Efficient water heater - Storage Tank/Tankless)

Volume of new water heater

Was ECM furnace fan motor provided

2020 Net Present Cost Benefit Sum	mary Analysis I	or All Particij	-		
			Rate	Total	
	Participant	Utility	Impact	Resource	Societal
	Test	Test	Test	Test	Test
	(\$Total)	(\$Total)	(\$Total)	(\$Total)	(\$Total)
Benefits					
Avoided Revenue Requirements					
Generation	N/A	A1	A1	A1	A1
T & D	N/A	A2	A2	A2	A2
Marginal Energy	N/A	A3	A3	A3	A3
Environmental Externality	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	A4
Subtotal	N/A	A	Á	Á	Α
Participant Benefits					
Bill Reduction - Electric	B1	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Rebates from Xcel Energy	B2	N/A	N/A	B2	B2
Incremental Capital Savings	В3	N/A	N/A	В3	В3
Incremental O&M Savings	B4	N/A	N/A	B5	B5
Subtotal	В	N/A	N/A	В	В
Total Benefits	С	С	С	С	С
Costs					
Utility Project Costs					
Customer Services	N/A	D1	D1	D1	D1
Project Administration	N/A	D2	D2	D2	D2
Advertising & Promotion	N/A	D3	D3	D3	D3
Measurement & Verification	N/A	D4	D4	D4	D4
Rebates	N/A	D5	D5	D5	D5
Other	N/A	D6	D6	D6	D6
Subtotal	N/A	D	D	D	Do
Utility Revenue Reduction					
Revenue Reduction - Electric	N/A	N/A	E1	N/A	N/A
Subtotal	N/A N/A	N/A	E	N/A	N/A
Participant Costs					
Incremental Capital Costs	F1	N/A	N/A	F2	F2
Incremental O&M Costs	F3	N/A	N/A	F4	F4
Subtotal	F	N/A	N/A	F	F
Total Costs	G	G	G	G	G
Net Benefit (Cost)	Н	Н	Н	Н	Н
Benefit/Cost Ratio	I	I	Ι	I	I

Note: Dollar values represent present value of impacts accumulated over the lifetime of the measures.

Explanation of Inputs

- N/A = Not applicable
- A1 = Generation Avoided
- A2 = Transmission and Distribution Avoided
- A3 = Marginal Energy Reduced
- A4 = Environmental Factors (Emissions) Avoided
- A = Total Avoided Revenue Requirements
- B1 = Reduced Electric Revenues from Project
- B2 = Rebate paid by Xcel Energy
- B3 = Incremental Capital Savings
- B4 = Incremental Participant Non-Energy O&M Savings plus Natural Gas Savings
- B5 = Incremental Participant Non-Energy O&M Savings * Electric Share of Avoided Revenue Requirements
- B = Total Benefits realized by Participant
- C = Total Benefits = A + B
- D1 = Product Delivery Costs
- D2 = Project Administration Costs
- D3 = Advertising & Promotion Costs
- D4 = Measurement & Verification Costs
- D5 = Rebate Costs
- D6 = Other Costs
- D = Xcel Energy's Total Project Costs
- E1 = Reduced Electric Revenues from Project = B1
- E = Total Reduced Electric Revenues from Project
- F1 = Incremental Participant Capital Investment before Rebate
- F2 = Incremental Participant Capital Investment before Rebate * Electric Share of Avoided Revenue Requirements
- F3 = Incremental Participant Non-Energy O&M Costs plus Natural Gas Costs
- F4 = Incremental Participant Non-Energy O&M Costs * Electric Share of Avoided Revenue Requirements
- F = Total Costs realized by Participant
- G = Total Costs = D + E + F
- H = Net Benefit or Cost = C G
- I = Benefit / Cost Ratio = C / G

General Assumptions

Discount Rate = 6.43%

Inflation Rate = Varies by input

Transmission and Distribution Avoided Costs = \$39.382/kW-year in 2020, escalated by 2.82%

Generation Avoided Capacity Costs = \$64.422/kW-year in 2020, escalated by 2.36%

Marginal Energy = Varies by savings profile shape. Unweighted ("flat") savings profile is

weighted average is: \$0.0431/kWh

Environmental Externality = Escalation varies by year. 2020 value is \$0.0138/kWh.

General Inputs for the 2020 Gas CIP BENCOST Model

The margins, rates and "costs included in rates" used in the General Inputs of the Gas CIP BENCOST model were approved as part of Xcel Energy's most recent gas rate case (Docket No. G002/GR-09-1153) and went into effect in May 2011. The Company has updated these rates according to the guidelines provided in the Department of Commerce decision filled on February 19, 2016 (Docket No. G999/CIP-16-36).

BENCOST Input 1 (Retail Rate)

The Retail Rate represents the sum of the Company's currently approved tariff rate for each customer class, the Commodity Cost of \$4.27 per Dth and a Demand Cost for firm non-demand billed customers of \$0.95 per Dth. This value does not include the annual true-up adjustment, the annual CIP Adjustment Factor, or any other riders.

Retail Rate (\$/Dth)

Customer Class	Tariff Rate	Commodity	Demand	BENCOST
		Cost	Cost	Retail Rate
Residential	\$1.86/Dth	\$4.27/Dth	\$0.95/Dth	\$7.08/Dth
Small Commercial Firm	\$1.23/Dth	\$4.27/Dth	\$0.95/Dth	\$6.46/Dth
Large Commercial Firm	\$1.23/Dth	\$4.27/Dth	\$0.95/Dth	\$6.45/Dth
Small Commercial Demand Billed ¹	\$1.16/Dth	\$4.27/Dth	\$0.48/Dth	\$5.92/Dth
Large Commercial Demand Billed ¹	\$1.24/Dth	\$4.27/Dth	\$0.53/Dth	\$6.04/Dth
Small Interruptible	\$0.96/Dth	\$4.27/Dth	N/A	\$5.23/Dth
Medium Interruptible	\$0.48/Dth	\$4.27/Dth	N/A	\$4.75/Dth
Large Interruptible	\$0.43/Dth	\$4.27/Dth	N/A	\$4.70/Dth

The rate for Small Commercial Firm of \$6.46/Dth was applied to all Business programs as it is expected that the vast majority of participants would be from these customer classes.

Annual Escalation Rate

The Annual Escalation Rate of 4.00 percent was provided in the DOC decision filing. This value was calculated using the average of escalation rates from five sources of natural gas price projections: Wood Mackenzie, CERA, ICF, EIA, and Global Insights.

BENCOST Input 2 (Non-Gas Fuel Retail Rate)

The Non-Gas Fuel Retail Rate represents the non-gas (normally electricity) retail rate paid by a customer or customer class. This value would be used to account for electric savings associated with gas conservation programs. Because the Company has separate electric conservation programs, we did not include any electric benefits in the BENCOST model. Therefore, the Non-Gas Fuel Retail Rate is zero for all of our analyses.

Annual Escalation Rate

¹ The Demand Billed classes' rates include both the commodity and demand components of their rates. The demand portion was calculated by dividing annual demand revenue by commodity sales.

The Annual Escalation Rate of 3.22 percent was provided in the DOC decision filing. This rate was developed using a projected price index entitled "Chained price index- household electricity" for the period 2017 through 2045 which was provided by the Minnesota Management & Budget (Budget).

BENCOST Input 3 (Commodity Cost)

The Commodity Cost, \$4.27 per MCF, was provided in the DOC decision filing. This value is the weighted average of CenterPoint Energy, Great Plains Gas, Greater Minnesota Gas, Minnesota Energy Resources Corporation, and Xcel Energy's purchased gas adjustments (i.e. weighted average cost of gas) from January 2014 through December 2015, weighted by each utility's gas sales to non-exempt customers. The Commodity Cost input is also multiplied by the Annual Escalation Rate of 4.00 percent, which is described above in Input No. 1.

BENCOST Input 4 (Demand Cost)

The Demand Cost equals the Minnesota Total Demand (line 1) divided by the MN State Design Day (line 4) in Schedule A, Page 3 of the Company's January 1, 2016 Derivation of Current PGA Costs. Interruptible customers do not have demand costs. The Demand Cost is multiplied by the Annual Escalation Rate of 4.00 percent discussed in Input 1 above. The resulting 2015 demand cost of \$77.15 was escalated one year at 4.00 percent to get a final 2016 BENCOST input value of \$80.24

BENCOST Input 5 (Peak Reduction Factor)

The Peak Reduction Conversion Factor, 1 percent, was provided in the DOC decision filing. This value represents an estimate of the percent of energy savings occurring on system peak.

BENCOST Input 6 (Variable O&M)

The Variable O&M input, \$0.0408 per MCF, is the Company's estimate of its variable Operations and Maintenance (O&M) costs, and is generally equal to its minimum transportation flexible rate for the Large Firm Transportation class. The Variable O&M input is multiplied by the Annual Escalation Rate of 4.00 percent discussed in Input 1 above.

BENCOST Input 7 (Non-Gas Fuel Cost)

The Non-Gas Fuel Cost, \$0.02153 per kWh, represents the added or avoided costs of non-natural gas fuel associated with the Conservation Improvement Program. This value was provided in the DOC decision filing. The Non-Gas Fuel Cost is multiplied by an Annual Escalation Rate of 3.22 percent, as presented in the DOC decision filing.

BENCOST Input 8 (Non-Gas Fuel Loss Factor)

The Non-Gas Fuel Loss Factor, 5.28 percent as provided in the DOC decision filing, represents the transmission and distribution line losses associated with non-natural gas (electric) fuels associated with the Conservation Improvement Program.

BENCOST Input 9 (Gas Environmental Damage Factor)

The Environmental Damage Factor, \$0.38 per MCF saved, was provided in the DOC decision filing. This value represents the societal and environmental cost of burning natural gas. It includes the costs of some emissions (SO₂, PM, CO, NO_X, Pb, and CO₂), but not others (methane, propane,

VOCs). The Gas Environmental Damage Factor is multiplied by an Annual Escalation Rate of 2.16 percent as presented in the DOC decision filing.

BENCOST Input 10 (Non-Gas Fuel Environmental Damage Factor)

The Non-Gas Fuel Environmental Damage Factor, \$23.22 per MWh, represents the cost to society and the environment for generating electricity. This value was provided in the DOC decision filing. The Non-Gas Fuel Environmental Damage Factor is multiplied by an Annual Escalation Rate of 2.16 percent, as presented in the DOC decision filing.

BENCOST Input 11 (Participant Discount Rate)

The Participant Discount Rate for business customers is represented by the Utility Discount Rate, discussed in Input 12. For residential customers, it is represented by the Societal Discount Rate, discussed in Input 13.

BENCOST Input 12 (Utility Discount Rate)

The Discount Rate of 7.42 percent is Xcel Energy's after-tax weighted average cost of capital from its 2010 rate case (Docket No. G002/GR-09-1153).

BENCOST Input 13 (Societal Discount Rate)

The Social Discount Rate, 2.55 percent, was provided in the DOC decision filing.

BENCOST Input 14 (General Input Data Year)

The General Input Data Year for the 2020 CIP Extension Plan, 2016, was provided in the DOC decision filing.

BENCOST Input 15d (Project Analysis Year 4)

The Project Analysis Year 1 is the year over which Xcel Energy's CIP Extension Plan will be effective, 2020.

Budget Categories

The following chart indicates which expenses are attributed to each CIP budget category in this filing.

Budget Category	Components
Customer Services	 Consulting costs for customer scoping and project management, subsidies for assessments and engineering studies. Costs to purchase EE equipment and to install efficient equipment at the customer site.
Utility Administration	 Project planning, development and implementation. Marketing and support staff including program managers, marketing assistants, developers, technical support staff, rebate processing, sales and call center representatives, inside contract labor, and other fulfillment associated with delivering a product directly to the customer. Auditors, installation contractors, vendors, technical consultants, fulfillment contractors and alternative providers that Xcel Energy contracts with to provide DSM services.
	• Equipment purchase costs and repair; hardware and software; supplies; and other employee expenses.
Advertising & Promotion	 TV, radio, newspaper and print media; direct promotion and sales support materials; postage, promotional events; contracted outbound telephone sales. Customer education through seminars, pamphlets, videos and computer games. Communication staff and other supporting labor.
Participant Incentives	Customer rebates and incentives given in the form of subsidized products or equipment.
Measurement & Verification (M&V)	Program evaluation expenses and consultants performing M&V.
Research & Development (R&D)	Internal product development staff, product development external consultants, product development research activities & Market Research potential studies.
Other	Vendor and trade incentives.Direct and indirect regulatory fees.

